FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP

FORM 10-Q (Quarterly Report)

Filed 11/06/08 for the Period Ending 09/30/08

Address 165 MADISON AVENUE

MEMPHIS, TN 38103

Telephone 9018186232

CIK 0000036966

Symbol FHN

SIC Code 6021 - National Commercial Banks

Industry Regional Banks

Sector Financial

Fiscal Year 12/31

FORM 10-Q

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D. C. 20549

(Mark one)

(X) QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2008

| | OR URSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE XCHANGE ACT OF 1934 |
|---|---|
| For the transition period from to | |
| Commission file number <u>001-15185</u> | |
| CIK number <u>0000036966</u> | |
| | I NATIONAL CORPORATION istrant as specified in its charter) |
| <u>Tennessee</u> (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) | 62-0803242 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.) |
| 165 Madison Avenue, Memphis, Tennessee (Address of principal executive offices) | 38103 (Zip Code) |
| | 01) 523-4444 ne number, including area code) |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | er address and former fiscal year, ed since last report) |
| | I reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities r such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such for the past 90 days. |
| Yes <u>x</u> No | |
| | erated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller rge accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 |
| Large accelerated filer <u>x</u> Accelerated filer Non-a (Do no | ccelerated filer Smaller reporting company of check if a smaller reporting company) |
| Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell comp | oany (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) |
| | Y TO CORPORATE ISSUERS: er's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. |
| <u>Class</u> Common Stock, \$.625 par value | Outstanding on September 30, 2008 201,593,623.521 (a) |
| (a) Includes 5,986,902.521 stock dividend shares distributed | on October 1, 2008 to shareholders of record on September 12, 2008 |

FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORPORATION

INDEX

Part I. Financial Information

Part II. Other Information

Signatures

Exhibit Index

PART I.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u>

The Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition

The Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income

The Consolidated Condensed Statements of Shareholders' Equity

The Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows

The Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements

This financial information reflects all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and results of operations for the interim periods presented.

| CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CONDITION | First Horizon National Corporation | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | Septe | mber 30 | December 31 | |
| (Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited) | 2008 | 2007 | 2007 | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 815,935 | \$ 936,707 | \$ 1,170,220 | |
| Federal funds sold and securities | 021 205 | 1.006.624 | 1 000 405 | |
| purchased under agreements to resell | 921,295 | 1,096,624 | 1,089,495 | |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 1,737,230 | 2,033,331 | 2,259,715 | |
| Interest-bearing deposits with other financial institutions Trading securities | 37,546 | 30,993 1,734,653 | 39,422 | |
| Loans held for sale | 1,561,024 718,029 | 2,900,464 | 1,768,763 3,461,712 | |
| Loans held for sale - divestiture | /10,029 | 565,492 | 289,878 | |
| Securities available for sale | 2,840,739 | 3,076,120 | 3,032,551 | |
| Securities held to maturity (fair value of \$- on September 30, 2008; \$240 on | 2,040,737 | 3,070,120 | 3,032,331 | |
| September 30, 2007; and \$240 on December 31, 2007) | - | 240 | 240 | |
| Loans, net of unearned income | 21,601,898 | 21,973,004 | 22,103,516 | |
| Less: Allowance for loan losses | 760,456 | 236,611 | 342,341 | |
| Total net loans | 20,841,442 | 21,736,393 | 21,761,175 | |
| Mortgage servicing rights, net | 798,491 | 1,470,589 | 1,159,820 | |
| Goodwill | 192,408 | 267,228 | 192,408 | |
| Other intangible assets, net | 46,887 | 58,738 | 56,907 | |
| Capital markets receivables | 1,651,547 | 1,219,720 | 524,419 | |
| Premises and equipment, net | 336,078 | 411,515 | 399,305 | |
| Real estate acquired by foreclosure | 151,461 | 75,656 | 103,982 | |
| Other assets | 1,891,494 | 1,874,497 | 1,949,308 | |
| Other assets - divestiture | - | 22,623 | 15,856 | |
| Total assets | \$32,804,376 | \$ 37,478,252 | \$ 37,015,461 | |
| Liabilities and shareholders' equity: Deposits: | ¢ 4 250 922 | ¢ 2.502.722 | ¢ 2.972.494 | |
| Savings Time deposits | \$ 4,350,832 2,510,344 | \$ 3,592,732 2,822,792 | \$ 3,872,684 2,826,301 | |
| Other interest-bearing deposits | 1,638,731 | 1,674,624 | 1,946,933 | |
| Interest-bearing deposits-divestiture | 1,030,731 | 361,368 | 189,051 | |
| Certificates of deposit \$100,000 and more | 1,470,089 | 5,142,169 | 3,129,532 | |
| Certificates of deposit \$100,000 and more - divestiture | -,, | 41,037 | 12,617 | |
| Interest-bearing | 9,969,996 | 13,634,722 | 11,977,118 | |
| Noninterest-bearing | 3,808,239 | 4,928,233 | 5,026,417 | |
| Deposits - divestiture | - | 72,404 | 28,750 | |
| Total deposits | 13,778,235 | 18,635,359 | 17,032,285 | |
| Federal funds purchased and securities | | | | |
| sold under agreements to repurchase | 1,890,681 | 4,039,827 | 4,829,597 | |
| Federal funds purchased and securities | | | | |
| sold under agreements to repurchase - divestiture | - | | 20,999 | |
| Trading liabilities | 380,896 | 543,060 | 556,144 | |
| Other short-term borrowings and commercial paper | 6,149,073 | 2,396,316 | 3,422,995 | |
| Term borrowings Other colleters lived borrowings | 4,545,791 | 6,015,954 | 6,027,967 | |
| Other collateralized borrowings Total long-term debt | 749,797 5,295,588 | 784,599 | 800,450 | |
| | 1,645,118 | 6,800,553 | 6,828,417 | |
| Capital markets payables Other liabilities | 791,867 | 1,053,349 1,253,295 | 586,358 1,305,868 | |
| Other liabilities-divestiture | 771,007 | 39,389 | 1,925 | |
| Total liabilities | 29,931,458 | 34,761,148 | 34,584,588 | |
| Preferred stock of subsidiary | 295,277 | 295,277 | 295,277 | |
| Shareholders' equity | 275,211 | 273,211 | 273,211 | |
| Preferred stock - no par value (5,000,000 shares authorized, but unissued) Common stock - \$.625 par value (shares authorized - 400,000,000; shares issued and outstanding - 201,593,624 on September 30, 2008; | - | - | - | |
| 130,257,225 on September 30, 2007; and 130,234,884 on December 31, 2007) | 125 007 | 70.000 | 70.070 | |
| (a) | 125,996 | 78,992 | 78,979 361,826 | |
| Capital surplus Undivided profits | 1,016,498 | 360,016 | 361,826 | |
| Accumulated other comprehensive (loss)/income, net | 1,483,184 (48,037) | 2,048,689 (65,870) | 1,742,892 (48,101) | |
| Total shareholders' equity | 2,577,641 | 2,421,827 | 2,135,596 | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$32,804,376 | \$ 37,478,252 | \$ 37,015,461 | |
| See accompanying notes to consolidated condensed financial statements | ψ <i>υ</i> 2,001,070 | Ψ 31,-110,232 | Ψ 51,015,701 | |

See accompanying notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation. (a) Outstanding shares have been restated to reflect October 1, 2008 stock dividend.

| | | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | | | | |
|--|----|--------------------|----------|-------------------|--------------|----|-----------|--|
| | | September | 30 | | September 30 | |) | |
| (Dollars in thousands except per share data)(Unaudited) | | 2008 | 2007 | | 2008 | | 2007 | |
| Interest income: | | | | | | | | |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$ | 281,648 | 413,275 | \$ | 898,743 | | 1,236,956 | |
| Interest on investment securities | | 38,733 | 44,990 | | 118,681 | | 146,365 | |
| Interest on loans held for sale | | 29,078 | 66,570 | | 141,732 | | 191,338 | |
| Interest on trading securities | | 27,586 | 41,898 | | 93,665 | | 132,530 | |
| Interest on other earning assets | | 6,198 | 16,002 | | 22,350 | | 53,634 | |
| Total interest income | | 383,243 | 582,735 | | 1,275,171 | | 1,760,823 | |
| Interest expense: | | | | | | | | |
| Interest on deposits: | | | | | | | | |
| Savings | | 16,707 | 29,140 | | 60,957 | | 85,090 | |
| Time deposits | | 22,174 | 34,745 | | 79,216 | | 101,337 | |
| Other interest-bearing deposits | | 3,478 | 6,179 | | 12,940 | | 19,876 | |
| Certificates of deposit \$100,000 and more | | 15,123 | 92,557 | | 63,552 | | 309,463 | |
| Interest on trading liabilities | | 8,304 | 10,295 | | 27,319 | | 40,928 | |
| Interest on short-term borrowings | | 47,192 | 75,114 | | 166,666 | | 211,210 | |
| Interest on long-term debt | | 47,118 | 96,901 | | 174,387 | | 278,264 | |
| Total interest expense | | 160,096 | 344,931 | | 585,037 | | 1,046,168 | |
| Net interest income | | 223,147 | 237,804 | | 690,134 | | 714,655 | |
| Provision for loan losses | | 340,000 | 43,352 | | 800,000 | | 116,246 | |
| Net interest income/(loss) after provision for loan losses | | (116,853) | 194,452 | | (109,866) | | 598,409 | |
| Noninterest income: | | | , | | | | | |
| Capital markets | | 95,954 | 63,722 | | 349,749 | | 235,889 | |
| Deposit transactions and cash management | | 45,802 | 44,863 | | 135,152 | | 127,300 | |
| Mortgage banking | | 106,817 | 39,022 | | 437,947 | | 183,419 | |
| Trust services and investment management | | 8,154 | 9,922 | | 26,146 | | 30,238 | |
| Insurance commissions | | 7,332 | 6,747 | | 22,298 | | 24,210 | |
| Gains/(losses) from loan sales and securitizations | | 3,238 | 4,774 | | (7,843) | | 24,052 | |
| Equity securities gains/(losses), net | | (210) | - | | 63,833 | | 2,967 | |
| Debt securities gains, net | | - | - | | 931 | | 6,292 | |
| Losses on divestitures | | (17,489) | - | | (18,913) | | - | |
| All other income and commissions | | 55,575 | 34,425 | | 143,995 | | 132,595 | |
| Total noninterest income | | 305,173 | 203,475 | | 1,153,295 | | 766,962 | |
| Adjusted gross income after provision for loan losses | | 188,320 | 397,927 | | 1,043,429 | | 1,365,371 | |
| Noninterest expense: | | , | , | | , , | | | |
| Employee compensation, incentives and benefits | | 215,498 | 236,683 | | 780,046 | | 741,217 | |
| Occupancy | | 27,210 | 34,778 | | 85,819 | | 96,964 | |
| Equipment rentals, depreciation and maintenance | | 12,336 | 17,270 | | 45,615 | | 56,674 | |
| Operations services | | 20,041 | 18,774 | | 58,129 | | 54,052 | |
| Communications and courier | | 9,628 | 10,959 | | 32,109 | | 33,245 | |
| Amortization of intangible assets | | 1,802 | 2,647 | | 6,424 | | 8,095 | |
| Goodwill impairment | | , <u>-</u> | 13,010 | | , <u>-</u> | | 13,010 | |
| All other expense | | 115,759 | 87,501 | | 298,252 | | 278,617 | |
| Total noninterest expense | | 402,274 | 421,622 | | 1,306,394 | | 1,281,874 | |
| Income/(loss) before income taxes | | (213,954) | (23,695) | | (262,965) | | 83,497 | |
| Provision/(benefit) for income taxes | | (88,859) | (9,330) | | (125,826) | | 5,611 | |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | | (125,095) | (14,365) | | (137,139) | | 77,886 | |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | - | 209 | | 883 | | 628 | |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ | (125,095) \$ | (14,156) | \$ | (136,256) | \$ | 78,514 | |
| Earnings/(loss) per common share (Note 8) | \$ | (.62) \$ | (.11) | \$ | (.80) | \$ | .61 | |
| | \$ | (.62) \$ | | \$ | (.80) | \$ | | |
| Diluted earnings/(loss) per common share (Note 8) | Ф | | (.11) | Ф | | Ф | .60 | |
| Weighted average common shares (Note 8) | | 201,184 | 129,917 | | 169,482 | | 129,611 | |
| Diluted average common shares (Note 8) | | 201,184 | 129,917 | | 169,482 | | 131,760 | |

Diluted average common shares (Note 8)201,184129,917See accompanying notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

| CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | Firs | t Horizon Na | tional | l Corporation |
|---|------|--------------|--------|---------------|
| (Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited) | | 2008 | | 2007 |
| Balance, January 1 | \$ | 2,135,596 | \$ | 2,462,390 |
| Adjustment to reflect change in accounting for tax benefits (FIN 48) | | - | | (862) |
| Adjustment to reflect adoption of measurement date provisions for SFAS No. 158 | | - | | 6,233 |
| Adjustment to reflect change in accounting for purchases of life insurance | | | | |
| (EITF Issue No. 06-5) | | - | | (548) |
| Adjustment to reflect adoption of measurement date provisions for SFAS No. 157 | | (12,502) | | _ |
| Adjustment to reflect change in accounting for split dollar life insurance arrangements | | | | |
| (EITF Issue No. 06-4) | | (8,530) | | - |
| | | | | |
| Net income/(loss) | | (136,256) | | 78,514 |
| Other comprehensive income/(loss): | | | | |
| Unrealized fair value adjustments, net of tax: | | | | |
| Cash flow hedges | | (6) | | (268) |
| Securities available for sale | | (2,679) | | (5,591) |
| Recognized pension and other employee benefit plans net periodic benefit costs | | 2,749 | | 4,127 |
| Comprehensive income/(loss) | | (136,192) | | 76,782 |
| Cash dividends declared | | (64,431) | | (170,620) |
| Common stock issuance (69 million shares issued at \$10 per share | | 659,659 | | - |
| net of offering costs) | | | | |
| Common stock repurchased | | (261) | | (1,099) |
| Common stock issued for: | | | | |
| Stock options and restricted stock | | 572 | | 34,243 |
| Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation arrangements | | (1,531) | | 6,261 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | | 5,262 | | 9,016 |
| Other | | - | | 31 |
| Balance, September 30 | \$ | 2,577,642 | \$ | 2,421,827 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

| CONSULI | DATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS | Nine Months End | | |
|-------------|---|----------------------|--------|------------------|
| (Dollars in | thousands)(Unaudited) | Nine Months End 2008 | iea Se | 2007 |
| | thousands)(Unaudited) | \$ (129,451) | \$ | 78,514 |
| | Net (loss)/income Adjustments to reconcile net (loss)/income to net cash provided/(used) by operat | | Ф | 78,314 |
| Activities | Provision for loan losses | 800.000 | | 116,246 |
| | (Benefit)/provision for deferred income tax | (221,764) | | 5,611 |
| | Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment | 31,995 | | 44,286 |
| | Amortization of intangible assets | 6,424 | | 8,095 |
| | Net other amortization and accretion | 34,236 | | 48,978 |
| | Decrease in derivatives, net | (33,393) | | (103,163) |
| | Market value adjustment on mortgage servicing rights | 63,769 | | 258 |
| | Provision for foreclosure reserve | 10,432 | | 4,144 |
| | Loss on divestitures | 18,913 | | 0.016 |
| | Stock-based compensation expense Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation arrangements | 5,262 1,531 | | 9,016 (6,261) |
| | Equity securities gains, net | (63,833) | | (2,967) |
| | Debt securities gains, net | (931) | | (6,292) |
| | Gains on repurchases of debt | (31,515) | | (0,2,2) |
| | Net losses on disposal of fixed assets | 23,795 | | 1,093 |
| | Net (increase)/decrease in: | , | | , |
| | Trading securities | 76,639 | | 496,092 |
| | Loans held for sale | 2,775,183 | | (26,887) |
| | Capital markets receivables | (1,127,128) | | (487,438) |
| | Interest receivable | 24,753 | | 3,466 |
| | Other assets | 122,709 | | 24,965 |
| | Net increase/(decrease) in: Capital markets payables | 1,058,760 | | 253,860 |
| | Interest payable | (38,792) | | 4,984 |
| | Other liabilities | (308,683) | | (74,502) |
| | Trading liabilities | (175,248) | | (246,897) |
| - | Total adjustments | 3,053,114 | | 66,687 |
| - | Net cash provided by operating activities | 2,923,663 | | 145,201 |
| Investing | Held to maturity securities: | | | |
| Activities | Maturities | 240 | | 29 |
| | Available for sale securities: | | | |
| | Sales | 104,940 | | 636,188 |
| | Maturities | 503,984 | | 765,601 |
| | Purchases | (348,888) | | (543,545) |
| | Premises and equipment: Purchases/(Sales) | (37,050) | | (24,194) |
| | Net decrease in securitization retained interests classified as trading securities | 43,237 | | (24,194) |
| | Net increase/(decrease) in loans | 272,115 | | (581,368) |
| | Net increase/(decrease) in interest-bearing deposits with other financial | | | (501,500) |
| | institutions | 1,876 | | (12,952) |
| | Cash payments related to divestitures | (20,518) | | - |
| | Net cash provided by investing activities | 519,936 | | 239,759 |
| Financing | Common stock: | | | |
| Activities | Exercise of stock options | 511 | | 34,450 |
| | Cash dividends paid | (64,069) | | (168,506) |
| | Repurchase of shares | (261) | | (1,099) |
| | Issuance of shares | 659,660 | | - 6 261 |
| | Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation arrangements Long-term debt: | (1,531) | | 6,261 |
| | Issuance | 25,002 | | 1,222,431 |
| | Payments | (1,354,261) | | (265,056) |
| | Cash paid for repurchase of debt | (212,260) | | - |
| | Issuance of preferred stock of subsidiary | - | | 8 |
| | Repurchase of preferred stock of subsidiary | - | | (1) |
| | Net increase/(decrease) in: | | | |
| | Deposits | (2,785,070) | | (1,577,872) |
| | Short-term borrowings | (233,805) | | 251,663 |
| | Net cash (used)/provided by financing activities | (3,966,084) | | (497,721) |
| | Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (522,485) | | (112,761) |
| - | Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 2,259,715 | Φ. | 2,146,092 |
| | Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 1,737,230 | \$ | 2,033,331 |
| | Total interest paid | 621,812 | | 1,039,828 |
| | Total income taxes paid | 183,536 | | 14,016 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

Note 1 - Financial Information

The unaudited interim consolidated condensed financial statements of First Horizon National Corporation (FHN), including its subsidiaries, have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and follow general practices within the industries in which it operates. This preparation requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates and assumptions are based on information available as of the date of the financial statements and could differ from actual results. In the opinion of management, all necessary adjustments have been made for a fair presentation of financial position and results of operations for the periods presented. The operating results for the interim 2008 periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected going forward. For further information, refer to the audited consolidated financial statements in the 2007 Annual Report to shareholders.

Investment Securities. Venture capital investments are classified as securities available for sale and are carried at fair value. Upon adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" (SFAS No. 157) on January 1, 2008, unrealized gains and losses on such securities are recognized prospectively in noninterest income. Prior to FHN's adoption of SFAS No. 157, venture capital investments were initially valued at cost based on their unmarketable nature. Subsequently, these investments were adjusted to reflect changes in valuation as a result of public offerings or other-than-temporary declines in value.

Loans Held for Sale and Securitization and Residual Interests. Loans originated or purchased for resale, together with mortgage loans previously sold which may be unilaterally called by FHN, are included in loans held for sale in the consolidated statements of condition. Effective January 1, 2008, upon adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities" (SFAS No. 159), FHN elected the fair value option on a prospective basis for almost all types of mortgage loans originated for sale purposes. Such loans are carried at fair value, with changes in the fair value of these loans recognized in the mortgage banking noninterest income section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income. For mortgage loans originated for sale for which the fair value option is elected, loan origination fees are recorded by FHN when earned and related direct loan origination costs are recognized when incurred. Interests retained from the securitization of such loans are included as a component of trading securities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition, with related cash receipts and payments classified prospectively in investing activities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows based on the purpose for which such financial assets were retained. See Note 14 – Fair Values of Assets and Liabilities for additional information.

After adoption of SFAS No. 159, FHN continued to account for all mortgage loans held for sale which were originated prior to 2008 and for mortgage loans held for sale for which fair value accounting was not elected at the lower of cost or market value. For such loans, net origination fees and costs were deferred and included in the basis of the loans in calculating gains and losses upon sale. Gains and losses realized from the sale of these assets were included in noninterest income. Interests retained from the sale of such loans are included as a component of trading securities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

Accounting Changes. Effective January 1, 2008, FHN adopted SFAS No. 159 which allows an irrevocable election to measure certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value on an instrument-by-instrument basis, with unrealized gains and losses recognized currently in earnings. Under SFAS No. 159, the fair value option may only be elected at the time of initial recognition of a financial asset or liability or upon the occurrence of certain specified events. Additionally, SFAS No. 159 provides that application of the fair value option must be based on the fair value of an entire financial asset or liability and not selected risks inherent in those assets or liabilities. SFAS No. 159 requires that assets and liabilities which are measured at fair value pursuant to the fair value option be reported in the financial statements in a manner that separates those fair values from the carrying amounts of similar assets and liabilities which are measured using another measurement attribute. SFAS No. 159 also provides expanded disclosure requirements regarding the effects of electing the fair value option on the financial statements. Upon adoption of SFAS No. 159, FHN elected the fair value option on a prospective basis for almost all types of mortgage loans originated for sale purposes. Additionally, in accordance with SFAS No. 159's amendment of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities", FHN began prospectively classifying cash flows associated with its retained interests in securitizations recognized as trading securities within investing activities in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows.

Effective January 1, 2008, FHN adopted SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 109, "Written Loan Commitments Recorded at Fair Value Through Earnings" (SAB No. 109) prospectively for derivative loan commitments issued or modified after that date. SAB No. 109 rescinds SAB No. 105's prohibition on inclusion of expected net future cash flows related to loan servicing activities in the fair value measurement of a written loan commitment. SAB No. 109 also applies to any loan commitments for which fair value accounting is elected under SFAS No. 159. FHN did not elect fair value accounting for any other loan commitments under SFAS No. 159.

The prospective application of SAB No. 109 and the prospective election to recognize substantially all new mortgage loan originations at fair value under SFAS No. 159 resulted in a positive impact of \$58.1 million on first quarter 2008 pre-tax earnings. This represents the estimated value of mortgage servicing rights included in (1) interest rate lock commitments entered into in first quarter 2008 that remained on the balance sheet at quarter end and (2) mortgage warehouse loans originated in first quarter 2008 accounted for at elected fair value which remained on the balance sheet at quarter end. Second quarter 2008 earnings were negatively impacted by \$20.9 million related to the adoption of SAB No. 109 and SFAS No. 159 as loans and commitments remaining on the balance sheet at the end of first quarter 2008 were sold. Third quarter 2008 earnings were negatively affected by \$35.2 million related to the adoption of SAB No. 109 and SFAS No. 159 as remaining loans and commitments on the balance sheet were sold either as part of the transaction with MetLife Bank, N.A. or through subsequent deliveries of the mortgage warehouse.

Effective January 1, 2008, FHN adopted SFAS No. 157 for existing fair value measurement requirements related to financial assets and liabilities as well as to non-financial assets and liabilities which are remeasured at least annually. In February 2008, the FASB staff issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-2, "Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157" (FSP FAS 157-2), which delayed the effective date of SFAS No. 157 until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, for non-financial assets and liabilities which are recognized at fair value on a non-recurring basis. SFAS No. 157 establishes a hierarchy to be used in performing measurements of fair value. Additionally, SFAS No. 157 emphasizes that fair value should be determined from the perspective of a market participant while also indicating that valuation methodologies should first reference available market data before using internally developed assumptions. SFAS No. 157 also provides expanded disclosure requirements regarding the effects of fair value measurements on the financial statements. Upon the adoption of the provisions of SFAS No. 157 for financial assets and liabilities as well as non-financial assets and liabilities remeasured at least annually on January 1, 2008, a negative after-tax cumulative-effect adjustment of \$12.5 million was made to the opening balance of undivided profits for interest rate lock commitments which FHN previously measured under the guidance of EITF 02-3, "Issues Involved in Accounting for Derivative Contracts Held for Trading Purposes and Contracts Involved in Energy Trading and Risk Management Activities" (EITF 02-3). The effect of the change in accounting for these interest rate lock commitments produced a \$15.7 million negative effect on first quarter 2008 pre-tax earnings as the \$14.2 million positive effect of delivering the loans associated with the commitments existing at the beginning of the quarter was more than offset by a negative impact of \$29.9 million for commitments remaining on the balance sheet at quarter end that was previously deferred under EITF 02-3 until delivery of the associated loans.

Second quarter 2008 earnings were positively impacted by a net of \$13.7 million related to the adoption of SFAS No. 157 as (1) FHN continued to deliver loans that had been commitments upon adoption of SFAS No. 157, (2) some commitments existing at March 31, 2008 were delivered as loans during the second quarter and (3) additional commitments that would have been deferred under EITF 02-3 were made. Third quarter 2008 earnings were positively impacted by a net \$20.8 million as (1) FHN continued to deliver loans that had been commitments upon adoption of SFAS No. 157, (2) some commitments existing at June 30, 2008 were delivered as loans during the third quarter and (3) additional commitments that would have been deferred under EITF 02-3 were made. Substantially all commitments existing at August 31, 2008 were sold to MetLife Bank, N. A. FHN continues to assess the financial impacts of applying the provisions of SFAS No. 157 to non-financial assets and liabilities which are recognized at fair value on a non-recurring basis.

In October 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-3, "Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset is Not Active" (FSP FAS 157-3). FSP FAS 157-3 clarifies the application of SFAS No. 157 in a market that is not active and provides an example to illustrate key considerations in determining the fair value of a financial asset when the market for that asset is not active. FSP FAS 157-3 was effective upon issuance, including prior periods for which financial statements had not been issued. FHN applied the guidance of FSP FAS 157-3 in its fair value measurements as of September 30, 2008 and the effects of adoption were not material.

Effective January 1, 2008, FHN adopted FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-1, "Application of FASB Statement No. 157 to FASB Statement No. 13 and Other Accounting Pronouncements That Address Fair Value Measurements for Purposes of Lease Classification or Measurement under Statement 13" (FSP FAS 157-1), which amends SFAS No. 157 to exclude Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 13, "Accounting for Leases" (SFAS No. 13), and other accounting pronouncements that address fair value measurements for purposes of lease classification or measurement under SFAS No. 13 from its scope. The adoption of FSP FAS 157-1 had no effect on FHN's statement of condition or results of operations.

Effective January 1, 2008, FHN adopted EITF Issue No. 06-4, "Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements" (EITF 06-4). EITF 06-4 requires that a liability be recognized for contracts written to employees which provide future postretirement benefits that are covered by endorsement split-dollar life insurance arrangements because

such obligations are not considered to be effectively settled upon entering into the related insurance arrangements. FHN recognized a decrease to undivided profits of \$8.5 million, net of tax, upon adoption of EITF 06-4.

Effective January 1, 2008, FHN adopted FASB Staff Position No. FIN 39-1, "Amendment of FASB Interpretation No. 39" (FSP FIN 39-1). FSP FIN 39-1 permits the offsetting of fair value amounts recognized for the right to reclaim cash collateral or the obligation to return cash collateral against fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments executed with the same counterparty under the same master netting arrangement. Upon adoption of FSP FIN 39-1, entities were permitted to change their previous accounting policy election to offset or not offset fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments under master netting arrangements. FSP FIN 39-1 requires additional disclosures for derivatives and collateral associated with master netting arrangements, including the separate disclosure of amounts recognized for the right to reclaim cash collateral or the obligation to return cash collateral under master netting arrangements as of the end of each reporting period for entities that made an accounting policy decision to not offset fair value amounts. FHN retained its previous accounting policy election to not offset fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments under master netting arrangements upon adoption of FSP FIN 39-1.

FHN also adopted FASB Statement 133 Implementation Issue No. E23, "Issues Involving the Application of the Shortcut Method under Paragraph 68" (DIG E23) as of January 1, 2008, for hedging relationships designated on or after such date. DIG E23 amends SFAS No. 133 to explicitly permit use of the shortcut method for hedging relationships in which an interest rate swap has a nonzero fair value at inception of the hedging relationship which is attributable solely to the existence of a bid-ask spread in the entity's principal market under SFAS No. 157. Additionally, DIG E23 allows an entity to apply the shortcut method to a qualifying fair value hedge when the hedged item has a trade date that differs from its settlement date because of generally established conventions in the marketplace in which the transaction to acquire or issue the hedged item is executed. Preexisting shortcut hedging relationships were analyzed as of DIG E23's adoption date to determine whether they complied with the revised shortcut criteria at their inception or should be dedesignated prospectively. The adoption of DIG E23 had no effect on FHN's financial position or results of operations as all of FHN's preexisting hedging relationships met the requirements of DIG E23 at their inception.

Effective January 1, 2007, FHN adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 155, "Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments" (SFAS No. 155), which permits fair value remeasurement for hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation. Additionally, SFAS No. 155 clarifies the accounting guidance for beneficial interests in securitizations. Under SFAS No. 155, all beneficial interests in a securitization require an assessment in accordance with SFAS No. 133 to determine if an embedded derivative exists within the instrument. In addition, effective January 1, 2007, FHN adopted Derivatives Implementation Group Issue B40, "Application of Paragraph 13(b) to Securitized Interests in Prepayable Financial Assets" (DIG B40). DIG B40 provides an exemption from the embedded derivative test of paragraph 13(b) of SFAS No. 133 for instruments that would otherwise require bifurcation if the test is met solely because of a prepayment feature included within the securitized interest and prepayment is not controlled by the security holder. Since FHN presents all retained interests in its proprietary securitizations as trading securities and due to the clarifying guidance of DIG B40, the impact of adopting SFAS No. 155 was immaterial to the results of operations.

Effective January 1, 2007, FHN adopted FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" (FIN 48) which provides guidance for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on the classification and disclosure of uncertain tax positions in the financial statements. Upon adoption of FIN 48, FHN recognized a cumulative effect adjustment to the beginning balance of undivided profits in the amount of \$.9 million for differences between the tax benefits recognized in the statements of condition prior to the adoption of FIN 48 and the amounts reported after adoption.

Effective January 1, 2007, FHN adopted EITF Issue No. 06-5, "Accounting for Purchases of Life Insurance—Determining the Amount That Could Be Realized in Accordance with FASB Technical Bulletin No. 85-4, Accounting for Purchases of Life Insurance" (EITF 06-5). EITF 06-5 provides that in addition to cash surrender value, the asset recognized for a life insurance contract should consider certain other provisions included in a policy's contractual terms with additional amounts being discounted if receivable beyond one year. Additionally, EITF 06-5 requires that the determination of the amount that could be realized under an insurance contract be performed at the individual policy level. FHN recognized a reduction of undivided profits in the amount of \$.5 million as a result of adopting EITF 06-5. Effective January 1, 2007, FHN elected early adoption of the final provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for

Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans—an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R)" (SFAS No. 158), which required that the annual measurement date of a plan's assets and liabilities be as of the date of the financial statements. As a result of adopting the measurement date provisions of SFAS No. 158, total equity was increased by \$6.2 million on January 1, 2007, consisting of a reduction to undivided profits of \$2.1 million and a credit to accumulated other comprehensive income of \$8.3 million.

Accounting Changes Issued but Not Currently Effective

In September 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 133-1 and FIN 45-4, "Disclosures about Credit Derivatives and Certain Guarantees: An Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 and FASB Interpretation No. 45; and Clarification of the Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 161" (FSP FAS 133-1). FSP FAS 133-1 requires sellers of credit derivatives and similar guarantee contracts to make disclosures regarding the nature, term, fair value, potential losses and recourse provisions for those contracts. FSP FAS 133-1 is effective for reporting periods ending after November 15, 2008. Since FHN is not a seller of credit derivatives or similar financial guarantees, the effect of adopting FSP FAS 133-1 will not be material to FHN.

In May 2008, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 162, "The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles" (SFAS No. 162). SFAS No. 162 identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles used in the preparation of financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the United States. As the GAAP hierarchy will reside in accounting literature established by the FASB upon adoption of SFAS No. 162, it will become explicitly and directly applicable to preparers of financial statements. SFAS No. 162 is effective 60 days following the SEC's approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board's amendments to AU Section 411, "The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles". The adoption of SFAS No. 162 will have no effect on FHN's statement of condition or results of operations.

In March 2008, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161, "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities - an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133" (SFAS No. 161). SFAS No. 161 requires enhanced disclosures related to derivatives accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 133 and reconsiders existing disclosure requirements for such derivatives and any related hedging items. The disclosures provided in SFAS No. 161 will be required for both interim and annual reporting periods. SFAS No.

161 is effective prospectively for quarterly interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. FHN is currently assessing the effects of adopting SFAS No. 161.

In February 2008, FASB Staff Position No. FAS 140-3, "Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets and Repurchase Financing Transactions" (FSP FAS 140-3), was issued. FSP FAS 140-3 permits a transferor and transfere to separately account for an initial transfer of a financial asset and a related repurchase financing that are entered into contemporaneously with, or in contemplation of, one another if certain specified conditions are met at the inception of the transaction. FSP FAS 140-3 requires that the two transactions have a valid and distinct business or economic purpose for being entered into separately and that the repurchase financing not result in the initial transferor regaining control over the previously transferred financial asset. FSP FAS 140-3 is effective prospectively for initial transfers executed in reporting periods beginning on or after November 15, 2008. The effect of adopting FSP FAS 140-3 will not be material to FHN.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141-R, "Business Combinations" (SFAS No. 141-R) and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements – an Amendment of ARB No. 51" (SFAS No. 160). SFAS No. 141-R requires that an acquirer recognize the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination, as well as any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree, at their fair values as of the acquisition date, with limited exceptions. Additionally, SFAS No. 141-R provides that an acquirer cannot specify an effective date for a business combination that is separate from the acquisition date. SFAS No. 141-R also provides that acquisition-related costs which an acquirer incurs should be expensed in the period in which the costs are incurred and the services are received. SFAS No. 160 requires that acquired assets and liabilities be measured at full fair value without consideration to ownership percentage. Under SFAS No. 160, any non-controlling interests in an acquiree should be presented as a separate component of equity rather than on a mezzanine level. Additionally, SFAS No. 160 provides that net income or loss should be reported in the consolidated income statement at its consolidated amount, with disclosure on the face of

the consolidated income statement of the amount of consolidated net income which is attributable to the parent and noncontrolling interests, respectively. SFAS No. 141-R and SFAS No. 160 are effective prospectively for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2008, with the exception of SFAS No. 160's presentation and disclosure requirements which should be retrospectively applied to all periods presented. FHN is currently assessing the financial impact of adopting SFAS No. 141-R and SFAS No. 160.

In June 2007, the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) issued Statement of Position 07-1, "Clarification of the Scope of the Audit and Accounting Guide Investment Companies and Accounting by Parent Companies and Equity Method Investors for Investments in Investment Companies" (SOP 07-1), which provides guidance for determining whether an entity is within the scope of the AICPA's Investment Companies Guide. Additionally, SOP 07-1 provides certain criteria that must be met in order for investment company accounting applied by a subsidiary or equity method investee to be retained in the financial statements of the parent company or an equity method investor. SOP 07-1 also provides expanded disclosure requirements regarding the retention of such investment company accounting in the consolidated financial statements. In May 2007, FASB Staff Position No. FIN 46(R)-7, "Application of FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) to Investment Companies" (FSP FIN 46(R)-7) was issued. FSP FIN 46(R)-7 amends FIN 46(R) to provide a permanent exception to its scope for companies within the scope of the revised Investment Companies Guide under SOP 07-1. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. SOP 07-1-1, "The Effective Date of AICPA Statement of Position 07-1" which indefinitely defers the effective date of SOP 07-1 and FSP FIN 46(R)-7.

Note 2 - Acquisitions/Divestitures

In June 2008, FHN announced that it had reached a definitive agreement with MetLife Bank, N.A. (MetLife), a wholly-owned subsidiary of MetLife, Inc., for the sale of more than 230 retail and wholesale mortgage origination offices nationwide as well as its loan origination and servicing platform. Effective August 31, 2008, the parties completed the initial settlement for MetLife's acquisition of substantially all of FHN's mortgage origination pipeline, related hedges, certain fixed assets and other associated assets. MetLife did not acquire any portion of FHN's mortgage loan warehouse. First Horizon retained its mortgage operations in and around Tennessee, continuing to originate home loans for customers in its banking market footprint. FHN also agreed with MetLife for the sale of servicing assets, and related hedges, on \$19.1 billion of first lien mortgage loans and associated custodial deposits. Additionally, FHN has entered into a subservicing agreement with MetLife for the remainder of FHN's servicing portfolio. MetLife generally paid book value for the assets and liabilities it acquired, less a purchase price reduction of approximately \$10.0 million. The assets and liabilities related to the mortgage operations divested were included in the Mortgage Banking segment and were reflected as "divestiture" on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition for the reporting period ending June 30, 2008. In third quarter 2008, FHN recognized a loss on divestiture of \$17.5 million related to this transaction which is included in the noninterest income section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income as losses on divestitures. The purchase price is subject to a post-closing true up which FHN currently expects to occur in fourth quarter 2008.

Due to efforts initiated by FHN in 2007 to improve profitability, in July 2007 management decided to pursue the sale, closure, or consolidation of 34 full-service First Horizon Bank branches in Atlanta, Baltimore, Dallas and Northern Virginia. In September 2007, it was announced that agreements for the sale of all 34 of the branches had been reached. Aggregate gains of \$15.7 million were recognized in fourth quarter 2007 from the disposition of 15 of the branches. Additionally, losses of \$1.0 million and \$0.4 million were recognized in the first and second quarters of 2008, respectively, from the disposition of the remaining First Horizon Bank branches. These transactions resulted in the transfer of certain loans, certain fixed assets (including branch locations) and assumption of all the deposit relationships of the First Horizon Bank branches that were divested. The assets and liabilities related to the First Horizon Bank branches were included in the Regional Banking segment and were reflected as "divestiture" on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition for reporting periods ending prior to June 30, 2008. The losses realized in the first and second quarters of 2008 from the disposition of First Horizon Bank branches are included in the noninterest income section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income as losses on divestitures.

In addition to the divestitures mentioned above, FHN acquires or divests assets from time to time in transactions that are considered business combinations or divestitures but are not material to FHN individually or in the aggregate.

Note 3 – Investment Securities

The following tables summarize FHN's investment securities as of September 30, 2008 and 2007:

| The following tholes summarize 1111 vs investi | On September 30, 2008 | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|------------|------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| | | Gross | Gross | | | | |
| | Amortized | Unrealized | Unrealized | Fair | | | |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Cost | Gains | Losses | Value | | | |
| Total securities held to maturity | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | | |
| Securities available for sale: | | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasuries | \$ 47,897 | \$ 134 | \$ - | \$ 48,031 | | | |
| Government agency issued MBS* | 1,247,796 | 13,408 | (340) | 1,260,864 | | | |
| Government agency issued CMO* | 1,080,662 | 14,617 | (491) | 1,094,788 | | | |
| Other U.S. government agencies* | 133,402 | 2,118 | (200) | 135,320 | | | |
| States and municipalities | 31,630 | 44 | - | 31,674 | | | |
| Other | 2,374 | - | (239) | 2,135 | | | |
| Equity** | 267,876 | 51 | - | 267,927 | | | |
| Total securities available for sale*** | \$2,811,637 | \$30,372 | \$ (1,270) | \$2,840,739 | | | |

^{*}Includes securities issued by government sponsored entities which are not backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. government.

^{***}Includes \$2.5 billion of securities pledged to secure public deposits, securities sold under agreements to repurchase and for other purposes.

| | On September 30, 2007 | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|---------|----------|--------|------------|------|-------|---------|
| | | | Gross | 3 | Gross | | | |
| | Amort | ized | Unrealiz | zed | Unrealized | l | Fair | |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Cos | st | Gains | S | Losses | | Valu | e |
| Securities held to maturity: | | | | | | | | |
| States and municipalities | \$ | 240 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 240 |
| Total securities held to maturity | \$ | 240 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 240 |
| Securities available for sale: | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasuries | \$ | 34,891 | \$ | 120 | \$ | - | \$ | 35,011 |
| Government agency issued MBS* | 1, | 343,123 | | 2,840 | (6, | 189) | 1,3 | 39,774 |
| Government agency issued CMO* | 1, | 236,325 | | 7,367 | (3,2 | 208) | 1,2 | 240,484 |
| Other U.S. government agencies* | | 225,335 | | 2,100 | (9 | 957) | 2 | 26,478 |
| States and municipalities | | 1,500 | | - | | - | | 1,500 |
| Other | | 9,070 | | 8 | | (26) | | 9,052 |
| Equity** | | 223,965 | | - | (1 | 144) | 2 | 23,821 |
| Total securities available for sale*** | \$3, | 074,209 | \$ | 12,435 | \$ (10, | 524) | \$3,0 | 76,120 |

^{*}Included securities issued by government sponsored entities which are not backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. government.

^{**}Includes FHLB and FRB stock, venture capital, money market, and cost method investments.

^{**}Included FHLB and FRB stock, venture capital, money market, and cost method investments.

^{***}Included \$2.8 billion of securities pledged to secure public deposits, securities sold under agreements to repurchase and for other purposes.

Note 3 – Investment Securities (continued)

The following tables provide information on investments within the available for sale portfolio that have unrealized losses as of September 30, 2008 and 2007:

| | On September 30, 2008 | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|
| | Less than 12 | 2 months | 12 Months | or Longer | Tota | 1 |
| | Fair | Unrealized | Fair | Unrealized | Fair | Unrealized |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Value | Losses | Value | Losses | Value | Losses |
| Government agency issued MBS | \$ 67,057 | \$ (340) \$ | - | \$ - | \$ 67,057 | \$ (340) |
| Government agency issued CMO | 81,425 | (491) | - | - | 81,425 | (491) |
| Other U.S. government agencies | - | - | 22,647 | (200) | 22,647 | (200) |
| Other | 355 | (17) | 411 | (222) | 766 | (239) |
| Total debt securities | 148,837 | (848) | 23,058 | (422) | 171,895 | (1,270) |
| Equity | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 148,837 | \$ (848) | \$ 23,058 | \$ (422) | \$ 171,895 | \$ (1,270) |

Gross unrealized losses as of September 30, 2008 were principally related to U.S. Government agencies and primarily caused by interest rate changes. FHN has reviewed these securities in accordance with its accounting policy for other-than-temporary impairments and does not consider them other- than-temporarily impaired. FHN has both the intent and ability to hold these securities for the time necessary to recover the amortized cost.

| | On September 30, 2007 | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|------------|------------|--------------|-------------|
| | Less than 12 | 2 months | 12 Months | or Longer | Tota | 1 |
| | Fair | Unrealized | Fair | Unrealized | Fair | Unrealized |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Value | Losses | Value | Losses | Value | Losses |
| Government agency issued MBS | \$ 785,791 | \$ (6,189) \$ | - | \$ - | \$ 785,791 | \$ (6,189) |
| Government agency issued CMO | 238,877 | (671) | 132,705 | (2,537) | 371,582 | (3,208) |
| Other U.S. government agencies | - | - | 24,557 | (957) | 24,557 | (957) |
| Other | 1,398 | (1) | 2,894 | (25) | 4,292 | (26) |
| Total debt securities | 1,026,066 | (6,861) | 160,156 | (3,519) | 1,186,222 | (10,380) |
| Equity | 211 | (20) | 35 | (124) | 246 | (144) |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 1,026,277 | \$ (6,881) | \$ 160,191 | \$ (3,643) | \$ 1,186,468 | \$ (10,524) |

Gross unrealized losses as of September 30, 2007 were principally related to U.S. Government agencies and primarily caused by interest rate changes. FHN reviewed these securities in accordance with its accounting policy for other-than-temporary impairments and did not consider them other- than-temporarily impaired. As of September 30, 2007, FHN had both the intent and ability to hold these securities for the time necessary to recover t he amortized cost.

Note 4 - Loans

The composition of the loan portfolio is detailed below:

| | Septe | mber 30 | December 31 | |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| (Dollars in thousands) | 2008 | 2007 | 2007 | |
| Commercial: | | | | |
| Commercial, financial and industrial | \$ 7,642,684 | \$ 6,978,643 | \$ 7,140,087 | |
| Real estate commercial | 1,492,323 | 1,326,261 | 1,294,922 | |
| Real estate construction | 2,020,455 | 2,828,545 | 2,753,475 | |
| Retail: | | | | |
| Real estate residential | 8,192,926 | 7,544,048 | 7,791,885 | |
| Real estate construction | 1,201,911 | 2,160,593 | 2,008,289 | |
| Other retail | 139,441 | 144,526 | 144,019 | |
| Credit card receivables | 194,966 | 196,967 | 204,812 | |
| Real estate loans pledged against other collateralized | | | | |
| borrowings | 717,192 | 793,421 | 766,027 | |
| Loans, net of unearned income | 21,601,898 | 21,973,004 | 22,103,516 | |
| Allowance for loan losses | 760,456 | 236,611 | 342,341 | |
| Total net loans | \$ 20,841,442 | \$ 21,736,393 | \$ 21,761,175 | |

Nonperforming loans consist of loans which management has identified as impaired, other nonaccrual loans and loans which have been restructured. On September 30, 2008 and 2007, there were no significant outstanding commitments to advance additional funds to customers whose loans had been restructured. The following table presents nonperforming loans:

| | Septen | De | ecember 31 | |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|---------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | 2008 | 2007 | | 2007 |
| Impaired loans | \$ 404,458 | \$ 93,972 | \$ | 126,612 |
| Other nonaccrual loans* | 495,518 | 114,334 | | 180,475 |
| Total nonperforming loans | \$ 899,976 | \$ 208,306 | \$ | 307,087 |

^{*} On September 30, 2008 and 2007, and on December 31, 2007, other nonaccrual loans included \$9.1 million, \$18.5 million, and \$23.8 million, respectively, of loans held for sale.

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

Generally, interest payments received on impaired loans are applied to principal. Once all principal has been received, additional payments are recognized as interest income on a cash basis. The following table presents information concerning impaired loans:

| | Three Mon Septen | | Nine Mo Septe | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Total interest on impaired loans | \$ 167 | \$ 152 | \$ 427 | \$ 646 |
| Average balance of impaired loans | 416,102 | 79,060 | 321,395 | 52,527 |

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

Activity in the allowance for loan losses related to non-impaired loans, impaired loans, and for the total allowance for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, is summarized as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Noi | n-impaired | Impaired | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-----|------------|--------------|---------------|
| Balance on December 31, 2006 | \$ | 206,292 | \$ 9,993 | \$ 216,285 |
| Provision for loan losses | | 81,630 | 34,616 | 116,246 |
| Divestitures/acquisitions/transfers | | (14,946) | - | (14,946) |
| Charge-offs | | (64,222) | (27,794) | (92,016) |
| Recoveries | | 10,088 | 954 | 11,042 |
| Net charge-offs | | (54,134) | (26,840) | (80,974) |
| Balance on September 30, 2007 | \$ | 218,842 | \$ 17,769 | \$ 236,611 |
| Balance on December 31, 2007 | \$ | 325,297 | \$ 17,044 | \$ 342,341 |
| Provision for loan losses | | 627,198 | 172,802 | 800,000 |
| Divestitures/acquisitions/transfers | | (382) | _ | (382) |
| Charge-offs | | (219,760) | (173,581) | (393,341) |
| Recoveries | | 10,392 | 1,446 | 11,838 |
| Net charge-offs | | (209,368) | (172,135) | (381,503) |
| Balance on September 30, 2008 | \$ | 742,745 | \$ 17,711 | \$ 760,456 |

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

Note 5 - Mortgage Servicing Rights

FHN recognizes all its classes of mortgage servicing rights (MSR) at fair value. Classes of MSR are determined in accordance with FHN's risk management practices and market inputs used in determining the fair value of the servicing asset. The balance of MSR included on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition represents the rights to service approximately \$65.3 billion of mortgage loans on September 30, 2008, for which a servicing right has been capitalized.

Since sales of MSR tend to occur in private transactions and the precise terms and conditions of the sales are typically not readily available, there is a limited market to refer to in determining the fair value of MSR. As such, like other participants in the mortgage banking business.

FHN relies primarily on a discounted cash flow model to estimate the fair value of its MSR. This model calculates estimated fair value of the MSR using predominant risk characteristics of MSR, such as interest rates, type of product (fixed vs. variable), age (new, seasoned, or moderate), agency type and other factors. FHN uses assumptions in the model that it believes are comparable to those used by brokers and other service providers. FHN also periodically compares its estimates of fair value and assumptions with brokers, service providers, and recent market activity and against its own experience. Due to ongoing disruptions in the mortgage market, more emphasis has been placed on third party broker price discovery and, when available, observable market trades in valuing MSR.

Following is a summary of changes in capitalized MSR as of September 30, 2008 and 2007:

| | First | Second | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|----|---------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | Liens | Liens | Н | ELOC |
| Fair value on January 1, 2007 | \$ 1,495,215 | \$ 24,091 | \$ | 14,636 |
| Addition of mortgage servicing rights | 282,341 | 11,582 | | 1,919 |
| Reductions due to loan payments | (173,323) | (7,106) | | (3,961) |
| Changes in fair value due to: | | | | |
| Changes in valuation model inputs or assumptions | (387) | 98 | | (39) |
| Reclassification to trading assets | (174,547) | - | | - |
| Other changes in fair value | (54) | 82 | | 42 |
| Fair value on September 30, 2007 | \$ 1,429,245 | \$ 28,747 | \$ | 12,597 |
| Fair value on January 1, 2008 | \$ 1,122,415 | \$ 25,832 | \$ | 11,573 |
| Addition of mortgage servicing rights | 240,676 | - | | 1,145 |
| Reductions due to loan payments | (96,137) | (4,968) | | (1,681) |
| Reductions due to sale | (436,595) | - | | - |
| Changes in fair value due to: | | | | |
| Changes in valuation model inputs or assumptions | (37,380) | (3,343) | | (2,165) |
| Other changes in fair value | (22,358) | 6 | | 1,471 |
| Fair value on September 30, 2008 | \$ 770,621 | \$ 17,527 | \$ | 10,343 |

In conjunction with capital management initiatives, FHN modified Pooling and Servicing Agreements (PSA) on its private securitizations during the second quarter of 2007 to segregate the retained yield component from the master servicing fee. The retained yield of \$174.5 million was reclassified from mortgage servicing rights to trading securities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

Note 6 - Intangible Assets

The following is a summary of intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization, included in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition:

| | | | Other tangible |
|------------------------|---------------|----|-------------------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | Goodwill | A | Assets* |
| December 31, 2006 | \$ 275,582 | \$ | 64,530 |
| Amortization expense | - | | (8,095) |
| Impairment | (13,010) | | (910) |
| Divestitures | - | | (93) |
| Additions** | 4,656 | | 3,306 |
| September 30, 2007 | \$ 267,228 | \$ | 58,738 |
| December 31, 2007 | \$ 192,408 | \$ | 56,907 |
| Amortization expense | - | | (6,424) |
| Impairment | - | | (4,034) |
| Divestitures | - | | (32) |
| Additions | - | | 470 |
| September 30, 2008 | \$ 192,408 | \$ | 46,887 |

^{*} Represents customer lists, acquired contracts, premium on purchased deposits, and covenants not to compete.

The gross carrying amount of other intangible assets subject to amortization is \$133.6 million on September 30, 2008, net of \$86.8 million of accumulated amortization. Estimated aggregate amortization expense for the remainder of 2008 is expected to be \$1.8 million and is expected to be \$6.1 million, \$5.9 million, \$5.6 million and \$4.2 million for the twelve-month periods of 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012, respectively.

The following is a summary of goodwill detailed by reportable segments for the nine months ended September 30:

| | R | legional | M | lortgage | | Capital | |
|------------------------|----|----------|----|----------|----|---------|---------------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | F | Banking | E | Banking |] | Markets | Total |
| December 31, 2006 | \$ | 94,276 | \$ | 66,240 | \$ | 115,066 | \$ 275,582 |
| Impairment | | (13,010) | | - | | - | (13,010) |
| Additions* | | - | | 4,656 | | - | 4,656 |
| September 30, 2007 | \$ | 81,266 | \$ | 70,896 | \$ | 115,066 | \$ 267,228 |
| December 31, 2007 | \$ | 77,342 | \$ | - | \$ | 115,066 | \$ 192,408 |
| September 30, 2008 | \$ | 77,342 | \$ | - | \$ | 115,066 | \$ 192,408 |

^{*} Preliminary purchase price allocations on acquisitions are based upon estimates of fair value and are subject to change.

^{**} Preliminary purchase price allocations on acquisitions are based upon estimates of fair value and are subject to change.

Note 7 - Regulatory Capital

FHN is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on FHN's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities and certain derivatives as calculated under regulatory accounting practices must be met. Capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgment by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors. Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require FHN to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of total and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets, and of Tier 1 capital to average assets (leverage). Management believes, as of September 30, 2008, that FHN met all capital adequacy requirements to which it was subject.

The actual capital amounts and ratios of FHN and FTBNA are presented in the table below. In addition, FTBNA must also calculate its capital ratios after excluding financial subsidiaries as defined by the Gramm-Leach-Biley Act of 1999. Based on this calculation FTBNA's Total Capital, Tier 1 Capital and Leverage ratios were 14.65 percent, 10.43 percent and 8.46 percent, respectively, on September 30, 2008, and were 11.78 percent, 8.12 percent and 6.81 percent, respectively, on September 30, 2007.

| | First Horizon Na Corporation | | First Tennessee National Associ | |
|--|---------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|--------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| On September 30, 2008: | | | | |
| Actual: | | | | |
| Total Capital | \$4,247,691 | 16.07% | \$4,076,009 | 15.54% |
| Tier 1 Capital | 2,933,984 | 11.10 | 2,844,757 | 10.84 |
| Leverage | 2,933,984 | 8.84 | 2,844,757 | 8.64 |
| For Capital Adequacy Purposes: | | | | |
| Total Capital | 2,114,211 ≥ | 8.00 | $2,098,531 \ge$ | 8.00 |
| Tier 1 Capital | $1,057,105 \geq$ | 4.00 | $1,049,264 \geq$ | 4.00 |
| Leverage | $1,327,049 \ge$ | 4.00 | 1,317,648 ≥ | 4.00 |
| To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions: | | | | |
| Total Capital | | | $2,623,163 \geq$ | 10.00 |
| Tier 1 Capital | | | $1,573,898 \ge$ | 6.00 |
| Leverage | | | $1,647,060 \ge$ | 5.00 |
| On September 30, 2007: | | | | |
| Actual: | | | | |
| Total Capital | \$3,988,233 | 12.85% | \$3,796,610 | 12.38% |
| Tier 1 Capital | 2,666,834 | 8.59 | 2,575,210 | 8.39 |
| Leverage | 2,666,834 | 7.12 | 2,575,210 | 6.93 |
| For Capital Adequacy Purposes: | | | | |
| Total Capital | $2,483,350 \ge$ | 8.00 | $2,454,189 \ge$ | 8.00 |
| Tier 1 Capital | $1,241,675 \geq$ | 4.00 | $1,227,094 \geq$ | 4.00 |
| Leverage | $1,498,359 \ge$ | 4.00 | $1,486,043 \ge$ | 4.00 |
| To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions: | | | | |
| Total Capital | | | $3,067,736 \geq$ | 10.00 |
| Tier 1 Capital | | | 1,840,642 \geq | 6.00 |
| Leverage | | | $1,857,554 \geq$ | 5.00 |

Note 8 - Earnings Per Share

The following table shows a reconciliation of earnings per common share to diluted earnings per common share:

| | Т | hree Mor | ıths | Ended | Nine Mon | ths | Ended |
|---|------|--------------|------|----------|-----------------|-----|---------|
| | | Septem | ber | : 30 | Septem | bei | r 30 |
| (In thousands, except per share data) | | 2008 | 20 | 007 | 2008 | | 2007 |
| Net income/(loss) from continuing operations | \$ (| 125,095) | \$ | (14,365) | \$ (137,139) | \$ | 77,886 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | - | | 209 | 883 | | 628 |
| Net income/(loss) | \$(| 125,095) | \$ | (14,156) | \$ (136,256) | \$ | 78,514 |
| Diluted average common shares | | | | | | | |
| Weighted average common shares | | 201,184 | | 129,917 | 169,482 | | 129,611 |
| Effect of dilutive securities | | · - | | - | - | | 2,149 |
| Diluted average common shares | , | 201,184 | | 129,917 | 169,482 | | 131,760 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Earnings/(loss) per common share | | | | | | | |
| Net income/(loss) from continuing operations | \$ | (.62) | \$ | (.11) | \$ (.81) | \$ | .60 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | - | | - | .01 | | .01 |
| Earnings/(loss) per common share | \$ | (.62) | \$ | (.11) | \$ (.80) | \$ | .61 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Diluted earnings/(loss) per common share | | | | | | | |
| Net income/(loss) from continuing operations | \$ | (.62) | \$ | (.11) | \$ (.81) | \$ | .59 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | - | | - | .01 | | .01 |
| Diluted earnings/(loss) per common share | \$ | (.62) | \$ | (.11) | \$ (.80) | \$ | .60 |

Due to the net loss for the three months ended September 30, 2008, and September 30, 2007, as well as the nine months ended September 30, 2008, the diluted earnings per share calculation excludes all equity awards for those periods. Stock options of 16.9 million and 18.5 million with weighted average exercise prices of \$32.46 and \$33.88 per share for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and of 17.4 million and 8.3 million with weighted average exercise prices of \$33.00 and \$39.93 per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per common share because such shares would have had an antidilutive effect on earnings per common share. Other equity awards of 1.3 million and .9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and of 1.2 million and .3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, were also excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per common share because such shares would have had an antidilutive effect on earnings per common share. Weighted average common and diluted shares have been restated to reflect the October 1, 2008 stock dividend.

Note 9 - Contingencies and Other Disclosures

Contingencies. Contingent liabilities arise in the ordinary course of business, including those related to litigation. Various claims and lawsuits are pending against FHN and its subsidiaries. Although FHN cannot predict the outcome of these lawsuits, after consulting with counsel, management is of the opinion that when resolved, these lawsuits will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial statements of FHN.

In November 2000, a complaint was filed in state court in Jackson County, Missouri against FHN's subsidiary, First Horizon Home Loans Corporation. The case generally concerned the charging of certain loan origination fees, including fees permitted by Kansas and federal law but allegedly restricted or not permitted by Missouri law, when FHN or its predecessor, McGuire Mortgage Company, made certain second-lien mortgage loans. Among other relief, plaintiffs sought a refund of fees, a repayment and forgiveness of loan interest, prejudgment interest, punitive damages, loan rescission, and attorneys' fees. As a result of mediation, FHN entered into a final settlement agreement related to the McGuire lawsuit. The settlement has received final approval by the court, the court has entered its order making the settlement final, there have been no appeals, and the time for any appeals has expired. In connection with this settlement, FHN agreed to pay, under agreed circumstances using an agreed methodology, an aggregate of up to approximately \$36 million. The period during which claims under the settlement can be made ended in 2007. Claims have been evaluated and objections made pursuant to the agreed upon challenge process. The challenge process has not yet concluded. Unchallenged claims have been paid, and as claims are paid, the reserve is reduced. At September 30, 2008, claims paid have totaled approximately \$29 million and the total reserve remaining for this matter, based on the claims received and FHN's evaluation of them to date, is approximately \$2.3 million.

The loss reserve for this matter reflects an estimate of the amount that ultimately would be paid under the settlement. The amount reserved reflects the amount and value of claims actually received by the claims deadline plus fees and expenses that the settlement requires FHN to pay, all of which together are less than the maximum amount possible under the settlement. The ultimate amount paid under the settlement agreement is not expected to be higher than the amount reserved at present, and may be lower in the event some of the claims are reduced or rejected for reasons set forth in the settlement, and in any event cannot exceed the settlement amount.

Other disclosures – Indemnification agreements and guarantees. In the ordinary course of business, FHN enters into indemnification agreements for legal proceedings against its directors and officers and standard representations and warranties for underwriting agreements, merger and acquisition agreements, loan sales, contractual commitments, and various other business transactions or arrangements. The extent of FHN's obligations under these agreements depends upon the occurrence of future events; therefore, it is not possible to estimate a maximum potential amount of payouts that could be required with such agreements.

FHN is a member of the Visa USA network. On October 3, 2007, the Visa organization of affiliated entities completed a series of global restructuring transactions to combine its affiliated operating companies, including Visa USA, under a single holding company, Visa Inc. (Visa). Upon completion of the reorganization, the members of the Visa USA network remained contingently liable for certain Visa litigation matters. Based on its proportionate membership share of Visa USA, FHN recognized a contingent liability of \$55.7 million within noninterest expense in fourth quarter 2007 related to this contingent obligation.

In March 2008, Visa completed its initial public offering (IPO). Visa funded an escrow account from IPO proceeds that will be used to make payments related to the Visa litigation matters. Upon funding of the escrow, FHN reversed \$30.0 million of the contingent liability previously recognized with a corresponding credit to noninterest expense for its proportionate share of the escrow account. A portion of FHN's Class B shares of Visa were redeemed as part of the IPO resulting in \$65.9 million of equity securities gains in first quarter 2008.

In October 2008, Visa announced that it had agreed to settle litigation with Discover Financial Services (Discover) for \$1.9 billion. \$1.7 billion of this settlement amount will be paid from the escrow account established as part of Visa's IPO. In connection with this settlement, FHN recognized additional expense of \$11.0 million within noninterest expense in third quarter 2008, increasing the contingent liability for Visa litigation matters to \$36.7 million.

After the partial share redemption in conjunction with the IPO, FHN holds approximately 2.4 million Class B shares of Visa, which are included in the Consolidated Condensed Statement of Condition at their historical cost of \$0. Transfer of these shares is restricted for a minimum of three years from the time the last covered litigation matter is disposed of with the shares ultimately being converted into Class A shares of Visa. The final conversion ratio, which was initially estimated to approximate 70 percent, will fluctuate based on the ultimate settlement of the Visa litigation matters for which FHN has a proportionate contingent obligation. Future funding of the escrow will dilute this exchange rate by an amount that is yet to be determined.

Note 9 - Contingencies and Other Disclosures (continued)

FHN services a mortgage loan portfolio of \$65.3 billion on September 30, 2008, a significant portion of which is held by GNMA, FNMA, FHLMC or private security holders. In connection with its servicing activities, FHN guarantees the receipt of the scheduled principal and interest payments on the underlying loans. In the event of customer non-performance on the loan, FHN is obligated to make the payment to the security holder. Under the terms of the servicing agreements, FHN can utilize payments received from other prepaid loans in order to make the security holder whole. In the event payments are ultimately made by FHN to satisfy this obligation, for loans sold with no recourse, all funds are recoverable from the government agency at foreclosure sale.

FHN is also subject to losses in its loan servicing portfolio due to loan foreclosures and other recourse obligations. Certain agencies have the authority to limit their repayment guarantees on foreclosed loans resulting in certain foreclosure costs being borne by servicers. In addition, FHN has exposure on all loans sold with recourse. FHN has various claims for reimbursement, repurchase obligations, and/or indemnification requests outstanding with government agencies or private investors. FHN has evaluated all of its exposure under recourse obligations based on factors, which include loan delinquency status, foreclosure expectancy rates and claims outstanding. Accordingly, FHN had an allowance for losses on the mortgage servicing portfolio of \$36.7 million and \$12.9 million on September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. FHN has sold certain mortgage loans with an agreement to repurchase the loans upon default. For the single-family residential loans, in the event of borrower nonperformance, FHN would assume losses to the extent they exceed the value of the collateral and private mortgage insurance, FHA insurance or VA guarantees. On September 30, 2008 and 2007, FHN had single-family residential loans with outstanding balances of \$83.4 million and \$104.6 million, respectively, that were serviced on a full recourse basis. On both September 30, 2008 and 2007, the outstanding principal balance of loans sold with limited recourse arrangements where some portion of the principal is at risk and serviced by FHN was \$3.3 billion. Additionally, on September 30, 2008 and 2007, \$0.7 billion and \$4.9 billion, respectively, of mortgage loans were outstanding which were sold under limited recourse arrangements where the risk is limited to interest and servicing advances.

FHN has securitized and sold HELOC and second-lien mortgages which are held by private security holders, and on September 30, 2008, the outstanding principal balance of these loans was \$219.7 million and \$57.9 million, respectively. On September 30, 2007, the outstanding principal balance of securitized and sold HELOC and second-lien mortgages was \$285.4 million and \$76.7 million, respectively. In connection with its servicing activities, FTBNA does not guarantee the receipt of the scheduled principal and interest payments on the underlying loans but does have residual interests of \$7.4 million and \$22.2 million on September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, which are available to make the security holder whole in the event of credit losses. FHN has projected expected credit losses in the valuation of the residual interest.

In conjunction with the sale of its servicing platform to MetLife, FHN entered into a three year subservicing arrangement with MetLife for the remaining portion of its servicing portfolio. As part of the subservicing agreement, FHN has agreed to a makewhole arrangement whereby if the number of loans subserviced by MetLife and the direct servicing cost per loan (determined using loans serviced on behalf of both FHN and MetLife) both fall below specified levels, FHN will make a payment to MetLife according to a contractually specified formula. The make-whole payment is subject to a cap, which is \$19.4 million if determined in the four quarters immediately following the transaction, and which declines to \$15.0 million if triggered in later periods. As part of the divestiture transaction with MetLife, FHN recognized a contingent liability of \$1.2 million representing the estimated fair value of its performance obligation under the make-whole arrangement.

Note 10 - Pension and Other Employee Benefits

Pension plan. FHN provides pension benefits to employees retiring under the provisions of a noncontributory, defined benefit pension plan. Employees of certain insurance subsidiaries are not covered by the pension plan. Pension benefits are based on years of service, average compensation near retirement and estimated social security benefits at age 65. The annual funding is based on an actuarially determined amount using the entry age cost method. The Pension Plan was closed to new participants on September 1, 2007.

FHN also maintains nonqualified pension plans for certain employees. These plans are intended to provide supplemental retirement income to the participants including situations where benefits under the pension plan have been limited under the tax code. All benefits provided under these plans are unfunded and payments to plan participants are made by FHN.

Other employee benefits. FHN provides postretirement medical insurance to full-time employees retiring under the provisions of the FHN Pension Plan. The postretirement medical plan is contributory with retiree contributions adjusted annually. The plan is based on criteria that are a combination of the employee's age and years of service and utilizes a two-step approach. For any employee retiring on or after January 1, 1995, FHN contributes a fixed amount based on years of service and age at time of retirement. FHN's postretirement benefits include prescription drug benefits. The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (the Act) introduced a prescription drug benefit under Medicare Part D as well as a federal subsidy to sponsors of retiree health care benefit plans that provide a benefit that is at least actuarially equivalent to Medicare Part D. FHN anticipates the plan to be actuarially equivalent through 2012.

Effective January 1, 2007, FHN adopted the final provisions of SFAS No. 158, which required that the annual measurement date of a plan's assets and liabilities be as of the date of the financial statements. As a result of adopting the measurement provisions of SFAS No. 158, undivided profits were reduced by \$2.1 million, net of tax, and accumulated other comprehensive income was credited by \$8.3 million, net of tax.

The components of net periodic benefit cost for the three months ended September 30 are as follows:

| | | nefits | Postretirement Benefi | | | | | |
|---|----|----------|-----------------------|----------|----|-------|----|-------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | _ | 2008 | | 2007 | | 2008 | | 2007 |
| Components of net periodic benefit cost/(benefit) | | | | | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ | 3,448 | \$ | 4,324 | \$ | 67 | \$ | 74 |
| Interest cost | | 7,432 | | 6,153 | | 560 | | 278 |
| Expected return on plan assets | | (11,621) | | (10,638) | | (436) | | (440) |
| Amortization of prior service cost/(benefit) | | 215 | | 220 | | (44) | | (44) |
| Recognized losses/(gains) | | 845 | | 2,226 | | (126) | | (177) |
| Amortization of transition obligation | | - | | - | | 247 | | 247 |
| Net periodic cost/(benefit) | \$ | 319 | \$ | 2,285 | \$ | 268 | \$ | (62) |
| FAS 88 Settlement Expense | \$ | 111 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Total FAS 87 and FAS 88 Expense (Income) | \$ | 430 | \$ | 2,285 | \$ | 268 | \$ | (62) |

The components of net periodic benefit cost for the nine months ended September 30 are as follows:

| | Pension Benefi | | | | ostretireme | ent I | Benefits |
|---|----------------|---------|----------|----|-------------|-------|----------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | 200 | 08 | 2007 | | 2008 | | 2007 |
| Components of net periodic benefit cost/(benefit) | | | | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 11 | ,862 | 12,978 | \$ | 210 | \$ | 224 |
| Interest cost | 22 | 2,117 | 18,461 | | 1,780 | | 834 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (35 | 5,204) | (31,912) | | (1,314) | | (1,322) |
| Amortization of prior service cost/(benefit) | | 648 | 660 | | (132) | | (132) |
| Recognized losses/(gains) | 1 | ,831 | 5,846 | | (242) | | (533) |
| Amortization of transition obligation | | - | - | | 741 | | 741 |
| Net periodic cost/(benefit) | \$ 1 | ,254 \$ | 6,033 | \$ | 1,043 | \$ | (188) |
| FAS 88 Settlement Expense | \$ | 826 | · - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Total FAS 87 and FAS 88 Expense (Income) | \$ 2 | 2,080 | 6,033 | \$ | 1,043 | \$ | (188) |

FHN expects to make no contributions to the pension plan or to the other employee benefit plans in 2008.

Note 11 - Business Segment Information

FHN has five business segments Regional Banking, Capital Markets, National Specialty Lending, Mortgage Banking and Corporate. The Regional Banking segment offers financial products and services, including traditional lending and deposit taking, to retail and commercial customers in Tennessee and surrounding markets. Additionally, Regional Banking provides investments, insurance, financial planning, trust services and asset management, credit card, cash management, and check clearing services. The Capital Markets segment consists of traditional capital markets securities activities, equity research, loan sales, portfolio advisory, structured finance and correspondent banking. The National Specialty Lending segment consists of traditional consumer and construction lending activities in other national markets. The Mortgage Banking segment consists of core mortgage banking elements including originations and servicing and the associated ancillary revenues related to these businesses. In August 2008, FHN completed the divestiture of certain mortgage banking operations to MetLife. FHN will continue to originate loans in and around the Tennessee banking footprint and service the remaining servicing portfolio. The Corporate segment consists of restructuring, repositioning and efficiency initiatives, gains and losses on repurchases of debt, unallocated corporate expenses, expense on subordinated debt issuances and preferred stock, bank- owned life insurance, unallocated interest income associated with excess equity, net impact of raising incremental capital, revenue and expense associated with deferred compensation plans, funds management, and venture capital. Periodically, FHN adapts its segments to reflect changes in expense allocations among segments. Previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

In first quarter 2008, FHN revised its business line segments to better align with its strategic direction, representing a focus on its regional banking franchise and capital markets business. To implement this change, the prior Retail/Commercial Banking segment was split into its major components with the national portions of consumer lending and construction lending assigned to a new National Specialty Lending segment that more appropriately reflects the ongoing wind down of these businesses. Additionally, correspondent banking was shifted from Retail/Commercial Banking to the Capital Markets segment to better represent the complementary nature of these businesses. To reflect its geographic focus, the remaining portions of the Retail/Commercial Banking segment now represent the new Regional Banking segment. All prior period information has been revised to conform to the current segment structure.

Total revenue, expense and asset levels reflect those which are specifically identifiable or which are allocated based on an internal allocation method. Because the allocations are based on internally developed assignments and allocations, they are to an extent subjective. This assignment and allocation has been consistently applied for all periods presented. The following table reflects the amounts of consolidated revenue, expense, tax, and assets for each segment for the three and nine months ended September 30:

| | | Three Months September | | Nine Months September | | |
|---|------|---------------------------|------------|--------------------------|-----------|--|
| (Dollars in thousands) | _ | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 | |
| Total Consolidated | | | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ | 223,146 \$ | 237,804 | 6 690,133 \$ | 714,655 | |
| Provision for loan losses | | 340,000 | 43,352 | 800,000 | 116,246 | |
| Noninterest income | | 305,174 | 203,475 | 1,153,296 | 766,962 | |
| Noninterest expense | | 402,274 | 421,622 | 1,306,394 | 1,281,874 | |
| Pre-tax income/(loss) | | (213,954) | (23,695) | (262,965) | 83,497 | |
| Provision/(benefit) for income taxes | | (88,859) | (9,330) | (125,826) | 5,611 | |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | | (125,095) | (14,365) | (137,139) | 77,886 | |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | - | 209 | 883 | 628 | |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ | (125,095) \$ | (14,156) § | (136,256) \$ | 78,514 | |
| Average assets | \$3. | 3,381,493 \$3 | 7,754,038 | \$ 35,555,364 \$3 | 8,487,137 | |
| Regional Banking | | | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ | 122,378 \$ | 136,935 | 363,327 \$ | 413,544 | |
| Provision for loan losses | | 58,201 | 18,523 | 222,942 | 46,798 | |
| Noninterest income | | 87,919 | 91,643 | 267,526 | 271,901 | |
| Noninterest expense | | 158,628 | 153,816 | 459,445 | 471,474 | |
| Pre-tax income/(loss) | | (6,532) | 56,239 | (51,534) | 167,173 | |
| Provision/(benefit) for income taxes | | (11,671) | 19,798 | (45,050) | 46,247 | |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | | 5,139 | 36,441 | (6,484) | 120,926 | |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | - | 209 | 883 | 628 | |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ | 5,139 \$ | 36,650 | (5,601) \$ | 121,554 | |
| Average assets | \$1 | 1,906,299 \$1 | 2,358,412 | \$12,075,967 \$1 | 2,324,946 | |

Note 11 – Business Segment Information (continued)

| | Three Months Ended September 30 2008 2007 | | | | _ | | nths Ended mber 30 | |
|---|--|---|-----------------|---|-----------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | 2008 | | 2007 | | 2008 | | 2007 |
| Capital Markets | | | | | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ | 18,992 | \$ | 14,138 | \$ | 57,134 | \$ | 38,560 |
| Provision for loan losses | | 38,451 | | 2,018 | | 72,004 | | 6,853 |
| Noninterest income | | 98,535 | | 64,484 | | 357,122 | | 248,789 |
| Noninterest expense | | 87,674 | | 73,921 | | 303,961 | | 241,020 |
| Pre-tax income/(loss) | | (8,598) | | 2,683 | | 38,291 | | 39,476 |
| Provision/(benefit) for income taxes | | (3,375) | | 900 | | 14,022 | | 14,598 |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ | (5,223) | | 1,783 | \$ | 24,269 | \$ | 24,878 |
| Average assets | \$4 | ,879,793 | \$5 | ,140,507 | \$5 | 5,359,978 | \$5 | ,795,013 |
| National Specialty Lending | | | | | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ | 45,236 | \$ | 61,218 | \$ | 153,180 | \$ | 185,202 |
| Provision for loan losses | · | 240,470 | | 22,807 | | 497,953 | | 55,038 |
| Noninterest income | | 4,181 | | 1,195 | | (9,671) | | 25,642 |
| Noninterest expense | | 23,487 | | 33,622 | | 75,308 | | 107,010 |
| Pre-tax income/(loss) | (| (214,540) | | 5,984 | | (429,752) | | 48,796 |
| Provision/(benefit) for income taxes | | (79,491) | | 1,810 | | (159,378) | | 17,676 |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ | (135,049) | \$ | 4,174 | \$ | (270,374) | \$ | 31,120 |
| Average assets | \$8 | ,259,105 | \$9 | ,773,484 | \$8 | 8,801,088 | \$9 | ,727,178 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage Banking | | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage Banking Net interest income | \$ | 22.524 | \$ | 26 939 | \$ | 84.411 | \$ | 75 917 |
| Net interest income | \$ | 22,524 2,878 | \$ | 26,939 | \$ | 84,411 7.101 | \$ | 75,917 (115) |
| Net interest income Provision for loan losses | \$ | 2,878 | \$ | 4 | \$ | 7,101 | \$ | (115) |
| Net interest income Provision for loan losses Noninterest income | \$ | 2,878 115,821 | \$ | 4 42,120 | \$ | 7,101 474,297 | \$ | (115) 193,774 |
| Net interest income Provision for loan losses Noninterest income Noninterest expense | \$ | 2,878 115,821 89,040 | \$ | 4 42,120 108,303 | | 7,101 474,297 385,645 | \$ | (115) 193,774 329,004 |
| Net interest income Provision for loan losses Noninterest income Noninterest expense Pre-tax income/(loss) | \$ | 2,878 115,821 89,040 46,427 | \$ | 4 42,120 108,303 (39,248) | | 7,101 474,297 385,645 165,962 | \$ | (115) 193,774 329,004 (59,198) |
| Net interest income Provision for loan losses Noninterest income Noninterest expense Pre-tax income/(loss) Provision/(benefit) for income taxes | \$ | 2,878 115,821 89,040 46,427 16,648 | | 4 42,120 108,303 (39,248) (13,984) | | 7,101 474,297 385,645 165,962 57,737 | | (115) 193,774 329,004 (59,198) (31,271) |
| Net interest income Provision for loan losses Noninterest income Noninterest expense Pre-tax income/(loss) | \$ | 2,878 115,821 89,040 46,427 | \$ | 4 42,120 108,303 (39,248) | \$ | 7,101 474,297 385,645 165,962 | \$ | (115) 193,774 329,004 (59,198) |
| Net interest income Provision for loan losses Noninterest income Noninterest expense Pre-tax income/(loss) Provision/(benefit) for income taxes Net income/(loss) Average assets | \$ | 2,878 115,821 89,040 46,427 16,648 29,779 | \$ | 4 42,120 108,303 (39,248) (13,984) (25,264) | \$ | 7,101 474,297 385,645 165,962 57,737 108,225 | \$ | (115) 193,774 329,004 (59,198) (31,271) (27,927) |
| Net interest income Provision for loan losses Noninterest income Noninterest expense Pre-tax income/(loss) Provision/(benefit) for income taxes Net income/(loss) Average assets Corporate | \$ \$4 | 2,878 115,821 89,040 46,427 16,648 29,779 ,891,089 | \$ \$6 | 42,120 108,303 (39,248) (13,984) (25,264) ,625,568 | \$ \$5 | 7,101 474,297 385,645 165,962 57,737 108,225 5,744,833 | \$ \$6 | (115) 193,774 329,004 (59,198) (31,271) (27,927) (,543,309 |
| Net interest income Provision for loan losses Noninterest income Noninterest expense Pre-tax income/(loss) Provision/(benefit) for income taxes Net income/(loss) Average assets Corporate Net interest income/(expense) | \$ | 2,878 115,821 89,040 46,427 16,648 29,779 | \$ \$6 | 4 42,120 108,303 (39,248) (13,984) (25,264) | \$ \$5 | 7,101 474,297 385,645 165,962 57,737 108,225 | \$ \$6 | (115) 193,774 329,004 (59,198) (31,271) (27,927) 5,543,309 |
| Net interest income Provision for loan losses Noninterest income Noninterest expense Pre-tax income/(loss) Provision/(benefit) for income taxes Net income/(loss) Average assets Corporate Net interest income/(expense) Provision for loan losses | \$ \$4 | 2,878 115,821 89,040 46,427 16,648 29,779 ,891,089 | \$ \$6 | 42,120 108,303 (39,248) (13,984) (25,264) (,625,568 | \$ \$5 | 7,101 474,297 385,645 165,962 57,737 108,225 5,744,833 | \$ \$6 | (115) 193,774 329,004 (59,198) (31,271) (27,927) 5,543,309 1,432 7,672 |
| Net interest income Provision for loan losses Noninterest income Noninterest expense Pre-tax income/(loss) Provision/(benefit) for income taxes Net income/(loss) Average assets Corporate Net interest income/(expense) Provision for loan losses Noninterest income | \$ \$4 | 2,878 115,821 89,040 46,427 16,648 29,779 ,891,089 | \$ \$6 | 42,120 108,303 (39,248) (13,984) (25,264) ,625,568 (1,426) - 4,033 | \$ \$5 | 7,101 474,297 385,645 165,962 57,737 108,225 5,744,833 32,081 - 64,022 | \$ \$6 | (115) 193,774 329,004 (59,198) (31,271) (27,927) 5,543,309 1,432 7,672 26,856 |
| Net interest income Provision for loan losses Noninterest income Noninterest expense Pre-tax income/(loss) Provision/(benefit) for income taxes Net income/(loss) Average assets Corporate Net interest income/(expense) Provision for loan losses Noninterest income Noninterest expense | \$ \$4 | 2,878 115,821 89,040 46,427 16,648 29,779 ,891,089 14,016 - (1,282) 43,445 | \$ \$6 | 42,120 108,303 (39,248) (13,984) (25,264) ,625,568 (1,426) - 4,033 51,960 | \$ \$5 \$ | 7,101 474,297 385,645 165,962 57,737 108,225 5,744,833 32,081 64,022 82,035 | \$ \$6 \$ | (115) 193,774 329,004 (59,198) (31,271) (27,927) 5,543,309 1,432 7,672 26,856 133,366 |
| Net interest income Provision for loan losses Noninterest income Noninterest expense Pre-tax income/(loss) Provision/(benefit) for income taxes Net income/(loss) Average assets Corporate Net interest income/(expense) Provision for loan losses Noninterest income Noninterest expense Pre-tax income/(loss) | \$ \$4 | 2,878 115,821 89,040 46,427 16,648 29,779 ,891,089 14,016 (1,282) 43,445 (30,711) | \$ \$6 | 42,120 108,303 (39,248) (13,984) (25,264) (625,568 (1,426) 4,033 51,960 (49,353) | \$ \$5 | 7,101 474,297 385,645 165,962 57,737 108,225 5,744,833 32,081 | \$ \$6 \$ | (115) 193,774 329,004 (59,198) (31,271) (27,927) 5,543,309 1,432 7,672 26,856 133,366 (112,750) |
| Net interest income Provision for loan losses Noninterest income Noninterest expense Pre-tax income/(loss) Provision/(benefit) for income taxes Net income/(loss) Average assets Corporate Net interest income/(expense) Provision for loan losses Noninterest income Noninterest expense Pre-tax income/(loss) Provision/(benefit) for income taxes | \$ \$4 | 2,878 115,821 89,040 46,427 16,648 29,779 ,891,089 14,016 (1,282) 43,445 (30,711) (10,970) | \$ \$6 \$ | 42,120 108,303 (39,248) (13,984) (25,264) (625,568 (1,426) 4,033 51,960 (49,353) (17,854) | \$ \$5 | 7,101 474,297 385,645 165,962 57,737 108,225 5,744,833 32,081 | \$ \$6 | (115) 193,774 329,004 (59,198) (31,271) (27,927) 5,543,309 1,432 7,672 26,856 133,366 (112,750) (41,639) |
| Net interest income Provision for loan losses Noninterest income Noninterest expense Pre-tax income/(loss) Provision/(benefit) for income taxes Net income/(loss) Average assets Corporate Net interest income/(expense) Provision for loan losses Noninterest income Noninterest expense Pre-tax income/(loss) | \$ \$4 \$ | 2,878 115,821 89,040 46,427 16,648 29,779 ,891,089 14,016 (1,282) 43,445 (30,711) | \$ \$6 | 42,120 108,303 (39,248) (13,984) (25,264) (625,568 (1,426) 4,033 51,960 (49,353) | \$ \$5 \$ | 7,101 474,297 385,645 165,962 57,737 108,225 5,744,833 32,081 | \$ \$6 \$ | (115) 193,774 329,004 (59,198) (31,271) (27,927) 5,543,309 1,432 7,672 26,856 133,366 (112,750) |

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

Note 12 - Derivatives

In the normal course of business, FHN utilizes various financial instruments, through its mortgage banking, capital markets and risk management operations, which include derivative contracts and credit-related arrangements, as part of its risk management strategy and as a means to meet customers' needs. These instruments are subject to credit and market risks in excess of the amount recorded on the balance sheet in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The contractual or notional amounts of these financial instruments do not necessarily represent credit or market risk. However, they can be used to measure the extent of involvement in various types of financial instruments. Controls and monitoring procedures for these instruments have been established and are routinely reevaluated. The Asset/Liability Committee (ALCO) monitors the usage and effectiveness of these financial instruments.

Credit risk represents the potential loss that may occur because a party to a transaction fails to perform according to the terms of the contract. The measure of credit exposure is the replacement cost of contracts with a positive fair value. FHN manages credit risk by entering into financial instrument transactions through national exchanges, primary dealers or approved counterparties, and using mutual margining agreements whenever possible to limit potential exposure. With exchange-traded contracts, the credit risk is limited to the clearinghouse used. For non-exchange traded instruments, credit risk may occur when there is a gain in the fair value of the financial instrument and the counterparty fails to perform according to the terms of the contract and/or when the collateral proves to be of insufficient value. Market risk represents the potential loss due to the decrease in the value of a financial instrument caused primarily by changes in interest rates, mortgage loan prepayment speeds or the prices of debt instruments. FHN manages market risk by establishing and monitoring limits on the types and degree of risk that may be undertaken. FHN continually measures this risk through the use of models that measure value-at-risk and earnings-at-risk.

Derivative Instruments. FHN enters into various derivative contracts both in a dealer capacity, to facilitate customer transactions, and also as a risk management tool. Where contracts have been created for customers, FHN enters into transactions with dealers to offset its risk exposure. Derivatives are also used as a risk management tool to hedge FHN's exposure to changes in interest rates or other defined market risks.

Derivative instruments are recorded on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition as other assets or other liabilities measured at fair value. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell a derivative asset or paid to transfer a derivative liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the transaction date. Fair value is determined using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. For a fair value hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument and changes in the fair value of the hedged asset or liability are recognized currently in earnings. For a cash flow hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument, to the extent that it is effective, are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income and subsequently reclassified to earnings as the hedged transaction impacts net income. Any ineffective portion of a cash flow hedge is recognized currently in earnings. For freestanding derivative instruments, changes in fair value are recognized currently in earnings. Cash flows from derivative contracts are reported as operating activities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows.

Interest rate forward contracts are over-the-counter contracts where two parties agree to purchase and sell a specific quantity of a financial instrument at a specified price, with delivery or settlement at a specified date. Futures contracts are exchange-traded contracts where two parties agree to purchase and sell a specific quantity of a financial instrument at a specific price, with delivery or settlement at a specified date. Interest rate option contracts give the purchaser the right, but not the obligation, to buy or sell a specified quantity of a financial instrument, at a specified price, during a specified period of time. Caps and floors are options that are linked to a notional principal amount and an underlying indexed interest rate. Interest rate swaps involve the exchange of interest payments at specified intervals between two parties without the exchange of any underlying principal. Swaptions are options on interest rate swaps that give the purchaser the right, but not the obligation, to enter into an interest rate swap agreement during a specified period of time.

On September 30, 2008, FHN had approximately \$32.2 million of cash receivables and \$59.0 million of cash payables related to collateral posting under master netting arrangements with derivative counterparties.

Mortgage Banking

As a result of the MetLife transaction, mortgage banking origination activity will be significantly reduced in periods after third quarter 2008 as FHN focuses on origination within its regional banking footprint. Accordingly, the following discussion of pipeline and warehouse related derivatives is primarily applicable to reporting periods occurring through the third quarter 2008.

Note 12 – Derivatives (continued)

Mortgage banking interest rate lock commitments are short-term commitments to fund mortgage loan applications in process (the pipeline) for a fixed term at a fixed price. During the term of an interest rate lock commitment, FHN has the risk that interest rates will change from the rate quoted to the borrower. FHN enters into forward sales and futures contracts as economic hedges designed to protect the value of the interest rate lock commitments from changes in value due to changes in interest rates. Under SFAS No. 133, interest rate lock commitments qualify as derivative financial instruments and as such do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment. As a result, the interest rate lock commitments are recorded at fair value with changes in fair value recorded in current earnings as gain or loss on the sale of loans in mortgage banking noninterest income. Prior to adoption of SAB No.109 fair value excluded the value of associated servicing rights. Additionally, on January 1, 2008, FHN adopted SFAS No. 157 which affected the valuation of interest rate lock commitments previously measured under the guidance of the EITF 02-03 by requiring recognition of concessions upon entry into the lock. Changes in the fair value of the derivatives that serve as economic hedges of interest rate lock commitments are also included in current earnings as a component of gain or loss on the sale of loans in mortgage banking noninterest income.

FHN's warehouse (mortgage loans held for sale) is subject to changes in fair value, due to fluctuations in interest rates from the loan closing date through the date of sale of the loan into the secondary market. Typically, the fair value of the warehouse declines in value when interest rates increase and rises in value when interest rates decrease. To mitigate this risk, FHN enters into forward sales contracts and futures contracts to provide an economic hedge against those changes in fair value on a significant portion of the warehouse. These derivatives are recorded at fair value with changes in fair value recorded in current earnings as a component of the gain or loss on the sale of loans in mortgage banking noninterest income.

FHN adopted SFAS No. 159 on January 1, 2008. As discussed below, prior to adoption of SFAS No. 159, all warehouse loans were carried at the lower of cost or market, where carrying value was adjusted for successful hedging under SFAS No. 133 and the comparison of carrying value to market was performed for aggregate loan pools. To the extent that these interest rate derivatives were designated to hedge specific similar assets in the warehouse and prospective analyses indicate that high correlation was expected, the hedged loans were considered for hedge accounting under SFAS No. 133. Anticipated correlation was determined by projecting a dollar offset relationship for each tranche based on anticipated changes in the fair value of the hedged mortgage loans and the related derivatives, in response to various interest rate shock scenarios. Hedges were reset daily and the statistical correlation was calculated using these daily data points. Retrospective hedge effectiveness was measured using the regression correlation results. FHN generally maintained a coverage ratio (the ratio of expected change in the fair value of derivatives to expected change in the fair value of hedged assets) of approximately 100 percent on warehouse loans hedged under SFAS No. 133. Effective SFAS No. 133 hedging resulted in adjustments to the recorded value of the hedged loans. These basis adjustments, as well as the change in fair value of derivatives attributable to effective hedging, were included as a component of the gain or loss on the sale of loans in mortgage banking noninterest income. Warehouse loans qualifying for SFAS No. 133 hedge accounting treatment totaled \$1.6 billion on September 30, 2007. There were no warehouse loans qualifying for SFAS No. 133 hedge accounting treatment at September 30, 2008. The balance sheet impact of the related derivatives was net liabilities of \$9.5 million on September 30, 2007. Net losses of \$14.5 million representing the ineffective portion of these fair value hedges were recognized as a component of gain or loss on sale of loans for the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

Upon adoption of SFAS No. 159, FHN elected to prospectively account for substantially all of its mortgage loan warehouse products at fair value upon origination and correspondingly discontinued the application of SFAS No. 133 hedging relationships for all new originations. FHN enters into forward sales and futures contracts to provide an economic hedge against changes in fair value on a significant portion of the warehouse.

In accordance with SFAS No. 156, FHN revalues MSR to current fair value each month. Changes in fair value are included in servicing income in mortgage banking noninterest income. FHN also enters into economic hedges of the MSR to minimize the effects of loss in value of MSR associated with increased prepayment activity that generally results from declining interest rates. In a rising interest rate environment, the value of the MSR generally will increase while the value of the hedge instruments will decline. FHN enters into interest rate contracts (including swaps, swaptions, and mortgage forward sales contracts) to hedge against the effects of changes in fair value of its MSR. Substantially all capitalized MSR are hedged for economic purposes.

FHN utilizes derivatives (including swaps, swaptions, and mortgage forward sales contracts) that change in value inversely to the movement of interest rates to protect the value of its interest-only securities as an economic hedge. Changes in the fair value of these derivatives are recognized currently in earnings in mortgage banking noninterest income as a component of servicing income.

Note 12 – Derivatives (continued)

Interest-only securities are included in trading securities with changes in fair value recognized currently in earnings in mortgage banking noninterest income as a component of servicing income.

Capital Markets

Capital Markets trades U.S. Treasury, U.S. Agency, mortgage-backed, corporate and municipal fixed income securities, and other securities principally for distribution to customers. When these securities settle on a delayed basis, they are considered forward contracts. Capital Markets also enters into interest rate contracts, including options, caps, swaps, and floors for its customers. In addition, Capital Markets enters into futures contracts to economically hedge interest rate risk associated with a portion of its securities inventory. These transactions are measured at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized currently in capital markets noninterest income. Related assets and liabilities are recorded on the balance sheet as other assets and other liabilities. Credit risk related to these transactions is controlled through credit approvals, risk control limits and ongoing monitoring procedures through the Credit Risk Management Committee.

As of September 30, 2008, Capital Markets hedged \$244.6 million of held-to-maturity trust preferred loans, which have an initial fixed rate term of five years before conversion to a floating rate. Capital Markets has entered into pay fixed, receive floating interest rate swaps to hedge the interest rate risk associated with this initial five year term. The balance sheet impact of those swaps was \$9.3 million in other liabilities on September 30, 2008. Interest paid or received for these swaps was recognized as an adjustment of the interest income of the assets whose risk is being hedged.

Interest Rate Risk Management

FHN's ALCO focuses on managing market risk by controlling and limiting earnings volatility attributable to changes in interest rates. Interest rate risk exists to the extent that interest-earning assets and liabilities have different maturity or repricing characteristics. FHN uses derivatives, including swaps, caps, options, and collars, that are designed to moderate the impact on earnings as interest rates change.

FHN's interest rate risk management policy is to use derivatives not to speculate but to hedge interest rate risk or market value of assets or liabilities. In addition, FHN has entered into certain interest rate swaps and caps as a part of a product offering to commercial customers with customer derivatives paired with offsetting market instruments that, when completed, are designed to eliminate market risk. These contracts do not qualify for hedge accounting and are measured at fair value with gains or losses included in current earnings in noninterest income.

FHN had entered into pay floating, receive fixed interest rate swaps to hedge the interest rate risk of certain large institutional certificates of deposit, totaling \$62.2 million on September 30, 2007. These swaps matured in first quarter 2008 and had been accounted for as fair value hedges under the shortcut method. The balance sheet impact of these swaps was \$.3 million in other liabilities on September 30, 2007. Interest paid or received for these swaps was recognized as an adjustment of the interest expense of the liabilities whose risk was being managed.

FHN has entered into pay floating, receive fixed interest rate swaps to hedge the interest rate risk of certain long-term debt obligations, totaling \$1.2 billion and \$1.1 billion on September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. These swaps have been accounted for as fair value hedges under the shortcut method. The balance sheet impact of these swaps was \$38.7 million in other assets on September 30, 2008, and \$3.1 million in other assets and \$10.7 million in other liabilities on September 30, 2007. Interest paid or received for these swaps was recognized as an adjustment of the interest expense of the liabilities whose risk was being managed.

FHN designates derivative transactions in hedging strategies to manage interest rate risk on subordinated debt related to its trust preferred loans. These qualify for hedge accounting under SFAS No. 133 using the long haul method. FHN has entered into pay floating, receive fixed interest rate swaps to hedge the interest rate risk of certain subordinated debt totaling \$.3 billion on September 30, 2008 and 2007. The balance sheet impact of these swaps was \$13.6 million and \$21.4 million in other liabilities on September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. There was no ineffectiveness related to these hedges. Interest paid or received for these swaps was recognized as an adjustment of the interest expense of the liabilities whose risk was being managed.

FHN had utilized an interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge of the interest payment on floating-rate bank notes with a fair value of \$100.1 million on September 30, 2007, and a maturity in first quarter 2009, which in first quarter 2008 was called early. The balance sheet impact of this swap was \$.1 million in other assets and \$82 thousand, net of tax, in other comprehensive income on September 30, 2007. There was no ineffectiveness related to this hedge.

Note 13 - Restructuring, Repositioning, and Efficiency Charges

Throughout 2007, FHN conducted a company-wide review of business practices with the goal of improving its overall profitability and productivity. In addition, during 2007 management announced its intention to sell 34 full-service First Horizon Bank branches in its national banking markets. These sales were completed in second quarter 2008. In the second half of 2007, FHN also took actions to right size First Horizon Home Loans' mortgage banking operations and to downsize FHN's national lending operations, in order to redeploy capital to higher-return businesses. As part of its strategy to reduce its national real estate portfolio, FHN announced in January 2008 that it was discontinuing national homebuilder and commercial real estate lending through its First Horizon Construction Lending offices. Additionally, FHN initiated the repositioning of First Horizon Home Loans' mortgage banking operations, which included sales of MSR in fourth quarter 2007 and the first, second and third quarters of 2008.

In June 2008, FHN announced that it had reached a definitive agreement with MetLife for the sale of more than 230 retail and wholesale mortgage origination offices nationwide as well as its loan origination and servicing platform. Effective August 31, 2008, the parties completed the initial settlement for MetLife's acquisition of substantially all of FHN's mortgage origination pipeline, related hedges, certain fixed assets and other associated assets. FHN also agreed with MetLife for the sale of servicing assets, and related hedges, on \$19.1 billion of first lien mortgage loans and associated custodial deposits. MetLife generally paid book value for the assets and liabilities it acquired, less a purchase price reduction of approximately \$10.0 million. In third quarter 2008, FHN recognized a loss on divestiture of \$17.5 million related to this transaction. The purchase price is subject to a post-closing true up which FHN currently expects to occur in fourth quarter 2008.

Net costs recognized by FHN in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 related to restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency activities were \$81.1 million. Of this amount, \$39.4 million represents exit costs that have been accounted for in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities" (SFAS No. 146)

Significant expenses year to date for 2008 resulted from the following actions:

- Expense of \$39.4 million associated with organizational and compensation changes due to right-sizing operating segments, the
 divestiture of certain First Horizon Bank branches, the divestiture of certain mortgage banking operations and consolidating
 functional areas
- Loss of \$17.5 million on the divestiture of mortgage banking operations
- Loss of \$1.4 million from the sales of certain First Horizon Bank branches
- Transaction costs of \$12.7 million from the contracted sales of mortgage servicing rights
- Expense of \$10.1 million for the writedown of certain premises and equipment, intangibles and other assets resulting from FHN's divestiture of certain mortgage operations and from the change in FHN's national banking strategy

Losses from the mortgage banking divestiture and disposition of certain First Horizon Bank branches in 2008 are included in losses/gains on divestitures in the noninterest income section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income. Transaction costs recognized in 2008 from selling mortgage servicing rights are recorded as a reduction of mortgage banking income in the noninterest income section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income. All other costs associated with the restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives implemented by management are included in the noninterest expense section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income, including severance and other employee-related costs recognized in relation to such initiatives which are recorded in employee compensation, incentives, and benefits, facilities consolidation costs and related asset impairment costs which are included in occupancy, costs associated with the impairment of premises and equipment which are included in equipment rentals, depreciation and maintenance and other costs associated with such initiatives, including professional fees, intangible asset impairment costs, and the accrual of amounts due after September 30, 2008, which are included in all other expense. Additional amounts will be recognized in fourth quarter 2008 in relation to the conclusion of the mortgage banking divestiture as well as discontinuation of national businesses. At this time the amount of these additional charges is expected to be between \$5 and \$15 million in the fourth quarter 2008.

Activity in the restructuring and repositioning liability for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 is presented in the following table, along with other restructuring and repositioning expenses recognized. All costs associated with FHN's restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives are recorded as unallocated corporate charges within the Corporate segment.

Note 13 - Restructuring, Repositioning, and Efficiency Charges (continued)

| (Dollars in thousands) | Three Months Ended September 30, 2008 | | | Three Months Ended September 30, 2007 | | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007 | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------|------------|--|------------|----------|---|--------|---|-------|-------|--------|----|--------|----|----------|
| | Charged to | | Charged to | | Charged to | | Charged to | | | | | | | | | |
| | Ex | pense | • | ability | E | xpense | | bility | Ext | ense | | bility | E | xpense | - | iability |
| Beginning Balance | \$ | - | | 17,945 | _ | - Apense | | 0,849 | | - | | 9,675 | - | - | \$ | - |
| Severance and other employee related costs | Ψ | 10,704 | | 10,704 | Ψ | 9,258 | Ψ | 9,258 | | 3,826 | | 3,826 | Ψ | 17,255 | | 17,255 |
| Facility consolidation costs | | 4,176 | | 4,176 | | 2,836 | | 2,836 | | 8,030 | | 3,030 | | 6,624 | | 6,624 |
| Other exit costs, professional fees and other | | (906) | | (906) | | 2,933 | | 2,933 | | 7,578 | | 7,578 | | 5,902 | | 5,902 |
| Total Accrued | | 13,974 | 2 | 31,919 | _ | 15,027 | 2 | 25,876 | 3 | 9,434 | 59 | 9,109 | | 29,781 | | 29,781 |
| Payments* | | _ | | 8,150 | | - | | 8,690 | | _ | | 2,154 | | - | | 12,595 |
| Accrual Reversals | | - | | 67 | | - | | 294 | | - | 3 | 3,253 | | - | | 294 |
| Restructuring & Repositioning Reserve Balance | \$ | 13,974 | \$ 2 | 23,702 | \$ | 15,027 | \$ 1 | 6,892 | \$ 3 | 9,434 | \$ 23 | 3,702 | \$ | 29,781 | \$ | 16,892 |
| Other Restructuring & Repositioning Expenses: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | \$ | - | | | \$ | - | | | \$ | - | | | \$ | 7,672 | | |
| Mortgage banking expense on servicing sales | | 656 | | | | - | | | 1 | 2,667 | | | | - | | |
| Loss on divestitures | | 17,489 | | | | - | | | 1 | 8,913 | | | | - | | |
| Impairment of premises and equipment | | 922 | | | | 3,876 | | | | 5,108 | | | | 9,035 | | |
| Impairment of intangible assets | | - | | | | 13,919 | | | | 4,030 | | | | 13,919 | | |
| Impairment of other assets | | 862 | | | | - | | | | 993 | | | | 11,733 | | |
| Total Other Restructuring & Repositioning Expense | | 19,929 | | | | 17,795 | | | 4 | 1,711 | | | | 42,359 | | |
| Total Restructuring & Repositioning Charges | \$. | 33,903 | | | \$ | 32,822 | | | \$ 8 | 1,145 | | | \$ | 72,140 | | |
| Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassi | ified | l to agre | e wi | ith curre | nt | presenta | tion | | | | | | | | | |
| | | _ | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | |

| * Includes payments related to: | Three Months Ended | Three Months Ended | Nine Months Ended | Nine Months Ended | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| | September 30, 2008 | September 30, 2007 | September 30, 2008 | September 30, 2007 | | |
| Severance and other employee related costs | \$ 8,590 | \$ 5,001 | \$ 19,483 | \$ 7,338 | | |
| Facility consolidation costs | 1,612 | 1,157 | 5,513 | 1,207 | | |
| Other exit costs, professional fees and other | (2,052) | 2,532 | 7,158 | 4,050 | | |
| | \$ 8,150 | \$ 8,690 | \$ 32,154 | \$ 12,595 | | |

Cumulative amounts incurred beginning second quarter 2007, for costs associated with FHN's restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives are presented in the following table:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Charged to Expense |
|---|--------------------|
| Severance and other employee related costs* | \$ 49,358 |
| Facility consolidation costs | 21,161 |
| Other exit costs, professional fees and other | 16,833 |
| Other Restructuring & Repositioning (Income) and Expense: | |
| Loan portfolio divestiture | 7,672 |
| Mortgage banking expense on servicing sales | 19,095 |
| Net loss on divestitures | 3,218 |
| Impairment of premises and equipment | 14,396 |
| Impairment of intangible assets | 18,160 |
| Impairment of other assets | 29,970 |
| Total Restructuring & Repositioning Charges Incurred as of September 30, 2008 | \$ 179,863 |

^{*}Includes \$1.2 million of deferred severance-related payments that will be paid after 2008.

Note 14 - Fair Values of Assets and Liabilities

Effective January 1, 2008, upon adoption of SFAS No. 159, FHN elected the fair value option on a prospective basis for almost all types of mortgage loans originated for sale purposes. FHN determined that such election reduced certain timing differences and better matched changes in the value of such loans with changes in the value of derivatives used as economic hedges for these assets. No transition adjustment was required upon adoption of SFAS No. 159 as FHN continued to account for mortgage loans held for sale which were originated prior to 2008 at the lower of cost or market value. Mortgage loans originated for sale are included in loans held for sale on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. Other interests retained in relation to residential loan sales and securitizations are included in trading securities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. Additionally, effective January 1, 2008, FHN adopted SFAS No. 157 for existing fair value measurement requirements related to financial assets and liabilities as well as to non-financial assets and liabilities which are re-measured at least annually. FSP FAS 157-2 delays the effective date of SFAS No. 157 until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, for non-financial assets and liabilities which are recognized at fair value on a non-recurring basis. Therefore, in 2008, FHN has not applied the provisions of SFAS No. 157 for non-recurring fair value measurements related to its non-financial long-lived assets under SFAS No. 144 (including real estate acquired by foreclosure) or its non-financial liabilities for exit or disposal activities initially measured at fair value under SFAS No. 146, as well as to goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis for impairment assessment purposes but are not recognized in the financial statements at fair value.

In accordance with SFAS No. 157, FHN groups its assets and liabilities measured at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which such assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value. This hierarchy requires FHN to maximize the use of observable market data, when available, and to minimize the use of unobservable inputs when determining fair value. Each fair value measurement is placed into the proper level based on the lowest level of significant input. These levels are:

- Level 1 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active markets.
- Level 2 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market.
- Level 3 Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the
 market. These unobservable assumptions reflect our own estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in
 pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques include use of option pricing models, discounted cash flow models and
 similar techniques.

For applicable periods, all divestiture-related line items in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition have been combined with the related non-divestiture line items in preparation of the disclosure tables in this footnote. The table below presents the balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis. Derivatives in an asset position are included within Other assets while derivatives in a liability position are included within Other liabilities. Derivative positions constitute the only Level 3 measurements within Other assets and Other liabilities.

Note 14 - Fair Values of Assets and Liabilities (continued)

| September 30, 2008 | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | | | |
| \$1,561,024 | \$ 2,159 | \$1,272,453 | \$ 286,412 | | | |
| 344,229 | - | 334,112 | 10,117 | | | |
| 2,690,734 | 35,800 | 2,507,114 | 147,820 | | | |
| 798,491 | - | - | 798,491 | | | |
| 341,276 | 83,133 | 257,814 | 329 | | | |
| \$5,735,754 | \$ 121,092 | \$4,371,493 | \$1,243,169 | | | |
| \$ 380,896 | \$ 114 | \$ 380,782 | \$ - | | | |
| 107,266 | - | - | 107,266 | | | |
| 150,877 | 14,328 | 136,488 | 61 | | | |
| \$ 639,039 | \$ 14,442 | \$ 517,270 | \$ 107,327 | | | |
| | \$1,561,024 344,229 2,690,734 798,491 341,276 \$5,735,754 \$380,896 107,266 150,877 | Total Level 1 \$1,561,024 \$ 2,159 344,229 - 2,690,734 35,800 798,491 - 341,276 83,133 \$5,735,754 \$ 121,092 \$ 380,896 \$ 114 107,266 - 150,877 14,328 | Total Level 1 Level 2 \$1,561,024 \$ 2,159 \$1,272,453 344,229 - 334,112 2,690,734 35,800 2,507,114 798,491 341,276 83,133 257,814 \$5,735,754 \$ 121,092 \$4,371,493 \$ 380,896 \$ 114 \$ 380,782 107,266 - - 150,877 14,328 136,488 | | | |

^{*} Derivatives are included in this category.

In third quarter 2008, FHN revised its methodology for valuing hedges of MSR and excess interest that were retained from prior securitizations. FHN now determines the fair value of the derivatives used to hedge MSR and excess interests using inputs observed in active markets for similar instruments with typical inputs including the LIBOR curve, option volatility and option skew. Previously, fair values of these derivatives were obtained through proprietary pricing models which were compared to market value quotes received from third party broker-dealers in the derivative markets. Accordingly, the applicable amounts are presented as a transfer out of net derivative assets and liabilities in the following rollforwards for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2008. The changes in Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized as follows:

| | | | 008 | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| | | | Securities | Mortgage | Net | derivative | Comn | nercial paper | | |
| | Trading Loans hel | | | servicing | ervicing assets and | | | other short- | | |
| (Dollars in thousands) | securities | for sale | for sale | rights, net | li | iabilities | term borrowings | | | |
| Balance, beginning of quarter Total net gains/(losses) for the quarter included in: | \$ 429,017 | \$ 3,71 | 2 \$ 146,871 | \$1,139,395 | \$ | 95,520 | \$ | 205,412 | | |
| Net income Other comprehensive | (19,023) | (5 | 0) (373) | (61,806) | | 92,577 | | (24,360) | | |
| income Purchases, sales, issuances | - | | - 3,900 | - | | - | | - | | |
| and settlements, net Net transfers into/out of | (123,582) | (60 | , , , , | (279,098) | | (72,158) | | (73,786) | | |
| Level 3 | _ | 7,06 | | | | (115,671) | | _ | | |
| Balance, end of quarter | \$ 286,412 | \$ 10,11 | 7 \$ 147,820 | \$ 798,491 | \$ | 268 | \$ | 107,266 | | |
| Net unrealized gains/(losses) included in net income for the quarter relating to assets and liabilities held at September 30, 2008 | \$ (34,403) | * \$ (5,76 | 0) ** \$ (304) * | *** <u>\$</u> (31,470) * | *** <u>\$</u> | 97,856** | * \$ | (24,360) | | |
| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Securities | Mortgage | Net | derivative | | nercial paper | | |
| | Trading | Loans hel | | servicing | | assets and | | other short- | | |
| (Dollars in thousands) | securities | for sale | for sale | rights, net | | iabilities | term | borrowings | | |
| Balance, beginning of year Total net gains/(losses) for the period included in: Net income | \$ 476,404 1,054 | \$ (22 | - \$159,301 1) (304) | \$1,159,820 (69,905) | \$ | 81,517 146,844 | \$ | (7,675) | | |
| Other comprehensive | 1,00 . | (| 1) (50.) | (0),000) | | 1.0,0 | | (7,070) | | |
| income Purchases, sales, issuances | - | | - (3,278) | - | | - | | - | | |
| and settlements, net Net transfers into/out of | (212,985) | (1,45 | 7) (7,899) | (291,424) | | (119,998) | | 114,941 | | |
| Level 3 | 21,939 | 11,79 | 5 - | - | | (108,095) | | - | | |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 286,412 | \$ 10,11 | 7 \$ 147,820 | \$ 798,491 | \$ | 268 | \$ | 107,266 | | |
| Net unrealized gains/(losses) included in net income for the period relating to assets and liabilities held at September 30, 2008 | \$ (48,560) | * \$ (8,40 | 1) ** \$ (304) * | *** \$ (59,668) * | *** \$ | 21,301** | * \$ | (7,675) | | |
| • ' | | | | | - | | | | | |

Three Months Ended September 30, 2008

^{*} Nine months ended September 30, 2008 includes \$12.2 million included in Capital markets noninterest income, \$25.6 million included in Mortgage banking noninterest income, and \$10.7 million included in Revenue from loan sales and securitizations; three months ended September 30, 2008 included \$12.2 million included in Capital markets noninterest income, \$21.7 million included in Mortgage banking noninterest income, and \$0.5 million in Revenue from loan sales and securitizations.

^{**} Included in Mortgage banking noninterest income.

^{***} Represents recognized gains and losses attributable to venture capital investments classified within securities available for sale that are included in Securities gains/(losses) in noninterest income.

^{****}Nine months ended September 30, 2008 includes \$49.7 million included in Mortgage banking noninterest income and \$10.0 million included in Revenue from loan sales and securitizations; three months ended September 30, 2008 includes \$31.2 million in Mortgage banking noninterest income and \$0.2 million included in Revenue from loan sales and securitizations.

Note 14 - Fair Values of Assets and Liabilities (continued)

Additionally, FHN may be required, from time to time, to measure certain other financial assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in accordance with GAAP. These adjustments to fair value usually result from the application of lower of cost or market accounting or write-downs of individual assets. For assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in the first nine months of 2008 which were still held in the balance sheet at September 30, 2008, the following table provides the level of valuation assumptions used to determine each adjustment and the carrying value of the related individual assets or portfolios at September 30, 2008.

| | | | | | Nine Months Ended |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| | Carrying va | lue at Septem | per 30, 2008 | | September 30, 2008 |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total losses |
| Loans held for sale | \$ 100,201 | \$ - | \$ 55,149 | \$ 45,052 | \$ 27,070 |
| Securities available for sale | 17 | - | 17 | - | 1,480 |
| Loans, net of unearned income** | 364,097 | - | - | 364,097 | 142,683 |
| Other assets | 119,979 | - | - | 119,979 | 14,445 |
| | | | | | \$ 185,678 |

^{*} Represents recognition of other than temporary impairment for cost method investments classified within securities available for sale.

In first quarter 2008, FHN recognized a lower of cost or market reduction in value of \$36.2 million for its warehouse of trust preferred loans, which was classified within level 3 for Loans held for sale at March 31, 2008. The determination of estimated market value for the warehouse was based on a hypothetical securitization transaction for the warehouse as a whole. FHN used observable data related to prior securitization transactions as well as changes in credit spreads in the CDO market since the most recent transaction. FHN also incorporated significant internally developed assumptions within its valuation of the warehouse, including estimated prepayments and estimated defaults. In accordance with SFAS No. 157, FHN excluded transaction costs related to the hypothetical securitization in determining fair value.

In second quarter 2008, FHN designated its trust preferred warehouse as held to maturity. Accordingly, these loans were excluded from loans held for sale in the nonrecurring measurements table as of June 30, 2008. In conjunction with the transfer of these loans to held to maturity status, FHN performed a lower of cost or market analysis on the date of transfer. This analysis was based on the pricing of market transactions involving securities similar to those held in the trust preferred warehouse with consideration given, as applicable, to any differences in characteristics of the market transactions, including issuer credit quality, call features and term. As a result of the lower of cost or market analysis, FHN determined that its existing valuation of the trust preferred warehouse was appropriate.

In first quarter 2008, FHN recognized a lower of cost or market reduction in value of \$17.0 million relating to mortgage warehouse loans. Approximately \$10.5 million was attributable to increased delinquencies or aging of loans. The market values for these loans were estimated using historical sales prices for these type loans, adjusted for incremental price concessions that a third party investor is assumed to require due to tightening credit markets and deteriorating housing prices. These assumptions were based on published information about actual and projected deteriorations in the housing market as well as changes in credit spreads. The remaining reduction in value of \$6.5 million was attributable to lower investor prices, due primarily to credit spread widening. This reduction was calculated by comparing the total fair value of loans (using the same methodology that is used for fair value option loans) to carrying value for the aggregate population of loans that were not delinquent or aged.

FHN also recognized a lower of cost or market reduction in value of \$8.3 million relating to mortgage warehouse loans during second quarter of 2008. Approximately \$7.1 million was attributable to increased repurchases and delinquencies or aging of warehouse loans; the remaining reduction in value was attributable to lower investor prices, due primarily to credit spread widening. The market values for these loans were estimated using historical sales prices for these type loans, adjusted for incremental price concessions that a third party investor is assumed to require due to tightening credit markets and deteriorating housing prices. These assumptions were based on published information about actual and projected deteriorations in the housing market as well as changes in credit spreads.

^{**} Represents carrying value of loans for which adjustments are based on the appraised value of the collateral. Writedowns on these loans are recognized as part of provision.

Note 14 - Fair Values of Assets and Liabilities (continued)

FHN also recognized a lower of cost or market reduction in value of \$1.3 million relating to mortgage warehouse loans during third quarter of 2008. This was primarily attributable to increased repurchases and delinquencies of warehouse loans with some reduction in value attributable to lower investor prices, due primarily to credit spread widening. The market values for these loans were estimated using historical sales prices for similar type loans, adjusted for incremental price concessions that a third party investor is assumed to require due to tightening credit markets and deteriorating housing prices. These assumptions were based on published information about actual and projected deteriorations in the housing market as well as changes in credit spreads.

Fair Value Option

As described above, upon adoption of SFAS No. 159, management elected fair value accounting for substantially all forms of mortgage loans originated for sale. In the second and third quarters of 2008, agreements were reached for the transfer of certain servicing assets and delivery of the servicing assets occurred. However, due to certain recourse provisions, these transactions did not qualify for sale treatment and the associated proceeds have been recognized within Commercial paper and other short term borrowings in the Consolidated Condensed Statement of Position as of September 30, 2008. Since servicing assets are recognized at fair value and since changes in the fair value of related financing liabilities will exactly mirror the change in fair value of the associated servicing assets, management elected to account for the financing liabilities at fair value under SFAS No.

159. Additionally, as the servicing assets have already been delivered to the buyer, the fair value of the financing liabilities associated with the transaction does not reflect any instrument-specific credit risk.

The following table reflects the differences between the fair value carrying amount of mortgages held for sale measured at fair value under SFAS No. 159 and the aggregate unpaid principal amount FHN is contractually entitled to receive at maturity.

| | | September 30, 2008 | | |
|---|------------|--------------------|------------------|--|
| | | | Fair value | |
| | Fair value | Aggregate | carrying amount | |
| | carrying | unpaid | less aggregate | |
| (Dollars in thousands) | amount | principal | unpaid principal | |
| Loans held for sale reported at fair value: | | | | |
| Total loans | \$ 344,229 | \$ 363,085 | \$ (18,856) | |
| Nonaccrual loans | - | - | - | |
| Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing | 1,845 | 3,410 | (1,565) | |

Assets and liabilities accounted for under SFAS No. 159 are initially measured at fair value. Gains and losses from initial measurement and subsequent changes in fair value are recognized in earnings. The change in fair value related to initial measurement and subsequent changes in fair value for mortgage loans held for sale and other short term borrowings for which FHN elected the fair value option are included in current period earnings with classification in the income statement line item shown below.

The amounts for loans held for sale includes approximately \$8.8 million and \$18.3 million of losses included in earnings for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2008, respectively, attributable to changes in instrument-specific credit risk, which was determined based on both a quality adjustment for delinquencies and the full credit and liquidity spread on the non-conforming loans.

| | Three Months Ended Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 September 30, 2008 | | | | |
|--|--|-------------|----------|--|--|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | • | , | | |
| Changes in fair value included in net income: | | | | | |
| Mortgage banking noninterest income | | | | | |
| Loans held for sale | \$ | (14,951) \$ | (20,423) | | |
| Commercial paper and other short-term borrowings | | (24,360) | (7,675) | | |
| Estimated changes in fair value due to credit risk | | (8,849) | (18,310) | | |

Interest income on mortgage loans held for sale measured at fair value is calculated based on the note rate of the loan and is recorded in the interest income section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income as interest on loans held for sale.

Note 15 – Other Events

In October 2008, FHN received preliminary approval from the U.S. Treasury Department to participate in its Capital Purchase Program (CPP), a voluntary initiative assisting U.S. financial institutions in building capital to support Treasury's plan to aid the economy by increasing financing to businesses and consumers. Participation is subject to standard terms and conditions.

First Horizon expects to issue approximately \$866 million in non-voting cumulative preferred stock to the Treasury, which would represent 3 percent of FHN's risk-weighted assets as of the end of second quarter 2008. To participate in the CPP, FHN expects to agree to certain conditions including a 5 percent annual dividend on the preferred shares for the first five years and 9 percent thereafter, issuance to the Treasury of dilution–protected 10-year warrants to purchase common stock equal in value on the issue date to 15 percent of the preferred stock investment, restrictions on common cash dividends and common share repurchases, restrictions on executive compensation, restrictions on redemption of the preferred stock during the first three years, and other items.

The preferred stock may not be redeemed for a period of three years after issuance, except with the proceeds from a Qualified Equity Offering of Tier I qualifying perpetual preferred stock or common stock. After the third anniversary of the initial investment, the preferred stock may be redeemed for par value at FHN's option.

Also in October 2008, the Board of Directors of FHN determined that the dividend payable on January 1, 2009, will be paid in shares of common stock at the rate of 1.837 percent, which means that 18.370 new dividend shares will be distributed for every 1,000 shares held on the record date of December 12, 2008. The dividend rate was determined to provide shareholders with new shares having a value of approximately \$0.20 for each share held on the record date, based on FHN's volume weighted average share price on October 16, 2008, of \$10.8876 per share. FHN currently intends to pay dividends in shares of common stock for the foreseeable future.

FHN has determined that certain historical mortgage loan sale activities resulted in the monetization of amounts to be collected from borrowers related to lender paid private mortgage insurance. However, in recording these transactions, FHN did not establish a liability for the corresponding amounts that would be remitted to private mortgage insurers over the life of the associated loans. FHN has evaluated the financial impact of not recognizing the liability at the time of sale for all quarterly and annual periods since inception of the related transactions and concluded that the impact was immaterial in each period. In third quarter 2008, FHN recorded an adjustment to recognize the cumulative impact of these amounts that resulted in a \$15.5 million negative impact to mortgage banking income, which was included in current earnings. Future payments to the applicable private mortgage insurers will be recognized as a reduction of the liability established.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

GENERAL INFORMATION

First Horizon National Corp. (NYSE: FHN) is a national financial services institution. From a small community bank chartered in 1864, FHN has grown to be one of the 30 largest bank holding companies in the United States in terms of asset size.

The 6,000 employees of FHN provide financial services to individuals and business customers through more than 200 bank locations in and around Tennessee and 14 capital markets offices in the U.S. and abroad. The corporation's two major brands – First Tennessee and FTN Financial– provide customers with a broad range of products and services including:

- Regional banking, with one of the largest market shares in Tennessee and one of the highest customer retention rates of any bank in the country
- Capital markets, one of the nation's top underwriters of U.S. government agency securities

FHN companies have been recognized as some of the nation's best employers by AARP and Working Mother magazines.

In first quarter 2008 FHN revised its business line segments to better align with its strategic direction, representing a focus on its regional banking franchise and capital markets business. To implement this change, the prior Retail/Commercial Banking segment was split into its major components with the national portions of consumer lending and construction lending assigned to a new National Specialty Lending segment that more appropriately reflects the ongoing wind down of these businesses. Additionally, correspondent banking was shifted from Retail/Commercial Banking to the Capital Markets segment to better represent the complementary nature of these businesses. To reflect its geographic focus, the remaining portions of the Retail/Commercial Banking segment now represent the new Regional Banking segment. All prior period information has been revised to conform to the current segment structure and the business line reviews below are based on the new segment presentation.

- Regional Banking offers financial products and services, including traditional lending and deposit-taking, to retail and commercial customers in Tennessee and surrounding markets. Additionally, Regional Banking provides investments, insurance, financial planning, trust services and asset management, credit card, cash management, and check clearing. On March 1, 2006, FHN sold its national merchant processing business. The continuing effects of the divestiture, which is included in the Regional Banking segment, are being accounted for as a discontinued operation.
- Capital Markets provides a broad spectrum of financial services for the investment and banking communities through the
 integration of traditional capital markets securities activities, equity research, loan sales, portfolio advisory services,
 structured finance and correspondent banking services.
- National Specialty Lending consists of traditional consumer and construction lending activities outside the regional banking footprint. In January 2008, FHN announced the discontinuation of national home builder and commercial real estate lending through its First Horizon Construction Lending offices.
- Mortgage Banking now consists of the origination of mortgage loans in and around the regional banking footprint and servicing activities related to the remaining portfolio. Historically, this division provided mortgage loans and servicing to consumers and operated in approximately 40 states. On August 31, 2008 First Horizon completed the sale of its servicing platform, origination offices outside Tennessee and \$19.1 billion of the servicing portfolio to MetLife Bank, N.A.
- Corporate consists of unallocated corporate expenses including restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives, gains and losses on repurchases of debt, expense on subordinated debt issuances and preferred stock, bank-owned life insurance, unallocated interest income associated with excess equity, net impact of raising incremental capital, revenue and expense associated with deferred compensation plans, funds management and venture capital.

For the purpose of this management discussion and analysis (MD&A), earning assets have been expressed as averages except as otherwise noted, and loans have been disclosed net of unearned income. The following is a discussion and analysis of the financial condition and results of operations of FHN for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2008, compared to the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007. To assist the reader in obtaining a better understanding of FHN and its performance, this discussion should be read in conjunction with FHN's unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements and accompanying notes appearing in this report. Additional information including the 2007 financial statements, notes, and MD&A is provided in the 2007 Annual Report.

FHN is a member of the Visa USA network. On October 3, 2007, the Visa organization of affiliated entities completed a series of global restructuring transactions to combine its affiliated operating companies, including Visa USA, under a single holding company, Visa Inc. (Visa). Upon completion of the reorganization, the members of the Visa USA network remained contingently liable for certain Visa litigation matters. On October 27, 2008, Visa announced that it had agreed to settle litigation with Discover Financial Services for \$1.9 billion. \$1.7 billion of this settlement amount will be paid from an escrow account established as part of Visa's IPO. In connection with this settlement, FHN recognized an \$11.0 million increase to its contingent liability for Visa litigation matters within noninterest expense. In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, this amount should be reflected within the results of operations for third quarter 2008. Due to the timing of Visa's announcement, the amounts included in FHN's earnings release dated October 17, 2008, and the related financial supplement and slide presentation did not reflect this adjustment. Accordingly, FHN has revised its financial statements included in this Form 10-Q for third quarter 2008 to include this adjustment.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENT

This MD&A contains forward-looking statements with respect to FHN's beliefs, plans, goals, expectations, and estimates. Forwardlooking statements are statements that are not a representation of historical information but rather are related to future operations, strategies, financial results or other developments. The words "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "estimate," "should," "is likely," "will," "going forward," and other expressions that indicate future events and trends identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are necessarily based upon estimates and assumptions that are inherently subject to significant business, operational, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond a company's control, and many of which, with respect to future business decisions and actions (including acquisitions and divestitures), are subject to change. Examples of uncertainties and contingencies include, among other important factors, general and local economic and business conditions; recession or other economic downturns, expectations of and actual timing and amount of interest rate movements, including the slope of the yield curve (which can have a significant impact on a financial services institution); market and monetary fluctuations; inflation or deflation; customer and investor responses to these conditions; the financial condition of borrowers and other counterparties; competition within and outside the financial services industry; geopolitical developments including possible terrorist activity; recent and future legislative and regulatory developments; natural disasters; effectiveness of FHN's hedging practices; technology; demand for FHN's product offerings; new products and services in the industries in which FHN operates; and critical accounting estimates. Other factors are those inherent in originating, selling and servicing loans including prepayment risks, pricing concessions, fluctuation in U.S. housing prices, fluctuation of collateral values, and changes in customer profiles. Additionally, the actions of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Federal Reserve), Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA), and other regulators; regulatory and judicial proceedings and changes in laws and regulations applicable to FHN; and FHN's success in executing its business plans and strategies and managing the risks involved in the foregoing, could cause actual results to differ. FHN assumes no obligation to update any forward-looking statements that are made from time to time. Actual results could differ because of several factors, including those presented in this Forward-Looking Statements section, in other sections of this MD&A, and other parts of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2008.

FINANCIAL SUMMARY (Comparison of Third Quarter 2008 to Third Quarter 2007)

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

For the third quarter 2008, FHN reported a net loss of \$125.1 million or \$.62 per diluted share compared to a net loss of \$14.2 million or \$.11 per diluted share for the third quarter 2007. The third quarter 2008 was impacted by several items including increased provisioning for loan losses, costs associated with the company's restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives, a settlement loss related to Visa legal matters and gains related to the repurchase of debt. Provisioning for loan losses increased by \$296.6 million from the third quarter 2007 to \$340.0 million in the current quarter as loan loss reserves grew from 1.08 percent of total loans in the third quarter 2007 to 3.52 percent in the third quarter 2008. FHN recognized gains of \$18.9 million related to the repurchase of debt and incurred net charges of \$33.9 million in the third quarter 2008 from restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives compared to \$32.8 million in the third quarter 2007.

National Specialty Lending, Regional Banking, Mortgage Banking and Capital Markets were all impacted by increased provisioning in the third quarter 2008 as FHN continued to actively manage the credit risk within its loan portfolios. Capital Markets fixed income sales generated \$80.1 million of revenues compared to \$46.0 million in the third quarter of 2007 as the Federal Reserve's aggressive rate cuts in the first half of 2008 produced a steeper yield curve. Mortgage Banking pre-tax income was \$46.4 million in the third quarter 2008 compared to a pre-tax loss of \$39.2 million in the third quarter 2007 as origination income was positively impacted by higher gain on sale margins and servicing income was favorably impacted by hedging activities as compared to 2007.

FHN improved its capital position in the third quarter 2008 as a result of a public offering of 69 million shares of common stock in the second quarter 2008 and balance sheet contraction throughout 2008. Key ratios were 7.18 percent for tangible common equity to tangible assets, 11.10 percent for Tier I and 16.07 percent for total capital as of September 30, 2008. Corporate net interest margin improved to 3.01 percent for the third quarter 2008 compared to 2.87 percent for the third quarter 2007.

Return on average shareholders' equity and return on average assets were (18.30) percent and (1.49) percent, respectively, for the third quarter 2008 compared to (2.31) percent and (.15) percent respectively, for the third quarter 2007. Total assets were \$32.8 billion and shareholders' equity was \$2.6 billion on September 30, 2008, as compared to \$37.5 billion and \$2.4 billion, respectively, on September 30, 2007.

BUSINESS LINE REVIEW

Regional Banking

The pre-tax loss for Regional Banking was \$6.5 million for the third quarter 2008 compared to pre-tax income of \$56.2 million for third quarter 2007. Total revenues for Regional Banking were \$210.3 million for third quarter 2008 compared to \$228.6 million for the third quarter 2007.

Net interest income was \$122.4 million in the third quarter 2008 compared to \$136.9 million in the third quarter 2007. Regional Banking net interest margin was 4.43 percent in the third quarter 2008 compared to 4.85 percent in the third quarter 2007. This compression resulted from narrowing spreads on deposits as interest rates declined in the first half of 2008 and competition for deposits increased.

Noninterest income decreased slightly to \$87.9 million in the third quarter 2008 as compared to \$91.6 million in the third quarter 2007. The decrease was primarily driven by a decline in trust income of \$1.8 million as the value of assets under management declined due to economic conditions.

Provision for loan losses increased to \$58.2 million in the third quarter 2008 from \$18.5 million for the third quarter 2007. This increase was primarily a result of deterioration in commercial and home equity loan portfolios compared to a year ago.

Noninterest expense increased to \$158.6 million in the third quarter 2008 from \$153.8 million for the third quarter 2007. Declines of \$4.4 million in personnel expense were more than offset by increases in advertising, foreclosure losses, expense for off balance sheet commitments and low income housing corporation expenses.

Capital Markets

Capital Markets pre-tax loss was \$8.6 million in the third quarter 2008 as compared to pre-tax income of \$2.7 million in the third quarter 2007. Total revenues for Capital Markets were \$117.5 million in the third quarter 2008 as compared to \$78.6 million in the third quarter 2007.

Net interest income was \$19.0 million in the third quarter 2008 as compared to \$14.1 million in the third quarter 2007. This increase is primarily attributable to a steeper yield curve and the effect of increases in the average trust preferred loan balance.

Income from fixed income sales increased to \$80.1 million in the third quarter 2008 from \$46.0 million in the third quarter 2007, reflecting an increase in activity during the third quarter 2008 as the Federal Reserve aggressively lowered rates in the first half of 2008 resulting in a steeper yield curve as compared to last year. Other product revenues remained flat at \$18.4 million in the third quarter 2008 compared to \$18.5 million in the third quarter 2007.

Provision for loan losses increased to \$38.5 million from \$2.0 million to reflect deterioration of correspondent banking loans from current stress in the financial system.

Noninterest expense was \$87.7 million in the third quarter 2008 as compared to \$73.9 million in the third quarter 2007. This increase is a result of higher production levels during the third quarter 2008.

National Specialty Lending

National Specialty Lending had a pre-tax loss of \$214.5 million in the third quarter 2008 as compared to a pre-tax income of \$6.0 million in the third quarter 2007. The pre-tax loss in 2008 is primarily a result of an increase in the provision for loan losses to \$240.5 million in the third quarter 2008 as compared to \$22.8 million in the third quarter 2007 due to deterioration in the national construction lending portfolios.

Net interest income declined to \$45.2 million in the third quarter 2008 as compared to \$61.2 million for the third quarter 2007, as a result of the increase in non-accrual construction loans and continued contraction of loan portfolios from the wind-down of operations. Noninterest income was \$4.2 million for the third quarter 2008 compared to \$1.2 million for the third quarter 2007. A reduction in charges taken in 2007 related to declines in residual values of prior securitizations and LOCOM adjustments were partially offset by decreases in originations, MSR fair value, and gain on loan sales.

Noninterest expense was \$23.5 million in the third quarter 2008 as compared to \$33.6 million for the third quarter 2007. Noninterest expense declined principally due to lower personnel costs related to the business wind-down initiated during first quarter 2008.

Mortgage Banking

Effective August 31, 2008, FHN completed the sale of Mortgage Banking's servicing operations, origination offices outside of Tennessee and \$19.1 billion of servicing to MetLife Bank, N.A. As a result of this transaction, all components of origination activity for third quarter 2008 are significantly lower when compared to third quarter 2007.

Mortgage Banking had pre-tax income of \$46.4 million in the third quarter 2008 as compared to a pre-tax loss of \$39.2 million in the third quarter 2007. Total revenues for Mortgage Banking were \$138.3 million for the third quarter 2008 as compared to \$69.1 million for the third quarter 2007.

Net interest income was \$22.5 million in the third quarter 2008 as compared to \$26.9 million in the third quarter 2007 as the size of the mortgage warehouse decreased due to the sale of national origination offices and the warehouse spread increased to 3.83 percent in the current quarter compared to 1.34 percent in third quarter 2007. Provision for loan losses was \$2.9 million in the third quarter 2008 reflecting deterioration of permanent mortgages in the portfolio.

Noninterest income was \$115.8 million in the third quarter 2008 as compared to \$42.1 million in the third quarter 2007. Noninterest income consists primarily of mortgage banking-related revenue from the origination and sale of mortgage loans, fees from mortgage servicing and changes in fair value of mortgage servicing rights (MSR) net of hedge gains or losses.

Net origination income increased to \$19.8 million in the third quarter 2008 from a loss of \$17.5 million in the third quarter 2007 primarily due to increased margin on deliveries to the secondary market and an increase of \$6.7 million attributable to adopting accounting standards in the first quarter 2008. Gross origination fees decreased by \$33.0 million as mortgage banking origination operations were sold to MetLife on August 31, 2008. Gain on loan sale deliveries increased \$35.0 million as margins increased to 21 bps in the third quarter 2008 compared to negative 33 bps in 2007. Gains in 2008 were negatively impacted by a \$15.5 million adjustment to reflect revised cash flow expectations related to mortgage origination activity while 2007 was impacted by credit market disruptions which negatively affected pricing and dealer concessions. See Note 15 – Other Events for a detailed discussion of the liability adjustment.

Net servicing income increased to \$80.6 million in the third quarter 2008 from \$49.7 million in 2007. Service fees decreased by \$26.8 million to \$49.9 million in the third quarter 2008 as the servicing portfolio declined to \$65.3 billion from \$108.4 billion in 2007. Servicing hedging activities positively impacted net revenues by \$50.8 million in the third quarter as compared to \$22.0 million in the third quarter 2007, primarily resulting from Federal Reserve interest rate decreases creating a steeper yield curve in 2008. The decrease in MSR value due to runoff was \$20.1 million in the third quarter 2008 as compared to \$49.0 million in the third quarter 2007.

Noninterest expense was \$89.0 million in the third quarter 2008 as compared to \$108.3 million in third quarter 2007. The decrease in the third quarter of 2008 was primarily the result of the divestiture of certain mortgage banking operations offset by a \$21.1 million effect of no longer deferring origination costs on warehouse loans accounted for at elected fair value. This amount is offset by a corresponding increase in origination income.

Corporate

The Corporate segment's results yielded a pre-tax loss of \$30.7 million in the third quarter 2008 compared to a pre-tax loss of \$49.4 million in the third quarter 2007. Net interest income increased to \$14.0 million compared to net interest expense of \$1.4 million in the third quarter 2007 as proceeds from the common stock issuance reduced the need for higher cost short term funding. Results for the third quarter 2008 include \$33.9 million of net charges associated with implementation of restructuring, repositioning and efficiency initiatives compared to \$32.8 million of net charges in the third quarter 2007. See discussion of the restructuring, repositioning and efficiency initiatives below for further details. In the third quarter 2008, gains of \$18.9 million related to the repurchase of debt were partially offset by an \$11.0 million loss related to certain Visa legal settlement matters.

RESTRUCTURING, REPOSITIONING, AND EFFICIENCY INITIATIVES

Beginning in 2007, FHN conducted a company-wide review of business practices with the goal of improving its overall profitability and productivity. In addition, during 2007 management announced its intention to sell 34 full-service First Horizon Bank branches in its national banking markets. These sales were finalized in second quarter 2008. In the second half of 2007, FHN also took actions to right-size First Horizon Home Loans' mortgage banking operations and to downsize FHN's national lending operations, in order to redeploy capital to higher-return businesses. As part of its strategy to reduce its national real estate portfolio, FHN announced in January 2008 that it was discontinuing national homebuilder and commercial real estate lending through its First Horizon Construction Lending offices. Additionally, FHN initiated the repositioning of First Horizon Home Loans' mortgage banking operations, which included sales of MSR in fourth quarter 2007 and the first, second, and third quarters of 2008.

In June 2008, FHN announced that it had reached a definitive agreement with MetLife for the sale of more than 230 retail and wholesale mortgage origination offices nationwide as well as its loan origination and servicing platform. Effective August 31, 2008, the parties completed the initial settlement for MetLife's acquisition of substantially all of FHN's mortgage origination pipeline, related hedges, certain fixed assets and other associated assets. FHN also agreed with MetLife for the sale of servicing assets, and related hedges, on \$19.1 billion of first lien mortgage loans and associated custodial deposits. MetLife generally paid book value for the assets and liabilities it acquired, less a purchase price reduction of approximately \$10.0 million. In third quarter 2008, FHN recognized a loss on divestiture of \$17.5 million related to this transaction. The purchase price is subject to a post-closing true up which FHN currently expects to occur in fourth quarter 2008.

Net costs recognized by FHN in the nine months ended September 30, 2008, related to restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency activities were \$81.1 million compared to \$72.1 million for the first nine months of 2007. Included in noninterest income are \$12.7 million of transaction costs related to contracted loan servicing sales and \$18.9 million of losses related to the Mortgage divestiture and First Horizon Bank branch sales. All other costs incurred in relation to the restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives implemented by management are included in noninterest expense. All costs associated with FHN's restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives are recorded as unallocated corporate charges within the Corporate segment. Significant expenses for year to date 2008 resulted from the following actions:

- Expense of \$39.4 million associated with organizational and compensation changes due to right-sizing operating segments, the divestiture of certain mortgage banking operations and First Horizon Bank branches, and consolidating functional areas
- Loss of \$17.5 million on the divestiture of certain mortgage banking operations
- Loss of \$1.4 million from the sales of certain First Horizon Bank branches
- Transaction costs of \$12.7 million from the contracted sales of mortgage servicing rights
- Expense of \$10.1 million for the write-down of certain premises and equipment, intangibles and other assets resulting from FHN's divestiture of certain mortgage operations and from the change in FHN's national banking strategy

Settlement of the obligations arising from current initiatives will be funded from operating cash flows. The effect of suspending depreciation on assets held for sale was immaterial to FHN's results of operations for all periods. As a result of the change in FHN's national banking strategy, a write-down of other intangibles of \$2.4 million was recognized in first quarter 2008 related to certain banking licenses. As part of the divestiture of certain mortgage banking assets, an impairment of \$1.7 million was recognized in second quarter 2008 related to noncompete agreements. The recognition of these impairment losses will have no effect on FHN's debt covenants. The impairment loss related to such intangible assets was recorded as an unallocated corporate charge within the Corporate segment and is included in all other expense on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income. As a result of the restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives implemented to date by management, the effects of \$175 million in aggregate annual pre-tax improvements are being experienced by FHN beginning in its first quarter 2008 run-rate. An additional \$70 million in annual profitability improvements is anticipated to be experienced by the end of 2008 in relation to the First Horizon Bank branch divestitures and the restructuring of mortgage operations and national lending operations. Due to the broad nature of the actions being taken, all components of income and expense will be affected. Additional amounts will likely be recognized in 2008 for adjustments related to the mortgage banking divestiture as well as the discontinuance of national businesses. At this time, the amount of these additional charges is expected to be between \$5 and \$15 million in the fourth quarter 2008.

Charges related to restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2008, and 2007 are presented in the following table based on the income statement line item affected. See Note 13 – Restructuring, Repositioning, and Efficiency Charges and Note 2 – Acquisitions/Divestitures for additional information.

Table 1 - Charges for Restructuring, Repositioning, and Efficiency Initiatives

| | Three Months Ended September 30 2008 | | Three Months Ended September 30 | | Nine Months Ended September 30 2008 | | Nine Months Ended September 30 2007 | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|----------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | | |
| Noninterest income: | | | | | | | | - |
| Mortgage banking | \$ | (656) | \$ | - | \$ | (12,667) | \$ | - |
| Losses on divestitures | | (17,489) | | - | | (18,913) | | |
| Total noninterest income | \$ | (18,145) | \$ | - | \$ | (31,580) | \$ | - |
| Provision for loan losses | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 7,672 |
| Noninterest expense: | | | | | | | | |
| Employee compensation, incentives and | | | | | | | | |
| benefits | | 10,704 | | 9,269 | | 23,845 | | 17,266 |
| Occupancy | | 3,960 | | 5,074 | | 8,279 | | 8,800 |
| Equipment rentals, depreciation and | | | | | | | | |
| maintenance | | 76 | | 846 | | 4,257 | | 6,067 |
| Operations services | | (1) | | 25 | | 1 | | 25 |
| Communications and courier | | - | | 27 | | 42 | | 27 |
| Goodwill impairment | | - | | 13,010 | | - | | 13,010 |
| All other expense | | 1,019 | | 4,571 | | 13,141 | | 19,273 |
| Total noninterest expense | | 15,758 | | 32,822 | | 49,565 | | 64,468 |
| Loss before income taxes | \$ | (33,903) | \$ | (32,822) | \$ | (81,145) | \$ | (72,140) |

Activity in the restructuring and repositioning liability for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 is presented in the following table:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Liability |
|---|-----------|
| Beginning Balance | \$ 19,675 |
| Severance and other employee related costs | 23,826 |
| Facility consolidation costs | 8,030 |
| Other exit costs, professional fees and other | 7,578_ |
| Total Accrued | 59,109 |
| Payments* | 32,154 |
| Accrual Reversals | 3,253 |
| Restructuring and Repositioning Reserve Balance | \$ 23,702 |

| * Includes payments related to: | Nine Months Ended |
|---|---------------------------|
| | <u>September 30, 2008</u> |
| Severance and other employee related costs | \$ 19,483 |
| Facility consolidation costs | 5,513 |
| Other exit costs, professional fees and other | 7,158 |
| | \$ 32,154 |

INCOME STATEMENT

Total revenues (net interest income and noninterest income) were \$528.3 million in the third quarter 2008 compared to \$441.2 million in 2007. Net interest income was \$223.1 million in the third quarter 2008 as compared to \$237.8 million in 2007 and noninterest income was \$305.2 million in 2008 as compared to \$203.5 million in 2007. A discussion of the major line items follows.

NET INTEREST INCOME

Net interest income declined slightly to \$223.1 million in the third quarter 2008 as compared to \$237.8 million in the third quarter 2007. Average earning assets declined 10.0 percent to \$29.5 billion and interest-bearing liabilities declined 11.0 percent to \$25.3 billion in the third quarter 2008.

The activity levels and related funding for FHN's mortgage production and servicing and capital markets activities affect the net interest margin. These activities typically produce different margins than traditional banking activities. Mortgage production and servicing activities can affect the overall margin based on a number of factors, including the shape of the yield curve, the size of the mortgage warehouse, the time it takes to deliver loans into the secondary market, the amount of custodial balances, and the level of MSR. Capital markets activities tend to compress the margin because of its strategy to reduce market risk by economically hedging a portion of its inventory on the balance sheet. As a result of these impacts, FHN's consolidated margin cannot be readily compared to that of other bank holding companies.

The consolidated net interest margin was 3.01 percent for the third quarter 2008 as compared to 2.87 percent for the third quarter 2007. The increased margin occurred as the net interest spread widened to 2.65 percent from 2.21 percent in 2007 while the impact of free funding decreased from 66 basis points to 36 basis points. The improvement in net interest margin primarily resulted from a decline in average earning assets and improvements in funding costs as FHN shifted to less costly funding sources such as the Federal Reserve Bank Term Auction Facility and the Federal Home Loan Bank. Yields and rates for the third quarter 2008 and 2007 are detailed in Table 2.

Table 2 - Net Interest Margin

| | Three Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|-------|
| | Septembe | er 30 |
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Consolidated yields and rates: | | |
| Loans, net of unearned income | 5.16% | 7.39% |
| Loans held for sale | 5.96 | 6.72 |
| Investment securities | 5.41 | 5.57 |
| Capital markets securities inventory | 4.69 | 5.59 |
| Mortgage banking trading securities | 12.86 | 12.21 |
| Other earning assets | 1.97 | 5.02 |
| Yields on earning assets | 5.17 | 7.02 |
| Interest-bearing core deposits | 2.06 | 3.40 |
| Certificates of deposits \$100,000 and more | 3.28 | 5.40 |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 1.64 | 4.82 |
| Capital markets trading liabilities | 4.66 | 5.28 |
| Other short-term borrowings and commercial paper | 2.39 | 5.05 |
| Long-term debt | 3.17 | 5.84 |
| Rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities | 2.52 | 4.81 |
| Net interest spread | 2.65 | 2.21 |
| Effect of interest-free sources | .36 | .66 |
| FHN - NIM | 3.01% | 2.87% |

In the short term, margin could be negatively impacted from elevated LIBOR, increased funding costs due to tightening liquidity, and slight asset sensitivity in the core bank. In the longer term, net interest margin should be positively influenced by the reduction of lower margin national businesses.

NONINTEREST INCOME

Mortgage Banking Noninterest Income

Prior to adoption of new accounting standards in the first quarter 2008, origination income included origination fees, net of costs, gains/(losses) recognized on loans sold including the capitalized fair value of MSR, and the value recognized on loans in process including results from hedging. Origination fees, net of costs (including incentives and other direct costs), were deferred and included in the basis of the loans in calculating gains and losses upon sale. Gain or loss was recognized due to changes in fair value of an interest rate lock commitment made to the customer. Gains or losses from the sale of loans were recognized at the time a mortgage loan was sold into the secondary market. See Critical Accounting Policies and Note 1 – Financial Information for more discussion of the effects of adopting the new accounting standards.

Upon adoption of the new accounting standards, origination income includes origination fees, gains/(losses) recognized on loans sold including the capitalized fair value of MSR, and the value recognized on loans in process including results from hedging. Upon election of fair value accounting for substantially all warehouse loans, the value recognized on these loans includes changes in investor prices, MSR and concessions. The related origination fees are no longer deferred but recognized in origination income upon closing of a loan.

Total Mortgage Banking income increased to \$106.8 million in the third quarter 2008 compared to \$39.0 million in the third quarter 2007. (See Table 3) Origination income was \$19.8 million in the third quarter 2008 as compared to a loss of \$17.5 million last year despite a decline in loans delivered into the secondary market and a decrease in origination activity due to the third quarter 2008 divestiture of national origination offices. The adoption of accounting standards in the first quarter 2008 positively impacted third quarter origination income by \$6.7 million. Margin on deliveries increased from negative 33 basis points in the third quarter 2007 to positive 21 basis points in 2008 largely due to credit market disruptions in 2007 that increased dealer concessions and negatively impacted pricing. In the third quarter 2008, gain on sale margins were negatively impacted by a \$15.5 million adjustment to reflect revised cash flow expectations related to mortgage origination activity. See Note 15 – Other Events for a detailed discussion of this adjustment.

Servicing income includes servicing fees, changes in the fair value of the MSR asset and net gains or losses from hedging MSR. FHN employs hedging strategies intended to counter changes in the value of MSR and other retained interests due to changing interest rate environments (refer to discussion of MSR under Critical Accounting Policies). Net servicing income increased to \$80.6 million in the third quarter 2008 from \$49.7 million in 2007. Gross servicing fees declined primarily related to sales of the servicing portfolio in the fourth quarter 2007 and throughout 2008. Servicing hedging activities and changes other than runoff in the value of capitalized servicing assets positively impacted net servicing revenues by \$50.8 million this quarter as compared to \$22.0 million in the third quarter 2007, due to Federal Reserve rate decreases and a steeper yield curve in 2008. Additionally, the change in MSR value due to runoff declined to \$20.1 million in the third quarter 2008 compared to \$49.0 million last year due to servicing sales and decreased loan refinancing.

Other income includes FHN's share of earnings from nonconsolidated subsidiaries accounted for under the equity method, which provide ancillary activities to mortgage banking, and fees from retail construction lending. Other mortgage banking income was relatively flat in 2008 compared to the same period in 2007. After the August 31, 2008 divestiture of certain mortgage banking operations, the impact from these nonconsolidated subsidiaries will be minimal.

Table 3 - Mortgage Banking Noninterest Income

| | Three Months Ended September 30 | | Percent Change | Nine Months September | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| | 2008 | 2007 | (%) | 2008 | 2007 (%) |
| Noninterest income (thousands): | | | | | |
| Origination income | \$ 19,828 | \$ (17,494) | NM | \$ 237,979 \$ | 113,428 109.8+ |
| Servicing income Other | 80,603 6,386 | 49,738 6,778 | 62.1+ 5.8 - | 192,560 7,408 | 49,250 291.0+ 20,741 64.3 - |
| Total mortgage banking noninterest income | \$ 106,817 | \$ 39,022 | 173.7+ | \$ 437,947 \$ | 183,419 138.8+ |
| Mortgage banking statistics (millions): | | | | | |
| Refinance originations Home-purchase originations Mortgage loan originations | \$ 907.1 2,199.4 \$ 3,106.5 | \$ 2,067.1 4,605.2 \$ 6,672.3 | 56.1 - 52.2 - 53.4 - | | 7,909.8 13.5+ 13,157.3 35.7 - 21,067.1 17.2 - |
| Servicing portfolio - owned | \$ 65,345.3 | \$108,400.8 | 39.7 - | <u> </u> | 08,400.8 39.7 - |

NM - not meaningful

Capital Markets Noninterest Income

Capital markets noninterest income, the major component of revenue in the Capital Markets segment, is generated from the purchase and sale of securities as both principal and agent, and from other fee sources including equity research, loans sales, portfolio advisory activities and structured finance. Securities inventory positions are generally procured for distribution to customers by the sales staff. A portion of the inventory is hedged to protect against movements in fair value due to changes in interest rates.

Revenues from fixed income sales increased to \$80.1 million as compared to \$46.0 million in the third quarter 2007 reflecting the effects of a steeper yield curve resulting from the Federal Reserve's aggressive rate cuts during the first half of 2008 and the associated positive impact on the demand for fixed income products. Revenues from other products decreased slightly to \$15.9 million in comparison to the third quarter 2007.

Table 4 - Capital Markets Noninterest Income

| | Three Months Ended September 30 Growth | | | Nine Mon Septen | Growth | | | |
|--|--|--------|----|--------------------|----------|------------|------------|----------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | 2008 | | 2007 | Rate (%) | 2008 | 2007 | Rate (%) |
| Noninterest income: | | | | | | | | |
| Fixed income | \$ | 80,104 | \$ | 46,003 | 74.1+ | \$ 337,314 | \$ 140,574 | 140.0+ |
| Other product revenue | | 15,850 | | 17,719 | 10.5 - | 12,435 | 95,315 | 87.0 - |
| Total capital markets noninterest income | \$ | 95,954 | \$ | 63,722 | 50.6+ | \$ 349,749 | \$ 235,889 | 48.3+ |

Other Noninterest Income

Other noninterest income includes deposit transactions and cash management fees, revenue from loan sales and securitizations, insurance commissions, trust services and investment management fees, net securities gains and losses and other noninterest income. Deposit transactions and cash management fees remained stable at \$45.8 million compared to \$44.9 million last year. Revenue from loan sales and securitizations decreased slightly to \$3.2 million from \$4.8 million in 2007. Trust services and investment management income declined 17.8 percent or \$1.7 million because of market related declines in the value of trust assets and insurance commissions were higher at \$7.3 million from \$6.7 million in 2007. All other noninterest income increased by \$3.5 million in the third quarter 2008 compared to third quarter 2007. Gains related to the repurchase of debt were \$18.9 million which was partially offset by a \$17.5 million loss on the divestiture of certain mortgage banking operations. Also positively impacting other noninterest income in 2008 was a reduction in LOCOM adjustments as a \$7.3 million charge was taken in 2007 on HELOC and second lien consumer loans. Revenues related to deferred compensation plans decreased by \$5.7 million in comparison to the third quarter 2007, which were offset by a related decrease in noninterest expense associated with these plans.

NONINTEREST EXPENSE

Total noninterest expense for the third quarter 2008 decreased 4.6 percent to \$402.3 million from \$421.6 million in 2007. This includes an increase of \$21.1 million related to the effect of no longer deferring origination costs on warehouse loans accounted for at elected fair value. This amount is offset by a corresponding increase in mortgage banking noninterest income. Additionally, results for the third quarter 2008 include \$15.7 million of charges associated with implementation of restructuring, repositioning and efficiency initiatives compared to \$32.8 million in 2007. See discussion of the restructuring, repositioning and efficiency initiatives below for further details.

Employee compensation, incentives and benefits (personnel expense), the largest component of noninterest expense, decreased to \$215.5 million from \$236.7 million in 2007 as the impact of headcount reductions more than offset the effects of no longer deferring compensation directly attributable to the origination of mortgage loans accounted for at elected fair value, increased capital markets production, and severance related costs. Efficiency initiatives also impacted a decline in occupancy expense as these expenses decreased 21.8 percent or \$7.6 million as compared to third quarter 2007. Embedded in this decline are increases related to restructuring charges of \$3.9 million in 2008 and \$5.1 million of restructuring charges in 2007. Equipment rental, depreciation and maintenance expense declined by \$4.9 million from last year due to the continued repositioning and right-sizing of FHN.

Other noninterest expense increased \$28.3 million to \$115.8 million in the third quarter 2008 reflecting an \$11.0 million loss related to Visa legal settlement matters. Loan closing cost expense increased by \$5.5 million as increases related to the recognition of origination costs for loans measured at fair value were partially offset by declines in volume related to the mortgage divestiture. FDIC premiums, losses related to foreclosed property, and legal and professional fees each increased other noninterest expense by approximately \$3.5 million compared to 2007. The increases were partially offset by general declines in other expense categories due to benefits related to efficiency initiatives.

INCOME TAXES

The effective tax rate for the third quarter 2008 was 41.7 percent compared to 39.4 percent in 2007. The effective tax rate for the third quarter 2008 is based on the pre-tax loss recorded for the quarter and favorable permanent tax benefits booked during the quarter including \$7.2 million of favorable tax benefits related to affordable housing credits and increases in life insurance cash surrender values. The effective tax rate for third quarter 2007 was based on the pre-tax loss recorded for the quarter and offsetting permanent tax benefits/costs booked during the quarter including \$7.3 million of favorable tax benefits related to affordable housing credits and increases in life insurance cash surrender values and \$6.6 million of unfavorable permanent tax costs related to non-deductible goodwill included in restructuring charges. The tax rates for both quarters do not include any unfavorable accounting limitations on federal deferred tax assets related to loan loss reserves or other deferred tax items.

PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES / ASSET QUALITY

The provision for loan losses is the charge to earnings that management determines to be necessary to maintain the allowance for loan losses at an adequate level reflecting management's estimate of probable incurred losses in the loan portfolio. Analytical models based on loss experience adjusted for current events, trends and economic conditions are used by management to determine the amount of provision to be recognized and to assess the adequacy of the loan loss allowance. In response to economic conditions, in 2008 and fourth quarter 2007, FHN conducted focused portfolio management activities to identify problem credits and to ensure appropriate provisioning and reserve levels. See Critical Accounting Policies for additional discussion of these procedures. The provision for loan losses was \$340.0 million in the third quarter 2008 as compared to \$43.4 million in the third quarter 2007. The provision for loan losses increased by \$296.6 million reflecting recognition of portfolio stress due to declining economic conditions especially in the national construction lending, home equity and commercial portfolios. The net charge-off ratio increased to 2.84 percent in the third quarter 2008 from .57 percent in the third quarter 2007 as net charge-offs grew to \$154.7 million from \$31.4 million, driven by problem loans primarily in the national construction portfolios. (See Table 5 below)

While charge-offs increased due to deteriorating economic conditions, FHN's methodology of charging down collateral dependent loans to net realizable value (NRV) also impacted charge-offs, especially in comparison to applicable reserves. Generally, classified non-accrual loans over \$1 million are deemed to be impaired in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, No. 114, "Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan" (SFAS No. 114) and are assessed for impairment measurement. A majority of these SFAS No. 114 loans (generally commercial loans over \$1 million that are not expected to pay all contractually due principal and interest) are included in the Residential CRE (Homebuilder and Condominium Construction) portfolio. Once impairment is detected, loans are then written down to the fair value of the underlying collateral less costs to sell (net realizable value). Fair value is based on recent appraisals of collateral. Collateral values are monitored and further charge-offs are taken if it is determined that the collateral values have continued to decline. Historically as problem loans had been identified, estimated probable losses were reserved for in the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (ALLL) and these loans were subsequently charged-off as appropriate. Given the deterioration in the real estate markets and the growing number of loans determined to be collateral dependent under SFAS No. 114, charge-offs of these loans have been accelerated to the point when the impairments are initially detected as opposed to historical trends which reflected establishing reserves for probable inherent losses.

Also impacting increased charge-offs related to SFAS No. 114 loans are the dramatic declines in collateral values experienced due to the prevailing real estate market conditions. Therefore, charge-offs are not only higher due to the increased credit deterioration related to these loans throughout 2008, but also due to the increased rate at which loans are charged down to net realizable value because of rapidly declining collateral values.

As of September 30, 2008, the total value of SFAS No. 114 loans considered collateral dependent was \$364.1 million while net charge-offs related to these loans were \$63.4 million or 41.0 percent of total net charge-offs during the third quarter. Because of the accelerated recognition of impairment of these loans, the elevated charge-offs decrease the ALLL. Compression occurs in the ALLL to net charge-offs ratio as the ALLL is not replenished for charge-offs related to SFAS No.114 collateral dependent loans because reserves are not carried for these loans. The one-time-close (OTC) portfolio of the winding-down National Specialty Lending Segment has experienced significant deterioration in 2008. Generally, OTC loans are written down to appraised value if, when the loan becomes 90 days past due or is considered substandard, recently obtained appraisals indicate a decline in fair value. Subsequent charge-downs are taken periodically thereafter. In the third quarter 2008, net charge-offs related to OTC loans were \$41.5 million, approximately 26.8 percent of total net charge-offs.

Table 5 - Net Charge-off Ratios *

| | Three Month | s Ended | |
|-------------------------|-------------|---------|--|
| | September | r 30 | |
| | 2008 | 2007 | |
| Total commercial | 2.88% | .55% | |
| Retail real estate | 2.72 | .50 | |
| Other retail | 6.42 | 3.59 | |
| Credit card receivables | 4.70 | 3.01 | |
| Total net charge-offs | 2.84 | .57 | |

^{*}Ratio is annualized net charge-offs to average total loans, net of unearned income.

As included in Table 6, non-performing loans in the loan portfolio were \$890.9 million on September 30, 2008, compared to \$189.8 million on September 30, 2007. The ratio of nonperforming loans in the loan portfolio to total loans was 4.12 percent on September 30, 2008, and .86 percent on September 30, 2007. The increase in nonperforming loans is primarily attributable to deterioration in the OTC and homebuilder/condominium construction portfolios, due primarily to the slowdown in the housing market. Nonperforming OTC loans (See Table 7) increased to \$347.9 million as of September 30, 2008 from \$69.3 million as of September 30, 2007. Nonperforming homebuilder/condominium construction loans increased to \$349.9 million on September 30, 2007.

Nonperforming assets were \$1.0 billion on September 30, 2008, compared to \$268.4 million on September 30, 2007. The nonperforming assets ratio was 4.63 percent on September 30, 2008 and 1.13 percent last year. In addition to the increase in nonperforming loans, foreclosed assets increased to \$115.5 million in the third quarter 2008 compared to \$60.0 million last year which was primarily attributable to deterioration in the national construction portfolios and permanent mortgages. Foreclosed assets are recognized at net realizable value, including estimated costs of disposal at foreclosure. The nonperforming asset ratio is expected to remain under pressure throughout the current economic downturn.

Table 8 provides information on the relative size of each loan portfolio.

The ALLL to non-performing loans in the loan portfolio ("NPL ratio") decreased to .85 times in the third quarter 2008 compared to 1.25 times in the third quarter of 2007. While non-performing loans increased from the same period last year, a portion of these loans does not carry reserves. The SFAS No. 114 loans mentioned above that are charged down to NRV represent 40.9 percent of non-performing loans in the loan portfolio as of September 30, 2008. This approach compresses the ALLL to non-performing loans ratio because collateral dependent SFAS No. 114 loans are included in non-performing loans, but reserves for these loans are not carried in the ALLL. Residential CRE (Homebuilder and Condominium Construction) loans were \$285.5 million or 70.6 percent of all SFAS No. 114 loans while the remainder is included in the C&I and Income CRE (Income-producing Commercial Real Estate) portfolios. Additionally, OTC loans that are 90 days past due are generally charged down to current appraised value (and over time, to less than current appraised value) and are included in non-performing loans. As of September 30, 2008, OTC loans accounted for 39.1 percent of non-performing loans. The ALLL related to OTC loans was \$242.3 million which provides a coverage ratio of 20.16 percent for inherent losses in the portfolio. Because of the methodologies described above, the ALLL to NPL ratio is negatively impacted. Non-performing loans for which reserves are actually carried were approximately \$375.7 million as of September 30, 2008.

Table 6 - Asset Quality Information

| | Three Months Ended September: | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------|----|----------|--|--|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | 2008 | | 7 | | |
| Allowance for loan losses: | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance on June 30 | \$ | 575,149 | \$ | 229,919 | | |
| Provision for loan losses | | 340,000 | | 43,352 | | |
| Divestitures/acquisitions/transfers | | - | | (5,276) | | |
| Charge-offs | | (160,200) | | (35,858) | | |
| Recoveries | | 5,507 | | 4,474 | | |
| Ending balance on September 30 | \$ | 760,456 | \$ | 236,611 | | |
| Reserve for off-balance sheet commitments | | 19,109 | | 9,002 | | |
| Total allowance for loan losses and reserve for off-balance sheet commitments | \$ | 779,565 | \$ | 245,613 | | |

| Regional Banking: Nonperforming loans Foreclosed real estate Total Regional Banking Capital Markets: Nonperforming loans Foreclosed real estate Total Capital Markets National Specialty Lending: Nonperforming loans Foreclosed real estate Total National Specialty Lending: Mortgage Banking: Nonperforming loans - held for sale (a) Foreclosed real estate | \$ 2008 133,138 32,078 165,216 | \$ 37,102 |
|--|--|---|
| Nonperforming loans Foreclosed real estate Total Regional Banking Capital Markets: Nonperforming loans Foreclosed real estate Total Capital Markets National Specialty Lending: Nonperforming loans Foreclosed real estate Total National Specialty Lending Mortgage Banking: Nonperforming loans - held for sale (a) | \$ 32,078 | \$ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Foreclosed real estate Total Regional Banking Capital Markets: Nonperforming loans Foreclosed real estate Total Capital Markets National Specialty Lending: Nonperforming loans Foreclosed real estate Total National Specialty Lending Mortgage Banking: Nonperforming loans - held for sale (a) | \$ 32,078 | \$ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Total Regional Banking Capital Markets: Nonperforming loans Foreclosed real estate Total Capital Markets National Specialty Lending: Nonperforming loans Foreclosed real estate Total National Specialty Lending Mortgage Banking: Nonperforming loans - held for sale (a) | | 27 21 4 |
| Capital Markets: Nonperforming loans Foreclosed real estate Total Capital Markets National Specialty Lending: Nonperforming loans Foreclosed real estate Total National Specialty Lending Mortgage Banking: Nonperforming loans - held for sale (a) | 165,216 | 27,214 |
| Nonperforming loans Foreclosed real estate Total Capital Markets National Specialty Lending: Nonperforming loans Foreclosed real estate Total National Specialty Lending Mortgage Banking: Nonperforming loans - held for sale (a) | | 64,316 |
| Foreclosed real estate Total Capital Markets National Specialty Lending: Nonperforming loans Foreclosed real estate Total National Specialty Lending Mortgage Banking: Nonperforming loans - held for sale (a) | | |
| Total Capital Markets National Specialty Lending: Nonperforming loans Foreclosed real estate Total National Specialty Lending Mortgage Banking: Nonperforming loans - held for sale (a) | 27,284 | 10,051 |
| National Specialty Lending: Nonperforming loans Foreclosed real estate Total National Specialty Lending Mortgage Banking: Nonperforming loans - held for sale (a) | 600 | 810 |
| Nonperforming loans Foreclosed real estate Total National Specialty Lending Mortgage Banking: Nonperforming loans - held for sale (a) | 27,884 | 10,861 |
| Nonperforming loans Foreclosed real estate Total National Specialty Lending Mortgage Banking: Nonperforming loans - held for sale (a) | , | |
| Foreclosed real estate Total National Specialty Lending Mortgage Banking: Nonperforming loans - held for sale (a) | 718,624 | 142,645 |
| Mortgage Banking: Nonperforming loans - held for sale (a) | 57,251 | 18,030 |
| Mortgage Banking: Nonperforming loans - held for sale (a) | 775,875 | 160,675 |
| Nonperforming loans - held for sale (a) | , | |
| | 20,930 | 18,508 |
| | 25,589 | 13,992 |
| Total Mortgage Banking | 46,519 | 32,500 |
| | \$ 1,015,494 | \$ 268,352 |
| | | |
| ,, | \$ 21,601,898 | \$ 21,973,004 |
| Insured loans | (652,051) | (928,238) |
| Loans excluding insured loans | \$ 20,949,847 | \$ 21,044,766 |
| Foreclosed real estate from GNMA loans | 35,943 | \$ 15,610 |
| Potential problem assets (b) | 1,023,065 | 171,426 |
| Loans 30 to 89 days past due | 423,593 | 179,014 |
| Loans 30 to 89 days past due - guaranteed portion (c) | 67 | 157 |
| Loans 90 days past due | 65,233 | 42,515 |
| Loans 90 days past due - guaranteed portion (c) | 232 | 179 |
| Loans held for sale 30 to 89 days past due | 45,959 | 38,233 |
| Loans held for sale 30 to 89 days past due - guaranteed portion (c) | 45,959 | 31,804 |
| Loans held for sale 90 days past due | 54,354 | 164,145 |
| Loans held for sale 90 days past due - guaranteed portion (c) | 50,187 | 158,601 |
| Off-balance sheet commitments (d) | \$ 6,746,309 | \$ 7,106,326 |
| Allowance to total loans | 3.52% | 1.08% |
| Allowance to loans excluding insured loans | 3.63 | 1.12 |
| Nonperforming assets to loans and foreclosed real estate (e) | 4.63 | 1.13 |
| Allowance to nonperforming loans in the loan portfolio | | |
| Allowance to annualized net charge-offs | .85x 1.23x | 1.25x 1.88x |

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

⁽a) 3Q 2008 includes \$11,829 of loans held-to-maturity.

⁽b) Includes 90 days past due loans.(c) Guaranteed loans include FHA, VA, student and GNMA loans repurchased through the GNMA repurchase program.

⁽d) Amount of off-balance sheet commitments for which a reserve has been provided.

⁽e) Ratio is non-performing assets related to the loan portfolio to total loans plus foreclosed real estate and other assets.

| | Three Months Ended Sep | | | otember 30 | | |
|--|------------------------|-------------|----|------------|--|--|
| | | 2008 | | 2007 | | |
| Key Portfolio Details | | | | | | |
| Commercial (C&I & Other) | | | | | | |
| Period-end loans (\$ millions) | \$ | 7,618 | \$ | 7,189 | | |
| 30+ Delinq. % | | 1.15% | | .41% | | |
| NPL % | | 1.05 | | .33 | | |
| Charge-offs % (qtr. annualized) | | 1.64 | | .57 | | |
| Allowance / Loans % | | 2.29% | | * | | |
| Allowance / Charge-offs | | 1.41x | | * | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Income CRE (Income-producing Commercial Real Estate) | | | | | | |
| Period-end loans (\$ millions) | \$ | 2,038 | \$ | 1,970 | | |
| 30+ Delinq. % | | 3.47% | | .86% | | |
| NPL % | | 3.72 | | .06 | | |
| Charge-offs % (qtr. annualized) | | .24 | | .12 | | |
| Allowance / Loans % | | 3.73% | | * | | |
| Allowance / Charge-offs | | 15.48x | | * | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Residential CRE (Homebuilder and Condominium Construction) | | | | | | |
| Period-end loans (\$ millions) | \$ | 1,480 | \$ | 2,211 | | |
| 30+ Delinq. % | | 5.73% | | 1.25% | | |
| NPL % | | 23.64 | | 3.93 | | |
| Charge-offs % (qtr. annualized) | | 11.95 | | .51 | | |
| Allowance / Loans % | | 7.55% | | * | | |
| Allowance / Charge-offs | | .58x | | * | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Consumer Real Estate (Home Equity Installment and HELOC) | | | | | | |
| Period-end loans (\$ millions) | \$ | 7,830 | \$ | 7,648 | | |
| 30+ Deling. % | | 1.49% | | 1.25% | | |
| NPL % | | .07 | | .09 | | |
| Charge-offs % (qtr. annualized) | | 1.41 | | .37 | | |
| Allowance / Loans % | | 1.58% | | * | | |
| Allowance / Charge-offs | | 1.12x | | * | | |
| | | | | | | |
| OTC (Consumer Residential Construction Loans) | | | | | | |
| Period-end loans (\$ millions) | \$ | 1,202 | \$ | 2,160 | | |
| 30+ Deling. % | | 4.92% | | 1.91% | | |
| NPL % | | 28.94 | | 3.21 | | |
| Charge-offs % (qtr. annualized) | | 12.29 | | 1.16 | | |
| Allowance / Loans % | | 20.16% | | * | | |
| Allowance / Charge-offs | | 1.46x | | * | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Permanent Mortgage | | | | | | |
| Period-end loans (\$ millions) | \$ | 1,080 | \$ | 459 | | |
| 30+ Deling. % | Ψ | 7.38% | Ψ | 1.29% | | |
| NPL % | | 2.94 | | 1.27/0 | | |
| Charge-offs % (qtr. annualized) | | .22 | | .47 | | |
| Allowance / Loans % | | 1.17% | | * | | |
| | | 5.48x | | * | | |
| Allowance / Charge-offs | | 3.40X | | | | |
| Credit Card and Other | | | | | | |
| | \$ | 354 | \$ | 226 | | |
| Period-end loans (\$ millions) | Φ | | φ | 336 | | |
| 30+ Delinq. % | | 2.08% | | 2.19% | | |
| NPL % | | - - 200/ | | 2.050/ | | |
| Charge-offs % (qtr. annualized) | | 5.30% | | 2.05% | | |
| Allowance / Loans % | | 5.52% | | | | |
| Allowance / Charge-offs | | 1.06x | | * | | |
| * Prior period information by loan portfolio is unavailable. | | | | | | |

Potential problem assets in the loan portfolio, which are not included in nonperforming assets, represent those assets where information about possible credit problems of borrowers has caused management to have serious doubts about the borrower's ability to comply with present repayment terms. This definition is believed to be substantially consistent with the standards established by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency for loans classified substandard. In total, potential problem assets were \$1.0 billion on September 30, 2008, up from \$171.4 million on September 30, 2007. The significant increase in potential problem assets reflects downward grade migration in the commercial and OTC portfolios between the third quarter 2007 and throughout 2008. Also, loans 30 to 89 days past due increased to \$423.6 million on September 30, 2008, up from \$179.0 million on September 30, 2007. This increase was primarily driven by the slowdown in the housing markets and its impact on national construction portfolios. The current expectation of losses from both potential problem assets and loans 30 to 89 days past due has been included in management's analysis for assessing the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses.

Asset quality is expected to remain stressed in future quarters due to the expectation that the housing industry and broader economic conditions may continue to deteriorate. Actual results could differ because of several factors, including those presented in the Forward-Looking Statements section of this MD&A discussion.

STATEMENT OF CONDITION REVIEW

EARNING ASSETS

Earning assets consist of loans, loans held for sale, investment securities, trading securities and other earning assets. During the third quarter 2008, average earning assets decreased 10.4 percent and averaged \$29.6 billion compared to \$33.0 billion in the third quarter 2007 as all earning asset groups showed declines reflecting continued focus on reducing balance sheet risk.

LOANS

Average total loans decreased slightly to \$21.8 billion for the third quarter 2008 compared to \$22.2 billion in the third quarter 2007. This reflects the net effect of several events affecting the loan portfolio. Reductions in loans occurred from the sale of the First Horizon Bank branches and elevated charge off levels in the latter half of 2007 and through the third quarter of 2008. Further, originations in the national construction lending and national home equity portfolios were discontinued in the first quarter 2008 which resulted in additional declines as compared to the third quarter 2007 as existing balances pay down or charge off. Offsetting these impacts were increases in the loan portfolio as certain consumer loans, certain permanent mortgages, and certain trust preferred loans were included in the portfolio in the third quarter 2008 compared to these loans being considered as loans held for sale in the third quarter 2007.

Average loans represented 73.6 percent of average earning assets in the third quarter 2008 and 67.2 percent in 2007. Total commercial loans were flat at \$11.2 billion compared to the third quarter 2007. Commercial, financial and industrial loans increased to \$7.5 billion in comparison to \$7.1 billion in the third quarter 2007 as approximately \$380 million of small issuer trust preferred loans were moved to the portfolio in the second quarter 2008. Commercial Real Estate increased by 9.9 percent from 2007 mainly due to growth in income property lending in the first half of 2008. Commercial construction loans decreased 24.8 percent or \$712.5 million since the third quarter 2007, primarily due to the effects of the wind-down for national homebuilder lending announced in first quarter 2008 as well as elevated charge-offs recognized in fourth quarter 2007 through the third quarter of 2008 in this portfolio. FHN did not have any concentrations of 10 percent or more of total loans in any single industry.

Total retail loans decreased 3.0 percent or \$328.1 million despite an increase in residential real estate of \$564.9 million as approximately \$330 million of first lien and OTC converted permanent mortgages were moved to the portfolio in the second quarter 2008. Approximately \$90 million of additional OTC loans were moved to the portfolio in the third quarter 2008. Real estate construction loans declined by 37.1 percent to \$1.4 billion from \$2.1 billion reflecting a decline in OTC loans that was primarily due to the curtailment of National Construction lending and increased charge-offs in late 2007 through the third quarter 2008. Additional loan information is provided in Table 8 – Average Loans.

| Three Months Ended | |
|--------------------|--|
| September 30 | |

| September 50 | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2008 | Percent of Total | Growth Rate | 2007 | Percent of Total | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| \$ 7,530.7 | 35% | 7% | \$ 7,061.1 | 32% | | | | |
| 1,497.8 | 6 | 9.9 | 1,363.4 | 6 | | | | |
| 2,162.8 | 10 | (24.8) | 2,875.3 | 13 | | | | |
| 11,191.3 | 51 | (1.0) | 11,299.8 | 51 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 8,166.3 | 38 | 7.4 | 7,601.4 | 34 | | | | |
| 1,350.1 | 6 | (37.1) | 2,144.9 | 10 | | | | |
| 138.8 | 1 | (7.3) | 149.7 | 1 | | | | |
| 193.5 | 1 | (0.5) | 194.4 | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 721.8 | 3 | (10.7) | 808.2 | 3 | | | | |
| 10,570.5 | 49 | (3.0) | 10,898.6 | 49 | | | | |
| \$21,761.8 | 100% | (2.0)% | \$22,198.4 | 100% | | | | |
| | 1,497.8 2,162.8 11,191.3 8,166.3 1,350.1 138.8 193.5 721.8 10,570.5 | 2008 of Total \$ 7,530.7 35% 1,497.8 6 2,162.8 10 11,191.3 51 8,166.3 38 1,350.1 6 138.8 1 193.5 1 721.8 3 10,570.5 49 | 2008 of Total Rate \$ 7,530.7 35% 7% 1,497.8 6 9.9 2,162.8 10 (24.8) 11,191.3 51 (1.0) 8,166.3 38 7.4 1,350.1 6 (37.1) 138.8 1 (7.3) 193.5 1 (0.5) 721.8 3 (10.7) 10,570.5 49 (3.0) | 2008 of Total Rate 2007 \$ 7,530.7 35% 7% \$ 7,061.1 1,497.8 6 9.9 1,363.4 2,162.8 10 (24.8) 2,875.3 11,191.3 51 (1.0) 11,299.8 8,166.3 38 7.4 7,601.4 1,350.1 6 (37.1) 2,144.9 138.8 1 (7.3) 149.7 193.5 1 (0.5) 194.4 721.8 3 (10.7) 808.2 10,570.5 49 (3.0) 10,898.6 | | | | |

- (a) Includes nonconstruction income property loans
- (b) Includes homebuilder, condominium, and income property construction loans
- (c) Includes home equity loans and lines of credit (average for third quarter 2008 and 2007 \$3.8 billion and \$3.7 billion, respectively)
- (d) Includes one-time close product
- (e) Includes on-balance sheet securitizations of home equity loans

Total loans are expected to continue to decline throughout 2008 as held-to-maturity originations of the national home equity, OTC and homebuilder lending products have been discontinued and overall loan demand is expected to be soft given the economic environment.

LOANS HELD FOR SALE / LOANS HELD FOR SALE - DIVESTITURE

Loans held for sale consist of first-lien mortgage loans (warehouse), HELOC, second-lien mortgages, and student loans. Small issuer trust preferred loans were included in average loans held for sale in periods prior to third quarter 2008. The mortgage warehouse accounts for the majority of loans held for sale, although significantly less than in prior quarters due to the sale of national origination offices. Average loans held for sale decreased by 50.8 percent to \$2.0 billion in the third quarter 2008 from \$4.0 billion in 2007. This change reflects decreases in the warehouse as certain product types were curtailed in first quarter 2008 and because of the sale of the national origination operations in the third quarter 2008 as the remaining mortgage warehouse is delivered into the secondary market. Further impacting the decline in average loans held for sale was the reclassification of approximately \$330 million of permanent mortgage loans and \$380 million of smaller issuer trust preferred loans in the second quarter of 2008. In the third quarter 2008, FHN continued to fund loan originations and maintain a stable liquidity position through loan sales, principally of conforming first lien mortgages.

TRADING ASSETS AND SECURITIES HELD FOR SALE

Average trading assets decreased by 27.0 percent or \$634.0 million from the third quarter 2007. This decline was primarily attributable to inventory management initiatives at Capital Markets and the sale of mortgage trading assets related to servicing sold during late 2007 and throughout 2008.

Average securities held for sale declined by 11.0 percent or \$354.0 million from \$3.3 billion in the third quarter 2007. The decline was primarily in the U.S. Treasury and U.S. government-backed agency debt securities portfolios. The decrease was mainly because investment securities matured and funds were used for other purposes rather than being reinvested in the securities portfolio. Investment securities represented 9.7 percent of total earning assets as of September 30, 2008.

DEPOSITS / OTHER SOURCES OF FUNDS

Core deposits declined to \$12.2 billion in the third quarter 2008 compared to \$13.3 billion in 2007 primarily reflecting decreases related to First Horizon Bank branch divestitures, custodial deposits included in the divestiture of mortgage banking operations to MetLife, and increased deposit competition. Short-term purchased funds averaged \$11.2 billion for the third quarter 2008, down 18.1 percent or \$2.5 billion from \$13.7 billion in the third quarter 2007. During the latter half of 2007 and through the third quarter of 2008, FHN shifted wholesale borrowings from short-term certificates of deposit (CD) to less credit sensitive sources, including Federal Home Loan Bank advances and the Federal Reserve's Term Auction Facility. In the third quarter 2008, short-term purchased funds accounted for 38.2 percent of FHN's total funding down from 40.8 percent in third quarter 2007. Total funding is comprised of core deposits, purchased funds (including federal funds purchased, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, trading liabilities, certificates of deposit greater than \$100,000, and short-term borrowings) and long-term debt. Long-term debt includes senior and subordinated borrowings, advances with original maturities greater than one year and other collateralized borrowings. Long-term debt averaged \$6.0 billion in the third quarter 2008 compared to \$6.6 billion in the third quarter 2007.

Financial Summary (Comparison of first nine months of 2008 to first nine months of 2007)

FHN recorded a net loss of \$136.3 million or \$.80 per diluted share for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. Net income was \$78.5 million or \$.60 per diluted share for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, return on average shareholder's equity and return on average assets were (7.35) percent and (.51) percent, respectively. Return on average shareholder's equity and return on average assets were 4.27 percent and .27 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

For the first nine months of 2008, total revenues increased to \$1.8 billion; an increase of 24.4 percent compared to \$1.5 billion for the nine months ended 2007. Noninterest income for the first nine months of 2008 increased to \$1.2 billion from \$766.9 million in 2007. Provision increased by \$683.8 million to \$800.0 million in 2008 as compared to \$116.2 million in 2007.

Mortgage Banking fee income was \$437.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared to \$183.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 as 2008 included \$142.6 million of favorable impact related to the adoption of the new accounting standards, including the prospective election of fair value accounting for mortgage warehouse loans.

During this period, origination income increased to \$238.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, an increase from \$113.4 million as 2008 was net favorably impacted by \$142.6 million related to the adoption of new accounting standards. Gain on sale margins were flat between the nine month periods as credit market disruptions impacted both periods, and loan sales and originations decreased in 2008. Servicing income increased as positive impacts from hedging results and less significant decreases in MSR due to run-off in 2008 more than offset declines in servicing fees due to servicing sales occurring in fourth quarter 2007 and throughout 2008.

Capital Markets noninterest income increased by 48.3 percent to \$349.7 million for the first nine months of 2008 from \$235.9 million a year ago due to increased demand for fixed income securities resulting from a steeper yield curve in 2008. The increased fixed income production more than offset a total decline in other revenue of \$82.9 million, which was primarily driven by \$38.7 million of structured finance revenue in 2007 and a \$36.2 million LOCOM adjustment in the first quarter of 2008.

Noninterest income was also impacted in the first nine months of 2008 by net securities gains of \$64.7 million. Securities gains were primarily impacted by \$65.9 million of gains related to Visa Inc.'s initial public offering compared to less significant net gains of \$9.3 million related to repositioning of investment portfolio early in 2007.

Increases in noninterest income were partially offset by declines in asset securitization gains and divestiture losses. Loan sale and securitization income decreased from \$24.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 to a loss of \$7.8 million in 2008. Gain on second-lien and HELOC loan sales for the nine months ended 2007 were \$24.4 million while no sales were executed in the first nine months of 2008. Declines in residual values on prior securitizations of \$7.2 million also negatively impacted loan sale and securitization income in 2008. Also negatively impacting noninterest income in 2008 were divestiture losses of \$18.9 million, \$17.5 million related to the divestiture of certain mortgage banking operations and \$1.4 million related to First Horizon Bank branch sales. Other noninterest income increased \$11.4 million as declines of \$19.8 million of deferred compensation income were offset by gains of \$31.5 million on repurchases of debt. The decline related to deferred compensation income is partially offset by a corresponding decline in personnel expense.

Provision for loan losses increased by \$683.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, up from \$116.2 million from the first nine months of 2007 as the portfolio experienced deterioration due to depressed real estate values and challenging economic conditions.

Noninterest expense increased slightly to \$1.3 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the same period in 2007 despite the negative impact of \$121.8 million related to the first quarter 2008 adoption of new accounting standards. For the nine months ended September 30 2008, personnel expense was \$780.0 million compared to \$741.2 million in 2007 as increases in capital markets production, severance and retention costs related to restructuring, repositioning and efficiency initiatives and accelerated recognition of origination costs related to the prospective fair value election on substantially all of the mortgage warehouse loans more than offset declines in expense related to deferred compensation and headcount reductions. In 2008, the impact of restructuring costs on personnel expense was \$23.8 million compared to \$17.3 million in 2007.

Offsetting increases in personnel costs were decreases in occupancy, equipment rentals, and asset impairments. Occupancy decreased by \$11.1 million from \$97.0 million in 2007. The decrease is due to branch and office closures related to restructuring and efficiency efforts. Restructuring charges impacted occupancy by \$8.3 million in 2008 compared to \$8.8 million in 2007 as costs were incurred to terminate leases and for premises closings. Equipment rentals, depreciation and maintenance expense declined by \$11.1 million or 19.5 percent from 2007 primarily driven by results of restructuring and efficiency initiatives. Restructuring charges impacted this category by \$4.3 million in 2008 compared to \$6.1 million in 2007 as costs were incurred to write-down equipment. A goodwill impairment of \$13.0 million related to First Horizon Bank branch divestitures impacted 2007 noninterest expense.

Other noninterest expense increased by 7.0 percent or \$19.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to September 30, 2007 due to the effect of several factors. The first nine months of 2008 were negatively impacted by \$19.0 million related to the contingent liability for certain Visa legal matters. Additionally, other noninterest expense was negatively impacted in 2008 by the recognition of origination costs for loans recognized at fair value that were previously deferred, increased expenses related to foreclosed property, and an increase in FDIC premiums. Partially offsetting the increases in other noninterest expense was a decline in restructuring charges from \$19.3 million in 2007 to \$13.1 million in 2008. The first nine months of 2007 were negatively impacted by an \$8.4 million legal settlement.

Income taxes for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 were positively impacted by state tax settlements while 2007 was positively impacted by a \$7.5 million tax benefit due to legal consolidation of the mortgage company into the bank.

BUSINESS LINE REVIEW

Regional Banking

Total revenues for the nine-month period were \$630.9 million a decrease of 8.0 percent from \$685.4 million in 2007. Net interest income decreased 12.1 percent or \$50.2 million. Noninterest income declined slightly to \$267.5 million from \$271.9 million in 2007. An increase in deposit transactions and cash management fees of \$7.1 million was more than offset by decreases in trust fees, insurance commissions, annuity income and other miscellaneous revenues as compared to same period in 2007. Provision for loan losses increased to \$222.9 million in 2008 compared to \$46.8 million in 2007. The increase was primarily due to deterioration in the home equity and commercial lending portfolios. Noninterest expense decreased to \$459.4 million in 2008 compared to \$471.5 million in 2007. The decline was primarily in personnel expense as benefits from efficiency initiatives were realized.

Capital Markets

Total revenues for 2008 increased to \$414.3 million compared to \$287.3 million for the first nine months of 2007. Net interest income was \$57.1 million in 2008, an increase of 48.2 percent from 2007. The increase in net interest income is primarily due to a steeper yield curve in 2008 and increases in average trust preferred loan balances.

Fixed income revenue increased \$196.7 million from 2007 to \$337.3 million in 2008 as production increased due to the Federal Reserve rate cuts in the first half of 2008 creating a steeper yield curve that increased demand for fixed income products. Other revenue declined \$88.4 million primarily related to disruptions in the pooled trust preferred product in which no transactions were conducted in 2008 and a related LOCOM adjustment of \$36.2 million was recognized in the first quarter of 2008. Provision for loan losses was \$72.0 million in 2008 compared to \$6.9 million in 2007 reflecting deterioration in correspondent banking loans related to stress in the financial system. Noninterest expense was \$304.0 million, an increase of \$62.9 million from \$241.0 million in 2007. The increase is primarily driven by increased personnel costs on higher production in 2008.

National Specialty Lending

Total revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 were \$143.5 million compared to \$210.8 million in 2007. Net interest income was \$153.2 million in 2008 compared to \$185.2 million in 2007. The decline in net interest income is primarily due to increases in nonaccrual loans. Provision for loan losses increased to \$498.0 million in 2008 compared to \$55.0 million in 2007 reflecting the deterioration in the winding-down national construction and national consumer lending portfolios.

Noninterest income was \$(9.7) million for 2008 compared to \$25.6 million in 2007. The decrease in noninterest income was partially due to declines in residual values from prior securitizations and increased costs related to estimated repurchase activity in 2008. Additionally, gains of approximately \$23.6 million were recognized in 2007 related to loan sales which were not present in 2008. Noninterest expense declined to \$75.3 million in 2008 compared to \$107.0 million in 2007. The decline is related to the wind-down of this business segment initiated in the first quarter 2008.

Mortgage Banking

Total revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 were \$558.7 million compared to \$269.7 million in 2007. Net interest income was \$84.4 million, an increase of 11.2 percent from 2007 consistent with the increase in the warehouse spread over 2007. Noninterest income was \$474.3 million in 2008 compared to \$193.8 million in 2007. Provision for loan losses was \$7.1 million in 2008 compared to \$(.1) million in 2007 reflecting deterioration of permanent mortgages in the portfolio.

Origination income was \$238.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, an increase of \$124.6 million compared to the same period in 2007. Origination income was favorably impacted by \$142.6 million related to the adoption of new accounting standards. Gain on sale margins were flat between the nine month periods as credit market disruptions impacted both periods, and loan sales and originations decreased in 2008. Servicing income increased by \$143.4 million to \$192.6 million in 2008 as positive hedging results and lower changes in MSR due to run-off more than offset declines in servicing fees related to a decrease in the size of the servicing portfolio due to sales of servicing rights.

Noninterest expense for 2008 was \$385.6 million compared to \$329.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. General declines in noninterest expense due to the divestiture of certain mortgage banking operations and efficiency initiatives were more than offset by several factors. Noninterest expense was negatively impacted by the recognition of \$121.8 million of origination costs previously deferred due to adoption of fair value accounting for substantially all of the mortgage warehouse loans. These increased costs were offset in noninterest income by a corresponding increase in gain on sale. Unfavorable impacts on noninterest expense were also due to increased foreclosure and contract employment/outsourcing costs while legal settlement costs negatively impacted 2007 noninterest expense by \$8.4 million.

Corporate

Total revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 were \$96.1 million compared to \$28.3 million in 2007. Net interest income for 2008 was \$32.1 million, a \$30.6 million increase over 2007. The increase in net interest income was impacted by a reduced need for funding due to net proceeds from the common stock issuance in the second quarter of 2008.

Noninterest income was \$64.0 million in 2008 compared to \$26.9 million in 2007. Noninterest income increases were primarily driven by \$65.9 million of securities gains related to Visa Inc.'s initial public offering in 2008 compared to \$9.3 million of net securities gains in 2007 related to repositioning of the investment portfolio. Also influencing the increase were \$31.5 million of gains on debt repurchases in 2008. Offsetting these increases in 2008 were restructuring charges of \$12.7 million of transaction costs related to mortgage servicing sales, \$18.9 million of losses related to the mortgage banking and First Horizon Bank branch divestitures, and a decrease of \$19.7 million of deferred compensation income.

Noninterest expense declined to \$82.0 million in 2008 compared to \$133.4 million in 2007. Restructuring, repositioning and efficiency charges declined from \$64.5 million in 2007 to \$49.6 million in 2008. Personnel expense declined by \$21.2 million primarily reflecting a decrease of \$26.1 million related to deferred compensation expense which was offset by a \$6.6 million increase in restructuring related charges from a year ago. Restructuring charges in other noninterest expense in 2008 were \$13.1 million compared to \$32.3 million in 2007. Included in 2007 restructuring charges was a goodwill impairment of \$13.0 million related to First Horizon Bank branch divestitures. A net decrease of \$19.0 million in the contingent liability for certain Visa legal matters was offset by an \$11.1 million increase in legal and professional fees and an \$8.3 million increase in FDIC premiums.

CAPITAL

Management's objectives are to provide capital sufficient to cover the risks inherent in FHN's businesses, to maintain excess capital to well-capitalized standards and to assure ready access to the capital markets.

In the second quarter 2008, FHN completed a public offering of 69 million shares of common stock, which generated net proceeds of \$659.8 million after consideration of underwriters' discounts, commissions and offering costs. FHN then contributed \$610.0 million of the proceeds from the offering to First Tennessee Bank, N.A. in the form of equity capital. To conserve FHN's capital, the quarterly cash dividend was replaced with a quarterly stock dividend at a rate to be determined quarterly. See Note 15 – Other Events for a discussion of the stock dividend approved in October 2008. FHN currently intends to pay dividends in shares of common stock for the foreseeable future.

Average shareholders' equity increased by 12.0 percent in third quarter 2008 to \$2.7 billion from \$2.4 billion in 2007. The common stock issuance from the second quarter 2008 positively impacted average equity for the third quarter 2008 which was partially offset by net losses for the period. Period-end shareholders' equity was \$2.6 billion on September 30, 2008, up 6.4 percent from the prior year. The increase is primarily due to the common stock issuance in the second quarter of 2008. FHN's board has authorized share repurchases from time to time. FHN will evaluate the level of capital and take action designed to generate or use capital as appropriate, for the interests of the shareholders. At the present time, consistent with the board's determination to pay the quarterly dividend in shares, FHN intends to repurchase shares only in connection with employee stock programs to accommodate tax withholding and other similar needs.

In October 2008, FHN received preliminary approval from the U.S. Treasury Department to participate in its Capital Purchase Program (CPP), a voluntary initiative assisting U.S. financial institutions in building capital to support Treasury's plan to aid the economy by increasing financing to businesses and consumers. Participation is subject to standard terms and conditions. See Note 15 – Other Items for a detailed discussion of this event.

Table 9 - Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

| | | | | Total Number of | Maximum Number |
|-----------------------------|--------------|---------|----------|---------------------|--------------------|
| | Total Number | | | Shares Purchased | of Shares that May |
| | of Shares | Averag | ge Price | as Part of Publicly | Yet Be Purchased |
| (Volume in thousands) | Purchased | Paid pe | er Share | Announced Programs | Under the Programs |
| 2008 | | | | | |
| July 1 to July 31 | 3 | \$ | 7.40 | 3 | 36,308 |
| August 1 to August 31 | * | | NA | * | 36,308 |
| September 1 to September 30 | 2 | | 11.73 | 2 | 36,306 |
| Total | 5 | \$ | 9.20 | 5 | |

^{*} Amount is less than 500 shares

Compensation Plan Programs:

- A consolidated compensation plan share purchase program was announced on August 6, 2004. This plan consolidated into a single share purchase program all of the previously authorized compensation plan share programs as well as the renewal of the authorization to purchase shares for use in connection with two compensation plans for which the share purchase authority had expired. The total number originally authorized under this consolidated compensation plan share purchase program is 25.1 million shares. On April 24, 2006, an increase to the authority under this purchase program of 4.5 million shares was announced for a new total authorization of 29.6 million shares. The shares may be purchased over the option exercise period of the various compensation plans on or before December 31, 2023. Stock options granted after January 2, 2004, must be exercised no later than the tenth anniversary of the grant date. On September 30, 2008, the maximum number of shares that may be purchased under the program was 28.8 million shares.

Other Programs:

On October 16, 2007, the board of directors approved a 7.5 million share purchase authority that will expire on December 31, 2010. Purchases will be made in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions and will be subject to market conditions, accumulation of excess equity and prudent capital management. The new authority is not tied to any compensation plan, and replaces an older non-plan share purchase authority which was terminated. On September 30, 2008, the maximum number of shares that may be purchased under the program was 7.5 million shares.

Banking regulators define minimum capital ratios for bank holding companies and their bank subsidiaries. Based on the capital rules and definitions prescribed by the banking regulators, should any depository institution's capital ratios decline below predetermined levels, it would become subject to a series of increasingly restrictive regulatory actions. The system categorizes a depository institution's capital position into one of five categories ranging from well-capitalized to critically under-capitalized. For an institution to qualify as well-capitalized, Tier 1 Capital, Total Capital and Leverage capital ratios must be at least 6 percent, 10 percent and 5 percent, respectively. As of September 30, 2008 and 2007, FHN and FTBNA had sufficient capital to qualify as well-capitalized institutions as shown in Note 7 – Regulatory Capital.

RISK MANAGEMENT

FHN has an enterprise-wide approach to risk governance, measurement, management, and reporting including an economic capital allocation process that is tied to risk profiles used to measure risk-adjusted returns. The Enterprise-wide Risk/Return Management Committee oversees risk management governance. Committee membership includes the CEO and other executive officers of FHN. The Executive Vice President (EVP) of Risk Management oversees reporting for the committee. Risk management objectives include evaluating risks inherent in business strategies, monitoring proper balance of risks and returns, and managing risks to minimize the probability of future negative outcomes. The Enterprise-wide Risk/Return Management Committee oversees and receives regular reports from the Credit Risk Management Committee, Asset/Liability Committee (ALCO), Capital Management Committee, Compliance Risk Committee, Operational Risk Committee, and the Executive Program Governance Forum. The Chief Credit Officer, EVP Funds Management and Corporate Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer, SVP Corporate Compliance, EVP of Risk Management, and EVP and Chief Information Officer chair these committees respectively. Reports regarding Credit, Asset/Liability Management, Market Risk, Capital Management, Compliance, and Operational Risks are provided to the Credit Policy and Executive and/or Audit Committee of the Board and to the full Board.

Risk management practices include key elements such as independent checks and balances, formal authority limits, policies and procedures, and portfolio management all executed through experienced personnel. The Internal Audit Department, Credit Risk Assurance, Credit Policy and Regulations, and Credit Portfolio Management also evaluate risk management activities. These evaluations are reviewed with management and the Audit Committee, as appropriate.

MARKET UNCERTAINTIES AND PROSPECTIVE TRENDS

Given the significant current uncertainties that exist within the housing and credit markets, it is anticipated that the remainder of 2008 and 2009 will continue to be challenging for FHN. While the reduction of mortgage banking operations is expected to significantly decrease sensitivity to market pricing uncertainty, FHN will continue to be affected by market factors as it disposes of the remaining loan warehouse and attempts to reduce the remaining servicing portfolio. In addition, current volatility and reduced liquidity in the capital markets may adversely impact market execution putting continued pressure on revenues. As difficulties in the credit markets persist, FHN will continue to adapt its liquidity management strategies. Further deterioration of general economic conditions, or the housing market alone, could result in increased credit costs depending on the length and depth of this market cycle.

INTEREST RATE RISK MANAGEMENT

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in prevailing interest rates will adversely affect assets, liabilities, capital, income and/or expense at different times or in different amounts. ALCO, a committee consisting of senior management that meets regularly, is responsible for coordinating the financial management of interest rate risk. FHN primarily manages interest rate risk by structuring the balance sheet to attempt to maintain the desired level of associated earnings while operating within prudent risk limits and thereby preserving the value of FHN's capital.

Net interest income and the financial condition of FHN are affected by changes in the level of market interest rates as the repricing characteristics of loans and other assets do not necessarily match those of deposits, other borrowings and capital. To the extent that earning assets reprice more quickly than liabilities, this position should benefit net interest income in a rising interest rate environment and could negatively impact net interest income in a declining interest rate environment. In the case of floating rate assets and liabilities with similar repricing frequencies, FHN may also be exposed to basis risk, which results from changing spreads between earning and borrowing rates. Generally, when interest rates decline, Mortgage Banking faces increased prepayment risk associated with MSR.

In certain cases, derivative financial instruments are used to aid in managing the exposure of the balance sheet and related net interest income and noninterest income to changes in interest rates. As discussed in Critical Accounting Policies, derivative financial instruments are used by mortgage banking for two purposes. First, forward sales contracts and futures contracts are used to protect against changes in fair value of the pipeline and mortgage warehouse (refer to discussion of Pipeline and Warehouse under Critical Accounting Policies) from the time an interest rate is committed to the customer until the mortgage is sold into the secondary market due to increases in interest rates. Second, interest rate contracts are utilized to protect against MSR prepayment risk that generally accompanies declining interest rates. As interest rates fall, the value of MSR should decrease and the value of the servicing hedge should increase. The converse is also true.

Derivative instruments are also used to protect against the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in the fair value of capital markets' securities inventory due to changes in interest rates. FHN does not use derivative instruments to protect against changes in fair value of loans or loans held for sale other than the mortgage pipeline, warehouse and certain small issuer trust preferred loans.

In addition to the balance sheet impacts, fee income and noninterest expense may be affected by actual changes in interest rates or expectations of changes. Mortgage banking revenue, which is generated from originating, selling and servicing residential mortgage loans, is highly sensitive to changes in interest rates due to the direct effect changes in interest rates have on loan demand. In general, low or declining interest rates typically lead to increased origination fees and profit from the sale of loans but potentially lower servicing-related income due to the impact of higher loan prepayments on the value of mortgage servicing assets. Conversely, high or rising interest rates typically reduce mortgage loan demand and hence income from originations and sales of loans while servicing-related income may rise due to lower prepayments. Prior to the sale of certain mortgage banking operations to MetLife, the earnings impact from originations and sales of loans on total earnings was more significant than servicing-related income. Given the repositioning of mortgage banking operations in third quarter 2008, the origination activity has been significantly reduced therefore limiting interest rate risk exposure. Net interest income earned on warehouse loans held for sale and on swaps and similar derivative instruments used to protect the value of MSR increases when the yield curve steepens and decreases when the yield curve flattens or inverts. In addition, a flattening or inverted yield curve negatively impacts the demand for fixed income securities and, therefore, Capital Markets' revenue.

LIQUIDITY MANAGEMENT

ALCO focuses on the funding of assets with liabilities of the appropriate duration, while mitigating the risk of not meeting unexpected cash needs. The objective of liquidity management is to ensure the continuous availability of funds to meet the demands of depositors, other creditors and borrowers, and the requirements of ongoing operations. This objective is met by maintaining liquid assets in the form of trading securities and securities available for sale, growing core deposits, and the repayment of loans. ALCO is responsible for managing these needs by taking into account the marketability of assets; the sources, stability and availability of funding; and the level of unfunded commitments. Subject to market conditions and compliance with applicable regulatory requirements from time to time, funds are available from a number of sources, including core deposits, the securities available for sale portfolio, the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB), the Federal Reserve Banks, access to Federal Reserve Bank programs such as the Term Auction Facility (TAF) and Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP), availability to the overnight and term Federal Funds markets, and dealer and commercial customer repurchase agreements.

Core deposits are a significant source of funding and have been a stable source of liquidity for banks. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insures these deposits to the extent authorized by law. For the third quarter 2008 and 2007, the total loans, excluding loans held for sale and real estate loans pledged against other collateralized borrowings, to core deposits ratio was 170 percent and 161 percent, respectively. The ratio is expected to continue to decline as the national construction loan portfolios decrease. FHN periodically evaluates its liquidity position in conjunction with determining its ability and intent to hold loans for the foreseeable future.

In 2005, FTBNA established a bank note program providing additional liquidity of \$5.0 billion. This bank note program is being replaced with less credit sensitive sources of funding including secured sources such as FHLB borrowings and the Federal Reserve Term Auction Facility (TAF). On September 30, 2008, \$3.4 billion was outstanding through the bank note program with \$2 billion scheduled to mature by the first half of 2009.

FHN and FTBNA have the ability to generate liquidity by incurring other debt subject to market conditions and compliance with applicable regulatory requirements from time to time. FHN evaluates alternative sources of funding, including loan sales, securitizations, syndications, and FHLB borrowings in its management of liquidity.

The Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows provide information on cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2007. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, net cash used in financing activities exceeded positive cash flows from operating and investing activities. Negative cash flows from financing activities was primarily due to a decline in deposits as FHN reduced its use of wholesale funding source in response to the credit market disruptions that started in third quarter 2007, as well as deposits transferred related to the First Horizon Bank branch and the mortgage banking divestitures. This was offset by positive cash flows of \$.7 billion provided by the common stock issuance in the second quarter 2008. Impacting positive financing cash flows in the first nine months of 2007 was an increase in long-term borrowings of \$1.2 billion. Cash provided by operating activities was positively impacted by a decrease in loans held for sale partially offset by an increase in capital markets receivables. Also positively impacting operating cash flows was an increase in capital markets payables. Cash provided by investing activities was \$.5 million in 2008 compared to \$.2 million in 2007.

Parent company liquidity is maintained by cash flows stemming from dividends and interest payments collected from subsidiaries along with net proceeds from stock sales through employee plans, which represent the primary source of funds to pay cash dividends to shareholders and interest to debt holders. The amount paid to the parent company through FTBNA common dividends is managed as part of FHN's overall cash management process, subject to applicable regulatory restrictions. The parent company also has the ability to enhance its liquidity position by raising equity or incurring debt subject to market conditions and compliance with applicable regulatory requirements from time to time. See Note 15 – Other Items for a discussion of FHN's participation in the U.S Treasury's CPP.

Certain regulatory restrictions exist regarding the ability of FTBNA to transfer funds to FHN in the form of cash, common dividends, loans or advances. At any given time, the pertinent portions of those regulatory restrictions allow FTBNA to declare preferred or common dividends without prior regulatory approval in an amount equal to FTBNA's retained net income for the two most recent completed years plus the current year to date. For any period, FTBNA's 'retained net income' generally is equal to FTBNA's regulatory net income reduced by the preferred and common dividends declared by FTBNA. One effect of this regulatory calculation method is that the amount available for preferred or common dividends by FTBNA without prior regulatory approval can change substantially at the beginning of each new fiscal year compared with the last day of the year just completed. However, due to the net retained loss experienced in 2007, during 2008, FTBNA's excess dividends in the year 2007 may be applied against retained net income for the year 2005. Applying the applicable rules, FTBNA's total amount available for dividends was (\$74.0) million at December 31, 2007 and at January 1, 2008. Earnings (or losses) and dividends declared during 2008 will change the amount available during 2008 until December 31. During 2009, FTBNA's excess dividends in the year 2007 may be applied against the net retained net income for the years 2005 and 2006 so the amount available for dividends at January 1, 2009 will be the same as that available at December 31, 2008.

FTBNA obtained approval from the OCC to declare and pay dividends on its preferred stock outstanding payable in April, July, and October 2008, and recently requested similar approval for dividends on that class of stock payable in January 2009. FTBNA has not requested approval to pay common dividends to its sole common stockholder, FHN. Although FHN has funds available for dividends even without FTBNA dividends, availability of funds is not the sole factor considered by FHN's Board in deciding whether or not to declare a dividend of any particular size; the Board also must consider FHN's current and prospective capital, liquidity and other needs.

On April 27, 2008, FHN's Board of Directors determined to cease paying cash dividends following the cash dividend of 20 cents per share paid on July 1, 2008. Instead, the Board is paying a dividend in shares of common stock with a value equal to the previous 20 cents per share cash dividend rate. The first quarterly stock dividend was distributed on October 1, 2008 followed by Board approval of the stock dividend to be distributed in January 2009. The Board currently intends to reinstate a cash dividend at an appropriate and prudent level once earnings and other conditions improve sufficiently, consistent with regulatory and other constraints. The Board anticipates that this policy will remain in effect for the foreseeable future.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS AND OTHER CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

First Horizon Home Loans, the former mortgage banking division of FHN, originated conventional conforming and federally insured single-family residential mortgage loans. Likewise, FTN Financial Capital Assets Corporation purchases the same types of loans from customers. Substantially all of these mortgage loans are exchanged for securities, which are issued through investors, including government-sponsored enterprises (GSE), such as Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA) for federally insured loans and Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA) and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC) for conventional loans, and then sold in the secondary markets. Each of the GSE has specific guidelines and criteria for sellers and servicers of loans backing their respective securities. Many private investors are also active in the secondary market as issuers and investors. The risk of credit loss with regard to the principal amount of the loans sold is generally transferred to investors upon sale to the secondary market. To the extent that transferred loans are subsequently determined not to meet the agreed upon qualifications or criteria, the purchaser has the right to return those loans to FHN. In addition, certain mortgage loans are sold to investors with limited or full recourse in the event of mortgage foreclosure (refer to discussion of foreclosure reserves under Critical Accounting Policies). After sale, these loans are not reflected on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

FHN's use of government agencies as an efficient outlet for mortgage loan production was an essential source of liquidity for FHN. During third quarter 2008, approximately \$4.2 billion of conventional and federally insured mortgage loans were securitized and sold by First Horizon Home Loans through these investors.

Historically, certain of FHN's originated loans, including non-conforming first-lien mortgages, second-lien mortgages and HELOC originated primarily through FTBNA, have not conformed to the requirements for sale or securitization through government agencies. FHN pooled and securitized these non-conforming loans in proprietary transactions. After securitization and sale, these loans are not reflected on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. These transactions, which were conducted through single-purpose business trusts, are an efficient way for FHN and other participants in the housing industry to monetize these assets. On September 30, 2008 and 2007, the outstanding principal amount of loans in these off-balance sheet business trusts was \$23.0 billion and \$26.1 billion, respectively. FHN has substantially reduced its origination of these loans in response to disruptions in the credit markets and did not execute a securitization of these loans during the third quarter 2008. Given the historical significance of FHN's origination of non-conforming loans, the use of single-purpose business trusts to securitize these loans was an important source of liquidity to FHN.

FHN has various other financial obligations, which may require future cash payments. Purchase obligations represent obligations under agreements to purchase goods or services that are enforceable and legally binding on FHN and that specify all significant terms, including fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased, fixed, minimum or variable price provisions, and the approximate timing of the transaction. In addition, FHN enters into commitments to extend credit to borrowers, including loan commitments, standby letters of credit, and commercial letters of credit. These commitments do not necessarily represent future cash requirements, in that these commitments often expire without being drawn upon.

MARKET RISK MANAGEMENT

Capital markets buys and sells various types of securities for its customers. When these securities settle on a delayed basis, they are considered forward contracts. Securities inventory positions are generally procured for distribution to customers by the sales staff, and ALCO policies and guidelines have been established with the objective of limiting the risk in managing this inventory.

CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

The capital management objectives of FHN are to provide capital sufficient to cover the risks inherent in FHN's businesses, to maintain excess capital to well-capitalized standards and to assure ready access to the capital markets. Management has a Capital Management committee that is responsible for capital management oversight and provides a forum for addressing management issues related to capital adequacy. The committee reviews sources and uses of capital, key capital ratios, segment economic capital allocation methodologies, and other factors in monitoring and managing current capital levels, as well as potential future sources and uses of capital. The committee also recommends capital management policies, which are submitted for approval to the Enterprise-wide Risk/Return Management Committee and the Board.

OPERATIONAL RISK MANAGEMENT

Operational risk is the risk of loss from inadequate or failed internal processes, people, and systems or from external events. This risk is inherent in all businesses. Management, measurement and reporting of operational risk are overseen by the Operational Risk Committee, which is chaired by the EVP of Risk Management. Key representatives from the business segments, legal, shared services, risk management, and insurance are represented on the committee. Subcommittees manage and report on business continuity planning, information technology, data security, insurance, compliance, records management, product and system development, customer complaint, and reputation risks. Summary reports of the committee's activities and decisions are provided to the Enterprise-wide Risk/Return Management Committee. Emphasis is dedicated to refinement of processes and tools to aid in measuring and managing material operational risks and providing for a culture of awareness and accountability.

COMPLIANCE RISK MANAGEMENT

Compliance risk is the risk of legal or regulatory sanctions, material financial loss, or loss to reputation as a result of failure to comply with laws, regulations, rules, related self-regulatory organization standards, and codes of conduct applicable to banking activities. Management, measurement, and reporting of compliance risk are overseen by the Compliance Risk Committee, which is chaired by SVP Corporate Compliance. Key executives from the business segments, legal, risk management, and service functions are represented on the committee. Summary reports of the committee's activities and decisions are provided to the Enterprise-wide Risk/Return Management Committee, and to the Audit Committee of the Board, as applicable. Reports include the status of regulatory activities, internal compliance program initiatives, and evaluation of emerging compliance risk areas.

CREDIT RISK MANAGEMENT

Credit risk is the risk of loss due to adverse changes in a borrower's ability to meet its financial obligations under agreed upon terms. FHN is subject to credit risk in lending, trading, investing, liquidity/funding and asset management activities. The nature and amount of credit risk depends on the types of transactions, the structure of those transactions and the parties involved. In general, credit risk is incidental to trading, liquidity/funding and asset management activities, while it is central to the profit strategy in lending. As a result, the majority of credit risk is associated with lending activities.

FHN has policies and guidelines, and processes and management committees in place that are designed to assess and monitor credit risks. These are subject to independent review by FHN's Credit Risk Assurance Group, which encompasses both Credit Review and Credit Quality Control functions. The EVP of Credit Risk Assurance is appointed by and reports to the Credit Policy & Executive Committee of the Board. Credit Risk Assurance is charged with providing the Board and executive management with independent, objective, and timely assessments of FHN's portfolio quality, adequacy of credit policies, and credit risk management processes. The Asset Quality Committee has the responsibility of evaluating Management's assessment of current asset quality for each lending product. In addition, the Asset Quality Committee evaluates the projected changes in classified loans, non-performing assets and charge-offs. A primary objective of this committee is to provide information about changing trends in asset quality by region and loan product, and to provide to senior management a current assessment of credit quality as part of the estimation process for determining the allowance for loan losses. The Credit Watch Committee has the primary responsibility of enforcing proper loan risk grading, identifying credit problems and monitoring actions to rehabilitate certain credits. Management also has a Credit Risk Management Committee that is responsible for enterprise-wide credit risk oversight and provides a forum for addressing management issues. The committee approves and recommends credit policies, which are submitted for final approval to the Credit Policy and Executive Committee of the Board, and underwriting guidelines to manage the level and composition of credit risk in its loan portfolio and review performance relative to these policies. In addition, the Financial Counterparty Credit Committee. composed of senior managers, assesses the credit risk of financial counterparties and sets limits for exposure based upon the credit quality of the counterparty. FHN's goal is to manage risk and price loan products based on risk management decisions and strategies. Management strives to identify potential problem loans and nonperforming loans early enough to correct the deficiencies and prevent further credit deterioration. It is management's objective that both charge-offs and asset write-downs are recorded promptly, based on management's assessments of current collateral values and the borrower's ability to repay.

FHN has a significant concentration of loans secured by residential real estate (51 percent of total loans) primarily in three portfolios. The retail real estate residential portfolio (38 percent of total loans) is comprised of primarily home equity lines and loans. While this portfolio is showing increased stress related to loss severities experienced due to the downturn in the housing market and economic conditions in general, it contains loans extended to strong borrowers with high credit scores and is geographically diversified.

The OTC portfolio (6 percent of total loans) has been negatively impacted by the downturn in the housing industry, certain discontinued product types, and the decreased availability of permanent mortgage financing. Portfolio performance issues are more acute in certain volatile markets.

The Residential CRE portfolio (7 percent of total loans) has also been negatively impacted by the housing industry downturn as liquidity has been severely stressed. Similar to the OTC portfolio, Residential CRE portfolio performance was driven by conditions in markets that have been significantly impacted by the downturn.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

APPLICATION OF CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

FHN's accounting policies are fundamental to understanding management's discussion and analysis of results of operations and financial condition. The consolidated condensed financial statements of FHN are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and follow general practices within the industries in which it operates. The preparation of the financial statements requires management to make certain judgments and assumptions in determining accounting estimates. Accounting estimates are considered critical if (a) the estimate requires management to make assumptions about matters that were highly uncertain at the time the accounting estimate was made, and (b) different estimates reasonably could have been used in the current period, or changes in the accounting estimate are reasonably likely to occur from period to period, that would have a material impact on the presentation of FHN's financial condition, changes in financial condition or results of operations.

It is management's practice to discuss critical accounting policies with the Board of Directors' Audit Committee including the development, selection and disclosure of the critical accounting estimates. Management believes the following critical accounting policies are both important to the portrayal of the company's financial condition and results of operations and require subjective or complex judgments. These judgments about critical accounting estimates are based on information available as of the date of the financial statements.

MORTGAGE SERVICING RIGHTS AND OTHER RELATED RETAINED INTERESTS

When FHN sold mortgage loans in the secondary market to investors, it generally retained the right to service the loans sold in exchange for a servicing fee that is collected over the life of the loan as the payments are received from the borrower. An amount was capitalized as MSR on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition at current fair value. The changes in fair value of MSR are included as a component of Mortgage Banking – Noninterest Income on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

MSR Estimated Fair Value

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 156, "Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets – an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 140," FHN has elected fair value accounting for all classes of mortgage servicing rights. The fair value of MSR typically rises as market interest rates increase and declines as market interest rates decrease; however, the extent to which this occurs depends in part on (1) the magnitude of changes in market interest rates, and (2) the differential between the then current market interest rates for mortgage loans and the mortgage interest rates included in the mortgage-servicing portfolio.

Since sales of MSR tend to occur in private transactions and the precise terms and conditions of the sales are typically not readily available, there is a limited market to refer to in determining the fair value of MSR. As such, like other participants in the mortgage banking business, FHN relies primarily on a discounted cash flow model to estimate the fair value of its MSR. This model calculates estimated fair value of the MSR using predominant risk characteristics of MSR, such as interest rates, type of product (fixed vs. variable), age (new, seasoned, and moderate), agency type and other factors. FHN uses assumptions in the model that it believes are comparable to those used by other participants in the mortgage banking business and reviews estimated fair values and assumptions with third-party brokers and other service providers on a quarterly basis. FHN also compares its estimates of fair value and assumptions to recent market activity and against its own experience.

Estimating the cash flow components of net servicing income from the loan and the resultant fair value of the MSR requires FHN to make several critical assumptions based upon current market and loan production data.

Prepayment Speeds: Generally, when market interest rates decline and other factors favorable to prepayments occur there is a corresponding increase in prepayments as customers refinance existing mortgages under more favorable interest rate terms. When a mortgage loan is prepaid the anticipated cash flows associated with servicing that loan are terminated, resulting in a reduction of the fair value of the capitalized MSR. To the extent that actual borrower prepayments do not react as anticipated by the prepayment model (i.e., the historical data observed in the model does not correspond to actual market activity), it is possible that the prepayment model could fail to accurately predict mortgage prepayments and could result in significant earnings volatility. To estimate prepayment speeds, FHN utilizes a third-party prepayment model, which is based upon statistically derived data linked to certain key principal indicators involving historical borrower prepayment activity associated with mortgage loans in the secondary market, current market interest rates and other factors, including FHN's own historical prepayment experience. For purposes of model valuation, estimates are made for each product type within the MSR portfolio on a monthly basis.

Table 10 - Mortgage Banking Prepayment Assumptions

| | Three Months September | |
|-------------------|------------------------|-------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Prepayment speeds | | |
| Actual | 9.7% | 13.3% |
| Estimated* | 21.8 | 14.7 |

^{*} Estimated prepayment speeds represent monthly average prepayment speed estimates for each of the periods presented.

<u>Discount Rate:</u> Represents the rate at which expected cash flows are discounted to arrive at the net present value of servicing income. Discount rates will change with market conditions (i.e., supply vs. demand) and be reflective of the yields expected to be earned by market participants investing in MSR.

<u>Cost to Service</u>: Expected costs to service are estimated based upon the incremental costs that a market participant would use in evaluating the potential acquisition of MSR.

<u>Float Income:</u> Estimated float income is driven by expected float balances (principal, interest and escrow payments that are held pending remittance to the investor or other third party) and current market interest rates, including the thirty-day London Inter-Bank Offered Rate (LIBOR) and five-year swap interest rates, which are updated on a monthly basis for purposes of estimating the fair value of MSR.

FHN engages in a process referred to as "price discovery" on a quarterly basis to assess the reasonableness of the estimated fair value of MSR. Price discovery is conducted through a process of obtaining the following information: (a) quarterly informal (and an annual formal) valuation of the servicing portfolio by prominent independent mortgage-servicing brokers, and (b) a collection of surveys and benchmarking data made available by independent third parties that include peer participants in the mortgage banking business. Although there is no single source of market information that can be relied upon to assess the fair value of MSR, FHN reviews all information obtained during price discovery to determine whether the estimated fair value of MSR is reasonable when compared to market information. On September 30, 2008 and 2007, FHN determined that its MSR valuations and assumptions were reasonable based on the price discovery process.

The First Horizon Risk Management Committee (FHRMC) reviews the overall assessment of the estimated fair value of MSR monthly. The FHRMC is responsible for approving the critical assumptions used by management to determine the estimated fair value of First Horizon's MSR. In addition, FHN's MSR Committee reviews the initial capitalization rates for newly originated MSR, the assessment of the fair value of MSR and the source of significant changes to the MSR carrying value each quarter.

Hedging the Fair Value of MSR

FHN enters into financial agreements to hedge MSR in order to minimize the effects of loss in value of MSR associated with increased prepayment activity that generally results from declining interest rates. In a rising interest rate environment, the value of the MSR generally will increase while the value of the hedge instruments will decline. Specifically, FHN enters into interest rate contracts (including swaps, swaptions and mortgage forward sales contracts) to hedge against the effects of changes in fair value of its MSR. Substantially all capitalized MSR are hedged. The hedges are economic hedges only, and are terminated and reestablished as needed to respond to changes in market conditions. Changes in the value of the hedges are recognized as a component of net servicing income in mortgage banking noninterest income. Successful economic hedging will help minimize earnings volatility that may result from carrying MSR at fair value. Subsequent to the sale of mortgage banking operations to MetLife, FHN determines the fair value of the derivatives used to hedge MSR (and excess interests as discussed below) using inputs observed in active markets for similar instruments with typical inputs including the LIBOR curve, option volatility and option skew. Prior to the MetLife transaction, fair values of these derivatives were obtained through proprietary pricing models which are compared to market value quotes received from third party broker-dealers in the derivative markets.

In conjunction with the repositioning of its mortgage banking operations, FHN no longer retains servicing on the loans it sells. In prior periods, FHN generally experienced increased loan origination and production in periods of low interest rates which resulted in the capitalization of new MSR associated with new production. This provided for a "natural hedge" in the mortgage-banking business cycle. New production and origination did not prevent FHN from recognizing losses due to reduction in carrying value of existing servicing rights as a result of prepayments; rather, the new production volume resulted in loan origination fees and the capitalization of MSR as a component of realized gains related to the sale of such loans in the secondary market, thus the natural hedge, which tended to offset a portion of the reduction in MSR carrying value during a period of low interest rates. In a period of increased borrower prepayments, these losses could have been significantly offset by a strong replenishment rate and strong net margins on new loan originations. To the extent that First Horizon Home Loans was unable to maintain a strong replenishment rate, or in the event that the net margin on new loan originations declined from historical experience, the value of the natural hedge might have diminished, thereby significantly impacting the results of operations in a period of increased borrower prepayments.

FHN does not specifically hedge the change in fair value of MSR attributed to other risks, including unanticipated prepayments (representing the difference between actual prepayment experience and estimated prepayments derived from the model, as described above), basis risk (meaning, the risk that changes in the benchmark interest rate may not correlate to changes in the mortgage market interest rate), discount rates, cost to service and other factors. To the extent that these other factors result in changes to the fair value of MSR, FHN experiences volatility in current earnings due to the fact that these risks are not currently hedged.

Excess Interest (Interest-Only Strips) Fair Value - Residential Mortgage Loans

In certain cases, when FHN sold mortgage loans in the secondary market, it retained an interest in the mortgage loans sold primarily through excess interest. These financial assets represent rights to receive earnings from serviced assets that exceed contractually specified servicing fees and are legally separable from the base servicing rights. Consistent with MSR, the fair value of excess interest typically rises as market interest rates increase and declines as market interest rates decrease. Additionally, similar to MSR, the market for excess interest is limited, and the precise terms of transactions involving excess interest are not typically readily available. Accordingly, FHN relies primarily on a discounted cash flow model to estimate the fair value of its excess interest.

Estimating the cash flow components and the resultant fair value of the excess interest requires FHN to make certain critical assumptions based upon current market and loan production data. The primary critical assumptions used by FHN to estimate the fair value of excess interest include prepayment speeds and discount rates, as discussed above. FHN's excess interest is included as a component of trading securities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition, with realized and unrealized gains and losses included in current earnings as a component of mortgage banking income on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

Hedging the Fair Value of Excess Interest

FHN utilizes derivatives (including swaps, swaptions and mortgage forward sales contracts) that change in value inversely to the movement of interest rates to protect the value of its excess interest as an economic hedge. Realized and unrealized gains and losses associated with the change in fair value of derivatives used in the economic hedge of excess interest are included in current earnings in mortgage banking noninterest income as a component of servicing income. Excess interest is included in trading securities with changes in fair value recognized currently in earnings in mortgage banking noninterest income as a component of servicing income.

The extent to which the change in fair value of excess interest is offset by the change in fair value of the derivatives used to hedge this asset depends primarily on the hedge coverage ratio maintained by FHN. Also, as noted above, to the extent that actual borrower prepayments do not react as anticipated by the prepayment model (i.e., the historical data observed in the model does not correspond to actual market activity), it is possible that the prepayment model could fail to accurately predict mortgage prepayments, which could significantly impact FHN's ability to effectively hedge certain components of the change in fair value of excess interest and could result in significant earnings volatility.

Principal Only and Subordinated Bond Certificates

In some instances, FHN retained interests in the loans it securitized by retaining certificated principal only strips or subordinated bonds. Subsequent to the MetLife transaction, FHN uses observable inputs such as trades of similar instruments, yield curves, credit spreads and consensus prepayment speeds to determine the fair value of principal only strips. Prior to the MetLife transaction, FHN used the market prices from comparable assets such as publicly traded FNMA trust principal only strips that are adjusted to reflect the relative risk difference between readily marketable securities and privately issued securities in valuing the principal only strips. The fair value of subordinated bonds is determined using the best available market information, which may include trades of comparable securities, independently provided spreads to other marketable securities, and published market research. Where no market information is available, the company utilizes an internal valuation model. As of September 30, 2008, no market information was available, and the subordinated bonds were valued using an internal model which includes assumptions about timing, frequency and severity of loss, prepayment speeds of the underlying collateral, and the yield that a market participant would require. The assumptions were consistent with those embedded in the December 31, 2007 values, when there was more market information available, except that loss frequency and loss severity assumptions were worsened consistent with published industry cumulative historical loss information and published market projections of future deteriorations in real estate values. As of September 30, 2007, the subordinated bonds were valued using trades of comparable market securities and independently provided spreads. Both the principal only strips and the subordinated bonds are collateralized by prime or Alt-A jumbo loans which FHN originated and sold into private label securitizations, primarily in 2006 and 2007. FHN does not utilize derivatives to hedge against changes in the fair value of these certificates.

Residual-Interest Certificates Fair Value – HELOC and Second-lien Mortgages

In certain cases, when FHN sold HELOC or second-lien mortgages in the secondary market, it retained an interest in the loans sold primarily through a residual-interest certificate. Residual-interest certificates are financial assets which represent rights to receive earnings to the extent of excess income generated by the underlying loan collateral of certain mortgage-backed securities, which is not needed to meet contractual obligations of senior security holders. The fair value of a residual-interest certificate typically changes based on the differences between modeled prepayment speeds and credit losses and actual experience. Additionally, similar to MSR and interest-only certificates, the market for residual-interest certificates is limited, and the precise terms of transactions involving residual-interest certificates are not typically readily available. Accordingly, FHN relies primarily on a discounted cash flow model, which is prepared monthly, to estimate the fair value of its residual-interest certificates.

Estimating the cash flow components and the resultant fair value of the residual-interest certificates requires FHN to make certain critical assumptions based upon current market and loan production data. The primary critical assumptions used by FHN to estimate the fair value of residual-interest certificates include prepayment speeds, credit losses and discount rates, as discussed above. FHN's residual-interest certificates are included as a component of trading securities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition, with realized and unrealized gains and losses included in current earnings as a component of other income on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income. FHN does not utilize derivatives to hedge against changes in the fair value of residual-interest certificates.

Sensitivity of MSR and Other Retained Interests

The sensitivity of the current fair value of all retained or purchased interests for MSR, net of offsetting fair value liabilities, to immediate 10 percent and 20 percent adverse changes in assumptions on September 30, 2008, are as follows:

Table 11 - Sensitivity of the Current Fair Value of All Retained or Purchased Interest for MSR

| (Dollars in thousands | First | Second | | | |
|--|-------------|---------|-------|--------|--|
| except for annual cost to service) | Liens | Liens | HEL | HELOC | |
| September 30, 2008 | | | | | |
| Fair value of retained interests | \$ 770,621 | 17,526 | \$ 10 |),345 | |
| Weighted average life (in years) | 5.2 | 2.3 | | 2.3 | |
| Annual prepayment rate | 16.9% | 34.7% | | 35.0% | |
| Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change | \$ (31,588) | (1,317) | \$ | (705) | |
| Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change | (60,398) | (2,501) | (1 | 1,345) | |
| Annual discount rate on servicing cash flows | 10.4% | 14.0% | | 18.0% | |
| Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change | \$ (16,260) | (436) | \$ | (301) | |
| Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change | (32,520) | (851) | | (584) | |
| Annual cost to service (per loan)* | \$ 53 5 | 50 | \$ | 50 | |
| Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change | (7,549) | (365) | | (290) | |
| Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change | (15,098) | (728) | | (581) | |
| Annual earnings on escrow | 3.6% | 2.2% | | 2.1% | |
| Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change | \$ (23,103) | (308) | \$ | (174) | |
| Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change | (44,635) | (617) | | (348) | |

^{*} The annual cost to service includes an incremental cost to service delinquent loans. Historically, this fair value sensitivity disclosure has not included this incremental cost. The annual cost to service first-lien mortgage loans without the incremental cost to service delinquent loans was \$49 as of September 30, 2008.

The sensitivity of the current fair value of retained interests for other residuals, net of offsetting fair value liabilities, to immediate 10 percent and 20 percent adverse changes in assumptions on September 30, 2008, are as follows:

Table 12 - Sensitivity of the Current Fair Value for Other Residuals

| | | | | | | | | Residual | | esidual |
|--|----------------|----|-------------|------------|----|-------------|----|-------------|--------|------------|
| | Excess | | | | | |] | Interest | I | nterest |
| (Dollars in thousands | Interest | Cε | ertificated | | Sι | ibordinated | Ce | ertificates | Ce | rtificates |
| except for annual cost to service) | IO | | PO | IO | | Bonds | 21 | nd Liens | Н | IELOC |
| September 30, 2008 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fair value of retained interests | \$ 251,305 | \$ | 14,335 | \$ 418 | \$ | 12,511 | \$ | 3,711 | \$ | 3,713 |
| Weighted average life (in years) | 5.6 | | 3.9 | 5.8 | | 5.9 | | 2.6 | | 2.2 |
| Annual prepayment rate | 14.3% | | 23.1% | 15.3% | , | 14.3% |) | 30.0% | ,) | 28.0% |
| Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change | \$ (12,649) | \$ | (566) | \$ (23) | \$ | (519) | \$ | (38) | \$ | (390) |
| Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change | (24,290) | | (1,198) | (45) | | (1,020) | | (72) | | (731) |
| Annual discount rate on residual cash flows Impact on fair value of 10% adverse | 12.3% | | 16.3% | 12.3% | , | 33.5% |) | 35.0% | D | 33.0% |
| change Impact on fair value of 20% adverse | \$ (9,556) | \$ | (490) | \$ (17) | \$ | (500) | \$ | (142) | \$ | (403) |
| change | (18,418) | | (948) | (32) | | (961) | | (269) | | (746) |

These sensitivities are hypothetical and should not be considered to be predictive of future performance. As the figures indicate, changes in fair value based on a 10 percent variation in assumptions generally cannot necessarily be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in assumption to the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, in this table, the effect of a variation in a particular assumption on the fair value of the retained interest is calculated independently from any change in another assumption. In reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another, which might magnify or counteract the sensitivities. Furthermore, the estimated fair values as disclosed should not be considered indicative of future earnings on these assets.

PIPELINE AND WAREHOUSE

As a result of the MetLife transaction, mortgage banking origination activity will be significantly reduced in periods after third quarter 2008 as FHN focuses on origination within its regional banking footprint. Accordingly, the following discussion of pipeline and warehouse related derivatives is primarily applicable to reporting periods occurring through the third quarter 2008.

During the period of loan origination and prior to the sale of mortgage loans in the secondary market, FHN has exposure to mortgage loans that are in the "mortgage pipeline" and the "mortgage warehouse". The mortgage pipeline consists of loan applications that have been received, but have not yet closed as loans. Pipeline loans are either "floating" or "locked". A floating pipeline loan is one on which an interest rate has not been locked by the borrower. A locked pipeline loan is one on which the potential borrower has set the interest rate for the loan by entering into an interest rate lock commitment. Once a mortgage loan is closed and funded, it is included within the mortgage warehouse, or the "inventory" of mortgage loans that are awaiting sale and delivery (at quarter end an average of approximately 35 days) into the secondary market.

Interest rate lock commitments are derivatives pursuant to SFAS 133 and are therefore recorded at estimates of fair value. Effective January 1, 2008, FHN applied the provisions of Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 109, "Written Loan Commitments Recorded at Fair Value Through Earnings" (SAB No. 109) prospectively for derivative loan commitments issued or modified after that date. SAB No. 109 requires inclusion of expected net future cash flows related to loan servicing activities in the fair value measurement of a written loan commitment. Also on January 1, 2008, FHN adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" (SFAS No. 157), which affected the valuation of interest rate lock commitments previously measured under the guidance of EITF 02-3, "Issues Involved in Accounting for Derivative Contracts Held for Trading Purposes and Contracts Involved in Energy Trading and Risk Management Activities".

FHN adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities - Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115" (SFAS No. 159) on January 1, 2008. Prior to adoption of SFAS No. 159, all warehouse loans were carried at the lower of cost or market, where carrying value was adjusted for successful hedging under SFAS No. 133 and the comparison of carrying value to market was performed for aggregate loan pools. Upon adoption of SFAS No. 159, FHN elected to prospectively account for substantially all of its mortgage loan warehouse products at fair value upon origination and correspondingly discontinued the application of SFAS No. 133 hedging relationships for these new originations.

The fair value of interest rate lock commitments and the fair value of warehouse loans are impacted principally by changes in interest rates, but also by changes in borrower's credit, and changes in profit margins required by investors for perceived risks (i.e., liquidity). First Horizon Home Loans does not hedge against credit and liquidity risk in the pipeline or warehouse. Third party models are used to manage the interest rate risk.

The fair value of loans whose principal market is the securitization market is based on recent security trade prices for similar product with a similar delivery date, with necessary pricing adjustments to convert the security price to a loan price. Loans whose principal market is the whole loan market are priced based on recent observable whole loan trade prices or published third party bid prices for similar product, with necessary pricing adjustments to reflect differences in loan characteristics. Typical adjustments to security prices for whole loan prices include adding the value of MSR to the security price or to the whole loan price if the price is servicing retained, adjusting for interest in excess of (or less than) the required coupon or note rate, adjustments to reflect differences in the characteristics of the loans being valued as compared to the collateral of the security or the loan characteristics in the benchmark whole loan trade, adding interest carry, reflecting the recourse obligation that will remain after sale, and adjusting for changes in market liquidity or interest rates if the benchmark security or loan price is not current. Additionally, loans that are delinquent or otherwise significantly aged are discounted to reflect the less marketable nature of these loans.

The fair value of FHN's warehouse (first-lien mortgage loans held for sale) changes with fluctuations in interest rates from the loan closing date through the date of sale of the loan into the secondary market. Typically, the fair value of the warehouse declines in value when interest rates increase and rises in value when interest rates decrease. To mitigate this risk, FHN enters into forward sales contracts and futures contracts to provide an economic hedge against those changes in fair value on a significant portion of the warehouse. These derivatives are recorded at fair value with changes in fair value recorded in current earnings as a component of the gain or loss on the sale of loans in mortgage banking noninterest income.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 159, to the extent that these interest rate derivatives were designated to hedge specific similar assets in the warehouse and prospective analyses indicate that high correlation is expected, the hedged loans were considered for hedge accounting under SFAS No. 133. Anticipated correlation was determined by projecting a dollar offset relationship for each tranche based on anticipated changes in the fair value of the hedged mortgage loans and the related derivatives, in response to various interest rate shock scenarios. Hedges were reset daily and the statistical correlation was calculated using these daily data points. Retrospective hedge effectiveness was measured using the regression results. FHN generally maintained a coverage ratio (the ratio of expected change in the fair value of derivatives to expected change in the fair value of hedged assets) of approximately 100 percent on warehouse loans accounted for under SFAS No. 133.

Warehouse loans qualifying for SFAS No. 133 hedge accounting treatment totaled \$1.6 billion on September 30, 2007. The balance sheet impacts of the related derivatives were net liabilities of \$9.5 million on September 30, 2007. Net losses of \$14.5 million representing the ineffective portion of these fair value hedges were recognized as a component of gain or loss on sale of loans for the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

Interest rate lock commitments generally have a term of up to 60 days before the closing of the loan. During this period, the value of the lock changes with changes in interest rates. The interest rate lock commitment does not bind the potential borrower to entering into the loan, nor does it guarantee that First Horizon Home Loans will approve the potential borrower for the loan. Therefore, when determining fair value, First Horizon Home Loans makes estimates of expected "fallout" (locked pipeline loans not expected to close), using models, which consider cumulative historical fallout rates and other factors. Fallout can occur for a variety of reasons including falling rate environments when a borrower will abandon an interest rate lock commitment at one lender and enter into a new lower interest rate lock commitment at another, when a borrower is not approved as an acceptable credit by the lender, or for a variety of other non-economic reasons. Changes in the fair value of interest rate lock commitments are recorded in current earnings as gain or loss on the sale of loans in mortgage banking noninterest income.

Because interest rate lock commitments are derivatives they do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment under SFAS 133. However, FHN economically hedges the risk of changing interest rates by entering into forward sales and futures contracts. The extent to which FHN is able to economically hedge changes in the mortgage pipeline depends largely on the hedge coverage ratio that is maintained relative to mortgage loans in the pipeline. The hedge coverage ratio can change significantly due to changes in market interest rates and the associated forward commitment prices for sales of mortgage loans in the secondary market. Increases or decreases in the hedge coverage ratio can result in significant earnings volatility to FHN.

For the period ended September 30, 2008, the valuation model utilized to estimate the fair value of loan applications locked prospectively from January 1, 2008, recognizes the full fair value of the ultimate loan adjusted for estimated fallout and estimated cost assumptions a market participant would use to convert the lock into a loan. The fair value of interest rate lock commitments was \$.3 million on September 30, 2008. For the period ended September 30, 2007, the valuation model utilized to estimate the fair value of interest rate lock commitments assumed a zero fair value on the date of the lock with the borrower. Subsequent to the lock date, the model calculated the change in value due solely to the change in interest rates and estimated fallout resulting in a net liability with an estimated fair value of \$5.8 million on September 30, 2007.

FORECLOSURE RESERVES

As discussed above, FHN originated mortgage loans with the intent to sell those loans to GSE and other private investors in the secondary market. Certain of the mortgage loans are sold with limited or full recourse in the event of foreclosure. On both September 30, 2008 and 2007, the outstanding principal balance of mortgage loans sold with limited recourse arrangements where some portion of the principal is at risk and serviced by FHN was \$3.3 billion. Additionally, on September 30, 2008 and 2007, \$.7 billion and \$4.9 billion, respectively, of mortgage loans were outstanding which were sold under limited recourse arrangements where the risk is limited to interest and servicing advances. On September 30, 2008 and 2007, \$83.4 million and \$104.6 million, respectively, of mortgage loans were outstanding which were serviced under full recourse arrangements.

Loans sold with limited recourse include loans sold under government guaranteed mortgage loan programs including the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) and Veterans Administration (VA). FHN continues to absorb losses due to uncollected interest and foreclosure costs and/or limited risk of credit losses in the event of foreclosure of the mortgage loan sold. Generally, the amount of recourse liability in the event of foreclosure is determined based upon the respective government program and/or the sale or disposal of the foreclosed property collateralizing the mortgage loan. Another instance of limited recourse is the VA/No bid. In this case, the VA guarantee is limited and FHN may be required to fund any deficiency in excess of the VA guarantee if the loan goes to foreclosure.

Loans sold with full recourse generally include mortgage loans sold to investors in the secondary market which are uninsurable under government guaranteed mortgage loan programs, due to issues associated with underwriting activities, documentation or other concerns.

Management closely monitors historical experience, borrower payment activity, current economic trends and other risk factors, and establishes a reserve for foreclosure losses for loans sold with limited recourse, loans serviced with full recourse, and loans sold with general representations and warranties, including early payment defaults. Management believes the foreclosure reserve is sufficient to cover incurred foreclosure losses relating to loans being serviced as well as loans sold where the servicing was not retained. The reserve for foreclosure losses is based upon a historical progression model using a rolling 12-month average, which predicts the probability or frequency of a mortgage loan entering foreclosure. In addition, other factors are considered, including qualitative and quantitative factors (e.g., current economic conditions, past collection experience, risk characteristics of the current portfolio and other factors), which are not defined by historical loss trends or severity of losses. On September 30, 2008 and 2007, the foreclosure reserve was \$36.7 million and \$12.9 million, respectively. Table 13 provides a summary of reserves for foreclosure losses for the periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2007. The servicing portfolio has decreased from \$108.4 billion on September 30, 2008, while the foreclosure reserve has experienced increases primarily due to increases in both frequency and severity of projected losses.

Table 13 - Reserves for Foreclosure Losses

| | Three Months Ended September 30 | | | | | | | | Nine Months Ended September 30 | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|----|---------|------|----------|----|---------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | 2008 2007 | | | 2008 | | | 2007 | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ | 38,463 | \$ | 14,627 | \$ | 16,160 | \$ | 14,036 | | | | |
| Provision for foreclosure losses | | 3,397 | | (881) | | 25,153 | | 5,229 | | | | |
| Transfers* | | (1,834) | | (235) | | 5,528 | | (372) | | | | |
| Charge-offs | | (3,617) | | (1,948) | | (10,713) | | (8,306) | | | | |
| Recoveries | | 317 | | 1,330 | | 598 | | 2,306 | | | | |
| Ending balance | \$ | 36,726 | \$ | 12,893 | \$ | 36,726 | \$ | 12,893 | | | | |

^{*} Primarily represents reserves established against servicing advances for which the related MSR has been legally sold.

Amounts are transferred to the foreclosure reserve when the advances are delivered to the buyer but recourse to FHN remains.

ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

Management's policy is to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level sufficient to absorb estimated probable incurred losses in the loan portfolio. Management performs periodic and systematic detailed reviews of its loan portfolio to identify trends and to assess the overall collectibility of the loan portfolio. Accounting standards require that loan losses be recorded when management determines it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Management believes the accounting estimate related to the allowance for loan losses is a "critical accounting estimate" because: changes in it can materially affect the provision for loan losses and net income, it requires management to predict borrowers' likelihood or capacity to repay, and it requires management to distinguish between losses incurred as of a balance sheet date and losses expected to be incurred in the future. Accordingly, this is a highly subjective process and requires significant judgment since it is often difficult to determine when specific loss events may actually occur. The allowance for loan losses is increased by the provision for loan losses and recoveries and is decreased by charged-off loans. This critical accounting estimate applies primarily to the Regional Banking, National Specialty Lending, and Capital Markets segments. The Credit Policy and Executive Committees of FHN's board of directors review quarterly the level of the allowance for loan losses.

FHN's methodology for estimating the allowance for loan losses is not only critical to the accounting estimate, but to the credit risk management function as well. Key components of the estimation process are as follows: (1) commercial loans determined by management to be individually impaired loans are evaluated individually and specific reserves are determined based on the difference between the outstanding loan amount and the estimated net realizable value of the collateral (if collateral dependent) or the present value of expected future cash flows; (2) individual commercial loans not considered to be individually impaired are segmented based on similar credit risk characteristics and evaluated on a pool basis; (3) reserve rates for the commercial segment are calculated based on historical charge-offs and are adjusted by management to reflect current events, trends and conditions (including economic factors and trends); (4) management's estimate of probable incurred losses reflects the reserve rate applied against the balance of loans in the commercial segment of the loan portfolio; (5) retail loans are segmented based on loan type; (6) reserve amounts for each retail portfolio segment are calculated using analytical models based on loss experience adjusted by management to reflect current events, trends and conditions (including economic factors and trends); and (7) the reserve amount for each retail portfolio segment reflects management's estimate of probable incurred losses in the retail segment of the loan portfolio.

Principal loan amounts are charged off against the allowance for loan losses in the period in which the loan or any portion of the loan is deemed to be uncollectible.

Given the substantial instability in the current housing market and significant deterioration experienced in the commercial, one-time-close (OTC) and home equity portfolios, FHN proactively reviews and analyzes these portfolios to more promptly identify and resolve problem loans.

For commercial loans, reserves are established using historical loss factors by grade level. Relationship managers risk rate each loan using grades that reflect both the probability of default and estimated loss severity in the event of default. Portfolio reviews are conducted quarterly by senior credit officers to provide independent oversight of risk grading decisions for larger credits. Loans with emerging weaknesses receive increased oversight through our "Watch List" process. For new "Watch List" loans, senior credit management reviews risk grade appropriateness and action plans. After initial identification, relationship managers prepare monthly updates for review and discussion by more senior business line and credit officers. This oversight is intended to bring consistent grading and allow timely identification of loans that need to be further downgraded or placed on non-accrual status. When a loan becomes classified, the asset generally transfers to the specialists in our Loan Rehab and Recovery group where the accounts receive more detailed monitoring; at this time, new appraisals are typically ordered for real estate collateral dependent credits. Loans are placed on non-accrual if it becomes evident that full collection of principal and interest is at risk or if the loans become 90 days or more past due.

Generally, classified non-accrual loans over \$1 million are deemed to be impaired in accordance with SFAS 114 "Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan" and are assessed for impairment measurement. For impaired assets viewed as collateral dependent, fair value estimates are obtained from a recently received and reviewed appraisal. Appraised values are adjusted down for costs associated with asset disposal and for our estimate of any further deterioration in values since the most recent appraisal. Upon the determination of impairment, FHN charges off the full difference between book value and our best estimate of the asset's net realizable value. The total value of impaired loans considered collateral dependent at September 30, 2008 was \$364.1 million.

For OTC real estate construction loans, reserve levels are established based on portfolio modeling and monthly portfolio reviews conducted with business line managers and credit officers. The inherent risk in credits is examined and evaluated based on factors such as draw inactivity and borrower conditions, often recognizing problems prior to delinquency. In addition, OTC loans that reach 90 days past due are placed on non-accrual. A new appraisal is ordered for loans that reach 90 days past due or are classified as substandard during the monthly portfolio review. Loans are initially written down to current appraised value. Loans are then assessed for charge down again when they reach 180 days past due, and again when they are taken into OREO.

For home equity loans and lines, reserve levels are established through the use of several models that look at historical losses, cumulative vintage performance, and roll rates. Loans are classified substandard at 90 days delinquent. Our collateral position is assessed prior to the asset becoming 180 days delinquent. If the value does not support foreclosure, balances are charged-off and other avenues of recovery are pursued. If the value supports foreclosure, the loan is charged down to net realizable value and is placed on non-accrual status. When collateral is taken to OREO, the asset is assessed for further write down to appraised value.

FHN believes that the critical assumptions underlying the accounting estimate made by management include: (1) the commercial loan portfolio has been properly risk graded based on information about borrowers in specific industries and specific issues with respect to single borrowers; (2) borrower specific information made available to FHN is current and accurate; (3) the loan portfolio has been segmented properly and individual loans have similar credit risk characteristics and will behave similarly; (4) known significant loss events that have occurred were considered by management at the time of assessing the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses; (5) the economic factors utilized in the allowance for loan losses estimate are used as a measure of actual incurred losses; (6) the period of history used for historical loss factors is indicative of the current environment; and (7) the reserve rates, as well as other adjustments estimated by management for current events, trends, and conditions, utilized in the process reflect an estimate of losses that have been incurred as of the date of the financial statements.

While management uses the best information available to establish the allowance for loan losses, future adjustments to the allowance for loan losses and methodology may be necessary if economic or other conditions differ substantially from the assumptions used in making the estimates or, if required by regulators, based upon information at the time of their examinations. Such adjustments to original estimates, as necessary, are made in the period in which these factors and other relevant considerations indicate that loss levels vary from previous estimates.

In the fourth quarter 2007, FHN's quarterly review of the allowance for loan and lease losses included additional reviews of the adequacy of the allowance associated with residential real estate portfolios in light of the strongly adverse real estate market conditions that unfolded in the last half of 2007. It was determined that loan losses were increasing due to the likelihood of default and the severity of inherent losses within the residential real estate loan portfolios. This is primarily a result of rapid material declines in collateral values as well as certain high risk products and high risk geographic locations within the homebuilder finance and OTC portfolios. This analysis resulted in an increased provision level of \$156.6 million recognized in the fourth quarter 2007.

In first quarter 2008, FHN continued to apply focused portfolio management activities to identify problem assets. The procedures applied for homebuilder finance and OTC portfolios identified additional losses within the OTC portfolio. Additionally, loan level reviews of the commercial real estate and C&I portfolios were conducted, identifying the need for additional provisioning in these portfolios. Home equity loss trends were also reviewed, resulting in the identification of increased loss severities within this portfolio. As a result of these procedures FHN recognized \$240.0 million of provision in the quarter.

In the second quarter 2008, FHN continued to actively review its loan portfolios to identify problem assets and the associated inherent losses. The commercial loan portfolio experienced downward grade migration, negatively impacting required reserves. FHN also continued to charge down impaired commercial loans considered collateral dependent to estimates of fair value less costs to sell. Additionally, enhanced analysis procedures were applied to the home equity portfolio. Higher loss severities more than offset the lower levels of delinquencies experienced in this portfolio. These procedures resulted in FHN recognizing \$220.0 million of provision in the quarter.

In third quarter 2008, FHN maintained an aggressive approach to loan portfolio remediation efforts especially in the winding-down national construction lending portfolios, consistent loss recognition practices for impaired loans considered collateral dependent and ensured adequate reserves that reflect current observable inherent losses in the loan portfolio. The commercial loan portfolio continued to experience downward grade migration driven primarily by homebuilder finance and condominium construction loans and C&I sub-segments impact by residential housing, including financial institutions. During the quarter, FHN undertook an intensive review of exposures to financial institutions which resulted in significant downward grade migration and resultant reserve increase. Further, reviews of the mature, winding-down OTC portfolio resulted in increased provisioning in the third quarter 2008. Procedures applied by FHN resulted in recognition of \$340.0 million of provision in the quarter.

GOODWILL AND ASSESSMENT OF IMPAIRMENT

FHN's policy is to assess goodwill for impairment at the reporting unit level on an annual basis or between annual assessments if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. Impairment is the condition that exists when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. Accounting standards require management to estimate the fair value of each reporting unit in making the assessment of impairment at least annually. As of October 1, 2007, FHN engaged an independent valuation firm to compute the fair value estimates of each reporting unit as part of its annual impairment assessment. The independent valuation utilized three separate valuation methodologies and applied a weighted average to each methodology in order to determine fair value for each reporting unit. The valuation as of October 1, 2007, indicated goodwill impairment for the Mortgage Banking segment. Based on further analysis and events subsequent to the measurement date of October 1, 2007, no additional goodwill impairment was indicated as of December 31, 2007, March 31, 2008, June 30, 2008 or September 30, 2008.

Management believes the accounting estimates associated with determining fair value as part of the goodwill impairment test is a "critical accounting estimate" because estimates and assumptions are made about FHN's future performance and cash flows, as well as other prevailing market factors (interest rates, economic trends, etc.). FHN's policy allows management to make the determination of fair value using internal cash flow models or by engaging independent third parties. If a charge to operations for impairment results, this amount would be reported separately as a component of noninterest expense. This critical accounting estimate applies to the Regional Banking, National Specialty Lending, Mortgage Banking, and Capital Markets business segments. Reporting units have been defined as the same level as the operating business segments.

The impairment testing process conducted by FHN begins by assigning net assets and goodwill to each reporting unit. FHN then completes "step one" of the impairment test by comparing the fair value of each reporting unit (as determined based on the discussion below) with the recorded book value (or "carrying amount") of its net assets, with goodwill included in the computation of the carrying amount. If the fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying amount, goodwill of that reporting unit is not considered impaired, and "step two" of the impairment test is not necessary. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, step two of the impairment test is performed to determine the amount of impairment. Step two of the impairment test compares the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill to the "implied fair value" of that goodwill. The implied fair value of goodwill is computed by assuming all assets and liabilities of the reporting unit would be adjusted to the current fair value, with the offset as an adjustment to goodwill. This adjusted goodwill balance is the implied fair value used in step two. An impairment charge is recognized for the amount by which the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value.

In connection with obtaining the independent valuation, management provided certain data and information that was utilized by the third party in its determination of fair value. This information included budgeted and forecasted earnings of FHN at the reporting unit level. Management believes that this information is a critical assumption underlying the estimate of fair value. The independent third party made other assumptions critical to the process, including discount rates, asset and liability growth rates, and other income and expense estimates, through discussions with management.

While management uses the best information available to estimate future performance for each reporting unit, future adjustments to management's projections may be necessary if conditions differ substantially from the assumptions used in making the estimates.

CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

A liability is contingent if the amount or outcome is not presently known, but may become known in the future as a result of the occurrence of some uncertain future event. FHN estimates its contingent liabilities based on management's estimates about the probability of outcomes and their ability to estimate the range of exposure. Accounting standards require that a liability be recorded if management determines that it is probable that a loss has occurred and the loss can be reasonably estimated. In addition, it must be probable that the loss will be confirmed by some future event. As part of the estimation process, management is required to make assumptions about matters that are by their nature highly uncertain.

The assessment of contingent liabilities, including legal contingencies and income tax liabilities, involves the use of critical estimates, assumptions and judgments. Management's estimates are based on their belief that future events will validate the current assumptions regarding the ultimate outcome of these exposures. However, there can be no assurance that future events, such as court decisions or I.R.S. positions, will not differ from management's assessments. Whenever practicable, management consults with third party experts (attorneys, accountants, claims administrators, etc.) to assist with the gathering and evaluation of information related to contingent liabilities. Based on internally and/or externally prepared evaluations, management makes a determination whether the potential exposure requires accrual in the financial statements.

OTHER ITEMS

FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

As a financial services institution, fair value measurements are applied to a significant portion of FHN's Consolidated Condensed Statement of Condition. A summary of line items significantly affected by fair value measurements, a brief description of current accounting practices and a description of current valuation methodologies are presented in Table 14 below. As of September 30, 2008, the total amount of assets and liabilities measured at fair value using significant unobservable inputs was 28.0 percent and 16.8 percent, respectively, in relation to the total amount of assets and liabilities measured at fair value. See Note 14 – Fair Values of Assets and Liabilities – for additional information.

Table 14 - Application of Fair Value Measurements

| Line Item | Description of Accounting | Valuation Discussion |
|--|---|---|
| Mortgage trading securities and associated financing liabilities | Retained interests in securitizations and associated financing liabilities, as applicable, are recognized at fair value through current earnings. | See Critical Accounting Policies. |
| Capital markets trading securities and trading liabilities | Capital Markets trading positions are recognized at fair value through current earnings. | Long positions are valued at bid price in bid-ask spread. Short positions are valued at ask price. Positions are valued using observable inputs including current market transactions, LIBOR and U.S. treasury curves, credit spreads and consensus prepayment speeds. |
| Loans held for sale | Substantially all mortgage loans held for sale are recognized at elected fair value with changes in fair value recognized currently in earnings. | See Critical Accounting Policies. |
| | The warehouse of trust preferred securities was measured at the lower of cost or market prior to its transfer to the loan portfolio in second quarter 2008. | See discussion below. |
| Securities available for sale | Securities are recognized at fair value with changes in fair value recorded, net of tax, within other comprehensive income. Other than temporary impairments are recognized by reducing the value of the investment to fair value through earnings. | Valuations are performed using observable inputs obtained from market transactions in similar securities, when available. Typical inputs include LIBOR and U.S. treasury yield curves, consensus prepayment estimates and credit spreads. When available, broker quotes are used to support valuations. |
| Allowance for loan losses | The appropriate reserve for collateral dependent loans is determined by estimating the fair value of the collateral and reducing this amount by estimated costs to sell. | See Critical Accounting Policies. |
| Mortgage servicing rights and associated financing liabilities | MSR and associated financing liabilities, as applicable, are recognized at fair value upon inception. Both are subsequently recognized at elected fair value with changes in fair value recognized through current earnings. | See Critical Accounting Policies. |
| Other assets and other liabilities | Interest rate lock commitments qualifying as derivatives are recognized at fair value with changes in fair value recognized through current earnings. | See Critical Accounting Policies. |
| | Freestanding derivatives and derivatives used for fair value hedging relationships (whether economic or qualified under SFAS No. 133) are recognized at fair value with changes in fair value included in earnings. Cash flow hedges qualifying under SFAS No. 133 are recognized at fair value with changes in fair value included in other comprehensive income, to the extent the hedge is effective, until the hedged transaction occurs. Ineffectiveness attributable to cash flow hedges is recognized in current earnings. | Valuations for forwards and futures contracts are based on current transactions involving identical securities. Valuations of other derivatives are based on inputs observed in active markets for similar instruments. Typical inputs include the LIBOR curve, option volatility and option skew. See Critical Accounting Policies for discussion of the valuation procedures for derivatives used to hedge MSR and excess interest. |
| | Deferred compensation assets are measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in current earnings. | Valuations of applicable deferred compensation assets are based on quoted prices in active markets. |

In first quarter 2008, FHN recognized a lower of cost or market reduction in value of \$36.2 million for its warehouse of trust preferred securities, which was classified within level 3 for Loans held for sale. The determination of estimated market value for the warehouse was based on a hypothetical securitization transaction for the warehouse as a whole. FHN used observable data related to prior securitization transactions as well as changes in credit spreads in the CDO market since the most recent transaction. FHN also incorporated significant internally developed assumptions within its valuation of the warehouse, including estimated prepayments and estimated defaults. In accordance with SFAS No. 157, FHN excluded transaction costs related to the hypothetical securitization in determining fair value.

In the second quarter 2008, FHN designated its trust preferred warehouse as held to maturity. In conjunction with the transfer of these loans to held to maturity status, FHN performed a lower of cost or market analysis on the date of transfer. This analysis was based on the pricing of market transactions involving securities similar to those held in the trust preferred warehouse with consideration given, as applicable, to any differences in characteristics of the market transactions, including issuer credit quality, call features and term. As a result of the lower of cost or market analysis, FHN determined that its existing valuation of the trust preferred warehouse was appropriate.

FHN also recognized a lower of cost or market reduction in value of \$17.0 million relating to mortgage warehouse loans during first quarter 2008. Approximately \$10.5 million is attributable to increased delinquencies or aging of loans. The market values for these loans are estimated using historical sales prices for these type loans, adjusted for incremental price concessions that a third party investor is assumed to require due to tightening credit markets and deteriorating housing prices. These assumptions are based on published information about actual and projected deteriorations in the housing market as well as changes in credit spreads. The remaining reduction in value of \$6.5 million is attributable to lower investor prices, due primarily to credit spread widening. This reduction was calculated by comparing the total fair value of loans (using the same methodology that is used for fair value option loans) to carrying value for the aggregate population of loans that was not delinquent or aged.

FHN also recognized a lower of cost or market reduction in value of \$8.3 million relating to mortgage warehouse loans during the second quarter of 2008. Approximately \$7.1 million is attributable to increased repurchases and delinquencies or aging of warehouse loans; the remaining reduction in value is attributable to lower investor prices, due primarily to credit spread widening. The market values for these loans are estimated using historical sales prices for these type loans, adjusted for incremental price concessions that a third party investor is assumed to require due to tightening credit markets and deteriorating housing prices. These assumptions are based on published information about actual and projected deteriorations in the housing market as well as changes in credit spreads.

FHN also recognized a lower of cost or market reduction in value of \$1.3 million relating to mortgage warehouse loans during third quarter of 2008. This was primarily attributable to increased repurchases and delinquencies of warehouse loans with some reduction in value attributable to lower investor prices, due primarily to credit spread widening. The market values for these loans were estimated using historical sales prices for similar type loans, adjusted for incremental price concessions that a third party investor is assumed to require due to tightening credit markets and deteriorating housing prices. These assumptions were based on published information about actual and projected deteriorations in the housing market as well as changes in credit spreads.

ACCOUNTING CHANGES

In September 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 133-1 and FIN 45-4, "Disclosures about Credit Derivatives and Certain Guarantees: An Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 and FASB Interpretation No. 45; and Clarification of the Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 161" (FSP FAS 133-1). FSP FAS 133-1 requires sellers of credit derivatives and similar guarantee contracts to make disclosures regarding the nature, term, fair value, potential losses and recourse provisions for those contracts. FSP FAS 133-1 is effective for reporting periods ending after November 15, 2008. Since FHN is not a seller of credit derivatives or similar financial guarantees, the effect of adopting FSP FAS 133-1 will not be material to FHN.

In May 2008, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 162, "The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles" (SFAS No. 162). SFAS No. 162 identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles used in the preparation of financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the United States. As the GAAP hierarchy will reside in accounting literature established by the FASB upon adoption of SFAS No. 162, it will become explicitly and directly applicable to preparers of financial statements. SFAS No. 162 is effective 60 days following the SEC's approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board's amendments to AU Section 411, "The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles". The adoption of SFAS No. 162 will have no effect on FHN's statement of condition or results of operations.

In March 2008, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161, "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities - an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133" (SFAS No. 161). SFAS No. 161 requires enhanced disclosures related to derivatives accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 133 and reconsiders existing disclosure requirements for such derivatives and any related hedging items. The disclosures provided in SFAS No. 161 will be required for both interim and annual reporting periods. SFAS No. 161 is effective prospectively for periods beginning after November 15, 2008. FHN is currently assessing the effects of adopting SFAS No. 161.

In February 2008, FASB Staff Position No. FAS 140-3, "Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets and Repurchase Financing Transactions" (FSP FAS 140-3), was issued. FSP FAS 140-3 permits a transferor and transfere to separately account for an initial transfer of a financial asset and a related repurchase financing that are entered into contemporaneously with, or in contemplation of, one another if certain specified conditions are met at the inception of the transaction. FSP FAS 140-3 requires that the two transactions have a valid and distinct business or economic purpose for being entered into separately and that the repurchase financing not result in the initial transferor regaining control over the previously transferred financial asset. FSP FAS 140-3 is effective prospectively for initial transfers executed in reporting periods beginning on or after November 15, 2008. The effect of adopting FSP FAS 140-3 will not be material to FHN.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141-R, "Business Combinations" (SFAS No. 141-R) and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements – an Amendment of ARB No. 51" (SFAS No. 160). SFAS No. 141-R requires that an acquirer recognize the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination, as well as any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree, at their fair values as of the acquisition date, with limited exceptions. Additionally, SFAS No. 141-R provides that an acquirer cannot specify an effective date for a business combination that is separate from the acquisition date. SFAS No. 141-R also provides that acquisition-related costs which an acquirer incurs should be expensed in the period in which the costs are incurred and the services are received. SFAS No. 160 requires that acquired assets and liabilities be measured at full fair value without consideration to ownership percentage. Under SFAS No. 160, any non-controlling interests in an acquiree should be presented as a separate component of equity rather than on a mezzanine level. Additionally, SFAS No. 160 provides that net income or loss should be reported in the consolidated income statement at its consolidated amount, with disclosure on the face of the consolidated income statement of the amount of consolidated net income which is attributable to the parent and noncontrolling interests, respectively. SFAS No. 141-R and SFAS No. 160 are effective prospectively for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2008, with the exception of SFAS No. 160's presentation and disclosure requirements which should be retrospectively applied to all periods presented. FHN is currently assessing the financial impact of adopting SFAS No. 141-R and SFAS No. 160.

In June 2007, the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) issued Statement of Position 07-1, "Clarification of the Scope of the Audit and Accounting Guide Investment Companies and Accounting by Parent Companies and Equity Method Investors for Investments in Investment Companies" (SOP 07-1), which provides guidance for determining whether an entity is within the scope of the AICPA's Investment Companies Guide. Additionally, SOP 07-1 provides certain criteria that must be met in order for investment company accounting applied by a subsidiary or equity method investee to be retained in the financial statements of the parent company or an equity method investor. SOP 07-1 also provides expanded disclosure requirements regarding the retention of such investment company accounting in the consolidated financial statements. In May 2007, FASB Staff Position No. FIN 46(R)-7, "Application of FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) to Investment Companies" (FSP FIN 46(R)-7) was issued. FSP FIN 46(R)-7 amends FIN 46(R) to provide a permanent exception to its scope for companies within the scope of the revised Investment Companies Guide under SOP 07-1. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. SOP 07-1-1, "The Effective Date of AICPA Statement of Position 07-1" which indefinitely defers the effective date of SOP 07-1 and FSP FIN 46(R)-7.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The information called for by this item is contained in (a) Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included as Item 2 of Part I of this report at pages 35-66, (b) the section entitled "Risk Management – Interest Rate Risk Management" of the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition section of FHN's 2007 Annual Report to shareholders, and (c) the "Interest Rate Risk Management" subsection of Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in FHN's 2007 Annual Report to shareholders.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

- (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. FHN's management, with the participation of FHN's chief executive officer and chief financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of FHN's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. Based on that evaluation, the chief executive officer and chief financial officer have concluded that FHN's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that material information relating to FHN and FHN's consolidated subsidiaries is made known to such officers by others within these entities, particularly during the period this quarterly report was prepared, in order to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.
- (b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting. There have not been any changes in FHN's internal control over financial reporting during FHN's last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, FHN's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 4(T). Controls and Procedures

Not applicable

Part II.

OTHER INFORMATION

Items 1, 3, 4, and 5

As of the end of the third quarter 2008, the answers to Items 1, 3, 4, and 5 were either inapplicable or negative, and therefore these items are omitted.

Item 1A Risk Factors

The following paragraphs supplement the discussion in Item 1A of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, as amended and supplemented by Item 1A of Part II of our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, and June 30, 2008:

The following paragraph is added after the second paragraph under the heading "Operational Risks":

For example, in 2008 we sold our national mortgage origination and servicing platforms. We retained significant servicing right assets, however, and we continue to originate mortgage products in our regional banking markets in and around Tennessee. Of practical necessity, we have outsourced our servicing functions to the purchaser of our platforms, and we have outsourced many key roles in the Tennessee-based mortgage origination process to a third party. Managing the operational, compliance, reputational, liability, and other risks associated with this level of outsourcing in those business areas is an ongoing challenge for us.

The following paragraph is added after the third paragraph under the heading "Regulatory and Legal Risks":

In 2008 the U.S. Congress enacted the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, sometimes known as EESA. Under authority of EESA the U.S. Department of the Treasury has initiated a Capital Purchase Program, sometimes referred to as the CPP, which will be implemented in conjunction with the U.S. banking regulators. Under the CPP, the Treasury would purchase preferred stock from approved financial institutions upon terms and conditions set by the Treasury. Participation in the CPP would subject an institution to restrictions on its ability to pay cash dividends to common shareholders, purchase common shares, and compensate senior executives, among many other things, and would give the Treasury a fixed-price common stock purchase warrant. At the time this Report is filed we have received preliminary approval to participate in the CPP upon the Treasury's standard terms. The Treasury has publicly discussed other actions under EESA that also would directly affect financial institutions, including a program to guarantee certain debt issued by institutions and a program to purchase so-called troubled assets of institutions. EESA and its programs are broad, large, and untested. Important terms of the CPP have been published but details have not, and key terms of the other programs are unclear at this time. These programs create risks that did not exist previously. Among others, those risks include: whether participation in any of these programs will expose us to intrusive, expensive, or counterproductive government mandates or restrictions; whether failure to participate, especially if many competitors do participate, will put us in a disadvantageous position; and, whether the programs as a whole will have significant unintended or unexpected effects on the financial services industry as a whole, or upon regional companies in particular.

Item 2 <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>

- (a) None
- (b) Not applicable
- (c) The Issuer Purchase of Equity Securities Table is incorporated herein by reference to the table included in Item 2 of Part I – First Horizon National Corporation – Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations at page 56.

Item 6 Exhibits

(a) Exhibits.

| Exhibit No. | <u>Description</u> |
|-------------|---|
| 3.2 | Bylaws, as amended and restated July 15, 2008, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 17, 2008. |
| 4 | Instruments defining the rights of security holders, including indentures.* |
| 10.1(a)** | Rate Applicable to Directors and Executive Officers Under the Directors and Executives Deferred Compensation Plan. |
| 10.2(h)** | Amendments to certain Stock-Based Plans of First Horizon National Corporation Relating to Capital Adjustments. |
| 10.6(c)** | Firstpower Annual Bonus Plan, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 21, 2008. |
| 10.7(t)** | Conformed copy of Retirement Agreement with Gerald L. Baker |
| 10.7(u)** | Conformed copy of Separation Agreement with Sarah L. Meyerrose dated August 12, 2008, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 14, 2008. |
| 10.7(v)** | 2008 annualized salary rate of Thomas C. Adams, Jr., incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 21, 2008. |
| 10.7(w)** | Description of special bonus paid to Elbert L.Thomas, Jr. |
| 10.7(x)** | 2008 annualized salary rate of D. Bryan Jordan, changed effective September 1, 2008. |
| 13 | The "Risk Management-Interest Rate Risk Management" subsection of the Management's Discussion and Analysis section and the "Interest Rate Risk Management" subsection of Note 25 to the Corporation's consolidated financial statements, contained, respectively, at pages 28-30 and pages 114-115 in the Corporation's 2007 Annual Report to shareholders furnished to shareholders in connection with the Annual Meeting of Shareholders on April 15, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference. Portions of the Annual Report not incorporated herein by reference are deemed not to be "filed" with the Commission with this report. |
| 31(a) | Rule 13a-14(a) Certifications of CEO (pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) |
| 31(b) | Rule 13a-14(a) Certifications of CFO (pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) |
| 32(a) | 18 USC 1350 Certifications of CEO (pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) |
| 32(b) | 18 USC 1350 Certifications of CFO (pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) |

^{*} The Corporation agrees to furnish copies of the instruments, including indentures, defining the rights of the holders of the long-term debt of the Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.

^{**} This is a management contract or compensatory plan required to be filed as an exhibit.

In many agreements filed as exhibits, each party makes representations and warranties to other parties. Those representations and warranties are made only to and for the benefit of those other parties in the context of a business contract. Exceptions to such representations and warranties may be partially or fully waived by such parties, or not enforced by such parties, in their discretion. No such representation or warranty may be relied upon by any other person for any purpose.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORPORATION (Registrant)

DATE: November 6, 2008

By: _/s/Thomas C. Adams, Jr.
Name: Thomas C. Adams, Jr.
Title: Executive Vice President and Interim Chief Financial Officer

(Duly Authorized Officer and Principal Financial Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit No. | <u>Description</u> |
|-------------|---|
| 3.2 | Bylaws, as amended and restated July 15, 2008, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 17, 2008. |
| 4 | Instruments defining the rights of security holders, including indentures.* |
| 10.1(a)** | Rate Applicable to Directors and Executive Officers Under the Directors and Executives Deferred Compensation Plan |
| 10.2(h)** | Amendments to certain Stock-Based Plans of First Horizon National Corporation Relating to Capital Adjustments. |
| 10.6(c)** | Firstpower Annual Bonus Plan, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 21, 2008. |
| 10.7(t)** | Conformed copy of Retirement Agreement with Gerald L. Baker |
| 10.7(u)** | Conformed copy of Separation Agreement with Sarah L. Meyerrose dated August 12, 2008, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 14, 2008. |
| 10.7(v)** | 2008 annualized salary rate of Thomas C. Adams, Jr., incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 21, 2008. |
| 10.7(w)** | Description of special bonus paid to Elbert L.Thomas, Jr. |
| 10.7(x)** | 2008 annualized salary rate of D. Bryan Jordan, changed effective September 1, 2008. |
| 13 | The "Risk Management-Interest Rate Risk Management" subsection of the Management's Discussion and Analysis section and the "Interest Rate Risk Management" subsection of Note 25 to the Corporation's consolidated financial statements, contained, respectively, at pages 28-30 and pages 114-115 in the Corporation's 2007 Annual Report to shareholders furnished to shareholders in connection with the Annual Meeting of Shareholders on April 15, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference. Portions of the Annual Report not incorporated herein by reference are deemed not to be "filed" with the Commission with this report. |
| 31(a) | Rule 13a-14(a) Certifications of CEO (pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) |
| 31(b) | Rule 13a-14(a) Certifications of CFO (pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) |
| 32(a) | 18 USC 1350 Certifications of CEO (pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) |
| 32(b) | 18 USC 1350 Certifications of CFO (pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) |

^{*} The Corporation agrees to furnish copies of the instruments, including indentures, defining the rights of the holders of the long-term debt of the Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.

In many agreements filed as exhibits, each party makes representations and warranties to other parties. Those representations and warranties are made only to and for the benefit of those other parties in the context of a business contract. Exceptions to such representations and warranties may be partially or fully waived by such parties, or not enforced by such parties, in their discretion. No such representation or warranty may be relied upon by any other person for any purpose.

^{**} This is a management contract or compensatory plan required to be filed as an exhibit.

RATE APPLICABLE TO

PARTICIPATING DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS UNDER THE

DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVES DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN

Effective for the 2009 plan year, the Board of Directors and its Compensation Committee has approved an applicable interest rate for the Directors and Executives Deferred Compensation Plan of 12.5%. That rate is a reduction from the 13% rate in effect for 2008, and applies prospectively to certain participants, including all participants who presently are directors or executive officers of the registrant. Rates generally are subject to annual approval by the Committee, but generally remain in effect until changed. The new interest rate, within the context of the entire Plan, has been established at a level intended to provide both retention and long-term non-compete incentives.

AMENDMENTS TO CERTAIN STOCK-BASED PLANS OF FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORPORATION RELATED TO CAPITAL ADJUSTMENTS

Approved by the Board of Directors October 20, 2008

2003 Equity Compensation Plan

1. The final sentence of Section 4(B) of the 2003 Equity Compensation Plan, relating to the elimination of fractional shares, hereby is deleted and replaced with the following sentences, which shall read as follows:

"After any adjustment made pursuant to this paragraph, the number of Shares subject to each outstanding Award may be rounded up, down, or up or down, to the nearest whole number of shares or to the nearest fraction of a whole share specified by the Committee, all as the Committee may determine from time to time. The Committee may approve different rounding methods for different Award types and for different Award tranches or sizes within any single type. Notwithstanding any other provision of this paragraph, in the case of any stock dividend paid or payable at a rate of 10% or less:

- (i) The Company shall void, cancel, and negate an adjustment of any Option or Stock Appreciation Rights (collectively, any "Leveraged Award") which otherwise would occur as provided above in connection with such dividend where the Committee determines in good faith that the present economic value of such adjustment for that Leveraged Award is nominal, as provided in (ii) below, measured as of the last full day of trading before the ex-payment date related to that dividend (the "dividend date").
- (ii) The present economic value of an adjustment of a particular Leveraged Award for a particular dividend is nominal if any of the following is true: (a) such present economic value of such adjustment is less than \$0.10 per Share covered by the Award; or (b) for a Leveraged Award that is not a deferral Option, the option or base price per Share of the Leveraged Award is more than 2.5 times the present market price of a Share, measured as the average closing price of a Share over the ten trading day period ended on the dividend date; or (c) for a Leveraged Award that is a deferral Option, the option price is more than 3.0 times the present market price of a Share, measured as the average closing price of a Share over the ten trading day period ended on the dividend date. A deferral Option is an Option granted in lieu of salary or bonus deferred under any past or present deferral program of the Company.
- (iii) The Committee in its discretion may determine to void, cancel, and negate an adjustment of any Leveraged Award which otherwise would occur as provided above where the Committee determines in good faith that the present economic value of such adjustment exceeds a nominal amount if, and only if, the Committee approves the grant of an adjustment Award, under an appropriate compensation plan or otherwise, which the Committee determines in good faith to have a present economic value substantially equal to the value that such foregone adjustment would have provided.

- (iv) An adjustment Award granted under (iii) need not be of the same type as the Leveraged Award which was not adjusted, may be combined with other adjustment awards related to other Leveraged Awards under this Plan or other options under other plans of the Company, and shall be made regardless of the eligibility status at that time of the holder of the related Leveraged Award to receive new award grants.
- (v) The Committee may delegate to the executive officer of the Company in charge of human resources (a) the task of establishing and implementing an appropriate valuation method or methods, within parameters approved by the Committee, and (b) the task of making grants of adjustment Awards to the extent that the Committee approves the making of such grants in lieu of making adjustments and specifies the award type(s) and other appropriate parameters for such grants.
- (vi) (a) If the Committee expects that stock dividends at the rate of 10% or less may be declared more often than once per year, the actual grant of adjustment Awards under (iii) may be delayed and granted on a cumulative basis in arrears, provided that the grant of an adjustment Award may not be delayed more than four quarterly Committee meetings.
 - (b) The Committee may make separate adjustment Awards for each dividend and/or each applicable Leveraged Award, or may combine adjustment values into a single adjustment Award or a single package of adjustment Awards.
 - (c) If the grant of an adjustment Award is delayed and cumulated as provided in (vi)(a), the present economic value of such adjustment Award shall be measured as of a date or range of dates chosen by the Committee which is not more than twenty trading days before the grant date, while the present economic value of each foregone adjustment shall continue to be measured as of each relevant dividend date.
 - (d) An adjustment Award that is delayed as provided in (vi)(a) shall be granted regardless of the eligibility status at that time of the holder of the related Leveraged Award, but shall not be granted to the extent that, at the time of grant, the related Leveraged Award has been forfeited or has otherwise terminated without having been exercised."

2000 Employee Stock Option Plan 1997 Employee Stock Option Plan

1995 Employee Stock Option Plan

1990 Employee Stock Option Plan

1984 Stock Option Plan

2000 Non-Employee Directors' Deferred Compensation Stock Option Plan

Non-Employee Directors' Deferred Compensation Stock Option Plan [adopted in 1995 and amended and restated October 22, 1997]

2002 Bank Director and Advisory Board Member Deferral Plan Bank Director and Advisory Board Member Deferral Plan [originally approved 1996] Bank Advisory Director Deferral Plan [originally effective 1992]

2. The second sentence of Section 13 of each of the 2000 Employee Stock Option Plan, the 1997 Employee Stock Option Plan, and the 1995 Employee Stock Option Plan, the second sentence of Section 14 of each of the 1990 Employee Stock Option Plan and the 1984 Stock Option Plan, the second sentence of Section 5(b) of each of the 2000 Non-Employee Directors' Deferred Compensation Stock Option Plan and the Non-Employee Directors' Deferred Compensation Stock Option Plan [adopted in 1995 and amended and restated October 22, 1997], and the second sentence of Section 9 of each of the 2002 Bank Director and Advisory Board Member Deferral Plan, the Bank Director and Advisory Board Member Deferral Plan [originally approved 1996], and the Bank Advisory Director Deferral Plan [originally effective 1992], in each case relating to the elimination of fractional shares, in each case hereby is deleted and replaced with the following sentences, which shall read as follows:

"After any adjustment made pursuant to this Section, the number of shares subject to each outstanding option may be rounded up, down, or up or down, to the nearest whole number of shares or to the nearest fraction of a whole share specified by the Committee, all as the Committee may determine from time to time. The Committee may approve different rounding methods for different tranches of options or for options of different sizes within any single tranche."

3. New sentences shall be added at the end of Section 13 of each of the 2000 Employee Stock Option Plan, the 1997 Employee Stock Option Plan, and the 1995 Employee Stock Option Plan, at the end of Section 14 of each of the 1990 Employee Stock Option Plan and the 1984 Stock Option Plan, at the end of Section 5(b) of each of the 2000 Non-Employee Directors' Deferred Compensation Stock Option Plan and the Non-Employee Directors' Deferred Compensation Stock Option Plan [adopted in 1995 and amended and restated October 22, 1997], and at the end of Section 9 of each of the 2002 Bank Director and Advisory Board Member Deferral Plan, the Bank Director and Advisory Board Member Deferral Plan [originally approved 1996], and the Bank Advisory Director Deferral Plan [originally effective 1992], which in each case shall read as follows:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section, in the case of any stock dividend paid or payable at a rate of 10% or less:

(i) The Company shall void, cancel, and negate an adjustment of any option which otherwise would occur as provided above in connection with such dividend where the Committee determines in good faith that the present economic value of such adjustment for that option is nominal, as provided in (ii) below, measured as of the last full day of trading before the ex-payment date related to that dividend (the "dividend date").

- (ii) The present economic value of an adjustment of a particular option for a particular dividend is nominal if any of the following is true: (a) such present economic value of such adjustment is less than \$0.10 per share covered by the option; or (b) for an option that is not a deferral option, the option price per share is more than 2.5 times the present market price of a share, measured as the average closing price of a share over the ten trading day period ended on the dividend date; or (c) for an option that is a deferral option, the option price is more than 3.0 times the present market price of a share, measured as the average closing price of a share over the ten trading day period ended on the dividend date. A deferral option is an option granted in lieu of salary or bonus deferred under any past or present deferral program of the Company.
- (iii) The Committee in its discretion may determine to void, cancel, and negate an adjustment of any option which otherwise would occur as provided above where the Committee determines in good faith that the present economic value of such adjustment exceeds a nominal amount if, and only if, the Committee approves the grant of an adjustment award, under an appropriate compensation plan or otherwise, which the Committee determines in good faith to have a present economic value substantially equal to the value that such foregone adjustment would have provided.
- (iv) An adjustment award granted under (iii) need not be an option, may be combined with other adjustment awards related to other options or awards under this Plan or other plans of the Company, and shall be made regardless of the eligibility status at that time of the holder of the related option to receive new award grants.
- (v) The Committee may delegate to the executive officer of the Company in charge of human resources (a) the task of establishing and implementing an appropriate valuation method or methods, within parameters approved by the Committee, and (b) the task of making grants of adjustment awards to the extent that the Committee approves the making of such grants in lieu of making adjustments and specifies the award type(s) and other appropriate parameters for such grants.
- (vi) (a) If the Committee expects that stock dividends at the rate of 10% or less may be declared more often than once per year, the actual grant of adjustment awards under (iii) may be delayed and granted on a cumulative basis in arrears, provided that the grant of an adjustment award may not be delayed more than four quarterly Committee meetings.
 - (b) The Committee may make separate adjustment awards for each dividend and/or each applicable option, or may combine adjustment values into a single adjustment award or a single package of adjustment awards.
 - (c) If the grant of an adjustment award is delayed and cumulated as provided in (vi)(a), the present economic value of such adjustment award shall be measured as of a date or range of dates chosen by the Committee which is not more than twenty trading days before the grant date, while the present economic value of each foregone adjustment shall continue to be measured as of each relevant dividend date.

(d) An adjustment award that is delayed as provided in (vi)(a) shall be granted regardless of the eligibility status at that time of the holder of the related option, but shall not be granted to the extent that, at the time of grant, the related option has been forfeited or has otherwise terminated without having been exercised."

1992 Restricted Stock Incentive Plan

4. The final sentence of Section 11 of the 1992 Restricted Stock Incentive Plan, relating to the elimination of fractional shares, hereby is deleted and replaced with the following sentences, which shall read as follows:

"After any adjustment made pursuant to this Section, the number of shares subject to each outstanding award may be rounded up, down, or up or down, to the nearest whole number of shares or to the nearest fraction of a whole share specified by the Committee, all as the Committee may determine from time to time. The Committee may approve different rounding methods for different tranches of awards and for different sizes of awards within any single tranche.

5. The foregoing amendments shall be effective immediately as to all awards presently outstanding or granted in the future under the amended plans, and as to all stock dividends declared by the Board of Directors in 2008 or later years.

GERALD L. BAKER RETIREMENT AGREEMENT

This Agreement is made by and between Gerald L. Baker ("Mr. Baker" or "you") and First Horizon National Corporation, its predecessors, successors, assigns, subsidiaries, parents, affiliates, and their respective directors, officers, employees and agents, attorneys and representatives, both past, present, or future ("the Company"). This arrangement is offered in recognition of your years of service with the Company and is accompanied with the Company's hope that it will assist you during the transition period that follows

You acknowledge that you have had more than 21 days to evaluate this Agreement. After signing this Agreement, you have seven days during which you may revoke your decision.

The elements of the retirement agreement are these:

1. Agreement:

Your signature at the conclusion of this document represents your knowing and voluntary acceptance of this Agreement. You acknowledge that you have not been pressured in any way to sign this Agreement and that you have executed it of your own free will. This Agreement should be returned to Kenneth Bottoms, 300 Court Avenue, Sixth Floor, Memphis, Tennessee 38103, after you have fully executed it. By its execution of this Agreement, the Company acknowledges and confirms that the appropriate committee of its Board of Directors or other administrative body has approved of your normal retirement as of December 31, 2008 for purposes of applying the benefits you are otherwise entitled to under applicable plans (including those referenced in Sections 2 and 6 of this Agreement) and that nothing herein shall be considered in a manner which adversely affects any benefits, or the amount thereof, to which you are or may otherwise be entitled under applicable plans.

2. Consideration:

In consideration of your release as set forth below and your retirement on December 31, 2008, the Company will provide you with the following. You acknowledge that you are not otherwise entitled to the consideration listed in this Section. In the event of your death prior to the payment of any of the amounts set forth in this Section, your entitlement to such consideration will not be adversely affected and any payments for which a beneficiary has not already been designated will be paid to your estate.

(i) Restricted Stock

36,797 shares of restricted stock will vest on your retirement date of December 31, 2008. Shares will be withheld for taxes unless prohibited by plan requirements.

Page 1 of 6

(ii) 2008 Performance Restricted Stock Grant

The 115,000 shares of performance restricted stock granted in February, 2008 will vest on an un-prorated basis following your retirement, but only if the earnings per share performance target previously established by the Compensation Committee is achieved during the performance period.

3. Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure:

In order to protect the legitimate interests of the Company, and its subsidiaries, you agree that you will not disclose to others at any time in the future, whether directly or indirectly, any information relating to the Company's business plans or other confidential business information and/or trade secrets of the Company which you received or to which you were given access during your employment with the Company; *provided, however*, the obligations set forth in this sentence will expire on December 31, 2010. If such information is required to be produced by law, court order or governmental authority, you must promptly notify the Company of that obligation. You may not produce or disclose any such information until the Company has (a) requested protection from the court or other legal or governmental authority issuing the process and the request has been denied or pending action on the request you subsequently have been ordered to produce or disclose such information, (b) consented in writing to such production or disclosure, or (c) taken no action to protect its interest within ten (10) business days (or such shorter period required by order of a court or other legal or governmental authority) after receipt of your notice.

If any part of this Agreement is knowingly violated by you in any material respect, then you will be responsible for repayment of all sums paid to you pursuant to paragraph 2 of this agreement, in addition to all enforcement costs including, but not limited to reasonable attorney's fees.

4. Release and Waiver:

In consideration for the payments and benefits described in paragraph 2 above, and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt of which you acknowledge by your signature in the space provided below, but subject to the provisions of paragraph 6 of the Agreement, you do, for yourself, your heirs, personal representatives, agents and assigns, fully, absolutely, and unconditionally release, acquit and forever discharge the Company, and any and all of its predecessors, successors, assigns, subsidiaries, parents, affiliates, and their respective directors, officers, employees and agents, attorneys and representatives, both past, present, or future, from any and all claims, losses, demands, liabilities, causes of action, fees (including attorney's fees), compensation, back pay and/or front pay, employment or re-employment and any other benefits, obligation or liability of any kind, known or unknown, whether heretofore asserted or unasserted, including but not limited to all causes of action arising out of or in any way related to your employment by the Company, or your separation, whether arising out of or related to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended ("Title VII"); the Civil Rights Act of 1991; the Sarbanes-Oxley Act; the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990; the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, as amended, (the "ADEA"), the Family and Medical Leave Act ("FMLA"), the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), the Tennessee Human Rights Act, Tennessee Code Annotated section 4-21-101 et seq, and Tennessee Code Annotated 8-50-103 (Employment of the Handicapped), and any other federal or state, local, or city statute, code, ordinance, rule, regulation, or common law governing, controlling or otherwise dealing with employment, employment discrimination or equal employment opportunity, unemployment compensation, employment termination, or otherwise all causes of action occurring from the beginning of time to the date of this Agreement.

Notwithstanding the foregoing or anything to the contrary contained in this Agreement, nothing herein is intended to affect any obligation the Company may have to indemnify you, hold you harmless or advance to you or pay expenses in accordance with the Company's Bylaws or any individual indemnity agreement in place at the time of this Agreement, and it is agreed that nothing in this Agreement will be construed as a waiver by you of any such rights.

5. Acknowledgment of OWBPA Compliance:

Because this Agreement includes a release and waiver as to claims under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, your signature below acknowledges that it complies with the Older Workers Benefit Protection Act ("OWBPA") of 1990 and further acknowledges that you confirm, understand and agree to the terms and conditions of this Agreement; that these terms are written in lay persons terms, and that you have been fully advised of your right to seek the advice of an attorney, as well as tax advisors to review this Agreement. You acknowledge receiving not less than twenty one (21) calendar days in which to consider this Agreement to ensure that your execution of this Agreement is knowing and voluntary. In signing below, you expressly acknowledge that you have been afforded at least twenty-one (21) days to consider this Agreement and that your execution of same is with full knowledge of the consequences thereof and is of your own free will. By signing on the date below, if less than twenty-one (21) days, you voluntarily elect to forgo waiting twenty-one (21) full days. You agree that any change, material or immaterial, to the terms of this Agreement does not restart the running of the twenty-one (21) day period.

6. Other Benefits:

Your right to benefits under all other plans of the Company is not affected by your signature to this Agreement. This includes your qualified pension benefit, Pension Restoration Plan benefit, 401k benefit, executive survivor benefit, post-retirement medical benefits and any deferred compensation arrangements. Your first non-qualified pension benefit will begin on the first pay period beginning 6 months following your retirement date. You will be eligible for an annual bonus for the 2008 plan year in the same amount that you would have received if you had not retired. You will also be eligible for a pro-rata payment of the Performance Stock Units (PSUs) that you received in 2007, paid at the same time that other participants in the plan receive their payments. You will continue to be eligible for financial counseling through the end of 2009 and tax preparation for the 2009 tax year. As provided under the plan, your management stock options will expire the earlier of the original expiration date or the third anniversary of your retirement date. Deferral stock options will expire the earlier of the original expiration date or the fifth anniversary of your retirement date.

7. Non-Competition, Non-Solicitation, Non-Disparagement and Non-Interference

For a period of two (2) years following the termination of your employment with the Company, you agree that:

- A. <u>Non-Competition</u>: You will not directly or indirectly engage as an owner, employer, employee, director principal agent or otherwise, with any company engaged in a similar line of business in competition with the Company.
- B. <u>Non-Solicitation:</u> You will not, without the express written approval of the Company, directly or indirectly, on behalf of yourself or for others, solicit or contact in any manner (with the intent of providing any service or product competitive with any service or product which is provided at the time of such contact by the Company) any customer of the Company with whom you had actual contact while employed by the Company.
- C. <u>Non-Disparagement</u>: The Company and you jointly agree that neither will participate in, assist in, nor encourage any activity or efforts to damage the business or personal reputations of the other, and that neither will attempt to adversely affect the other's relationships with employees, customers, business partners, or other individuals or entities.
- D. Non-Interference: You will not directly or indirectly induce or encourage:
 - (i) any employee or contractor of the Company to leave his/her position to seek employment or association with any person or entity other than the Company; or
 - (ii) any dealer, supplier or customer of the Company to modify or terminate any relationship, whether or not evidenced by a written contract, with the Company.

You acknowledge and agree that the restrictions set forth in paragraph 7 hereof are reasonable and necessary for the protection of Company business and goodwill. You further agree that if you breach or threaten to breach any of your obligations in this Agreement, the Company in addition to any other remedies available to it under the law may obtain specific performance and/or injunctive relief against you to prevent such continued or threatened breach. You also acknowledge and agree that the Company shall be reimbursed by you for all attorneys' fees and costs incurred by it in enforcing any of its right or remedies under this section or any other provision of the Agreement.

8. Right of Revocation:

Your signature also acknowledges that, in compliance with the OWBPA mentioned above, you have been fully advised by the Company of your right to revoke and nullify this release and Agreement, which right must be exercised if at all, within seven (7) days of the date of your signature. Any revocation of this agreement must be in writing, addressed to First Tennessee Bank, attention John Daniel, Employee Services Division, 300 Court Avenue, Sixth Floor, Memphis, Tennessee 38103. The Company must be notified within the foregoing seven day period. This agreement will not become effective or enforceable until the expiration of the seven day period. In the event the company enters a merger or other change-in-control agreement after you sign this release and Agreement, you will not be eligible for change-in-control severance benefits under your current change-in-control agreement.

9. Return of Documents:

By your signature, you acknowledge and confirm that you will return to the Company any and all documents belonging to it, as well as any other property which belongs to it, and that no such documents or materials or property will be retained by you.

10. Binding Effect:

Upon your signing this Agreement, and after the expiration of seven (7) days, it will become effective and is binding upon you and the Company and their respective successors, assigns, heirs and personal representatives, as is discussed in paragraph 4 above.

11. Severability:

A finding that any provision of this Agreement is void or unenforceable shall not affect the validity or enforceability of any other provisions of this Agreement.

12. Drafting:

This Agreement is a product of negotiations between the parties and in construing the provisions of this Agreement, no inference or presumption shall be drawn against either party on the basis of which party or their attorneys drafted this Agreement.

13. Captions:

The captions to the various paragraphs of this Agreement are for convenience only and are not part of this Agreement.

14. **Sole Agreement:**

By your signature, you also confirm that the only consideration for your signing this Agreement are the terms set forth within it, and that no other promise or agreement of any kind has been made to you by the Company or anyone acting by, for, or on its

YOU ALSO AFFIRM THAT YOU HAVE BEEN FREE TO DISCUSS THIS MATTER PRIVATELY AND THOROUGHLY WITH A FINANCIAL COUNSELOR AND AN ATTORNEY OF YOUR CHOICE AND THAT YOU FULLY UNDERSTAND THE MEANING AND INTENT OF THIS AGREEMENT, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ITS FINAL AND BINDING EFFECT.

This Agreement covers in detail each and every element of the retirement agreement agreed upon between you and the Company. Your signature in the space provided below will confirm that you have had an unhurried opportunity to carefully read and review this Agreement and seek advice with respect to its content, and that you fully understand its meaning in all respects.

This Agreement may be enforced by the parties in any state or federal court of competent jurisdiction.

This Agreement is signed in duplicate originals at First Tennessee Bank in Memphis, Tennessee.

I HAVE READ THE FOREGOING AGREEMENT, HAVE HAD A REASONABLE AND ADEQUATE OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW IT, AND FULLY UNDERSTAND AND VOLUNTARILY SIGN THE SAME.

/s/Gerald L. Baker Gerald L. Baker

July 31, 2008 Date

Witnessed by:

/s/ Pam Bramuchi

Notary of the State of Tennessee

First Horizon National Corporation

By: /s/ John Daniel John Daniel

Executive Vice President and Human Resources Manager

My Commission Expires: January 10, 2012

[text of notary seal set forth below]

PAM BRAMUCHI

STATE OF TENNESSEE NOTARY PUBLIC

SHELBY COUNTY

8-1-2008

Date

Page 6 of 6

On July 14, 2008, the company determined to pay Elbert L. Thomas, Jr., a retired executive officer of the company who was named as such in the company's 2008 proxy statement, \$25,000 for consulting work. Mr. Thomas performed that consulting work for the company in connection with the previously-announced contract to sell a substantial portion of the company's mortgage business. Mr. Thomas ceased to be an executive officer of the company in early 2007, and retired as an officer and employee in early 2008. Prior to his retirement in 2008, one of Mr. Thomas' duties had been related to the mortgage business transaction.

This compensation was reported on the company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 17, 2008.

2008 ANNUALIZED SALARY RATE OF D. BRYAN JORDAN

Effective September 1, 2008, the annualized salary rate for 2008 of D. Bryan Jordan was changed to \$800,000.

This change was effected in conjunction with Mr. Jordan's promotion to President and Chief Executive Officer, effective the same date, and was reported in the company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 17, 2008.

FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORPORATION RULE 13a – 14(a) CERTIFICATIONS OF CEO Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (QUARTERLY REPORT)

CERTIFICATIONS

- I, D. Bryan Jordan, President and Chief Executive Officer of First Horizon National Corporation, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of First Horizon National Corporation;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our
 conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by
 this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 6, 2008

/s/ D. Bryan Jordan
D. Bryan Jordan
President and Chief Executive Officer

FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORPORATION RULE 13a – 14(a) CERTIFICATIONS OF CFO Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (QUARTERLY REPORT)

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Thomas C. Adams, Jr., Executive Vice President and Interim Chief Financial Officer of First Horizon National Corporation, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of First Horizon National Corporation;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact
 necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not
 misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed
 under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated
 subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report
 is being prepared;
 - Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be
 designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and
 the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting
 principles;
 - Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our
 conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by
 this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 6, 2008

/s/ Thomas C. Adams, Jr.
Thomas C. Adams, Jr.
Executive Vice President and Interim Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION OF PERIODIC REPORT 18 USC 1350 CERTIFICATIONS OF CEO Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, As Codified at 18 U.S.C. Section 1350

I, the undersigned D. Bryan Jordan, President and Chief Executive Officer of First Horizon National Corporation ("Corporation"), hereby certify, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as follows:

- 1. The Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2008, (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Corporation.

Dated: November 6, 2008

/s/ D. Bryan Jordan
D. Bryan Jordan
President and Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION OF PERIODIC REPORT 18 USC 1350 CERTIFICATIONS OF CFO Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, As Codified at 18 U.S.C. Section 1350

I, the undersigned Thomas C. Adams, Jr., Executive Vice President and Interim Chief Financial Officer of First Horizon National Corporation ("Corporation"), hereby certify, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as follows:

- 1. The Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2008, (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Corporation.

Dated: November 6, 2008

/s/ Thomas C. Adams, Jr.

Thomas C. Adams, Jr.

Executive Vice President and Interim Chief Financial Officer