

## Company Profile

Greeneville, Tennessee-based Green Bankshares, Inc., with total assets of approximately $\$ 2.956$ billion, is the holding company for GreenBank. GreenBank, which traces its origin to 1890, has 64 branches across East and Middle Tennessee, and one branch each in Bristol, Virginia, and Hot Springs, North Carolina. It also provides wealth management services through its GreenWealth Division and residential mortgage lending through its Mortgage Division. In addition, GreenBank conducts separate businesses through three wholly owned subsidiaries: Superior Financial Services, Inc., a consumer finance company; GCB Acceptance Corporation, a consumer finance company specializing in automobile lending; and Fairway Title Co., a title insurance company.


## Financial Highlights

NET INCOME (in millions)


TOTAL ASSETS (in millions)


EARNINGS PER DILUTED SHARE


SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (in millions)


| (dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) | 2007 | 2006 | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financial position at December 31, |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ 2,947,741 | \$ 1,772,654 | 66\% |
| Loans, net of unearned interest | 2,356,376 | 1,539,629 | 53 |
| Total deposits | 1,986,793 | 1,332,505 | 49 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 322,477 | 184,471 | 75 |
| Book value per share | 24.94 | 18.80 | 33 |
| Operations for the year ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| Net interest income | \$ 94,653 | \$ 71,957 | 32\% |
| Provision for loan losses | 14,483 | 5,507 | 163 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 80,170 | 66,450 | 21 |
| Noninterest income | 27,678 | 20,778 | 33 |
| Noninterest expense | 69,328 | 52,776 | 31 |
| Income before income taxes | 38,520 | 34,452 | 12 |
| Income taxes | 14,146 | 13,190 | 7 |
| Net income | \$ 24,374 | \$ 21,262 | 15\% |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 26,010 | \$ 21,503 | 21\% |
| Earnings per share: |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ 2.07 | \$ 2.17 | -5\% |
| Diluted | \$ 2.07 | \$ 2.14 | -3\% |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.68 | \$ 0.64 | 6\% |



Although net income for 2007 increased $15 \%$ to $\$ 24,374,000$ versus 2006 , it fell short of our goal for the year. This was directly attributable to our aggressive actions in the fourth quarter to identify and address problem loans in our portfolio. On a per share basis, net income for 2007 declined slightly to $\$ 2.07$ due to the impact from fourth quarter credit problems and the effect of additional shares issued in connection with our acquisition of Civitas BankGroup in the second quarter of 2007. These results equated to a return on tangible equity of $15.41 \%$ for 2007 and a return on assets of $0.98 \%$.

Despite our disappointment in the Company's financial results for 2007, we were pleased to see progress in several areas. The Civitas acquisition in May stands out as a milestone event for our company, helping us expand our presence significantly in Middle Tennessee - one of our key strategic initiatives since entering this rapidly growing market in late 2003. The merger increased our banking footprint to 66 branches and, more important, added 12 new full-service offices in the Nashville area. I was impressed by how quickly and smoothly the integration of Civitas proceeded and attribute that success to our new associates' high level of professionalism and shared commitment to customer service.

Interestingly, the integration of our newest Middle Tennessee branches coincided with our efforts to completely rebrand our business, aligning our banking operations under the GreenBank name. By embracing a common identity across all of our markets, rather than remaining with locally known trade names, we accomplished several things. First, we advanced our concept of convenience for customers across a 400-mile region. Second, we enabled all our employees to see themselves as part of a much larger entity and a major force in Tennessee banking. This action also gave our branches a fresh new look, created operating synergies and yielded immediate cost savings.

During 2007, our total assets increased $66 \%$ to $\$ 2,947,741,000$ while net loans increased $53 \%$ to $\$ 2,356,376,000$, reflecting both organic growth as well as the positive impact of the Civitas merger. As to our deposit base, the year's increase was equally impressive at $49 \%$, demonstrating the ongoing success of our High Performance Checking program, which accounted for more 14,500 net new customer checking accounts during the year.

In January, we invited Dr. Samuel Lynch to join our Board of Directors. Dr. Lynch is a successful, seasoned entrepreneur and corporate executive with direct experience in the public-company arena. We believe he will be an excellent addition to our Board. At our Annual Shareholders' Meeting on April 29, 2008, we will mark the retirement of four directors whose collective imprint and influence will be felt for many years. Together, Phil Bachman, Terry Leonard, Charles Brooks and Jerald Jaynes have 107 years of service, and each has played a significant role in the growth and progress of the Company. We will recognize their contributions in greater detail at the meeting.

Looking ahead, we recognize the evolving and uncertain environment in which we operate, and we know we will continue to face challenging conditions in 2008. Since the second quarter of 2007, we have experienced margin compression largely due to rate cuts by the Federal Reserve Board, which has reduced the rates we charge on loans at a time when intense competitive conditions limit our ability to reprice deposits. However, our top priority for 2008 is credit quality, for it is likely that deteriorating market conditions will continue to pressure real estate values and loan quality, and it will take our best efforts to overcome these near-term challenges and reignite profit growth.

Still, we remain optimistic about our future. We possess the key ingredients for continued success: a hardworking, talented and dedicated team of associates and branches positioned in growing, vibrant communities. We believe our brand of convenience and attentive, personal service gives us an edge. I am grateful for your continuing support.

## R. Stan Puckett

Stan PuCKett Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

## Time: It's an increasingly precious commodity these days.

Strained by a hectic work week and busy daily schedules, it seems harder than ever to find the time for even the most routine aspects of a fast-paced life. This logically leads to thoughts of another precious commodity - convenience, a seemingly simple but equally elusive concept, especially when it comes to banking. The mark of a truly convenient bank surely includes a broad range of products and services that help customers of all kinds meet today's financial challenges and tomorrow's financial goals, so you accomplish more when you bank. Additionally, you need a broad, strategically placed branch network in your major markets, staffed by knowledgeable bankers that make your time more productive. Convenience also relies on a full suite of technology-based services, providing alternative means of accessing accounts at virtually any hour of the day. At GreenBank, we think these qualities are a good start, but real convenience demands more, like extended weekday hours and weekend banking, on both Saturdays and Sundays, in all of our larger markets. By going to these extra lengths, our customers have discovered why GreenBank is building a reputation as "Tennessee's Most Convenient Bank." GreenBank - moving at the speed of life!

## When do you bank?

## Sunday $1: 14^{\mathrm{pm}}$

Greeneville / East Andrew Johnson Highway Branch

Weekend Wonder. Internet connectivity has made banking more convenient than ever, complementing telephone customer service and the ubiquitous ATM to provide virtually anytime access to accounts and support a range of basic transactions. At times, however, some situations call for face-to-face banking outside of traditional hours - with a real person - for questions and more complex matters. Like many banks, GreenBank offers
weekend banking hours at most offices. While apparently unnoticed by many other banks, GreenBank knows that the weekend spans two days. Consequently, in each of its major markets, GreenBank has at least one office open for drive-thru banking on Sunday afternoons, from 1:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m., making it the first bank in Tennessee to offer person-to-person banking services seven days a week!



Early Start. Contrary to what some think, modern life does not revolve around bankers' hours, and unexpected needs for financial services do not keep to a schedule. The day starts fast, whether it's heading to work or taking kids to school, so wouldn't it be nice to have a bank that opens early too? And some days never seem to end, chock-full of unexpected
delays, terrible traffic, and after-school activities that run late, so having a bank that's open with extended evening hours would be a good thing, right? That's our view, precisely, and it's why GreenBank has extended weekday hours at many branches - from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.



Taking It to the Next Level Blue Ridge Companies, a premier development and real estate company based in Knoxville, Tennessee, is a leading developer of commercial office space in the Knoxville Metropolitan Statistical Area. Since it began in 1996, the company has worked hard to provide a level of quality and integrity that exceeds expectations, with a mission to be a socially conscious, environmentally sensitive, value-added contributor to communities in East Tennessee. Lakeside Centre is one of the latest examples of its commitment fulfilled.

Building Relationships. When it comes to commercial lending, some banks see deals and transactions, which assumes funding is the same everywhere and lenders are all alike. At GreenBank, we see customers, goals, and relationships - not transactions. Sure, we realize the market is competitive, but we also know our customers are not just credits. They're people trying to achieve great things, and we know their intents and objectives. We recognize that risk comes with this business, too. That's why we work hard to understand our commercial loans from both sides - including the customer's interest in cash flow, profit generation, and payback, and our own requirements for credit quality, collateral security and yield. We think this approach to commercial lending results in the best possible solutions for GreenBank and its customers, enabling us to structure our loans optimally for both, allowing us to approach lending relationships as a partnership, and helping our clients get from today's inspiration to tomorrow's success.

## When

 $d d$


Multi-tasking. Sometimes a banking relationship transcends categories and cuts across the organizational chart. It's personal, but it's business, too. It's about deposits as well as loans. It involves commercial assets and personal real estate. Perhaps nothing illustrates these overarching relationships better than sole proprietors - the entrepreneurial women and men who find their private lives and business pursuits inexorably intertwined. For them, banking should be the same way: to be done well, it must be unified in a single perspective as a matrix of financial needs and
opportunities, not viewed as a disconnected portfolio of products overseen by different bankers with varying interests and responsibilities. For these kinds of customers, GreenBank understands that, at the core, relationships are about strong service and trust, not a lower price or rate. We also know it's important to think comprehensively about a customer's financial situation - past, present and future, bringing all the necessary resources together to accomplish an objective. Comprehensive needs, comprehensive response: that's our approach to banking.


Plan Ahead. GreenBank's wealth management division, GreenWealth Trust, provides a range of trust and financial services across its network of offices to assist customers in building, protecting, and transferring wealth to future generations. These services include advice on life, disability, and long-term care insurance; trust officers that can manage stocks, bonds and mutual funds; trust preparation and fiduciary administrative services; and strategies for estate and tax planning. Real synergy arises in situations where GreenWealth brings all of these services to bear in a total, comprehensive financial plan for high-net-worth customers. This process begins with a review of the customer's current situation, the identification of gaps that impede future goals, and the charting of a future financial course in cooperation with the customer's attorneys and accountants. With this team-based approach, GreenWealth not only helps provide a more secure retirement, it also helps customers take a long view, planning for the transfer of assets from parents to children and grandchildren - preserving wealth across the generations.



## DIRECTORS EMERITUS

James A. Emory
Davis Stroud

CORPORATE OFFICERS
R. Stan Puckett Chairman and
Chief Executive Officer

Kenneth R. Vaught
President and
Chief Operating Officer

James E. Adams
Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Assistant Secretary

Ronald E. Mayberry
Regional Executive,
Sumner County

| Chairman of the Board and | Banking Officers | Hamblen County, TN / Hawkins | EAST REGION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chief Executive Officer | Janice Barham | County, TN | Blount County, TN / Loudon |
| Stan Puckett | Tabitha Crum | Senior Vice President, Regional | County, TN / McMinn County, |
|  | Kim Doane | Executive | TN / Monroe County, TN |
| President and | Jama Doty | Frank Proffitt | Senior Vice President, Regional |
| Chief Operating Officer | Christopher Downs |  | Executive |
| Kent Vaught | Judy Elliott | Senior Vice President | Mike Stuart |
|  | Julie Fannon | John Hadden |  |
| Executive Vice President and | Connie Fontanini |  | Senior Vice President, County |
| Chief Financial Officer | Carol Godsey | Vice Presidents | Executive - Blount County, TN |
| Jim Adams | Sabrina Hatfield | Carol Burnette | Mike Lewis |
|  | Debbie Hayes | Jackie Charles |  |
| Executive Senior Vice | Stacy Jobe | Glen Hutchins | Senior Vice President, County |
| Presidents | Jane Jones |  | Executive - Loudon County, TN |
| Bill Adams, Chief Information Officer | Velina Kemp | Assistant Vice Presidents | Ronnie McNabb |
|  | Bryan Kilday | Jeanette Edens |  |
| Steve Droke, Chief Credit Officer | Amy Lamb | Bridgette Trent | Senior Vice President, County |
|  | Rhonda Langford |  | Executive - McMinn and |
| Steve Ottinger, Chief Human Resources Officer | Joy Lowe | Banking Officers | Monroe Counties, TN |
|  | Peter Mason | Kim Anderson | Michelle Hoppe |
| Frank Snyder,Retail Banki | Chad Mattingly | Lindsey Bowling |  |
|  | Linda Maupin | Valerie Dales | Senior Vice President |
|  | Gloria Miller | Jody Fugate | Marty Livingston |
| Operations | Mary Moon | Pam Herrell |  |
| Senior Vice Presidents | Gwen Pauley | Diannia Kesterson | Vice Presidents |
| Will Adams | Leslie Quarles | Jill Margelowsky | Susan Clendenen |
| Dick Bobo | Chris Ricker |  | Scott Justice |
| Jimmy Dalton | Regenia Scheitlin | Sullivan County, TN / | Melanie LaFollette |
| Charlie Fisher | Debbie Swatzell | Washington County, TN / | Channing Powers |
| Julia Frazier | Ravena Whittenburg | Washington County, VA | Faye Townsend |
| Pam Maggio | Denny Wills, Jr. | Senior Vice President, Regional | Brett Yielding |
| Deborah Tilford | Elizabeth Wilson | Executive |  |
|  |  | Randy Humphreys | Assistant Vice Presidents |
| Vice Presidents | Banking Units |  | Barbara Ball |
| Glen Allen | NORTHEAST REGION | Senior Vice President, County | Debbie Bozeman |
| Carolyn Broyles | Regional President | Executive - Sullivan County, TN | Tracy Howell |
| Melissa Davis | Scott MacMorran | and Washington County, VA | Amy Maynor |
| Scarlett Dale |  | Jerry Kirk | Kris Sigmund |
| Glynis Deloach | Cocke County, TN / Greene |  | Stephanie Walker |
| Teresa Gordon | County, TN / Madison County, NC | Senior Vice President, County |  |
| Dee Dee Horton | Senior Vice President, Regional | Executive - Washington | Banking Officers |
| Adam Morrison | Executive | County, TN | Sandy Beshore |
| Ricky Shelton | Allen Jones | Wayne Lewis | Tonia Everett |
| Lynn Stidham |  |  | Jane Heustess |
| Phillip Wampler | Senior Vice Presidents | Senior Vice Presidents | Stacy Houser |
| Tony Ussery | Bobby Holt | Bob Armstrong | Linda Jett |
|  | Gary Shelton | Delores Collins | Donna Reagan |
| Assistant Vice Presidents | Hugh Wells | Barbara Gage | Rhonda Stinnett |
| Amanda Andrews |  | Frank McCarver | Karen Trentham |
| Justin Bowser | Assistant Vice Presidents | Dottie Stout | Doug Ward |
| Kenitha Dearman | Beth Brown |  |  |
| Danny Demarcus | Bland Justis | Vice Presidents | Knox County, TN |
| Thomas Haselden | George Scott | Sherry Dye | Senior Vice President, |
| Shirley Jones | Denise Shults | Sharon Farmer | Regional Executive |
| Bobby Krimmel | Elizabeth Tweed | Lynn Hodge | Lewis Culpepper |
| Phil McNeese | H.C. Wood | Donna Hopson |  |
| Julie Mirts |  | Angela Jackson | Senior Vice Presidents |
| Jason O'Dell | Banking Officers | Stephanie Sizemore | Bill Charles |
| Terry Polman | Terah Burkey |  | Mike Sandlin |
| Steve Seeger | Judy Cooter | Assistant Vice Presidents |  |
| Linda Sperry | Janalyn Cox | Will Campbell | Vice Presidents |
| Jennifer Williams | Victoria Cromwell | Deborah Johnson | Jonathan Barker |
|  | Missy Harris | Mike Talley | Linda Cox |
|  | Lydia Hensley | Tina Whitson | Josh Howell |
|  | Amanda Moore |  | Randy McConnell |
|  | Beulah Sizemore | Banking Officers |  |
|  | Rhonda Swecker | Jennie Barron | Banking Officers |
|  | James Thornton | Karen Belcher | Jodi Monroe |
|  |  | Brenda Coyle | Kathleen Smith |
|  |  | Kim Hatcher | Becky Sullins |
|  |  | Heather Jones | Tara Walters |
|  |  | Heather Leach | Deidre Williams |
|  |  | Becky Teamer |  |


| MIDDLE REGION | Rutherford County, TN | Vice Presidents | Other Financial Services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regional President | Senior Vice President, Regional | Denise Barone | GreenWealth ${ }^{1}$ |
| Larry Lovelace | Executive | Steve Chapel | Senior Vice President |
|  | Jim Evans | Richie Harville | Joy Krantz |
| Davidson County, TN / |  | Kat McElroy |  |
| Williamson County, TN | Vice Presidents | Carl Meier | Vice President, Senior Trust |
| Senior Vice Presidents | Jamie Byrom | Craig Roberts | Officer |
| Robert Carpenter | Nick Jackson | J.R. Roney | John Krusac |
| Kenneth Goddard | George Lund | Paula Sanders |  |
| Lonnie Malone | Freda Morgan | Jeff Smith | Vice President |
| Alan Williamson |  | Jeff Wharton | Heather Savage |
|  | Assistant Vice Presidents |  |  |
| Vice Presidents | Barbara Johnson | Assistant Vice Presidents | Banking Officers |
| Carole Fox | Cindy Shook | Deborah Bane | Kenny Bane |
| Chris Holloway | Stacy Vincent | Sheryl Byrd | Mike Cougill |
|  |  | Angela Harris | Courtney Hall |
| Assistant Vice President | Banking Officers | Jill Hunt | Gregg Hooker |
| Sharon Craddock | Robin Fogle | Bobbie Johnson | Ryan Nesseth |
|  | Donna Westbrooks | Edith Kirkpatrick | Chris O'Dell |
| Banking Officers |  | Janet Norman | Wes Robbins |
| Cynthia Mears | Sumner County, TN | Judy Ray | Jason Satterfield |
| Alice Morton | Senior Vice President, Regional | Brad Tuttle |  |
| Ann Vanderhoof | Executive | Lurlie Underwood | GreenBank Mortgage ${ }^{1}$ |
| Mary Wootten | Ed Mayberry | Francie Whittemore Stacey Woodard | Senior Vice President, Division Manager |
| Lawrence County, TN | Senior Vice President |  | Cathy Neubert |
| Senior Vice President, Regional | Phil Mayes | Banking Officers |  |
| Executive |  | Dana Anderson | Assistant Vice President |
| Kenny Beavers | Vice Presidents | Amy Bryant | Linda O'Connell |
|  | Diane Hinson | Vicki Burge |  |
| Vice President | Ronald Weeks | Schnola Copas | Banking Officers |
| Cathy Mashburn |  | Judith Danner | Rita Buckner |
|  | Assistant Vice Presidents | Nancy Davis | Alan Clapp |
| Assistant Vice Presidents | Shari Graves | Sharon Day | Karen Coulter |
| Lisa Moore | Debbie Lyles | Jan Dennis | Kristi De Moss |
| Gwen Twilley |  | Barbara Foster | Jennifer Pritchard |
|  | Banking Officers | Dianne Hibdon | Carla Ramsey |
| Banking Officers | Lisa Bain | Amy Jones |  |
| Rhonda Brown | Michelle Owens | Kim Jones | Operating Subsidiaries |
| Linda Danley | Wendy Parris | Penny Key | Fairway Title Company |
|  | Sharlene Peladeau | Bryan McCaleb | President |
| Montgomery County, TN | Sharon Scruggs | Dottie McPherson | Cathy Neubert |
| Senior Vice President, Regional |  | Chris Merritt |  |
| Executive | CUMBERLAND REGION | Jenny Owens | GCB Acceptance Corporation |
| Chip Knight | Regional President | Rachael Safley | President |
|  | Danny Herron | Sherry Skelton | Jeff Smith |
| Vice Presidents |  | Carol Stanton |  |
| Cheryl Lankford | Senior Vice Presidents | Linda Tyree | Senior Vice President |
| Geoffery Livingston | Darinda Boyd | Nicki White | Tim Castle |
|  | Carolyn Brown | Tricia White |  |
| Assistant Vice Presidents | Shelia Ferrell |  | Vice President |
| Lisa Crabtree | Toni Harper |  | Scott Foutch |
| Tanya Mathis | Brad Houser |  |  |
| Robert Palmer | Steve King |  | Superior Financial Services, Inc. |
|  | Travis Stalsworth |  | President |
| Banking Officers |  |  | David Raulerson |
| Amelia Allen |  |  |  |
| Valleri Donaghey |  |  | Vice Presidents |
| Kasey Hempel |  |  | Chuck Anderson |
| Stephanie Wallace |  |  | Roger Radford |
|  |  |  | 1 A Division of GreenBank, Greeneville, Tennessee. Located within the existing GreenBank Office network, as indicated by address location on page 15 . |

## Banking Units

NORTHEAST REGION
Cocke County, TN
602 Cosby Highway, Newport * $\Delta$

Greene County, TN
100 North Main Street, Greeneville
841 Tusculum Boulevard, Greeneville * $\Delta$
255 West Summer Street, Greeneville *
560 Van Hill Road, Greeneville *
503 Asheville Highway, Greeneville * $\Delta$
3015 East Andrew Johnson Highway, Greeneville * $\Delta$
17 North Spring Street, Mosheim *

Hamblen County, TN
1908 West Andrew Johnson Highway, Morristown *
3610 West Andrew Johnson Highway, Morristown *
2329 East Morris Boulevard, Morristown * $\Delta$

Hawkins County, TN
105 North Main Street, Bulls Gap *
210 West Main Street, Rogersville *
410 Park Boulevard, Rogersville * $\Delta$
290 Bellamy Avenue, Surgoinsville *

Sullivan County, TN 1430 Volunteer Parkway, Bristol * $\Delta$
110 East Center Street, Kingsport *
1421 East Stone Drive, Kingsport * $\Delta$

Washington County, TN
503 North State of Franklin Road, Johnson City * $\Delta$
4309 North Roan Street, Johnson City * $\Delta$
2710 South Roan Street, Johnson City * $\Delta$
150 North Lincoln Avenue, Jonesborough * $\Delta$

Madison County, NC
164 Bridge Street, Hot Springs *

Washington County, VA 901 West State Street, Bristol *

EAST REGION
Blount County, TN
325 West Joule Street, Alcoa * $\Delta$
403 Foothills Mall Drive, Maryville * $\Delta$
2215 East Lamar Alexander Parkway, Maryville *
2747 East Broadway Avenue, Maryville *

Knox County, TN
10201 Parkside Drive, Knoxville *
136 Concord Road, Knoxville * $\Delta$
5000 Kingston Pike, Knoxville * $\Delta$

Loudon County, TN
510 U.S. Highway 321 North, Lenoir City * $\Delta$
2370 U.S. Highway 72 North, Loudon *

McMinn County, TN
1305 Decatur Pike, Athens * $\Delta$
204 East Main Street, Niota *
Monroe County, TN
4653 Highway 411, Madisonville, TN 37354 *

MIDDLE REGION
Davidson County, TN
2621 Lebanon Road, Nashville * $\Delta$
1915 Glen Echo Road, Nashville * $\Delta$
4205 Hillsboro Road, Suite 101, Nashville *

Lawrence County, TN
101 North Locust Avenue, Lawrenceburg * $\Delta$
202 South Main Street, St. Joseph *

Macon County, TN
440 Highway 52 Bypass West,
Lafayette *
Montgomery County, TN
25 Jefferson Street, Clarksville *
111 Cunningham Lane, Clarksville * $\Delta$
1805 Madison Street, Clarksville * $\Delta$
2786 Wilma Rudolph Boulevard, Clarksville * $\Delta$
599 Fire Station Road, Clarksville *

Rutherford County, TN
372 West Northfield Boulevard, Murfreesboro * $\Delta$
164 Cason Lane, Murfreesboro *
1470 South Church Street, Murfreesboro *

559 Sam Ridley Parkway West, Smyrna *

Smith County, TN
1001 North Main Street, Carthage *
411 Gordonsville Highway, Gordonsville * $\Delta$

Sumner County, TN
710 Nashville Pike, Gallatin * $\Delta$
425 East Main Street, Gallatin *
250 West Broadway, Gallatin *
117 Indian Lake Boulevard, Hendersonville *
303 New Shackle Island Road,
Hendersonville *
175 East Main Street, Hendersonville * $\Delta$
420 Highway 52 West, Portland * $\Delta$
2916 Highway 31W South, White House *

Williamson County, TN
5120 Maryland Way, Brentwood *
231 South Royal Oaks Boulevard, Franklin *
320 Main Street, Franklin *
9200 Carothers Parkway, Franklin * $\Delta$
4922 Main Street, Spring Hill *
Other Financial Services
GreenWealth, Investment
and Insurance ${ }^{1}$
3015 East Andrew Johnson Highway, Greeneville * $\Delta$
110 East Center Street, Kingsport *
710 Nashville Pike, Gallatin * $\Delta$
164 Cason Lane, Murfreesboro *
25 Jefferson Street, Clarksville *
10201 Parkside Drive, Knoxville *
1908 West Andrew Johnson Highway, Morristown *
4922 Main Street, Spring Hill
9200 Carother's Parkway Suite 108, Franklin
2710 South Roan Street, Johnson City
210 West Main Street, Rogersville

GreenWealth, Trust and Estate
Planning ${ }^{1}$
710 Nashville Pike, Gallatin
10201 Parkside Drive, Knoxville

GreenBank Division ${ }^{1}$
130 Concord Road, Knoxville Central Office
255 West Summer Street, Greeneville *
325 West Joule Street, Alcoa* $\Delta$
1305 Decatur Pike, Athens * $\Delta$
10201 Parkside Drive, Knoxville *
117 Indian Lake Boulevard, Hendersonville *
559 Sam Ridley Parkway West, Smyrna *
372 West Northfield Boulevard, Murfreesboro * $\Delta$
2710 South Roan Street, Johnson City * $\Delta$
9200 Carothers Parkway, Franklin * $\Delta$
320 Main Street, Franklin *
5120 Maryland Way, Brentwood *
25 Jefferson Street, Clarksville *
250 West Broadway, Gallatin *
Operating Subsidiaries
Fairway Title Company
130 Concord Road, Knoxville
GCB Acceptance Corporation
4502 Bristol Highway, Johnson City

Superior Financial Services, Inc.
1190 East Andrew Johnson Highway, Greeneville
249 North Calderwood Street, Alcoa
310 North Fairmont Avenue, Morristown
508-B West Oakland Avenue, Johnson City
1145 Volunteer Parkway, Bristol
125 North Henderson Avenue, Sevierville
5539 Clinton Highway, Knoxville
163 Stuart Road, Cleveland

* With ATM for 24-hour convenience
$\Delta$ Offer extended drive-thru banking hours seven days a week.

1 A Division of GreenBank, Greeneville, Tennessee. Located within the existing GreenBank Office network, as indicated by address location.

| Greene County | Blount \& Knox Counties |
| :--- | :--- |
| Leadership Advisory Board | Leadership Advisory Board |
| Myron Bernard | Phil Bachman |
| Lee Duckworth, III | Jim Berrong |
| John Loven | Kenny Coulter |
| Paul McAfee | Larry Garner |
| Cecil Mills | Delmar Haynes |
| Dr. Charles Montgomery | Randy Lambert |
| Terri Rymer | Wayne LeQuire |
| Scott Sallah | John Loope |
|  | Aubrey Needham |
| Greene County | Dorothy Roddy |
| Agricultural Advisory Board | Kent Vaught |
| Ralph Bowers |  |
| J.W. Douthat | Washington County |
| Warren Gaby | Advisory Board |
| Maynard Johnson | Clifford Andes |
| Charles McNeese | Mark Ferguson |
| Charles Neas | Randy Humphreys |
| Johnny Ottinger | George Jaynes |
| Jimmy Peters | Wayne Lewis |
| Grady Shelton | Harry A. Church |
| Hugh Wells | Dan Rutledge |
| Wayne Woolsey |  |

## MARKET AND DIVIDEND INFORMATION

The Company's shares trade on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol GRNB. As of March 28, 2008, the Company estimates that it had approximately 6,000 shareholders, including approximately 3,100 beneficial owners holding shares in nominee or "street" name. The following table sets forth the high and low bid price information for the Company's common stock in 2007 and 2006, along with the amount of cash dividends payments per share during those years.

|  | High | Low | Dividends Paid <br> Per Share |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2007: |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Fourth quarter | $\$ 37.49$ | $\$ 16.76$ | $\$ 0.29$ |  |
| Third quarter | 38.63 | 29.84 |  | 0.13 |
| Second quarter | 35.86 | 31.19 |  | 0.13 |
| First quarter | 40.50 | 32.83 | 0.13 |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\$ 0.68$ |
| 2006: |  |  |  |  |
| Fourth quarter | $\$ 39.73$ | $\$ 35.06$ | $\$ 0.28$ |  |
| Third quarter | 37.77 | 29.28 |  | 0.12 |
| Second quarter | 32.20 | 27.90 | 0.12 |  |
| First quarter | 29.93 | 27.01 | 0.12 |  |
|  |  |  | $\$ 0.64$ |  |

For information regarding restrictions on the payment of dividends by the Bank to the Company, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation - Liquidity and Capital Resources" in the Form 10-K included with this annual report. See also Note 11 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

| Metropolitan Nashville | Upper Cumberland <br> Community Board |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tom Bain | Demps Breeding |
| Andy Beasley | Mary Hammer |
| Rob Crichton | Annette Hord |
| Dr. Clayton Cummings | Carl Hudgens |
| Rod Freeman | Jamie Kelley |
| Donnie Thompson | Wayne King |
| Jim Villers | Freddy Scruggs |
|  | Tim Tisdale |
| Sumner County | Danny Williams |
| Community Board | Billy M. Woodard |
| Mandy Christenson | Johnny Woosley |
| Jon Collins |  |
| Kyle Dorris | Williamson County |
| Kenny Glover | Community Board |
| Ron Harrell | Loyd Anderson |
| James Hodges | Larry Atema |
| Tammy Franklin | Greg Davis, Jr. |
| Mary Anne H. Mudd | Pamela S. Kelley |
| Jim Savage | Monty Lankford |
| Debbie Sutton | Duane Murray |
| Donna Treadway | Houston Naron |
| Jerry Vradenburg | Mark Puryear, III |
| Dr. Allen Walker | Inge Smith |
| Ron Williams | Steve Smith |
| Doug Yoeckel | Gail Wallin |

The following graph shows the cumulative total return on the common stock of the Company over the last five years, compared with the Russell 3000 Index and the NASDAQ Bank Index. Cumulative total return on the stock or the index equals the total increase in value since December 31, 2002, assuming reinvestment of all dividends paid into the stock or the index, respectively. The graph was prepared assuming that $\$ 100$ was invested in the common stock on December 31, 2002, and also in the securities included in the indices used for comparison purposes. The shareholder returns shown on the performance graph are not necessarily indicative of the future performance of the common stock of the Company or particular index.

CUMULATIVE TOTAL SHAREHOLDER RETURN COMPARED WITH PERFORMANCE OF SELECTED INDICES At December 31, 2002, through December 31, 2007


|  | Period Ending |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Index | $12 / 31 / 02$ | $12 / 31 / 03$ | $12 / 31 / 04$ | $12 / 30 / 05$ | $12 / 31 / 06$ | $12 / 31 / 07$ |
| Green Bankshares, Inc. | 100.00 | 120.79 | 142.64 | 148.94 | 220.35 | 108.69 |
| Russell 3000 | 100.00 | 131.06 | 146.71 | 155.69 | 180.16 | 189.42 |
| SNL NASDAQ Bank Index | 100.00 | 129.08 | 147.94 | 143.43 | 161.02 | 126.42 |
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## PART I

## Forward-Looking Statements

The information contained herein contains forward-looking statements that involve a number of risks and uncertainties. A number of factors, including those discussed herein, could cause results to differ materially from those anticipated by such forward-looking statements which are within the meaning of that term in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. In addition, such forward-looking statements are necessarily dependent upon assumptions, estimates and data that may be incorrect or imprecise. Accordingly, any forward-looking statements included herein do not purport to be predictions of future events or circumstances and may not be realized. Forward-looking statements can be identified by, among other things, the use of forward-looking terminology such as "intends," "believes," "expects," "may," "will," "should," "seeks," "pro forma" or "anticipates," or the negatives thereof, or other variations thereon of comparable terminology, or by discussions of strategy or intentions. Such statements may include, but are not limited to, projections of income or loss, expenditures, acquisitions, plans for future operations, financing needs or plans relating to services of the Company, as well as assumptions relating to the foregoing. The Company's actual results may differ materially from the results anticipated in forwardlooking statements due to a variety of factors, including, but not limited to those identified in "Item 1 A . Risk Factors" in this Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ and (1) unanticipated deterioration in the financial condition of borrowers resulting in significant increases in loan losses and provisions for those losses; (2) lack of sustained growth in the economy in the markets that the Bank serves; (3) increased competition with other financial institutions in the markets that the Bank serves; (4) changes in the legislative and regulatory environment; (5) the Company's failure to successfully implement its growth strategy; and (6) the loss of key personnel. All forward-looking statements herein are based on information available to us as of the date this Annual Report on Form 10-K was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC").

## ITEM 1. BUSINESS.

## Presentation of Amounts

All dollar amounts set forth below, other than per-share amounts, are in thousands unless otherwise noted.

## The Company

Green Bankshares, Inc. (the "Company") was formed in 1985 and serves as the bank holding company for GreenBank (the "Bank"), which is a Tennessee-chartered commercial bank that conducts the principal business of the Company. At December 31, 2007, and based upon relevant information available at that time, the Company believes it was the third largest bank holding company headquartered in the state of Tennessee. At December 31, 2007, the Company maintained a main office in Greeneville, Tennessee and 65 full-service bank branches (of which eleven are in leased operating premises), a location for mortgage banking and nine separate locations operated by the Bank's subsidiaries.

The Company's assets consist primarily of its investment in the Bank and liquid investments. Its primary activities are conducted through the Bank. At December 31, 2007, the Company's consolidated total assets were $\$ 2,947,741$, its consolidated net loans were $\$ 2,356,376$, its total deposits were $\$ 1,986,793$ and its total shareholders' equity was $\$ 322,477$.

The Company's net income is dependent primarily on its net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income earned on its loans, investment assets and other interest-earning assets and the interest paid on deposits and other interest-bearing liabilities. To a lesser extent, the Company's net income also is affected by its noninterest income derived principally from service charges and fees as well as the level of noninterest expenses such as salaries and employee benefits.

The operations of the Company are significantly affected by prevailing economic conditions, competition and the monetary, fiscal and regulatory policies of governmental agencies. Lending activities are influenced by the general credit needs of individuals and small and medium-sized businesses in the Company's market areas, competition among lenders, the level of interest rates and the availability of funds. Deposit flows and costs of funds are influenced by prevailing market rates of interest, primarily the rates paid on competing investments, account maturities and the levels of personal income and savings in the Company's market areas.

The principal executive offices of the Company are located at 100 North Main Street, Greeneville, Tennessee 37743-4992 and its telephone number is (423) 639-5111.

## The Bank and its Subsidiaries

The Bank is a Tennessee-chartered commercial bank established in 1890 which has its principal executive offices in Greeneville, Tennessee. The principal business of the Bank consists of attracting deposits from the general public and investing those funds, together with funds generated from operations and from principal and interest payments on loans, primarily in commercial and residential real estate loans, commercial loans and installment consumer loans. At December 31, 2007, the Bank had 64 full-service banking offices located in Greene, Blount, Cocke, Hamblen, Hawkins, Knox, Loudon, McMinn, Monroe, Sullivan, and Washington Counties in East Tennessee and in Davidson, Lawrence, Macon, Montgomery, Rutherford, Smith, Sumner and Williamson Counties in Middle Tennessee. The Bank also operates two other full service branchesone located in nearby Madison County, North Carolina and the other in nearby Bristol, Virginia. Further, the Bank operates a mortgage banking operation in Knox County, Tennessee.

The Bank also offers other financial services through three wholly-owned subsidiaries. Through Superior Financial Services, Inc. ("Superior Financial"), the Bank operates eight consumer finance company offices located in Greene, Blount, Hamblen, Washington, Sullivan, Sevier, Knox and Bradley Counties, Tennessee. Through GCB Acceptance Corporation ("GCB Acceptance"), the Bank operates a sub-prime automobile lending company with a sole office in Johnson City, Tennessee. Through Fairway Title Co., the Bank operates a title company headquartered in Knox County, Tennessee. At December 31, 2007, these three subsidiaries had total combined assets of $\$ 37,992$ and total combined loans, net of unearned interest and loan loss reserve, of \$35,273.

Deposits of the Bank are insured by the Bank Insurance Fund ("BIF") of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"). The Bank is subject to supervision and regulation by the Tennessee Department of Financial Institutions (the "Banking Department") and the FDIC. See "Regulation, Supervision and Governmental Policy."

On October 7, 2005, the Company purchased five bank branches in Montgomery County, Tennessee. This purchase (the "Clarksville transaction") also added to the Bank's presence in Middle Tennessee.

On May 18, 2007, the Company completed its acquisition of Franklin, Tennessee-based Civitas BankGroup, Inc. ("CVBG"). The Company was the surviving corporation of the merger with CVBG. CVBG was the bank holding company for Cumberland Bank which had 12 offices in the Nashville Metropolitan Statistical Area ("MSA"). Cumberland Bank was subsequently merged with the Bank, with the Bank as the surviving entity. The aggregate purchase price was $\$ 164,268$, including $\$ 45,793$ in cash and $3,091,495$ shares of the Company's common stock.

## Growth and Business Strategy

The Company expects that, over the intermediate term, its growth from mergers and acquisitions, including acquisitions of both entire financial institutions and selected branches of financial institutions, will continue. De novo branching is also expected to be a method of growth, particularly in high-growth and other demographically-desirable markets.

The Company's strategic plan outlines geographic expansion within a 300 -mile radius of its headquarters in Greene County, Tennessee. This could result in the Company expanding westward and eastward up to and including Nashville, Tennessee and Roanoke, Virginia, respectively, east/southeast up to and including the Piedmont area of North Carolina and western North Carolina, southward to northern Georgia and northward into eastern and central Kentucky. In particular, the Company believes the markets in and around Knoxville, Nashville and Chattanooga, Tennessee are highly desirable areas with respect to expansion and growth plans.

The Bank had historically operated under a single bank charter while conducting business under 18 bank brands with a distinct community-based brand in almost every market. On March 31, 2007 the Bank announced that it had changed all brand names to GreenBank throughout all the communities it serves to better enhance recognition and customer convenience. The Bank continues to offer local decision making through the presence of its regional executives in each of its markets, while maintaining a cost effective organizational structure in its back office and support areas.

The Bank focuses its lending efforts predominately on individuals and small to medium-sized businesses while it generates deposits primarily from individuals in its local communities. To aid in deposit generation efforts, the Bank offers its customers extended hours of operation during the week as well as Saturday and Sunday banking. The Bank also offers free online banking along with its High Performance Checking Program which since its inception has generated a significant number of core transaction accounts.

In addition to the Company's business model, which is summarized in the paragraphs above entitled "The Company" and "The Bank and its Subsidiaries", the Company is continuously investigating and analyzing other lines and areas of business. Conversely, the Company frequently evaluates and analyzes the profitability, risk factors and viability of its various business lines and segments and, depending upon the results of these evaluations and analyses, may conclude to exit certain segments and/or business lines. Further, in conjunction with these ongoing evaluations and analyses, the Company may decide to sell, merge or close certain branch facilities.

## Lending Activities

General. The loan portfolio of the Company is comprised of commercial real estate, residential real estate, commercial and consumer loans. Such loans are primarily originated within the Company's market areas of East and Middle Tennessee and are generally secured by residential or commercial real estate or business or personal property located in its market footprint.

Loan Composition. The following table sets forth the composition of the Company's loans at December 31 for each of the periods indicated:

|  | $\underline{2007}$ |  |  | $\underline{2006}$ |  | $\underline{2005}$ | $\underline{2004}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |$] \underline{\underline{2003}} 1$

Loan Maturities. The following table reflects at December 31, 2007 the dollar amount of loans maturing based on their contractual terms to maturity. Demand loans, loans having no stated schedule of repayments and loans having no stated maturity are reported as due in one year or less.

|  |  | Due in One Year or Less | Due After One Year Through Five Years | Due After Five Years |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial real estate. | \$ | 866,485 | \$ 591,190 | \$ 91,782 | \$ | 1,549,457 |
| Residential real estate ${ }^{(1)}$........................... |  | 72,041 | 119,053 | 202,184 |  | 393,278 |
| Commercial |  | 186,528 | 123,045 | 10,691 |  | 320,264 |
| Consumer ${ }^{(1)}$. |  | 27,212 | 58,961 | 3,333 |  | 89,506 |
| Other................................................ |  | 3,341 | 382 | 148 |  | 3,871 |
| Total................................................ |  | 1,155,607 | \$892,631 | \$ 308,138 |  | 2,356,376 |

[^0]The following table sets forth the dollar amount of the loans maturing subsequent to the year ending December 31, 2008 distinguished between those with predetermined interest rates and those with floating, or variable, interest rates.

|  | Fixed Rate | Variable Rate | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial real estate... | \$ 494,423 | \$ 188,549 | \$ 682,972 |
| Residential real estate .. | 169,696 | 151,541 | 321,237 |
| Commercial................................................................ | 101,174 | 32,562 | 133,736 |
| Consumer................................................................... | 61,512 | 782 | 62,294 |
| Other .......................................................................... | 426 | 104 | 530 |
| Total................................................................. | \$827,231 | \$ 373,538 | \$1,200,769 |

Commercial Real Estate Loans. The Company originates commercial loans, generally to existing business customers, secured by real estate located in the Company's market area. At December 31, 2007, commercial real estate loans totaled $\$ 1,549,457$, or $66 \%$, of the Company's net loan portfolio. Commercial real estate loans are generally underwritten by addressing cash flow (debt service coverage), primary and secondary source of repayment, financial strength of any guarantor, strength of the tenant (if any), liquidity, leverage, management experience, ownership structure, economic conditions and industry specific trends and collateral. Generally, the Company will loan up to $80-85 \%$ of the value of improved property, $65 \%$ of the value of raw land and $75 \%$ of the value of land to be acquired and developed. A first lien on the property and assignment of lease is required if the collateral is rental property, with second lien positions considered on a case-by-case basis.

Residential Real Estate. The Company also originates one-to-four family, owner-occupied residential mortgage loans secured by property located in the Company's primary market areas. The majority of the Company's residential mortgage loans consists of loans secured by owner-occupied, single-family residences. At December 31, 2007, the Company had $\$ 398,779$, or $17 \%$, of its net loan portfolio in residential real estate loans. Residential real estate loans generally have a loan-to-value ratio of $85 \%$ or less. These loans are underwritten by giving consideration to the ability to pay, stability of employment or source of income, credit history and loan-tovalue ratio. Home equity loans make up approximately $31 \%$ of residential real estate loans. Home equity loans may have higher loan-to-value ratios when the borrower's repayment capacity and credit history conform to underwriting standards. Superior Financial extends sub-prime mortgages to borrowers who generally have a higher risk of default than mortgages extended by the Bank. Sub-prime mortgages totaled $\$ 14,656$, or $4 \%$, of the Company's residential real estate loans at December 31, 2007.

The Company sells most of its one-to-four family mortgage loans in the secondary market to Freddie Mac and other mortgage investors through the Bank's mortgage banking operation. Sales of such loans to Freddie Mac and other mortgage investors totaled $\$ 84,282$ and $\$ 68,747$ during 2007 and 2006, respectively, and the related mortgage servicing rights were sold together with the loans.

Commercial Loans. Commercial loans are made for a variety of business purposes, including working capital, inventory and equipment and capital expansion. At December 31, 2007, commercial loans outstanding totaled $\$ 320,264$, or $14 \%$, of the Company's net loan portfolio. Such loans are usually amortized over one to seven years and generally mature within five years. Commercial loan applications must be supported by current financial information on the borrower and, where appropriate, by adequate collateral. Commercial loans are generally underwritten by addressing cash flow (debt service coverage), primary and secondary sources of repayment, financial strength of any guarantor, liquidity, leverage, management experience, ownership structure, economic conditions and industry-specific trends and collateral. The loan to value ratio depends on the type of collateral. Generally speaking, accounts receivable are financed between $70 \%$ and $80 \%$ of accounts receivable less than 90 days past due. If other collateral is taken to support the loan, the loan to value of accounts receivable may approach $85 \%$. Inventory financing will range between $50 \%$ and $60 \%$ depending on the borrower and nature of the inventory. The Company requires a first lien position for such loans. These types of loans are generally considered to be a higher credit risk than other loans originated by the Company.

Consumer Loans. At December 31, 2007, the Company's consumer loan portfolio totaled $\$ 97,635$, or $4 \%$, of the Company's total net loan portfolio. The Company's consumer loan portfolio is composed of secured and unsecured loans originated by the Bank, Superior Financial and GCB Acceptance. The consumer loans of the Bank have a higher risk of default than other loans originated by the Bank. Further, consumer loans originated by Superior Financial and GCB Acceptance, which are finance companies rather than banks, generally have a greater risk of default than such loans originated by commercial banks and, accordingly, carry a higher interest rate. Superior Financial and GCB Acceptance consumer loans totaled approximately $\$ 37,297$, or $38 \%$, of
the Company's installment consumer loans at December 31, 2007. The performance of consumer loans will be affected by the local and regional economy as well as the rates of personal bankruptcies, job loss, divorce and other individual-specific characteristics.

Past Due, Special Mention, Classified and Nonaccrual Loans. The Company classifies its problem loans into three categories: past due loans, special mention loans and classified loans (both accruing and non-accruing interest).

When management determines that a loan is no longer performing and that collection of interest appears doubtful, the loan is placed on nonaccrual status. All loans that are 90 days past due are considered nonaccrual unless they are adequately secured and there is reasonable assurance of full collection of principal and interest. Management closely monitors all loans that are contractually 90 days past due, treated as "special mention" or otherwise classified or on nonaccrual status. Nonaccrual loans that are 120 days past due without assurance of repayment are charged off against the allowance for loan losses.

The following table sets forth information with respect to the Company's nonperforming assets at the dates indicated. At these dates, the Company did not have any troubled debt restructurings.

|  | At December 31, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{2007}$ | $\underline{2006}$ | $\underline{2005}$ | $\underline{2004}$ | $\underline{2003}$ |
| Loans accounted for on a non-accrual basis $\qquad$ | \$ 32,060 | \$ 3,479 | \$5,915 | \$6,242 | \$ 4,305 |
| Accruing loans which are contractually past due 90 days or more as to interest or principal payments. | 18 | 28 | 809 | 664 | 224 |
| Total non-performing loans ........................ | 32,078 | 3,507 | 6,724 | 6,906 | 4,529 |
| Real estate owned: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foreclosures ........................................ | 4,401 | 1,445 | 2,920 | 1,353 | 3,599 |
| Other real estate held and repossessed assets $\qquad$ | 458 | 243 | 823 | 213 | 627 |
| Total non-performing assets ................... | \$36,937 | \$ 5,195 | $\underline{\text { \$10,467 }}$ | \$8,472 | \$8,755 |

Total non-performing assets increased by $\$ 31,742$ from December 31, 2006 to December 31, 2007. This increase was principally a function of the rapid deterioration of residential real estate construction lending during the fourth quarter of 2007 in the Company's urban markets, primarily Nashville and Knoxville, and the aggressive action taken to identify and appropriately classify these assets. The Company's continuing efforts to resolve nonperforming loans occasionally include foreclosures, which result in the Company's ownership of the real estate underlying the mortgage. If nonaccrual loans at December 31, 2007 had been current according to their original terms and had been outstanding throughout 2007, or since origination if originated during the year, interest income on these loans would have been approximately $\$ 2,214$. Interest actually recognized on these loans during 2007 was $\$ 1,977$.

Foreclosed real estate increased $\$ 2,956$ to $\$ 4,401$ at December 31, 2007 from $\$ 1,445$ at December 31, 2006. The real estate consists of 18 properties, of which 15 are single family residential properties with a carrying value of $\$ 4,150$, two are multi-acre vacant land with carrying value of $\$ 29$ and one consists of five lots with a carrying value of $\$ 222$. Management expects to liquidate these properties during 2008. Management has recorded these properties at fair value less estimated selling costs, and the subsequent sale of such properties is not expected to result in any material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations, subject to business and marketing conditions at the time of sale. Other repossessed assets increased $\$ 215$ to $\$ 458$ at December 31, 2007 from $\$ 243$ at December 31, 2006. The increase is due primarily to increased automobile repossessions at one of the Company's subsidiaries.

Total impaired loans, defined under Statement of Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 114 as loans which, based upon current information and events it is considered probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts of contractual interest and principal as scheduled in the loan agreement, increased by $\$ 31,200$ from $\$ 5,067$ at December 31, 2006 to $\$ 36,267$ at December 31, 2007. Under SFAS No. 114, the impairment is probable if the future event or events confirming the fact of the loss are likely to occur. Impaired loans may, or may not, be included in non-performing loans. This increase is primarily attributable to the rapid deterioration during the fourth quarter of 2007 in residential real estate construction loans located in its urban markets.

At December 31, 2007, the Company had approximately $\$ 4,200$ in loans that are not currently classified as nonaccrual or 90 days past due or otherwise restructured but which known information about possible credit problems of borrowers caused management to have concerns as to the ability of the borrowers to comply with present loan repayment terms. Such loans were considered classified by the Company and were composed primarily of various commercial, commercial real estate and consumer loans. The Company believes that these loans are adequately secured and management currently does not expect any material loss.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level which management believes is adequate to absorb all probable losses on loans then present in the loan portfolio. The amount of the allowance is affected by: (1) loan charge-offs, which decrease the allowance; (2) recoveries on loans previously charged-off, which increase the allowance; and (3) the provision for possible loan losses charged to income, which increases the allowance. In determining the provision for possible loan losses, it is necessary for management to monitor fluctuations in the allowance resulting from actual charge-offs and recoveries, and to periodically review the size and composition of the loan portfolio in light of current and anticipated economic conditions in an effort to evaluate portfolio risks. If actual losses exceed the amount of the allowance for loan losses, earnings of the Company could be adversely affected. The amount of the provision is based on management's judgment of those risks. During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company's provision for loan losses increased by $\$ 8,976$ to $\$ 14,483$ from $\$ 5,507$ for the year ended December 31,2006 , while the allowance for loan losses increased by $\$ 11,809$ to $\$ 34,111$ at December 31, 2007 from $\$ 22,302$ at December 31, 2006. The increase in the provision for loan losses was attributable primarily to weakened economic conditions experienced in the Company's urban markets, principally in the Nashville and Knoxville markets, during the fourth quarter of 2007 accompanied by deteriorating credit quality associated primarily with residential real estate construction loans in those markets.

The increase in the allowance for loan losses was attributable primarily to weakened economic conditions experienced in the Company's urban markets, principally the Nashville and Knoxville markets, during the fourth quarter of 2007 , accompanied by deteriorating credit quality associated primarily with residential real estate construction loans in these markets along with the $\$ 9,022$ allowance acquired from the CVBG acquisition. After recognizing net charge-offs of $\$ 11,696$ for the year, the Company reviewed loan concentrations in the residential real estate construction category along with continued economic weaknesses in its urban markets and provided an additional $\$ 14,483$ to cover estimated losses inherent in the portfolio. While the allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans remained constant from the prior year at $1.45 \%$ on a consolidated basis, the allowance for loan losses in our banking segment increased year over year as a result of the higher level of nonperforming banking assets, and losses inherent in this segment of the Company's business, as noted in "ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA - NOTE 17 - SEGMENT INFORMATION." Although Management believes that the allowance for loan losses is adequate to cover estimated losses inherent in the portfolio, there can be no assurances that additional reserves may not be required in the future.

The following is a summary of activity in the allowance for loan losses for the periods indicated:

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{2007}$ |  | $\underline{2006}$ |  | $\underline{2005}$ |  | $\underline{2004}$ |  | $\underline{2003}$ |  |
| Balance at beginning of year.................... | \$ | 22,302 | \$ | 19,739 | \$ | 15,721 | \$ | 14,564 | \$ | 12,586 |
| Reserve acquired in acquisition ................ |  | 9,022 |  | - |  | 1,467 |  | 363 |  | 1,340 |
| Subtotal.. |  | 31,324 |  | 19,739 |  | 17,188 |  | 14,927 |  | 13,926 |
| Commercial real estate......................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charge-offs: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate......................... |  | $(7,516)$ |  | (494) |  | (189) |  | $(1,044)$ |  | (664) |
| Commercial |  | $(2,065)$ |  | (879) |  | $(1,500)$ |  | $(1,538)$ |  | $(1,007)$ |
| Subtotal. |  | $(9,581)$ |  | $(1,373)$ |  | $(1,689)$ |  | $(2,582)$ |  | $(1,671)$ |
| Residential real estate |  | (840) |  | (947) |  | (622) |  | (424) |  | (745) |
| Consumer ......................................... |  | $(3,050)$ |  | $(2,009)$ |  | $(3,250)$ |  | $(3,962)$ |  | $(4,381)$ |
| Other ................................................. |  | - |  | (28) |  | (22) |  | (12) |  | - |
| Total charge-offs............................. |  | $(13,471)$ |  | $(4,357)$ |  | $(5,583)$ |  | $(6,980)$ |  | $(6,797)$ |
| Recoveries: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate......................... |  | 289 |  | 17 |  | 180 |  | 66 |  | 90 |
| Commercial |  | 227 |  | 171 |  | 160 |  | 304 |  | 195 |
| Subtotal....................................... |  | 516 |  | 188 |  | 340 |  | 370 |  | 287 |
| Residential real estate .......................... |  | 213 |  | 284 |  | 166 |  | 63 |  | 92 |
| Consumer. |  | 1,038 |  | 936 |  | 1,246 |  | 1,504 |  | 1,281 |
| Other. |  | 8 |  | 5 |  | 17 |  | 1 |  | - |
| Total recoveries. |  | 1,775 |  | 1,413 |  | 1,769 |  | 1,938 |  | 1,660 |
| Net charge-offs ..................................... |  | $(11,696)$ |  | $(2,944)$ |  | $(3,814)$ |  | $(5,042)$ |  | $(5,137)$ |
| Provision for loan losses .......................... |  | 14,483 |  | 5,507 |  | 6,365 |  | 5,836 |  | 5,775 |
| Balance at end of year............................. | \$ | 34,111 | \$ | 22,302 | \$ | 19,739 | \$ | 15,721 | \$ | 14,564 |
| Ratio of net charge-offs to average <br> loans outstanding, net of <br> unearned discount, during <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans. |  | 106.34\% |  | 635.93\% |  | 293.56\% |  | 227.64\% |  | 321.57\% |
| Ratio of allowance for loan losses to total loans, net of unearned income |  | 1.45\% |  | 1.45\% |  | 1.43\% |  | 1.50\% |  | 1.53\% |

Breakdown of allowance for loan losses by category. The following table presents an allocation among the listed loan categories of the Company's allowance for loan losses at the dates indicated and the percentage of loans in each category to the total amount of loans at the respective year-ends:


## Investment Activities

General. The Company maintains a portfolio of investments to cover minimum pledging requirements for municipal deposits and borrowings.

Securities by Category. The following table sets forth the carrying value of the securities, by major categories, held by the Company at December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005:

|  | At December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{2007}$ |  | $\underline{2006}$ |  | $\underline{2005}$ |  |
| Securities Held to Maturity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions.......... | \$ | 1,049 | \$ | 1,794 | \$ | 2,630 |
| Corporate Securities ............................................ |  | 254 |  | 751 |  | 749 |
| Total ........................................................... | \$ | 1,303 | \$ | 2,545 | \$ | 3,379 |
| Securities Available for Sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government, corporations and agencies ........... | \$ | 197,908 | \$ | 33,814 | \$ | 40,755 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions........... |  | 34,388 |  | 1,702 |  | 1,700 |
| Trust Preferred Securities. |  | 2,977 |  | 2,224 |  | 6,413 |
| Total ........................................................... |  | 235,273 | \$ | 37,740 | \$ | 48,868 |

Maturity Distributions of Securities. The following table sets forth the distributions of maturities of securities at amortized cost as of December 31, 2007:

|  | Due in One <br> Year or Less |  | Due After One <br> Year through Five Years |  | Due After Five Years through 10 Years |  | Due <br> After 10 Years | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US Government agency obligations - available for sale $\qquad$ | \$ | 258 | \$ | 5,517 | \$ | 37,685 | \$ 152,091 | \$ 195,551 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions available for sale $\qquad$ |  | 500 |  | 1,411 |  | 11,485 | 20,754 | 34,150 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions held to maturity $\qquad$ |  | 385 |  | 664 |  | - | - | 1,049 |
| Other securities - available for sale ........................ |  | - |  | - |  | - | 3,094 | 3,094 |
| Other securities - held to maturity......................... |  | - |  | 254 |  | - | - | 254 |
| Subtotal............................................................ | \$ | 1,143 | \$ | 7,846 | \$ | 49,170 | \$ 175,939 | \$ 234,098 |
| Market value adjustment on available for sale securities $\qquad$ |  | (7) |  | 69 |  | 615 | 1,801 | 2,478 |
| Total.. | \$ | 1,136 | \$ | 7,915 | \$ | 49,785 | \$177,740 | \$236,576 |
| Weighted average yield (a) ................................... |  | 4.07\% |  | 5.35\% |  | 5.71\% | 5.71\% | 5.69\% |

(a) Weighted average yields on tax-exempt obligations have been computed on a fully taxableequivalent basis using a tax rate of $35 \%$.

Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

## Deposits

Deposits are the primary source of funds for the Company. Such deposits consist of noninterest bearing and interest-bearing demand deposit accounts, regular savings deposits, Money Market accounts and market rate Certificates of Deposit. Deposits are attracted from individuals, partnerships and corporations in the Company's market areas. In addition, the Company obtains deposits from state and local entities and, to a lesser extent, U.S. Government and other depository institutions. The Company's Asset/Liability Management Policy permits the acceptance of limited amounts of brokered deposits.

The following table sets forth the average balances and average interest rates based on daily balances for deposits for the periods indicated:

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  |
|  | Average Balance | Average Rate Paid | Average Balance | Average Rate Paid | Average Balance | Average <br> Rate Paid |
| Types of deposits (all in domestic offices): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noninterest bearing demand deposits.... | \$ 184,529 | - | \$ 147,947 | - | \$ 125,071 | - |
| Interest-bearing demand deposits .......... | 581,340 | 2.78\% | 420,041 | 2.38\% | 355,566 | 1.52\% |
| Savings deposits ................................ | 73,355 | .75\% | 72,978 | .70\% | 68,053 | 0.36\% |
| Time deposits ................................... | 951,455 | 4.70\% | 641,672 | 3.98\% | 591,608 | 3.01\% |
| Total deposits .............................. | \$1,790,679 |  | \$1,282,638 |  | \$1,140,298 |  |

The following table indicates the amount of the Company's certificates of deposit of $\$ 100$ or more by time remaining until maturity as of December 31, 2007:

| Maturity Period | Certificates of Deposits |
| :---: | :---: |
| Three months or less. | \$ 216,170 |
| Over three through six months. | 217,746 |
| Over six through twelve months. | 74,090 |
| Over twelve months | 44,957 |
| Total. | \$552,963 |

## Competition

To compete effectively, the Company relies substantially on local commercial activity; personal contacts by its directors, officers, other employees and shareholders; personalized services; and its reputation in the communities it serves.

According to data as of June 30, 2007 published by SNL Financial LC and using information from the FDIC, the Bank ranked as the largest independent commercial bank headquartered in East Tennessee, and its major market areas include Greene, Blount, Davidson, Hamblen, Hawkins, Knox, Lawrence, Loudon, Macon, McMinn, Montgomery, Rutherford, Smith, Sullivan, Sumner, Washington and Williamson Counties, Tennessee and portions of Cocke, Monroe and Jefferson Counties, Tennessee. In Greene County, in which the Company enjoyed its largest deposit share as of June 30, 2007, there were seven commercial banks and one savings bank, operating 26 branches and holding an aggregate of approximately $\$ 1.1$ billion in deposits as of June 30, 2007. The following table sets forth the Bank's deposit share, excluding credit unions, in each county in which it has a full-service branch(s) as of June 30, 2007, according to data published by the FDIC:

| County |  | Deposit Share |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Greene, TN | $39.83 \%$ |  |
| Hawkins, TN |  | $14.33 \%$ |
| Sumner, TN | $13.57 \%$ |  |
| Lawrence, TN |  | $13.39 \%$ |
| Smith, TN |  | $13.25 \%$ |
| Blount, TN | $10.73 \%$ |  |
| Macon, TN | $10.24 \%$ |  |
| Montgomery, TN | $9.45 \%$ |  |
| Hamblen, TN | $7.27 \%$ |  |
| Cocke, TN | $7.18 \%$ |  |
| Williamson, TN | $6.56 \%$ |  |
| McMinn, TN | $6.16 \%$ |  |
| Madison, NC | $5.35 \%$ |  |
| Washington, TN | $3.85 \%$ |  |
| Loudon, TN | $3.36 \%$ |  |
| Bristol, VA ${ }^{1}$ | $2.24 \%$ |  |
| Rutherford, TN | $2.20 \%$ |  |
| Sullivan, TN | $1.63 \%$ |  |
| Monroe, TN | $0.94 \%$ |  |
| Davidson, TN | $0.65 \%$ |  |
| Knox, TN | $0.45 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Bristol, VA is deemed a city. |  |  |

## Employees

As of December 31, 2007 the Company employed 789 full-time equivalent employees. None of the Company's employees are presently represented by a union or covered under a collective bargaining agreement. Management considers relations with employees to be good.

## Regulation, Supervision and Governmental Policy

The following is a brief summary of certain statutes, rules and regulations affecting the Company and the Bank. A number of other statutes and regulations have an impact on their operations. The following summary of applicable statutes and regulations does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to such statutes and regulations.

Bank Holding Company Regulation. The Company is registered as a bank holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act (the "Holding Company Act") and, as such, is subject to supervision, regulation and examination by the Board of Governors of the FRB.

Acquisitions and Mergers. Under the Holding Company Act, a bank holding company must obtain the prior approval of the FRB before (1) acquiring direct or indirect ownership or control of any voting shares of any bank or bank holding company if, after such acquisition, the bank holding company would directly or indirectly own or control more than $5 \%$ of such shares; (2) acquiring all or substantially all of the assets of another bank or bank holding company; or (3) merging or consolidating with another bank holding company. Also, any company must obtain approval of the FRB prior to acquiring control of the Company or the Bank. For purposes of the Holding Company Act, "control" is defined as ownership of more than $25 \%$ of any class of voting securities of the Company or the Bank, the ability to control the election of a majority of the directors, or the exercise of a controlling influence over management or policies of the Company or the Bank.

The Change in Bank Control Act and the related regulations of the FRB require any person or persons acting in concert (except for companies required to make application under the Holding Company Act), to file a written notice with the FRB before such person or persons may acquire control of the Company or the Bank. The Change in Bank Control Act defines "control" as the power, directly or indirectly, to vote $25 \%$ or more of any voting securities or to direct the management or policies of a bank holding company or an insured bank.

Bank holding companies like the Company are currently prohibited from engaging in activities other than banking and activities so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be a proper incident thereto. The FRB's regulations contain a list of permissible nonbanking activities that are closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks. A bank holding company must file an application or notice with the FRB prior to acquiring more than $5 \%$ of the voting shares of a company engaged in such activities. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 (the "GLB Act"), however, greatly broadened the scope of activities permissible for bank holding companies. The GLB Act permits bank holding companies, upon election and classification as financial holding companies, to engage in a broad variety of activities "financial" in nature. The Company has not filed an election with the FRB to be a financial holding company, but may chose to do so in the future.

Capital Requirements. The Company is also subject to FRB guidelines that require bank holding companies to maintain specified minimum ratios of capital to total assets and capital to risk-weighted assets. See "Capital Requirements."

Dividends. The FRB has the power to prohibit dividends by bank holding companies if their actions constitute unsafe or unsound practices. The FRB has issued a policy statement expressing its view that a bank holding company should pay cash dividends only to the extent that the company's net income for the past year is sufficient to cover both the cash dividends and a rate of earnings retention that is consistent with the company's capital needs, asset quality, and overall financial condition. The Company does not believe compliance with this policy statement will limit the Company's ability to maintain its dividend payment rate.

Support of Banking Subsidiaries. Under FRB policy, the Company is expected to act as a source of financial strength to its banking subsidiaries and, where required, to commit resources to support each of such subsidiaries. Further, if the Bank's capital levels were to fall below minimum regulatory guidelines, the Bank would need to develop a capital plan to increase its capital levels and the Company would be required to guarantee the Bank's compliance with the capital plan in order for such plan to be accepted by the federal regulatory authority.

Under the "cross guarantee" provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (the "FDI Act"), any FDIC-insured subsidiary of the Company such as the Bank could be liable for any loss incurred by, or reasonably expected to be incurred by, the FDIC in connection with (i) the default of any other FDIC-insured subsidiary also controlled by the Company or (ii) any assistance provided by the FDIC to any FDIC-insured subsidiary of the Company in danger of default.

Transactions with Affiliates. The Federal Reserve Act, as amended by Regulation W, imposes legal restrictions on the quality and amount of credit that a bank holding company or its non-bank subsidiaries ("affiliates") may obtain from bank subsidiaries of the holding company. For instance, these restrictions generally require that any such extensions of credit by a bank to its affiliates be on non-preferential terms and be secured by designated amounts of specified collateral. Further, a bank's ability to lend to its affiliates is limited to $10 \%$ per affiliate ( $20 \%$ in the aggregate to all affiliates) of the bank's capital and surplus.

Bank Regulation. As a Tennessee banking institution, the Bank is subject to regulation, supervision and regular examination by the Tennessee Department of Financial Institutions. Tennessee and federal banking laws and regulations control, among other things, required reserves, investments, loans, mergers and consolidations, issuance of securities, payment of dividends, and establishment of branches and other aspects of the Bank's operations. Supervision, regulation and examination of the Company and the Bank by the bank regulatory agencies are intended primarily for the protection of depositors rather than for holders of the Common Stock of the Company.

Extensions of Credit. Under joint regulations of the federal banking agencies, including the FDIC, banks must adopt and maintain written policies that establish appropriate limits and standards for extensions of credit that are secured by liens or interests in real estate or are made for the purpose of financing permanent improvements to real estate. These policies must establish loan portfolio diversification standards, prudent underwriting standards, including loan-to-value limits that are clear and measurable, loan administration procedures and documentation, approval and reporting requirements. A bank's real estate lending policy must reflect consideration of the Interagency Guidelines for Real Estate Lending Policies (the "Interagency Guidelines") that have been adopted by the federal banking regulators. The Interagency Guidelines, among other things, call upon depository institutions to establish internal loan-to-value limits for real estate loans that are not in excess of the loan-to-value limits specified in the Guidelines for the various types of real estate loans. The Interagency Guidelines state that it may be appropriate in individual cases to originate or purchase loans with loan-to-value ratios in excess of the supervisory loan-to-value limits. The aggregate amount of loans in excess of the supervisory loan-to-value limits, however, should not exceed $100 \%$ of total capital, and the total of such loans secured by commercial, agricultural, multifamily and other non-one-to-four family residential properties should not exceed $30 \%$ of total capital.

Federal Deposit Insurance. The deposits of the Bank are insured by the FDIC to the maximum extent provided by law, and the Bank is subject to FDIC deposit insurance assessments. The FDIC has adopted a riskbased assessment system for insured depository institutions that takes into account the risks attributable to different categories and concentrations of assets and liabilities. In early 2006, Congress passed the Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Act of 2005, which made certain changes to the Federal deposit insurance program. These changes included merging the Bank Insurance Fund and the Savings Association Insurance Fund, increasing retirement account coverage to $\$ 250,000$ and providing for inflationary adjustments to general coverage beginning in 2010, providing the FDIC with authority to set the fund's reserve ratio within a specified range, and requiring dividends to banks if the reserve ratio exceeds certain levels. The new statute grants banks an assessment credit based on their share of the assessment base on December 31, 1996, and the amount of the credit can be used to reduce assessments in any year subject to certain limitations. The Company does not anticipate this new assessment system will have a material affect on its operating results or financial condition.

Safety and Soundness Standards. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 ("FDICIA") required the federal bank regulatory agencies to prescribe, by regulation, non-capital safety and soundness standards for all insured depository institutions and depository institution holding companies. The FDIC and the other federal banking agencies have adopted guidelines prescribing safety and soundness standards pursuant to FDICIA. The safety and soundness guidelines establish general standards relating to internal controls and information systems, internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate exposure, asset growth, and compensation, fees and benefits. Among other things, the guidelines require banks to maintain appropriate systems and practices to identify and manage risks and exposures identified in the guidelines.

Capital Requirements. The FRB has established guidelines with respect to the maintenance of appropriate levels of capital by registered bank holding companies, and the FDIC has established similar guidelines for state-chartered banks, such as the Bank, that are not members of the FRB. The regulations of the FRB and FDIC impose two sets of capital adequacy requirements: minimum leverage rules, which require the maintenance of a specified minimum ratio of capital to total assets, and risk-based capital rules, which require the maintenance of specified minimum ratios of capital to "risk-weighted" assets. At December 31, 2007, the Company and the Bank satisfied the minimum required regulatory capital requirements. See Note 11 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The FDIC has issued final regulations that classify insured depository institutions by capital levels and require the appropriate federal banking regulator to take prompt action to resolve the problems of any insured institution that fails to satisfy the capital standards. Under such regulations, a "well-capitalized" bank is one that is not subject to any regulatory order or directive to meet any specific capital level and that has or exceeds the following capital levels: a total risk-based capital ratio of $10 \%$, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of $6 \%$, and a leverage ratio of $5 \%$. As of December 31, 2007, the Bank was "well-capitalized" as defined by the regulations. See Note 11 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

Legislative, Legal and Regulatory Developments: The banking industry is generally subject to extensive regulatory oversight. The Company, as a publicly held bank holding company, and the Bank, as a state-chartered bank with deposits insured by the FDIC, are subject to a number of laws and regulations. Many of these laws and regulations have undergone significant change in recent years. These laws and regulations impose restrictions on activities, minimum capital requirements, lending and deposit restrictions and numerous other requirements. Future changes to these laws and regulations, and other new financial services laws and regulations, are likely and cannot be predicted with certainty. Future legislative or regulatory change, or changes in enforcement practices or court rulings, may have a dramatic and potentially adverse impact on the Company and its bank and other subsidiaries.

USA Patriot Act. The President of the United States signed the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act (the "Patriot Act"), into law on October 26, 2001. The Patriot Act establishes a wide variety of new and enhanced ways of combating international terrorism. The provisions that affect banks (and other financial institutions) most directly are contained in Title III of the act. In general, Title III amended existing law - primarily the Bank Secrecy Act - to provide the Secretary of Treasury (the "Treasury") and other departments and agencies of the federal government with enhanced authority to identify, deter, and punish international money laundering and other crimes.

Among other things, the Patriot Act prohibits financial institutions from doing business with foreign "shell" banks and requires increased due diligence for private banking transactions and correspondent accounts for foreign banks. In addition, financial institutions will have to follow new minimum verification of identity standards for all new accounts and will be permitted to share information with law enforcement authorities under circumstances that were not previously permitted. These and other provisions of the Patriot Act became effective at varying times and the Treasury and various federal banking agencies are responsible for issuing regulations to implement the new law.

## Additional Information

The Company maintains a website at www.mybankconnection.com and is not including the information contained on this website as a part of, or incorporating it by reference into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Company makes available free of charge (other than an investor's own internet access charges) through its website its Annual Report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to these reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after the Company electronically files such material with, or furnishes such material to, the Securities and Exchange Commission.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

## The Company's business is subject to the success of the local economies where it operates.

The Company's success significantly depends upon the growth in population, income levels, deposits, residential real estate stability and housing starts in its market areas. If the communities in which the Company operates do not grow or if prevailing economic conditions locally or nationally are unfavorable, the Company's business may not succeed. Adverse economic conditions in the Company's specific market areas could reduce its growth rate, affect the ability of its customers to repay their loans to the Company and generally affect its financial condition and results of operations. Moreover, the Company cannot give any assurance that it will benefit from any market growth or favorable economic conditions in its primary market areas if they do occur.

Any adverse market or economic conditions in the state of Tennessee may increase the risk that the Company's borrowers will be unable to timely make their loan payments. In addition, the market value of the real estate securing loans as collateral could be adversely affected by unfavorable changes in market and economic conditions. As of December 31, 2007, approximately $82.45 \%$ of the Company's loans held for investment were secured by real estate. Of this amount, approximately $35.89 \%$ were commercial real estate loans, $18.23 \%$ were residential real estate loans and $45.89 \%$ were construction and development loans. Any sustained period of increased payment delinquencies, foreclosures or losses caused by adverse market or economic conditions in the state of Tennessee could adversely affect the value of the Company's assets, revenues, results of operations and financial condition.

## The Company could sustain losses if its asset quality declines further.

The Company's earnings are affected by its ability to properly originate, underwrite and service loans. The Company could sustain losses if it incorrectly assesses the creditworthiness of its borrowers or fails to detect or respond to deterioration in asset quality in a timely manner. Recent problems with asset quality have caused, and could continue to cause, the Company's interest income and net interest margin to decrease and its provisions for loan losses to increase, which could adversely affect the Company's results of operations and financial condition. Further increases in non-performing loans would reduce net interest income below levels that would exist if such loans were performing.

## An inadequate allowance for loan losses would reduce the Company's earnings.

The risk of credit losses on loans varies with, among other things, general economic conditions, the type of loan being made, the creditworthiness of the borrower over the term of the loan and, in the case of a collateralized loan, the value and marketability of the collateral for the loan. Management maintains an allowance for loan losses based upon, among other things, historical experience, an evaluation of economic conditions and regular reviews of delinquencies and loan portfolio quality. Based upon such factors, management makes various assumptions and judgments about the ultimate collectibility of the loan portfolio and provides an allowance for loan losses based upon a percentage of the outstanding balances and takes a charge against earnings with respect to specific loans when their ultimate collectibility is considered questionable. If management's assumptions and judgments prove to be incorrect and the allowance for loan losses is inadequate to absorb losses, or if the bank regulatory authorities require the Bank to increase the allowance for loan losses as a part of their examination process, the Company's earnings and capital could be significantly and adversely affected.

## Continued problems in residential real estate construction markets could adversely affect the Company's loan portfolio quality.

During adverse general economic conditions, such as the Company believes is now being experienced in residential real estate construction nationwide, borrowers may suffer above normal financial strain and, as a result, the Company's loans to these borrowers have deteriorated and may deteriorate further and may result in additional charge-offs.

## The Company's business strategy includes the continuation of growth plans, and its financial condition and results of operations could be affected if its business strategies are not effectively executed.

The Company intends to continue pursuing a growth strategy for its business through acquisitions and de novo branching. The Company's prospects must be considered in light of the risks, expenses and difficulties occasionally encountered by financial services companies in growth stages, which may include the following:

- Maintaining loan quality;
- Maintaining adequate management personnel and information systems to oversee such growth; and
- Maintaining adequate control and compliance functions.

Operating Results. There is no assurance that existing offices or future offices will maintain or achieve deposit levels, loan balances or other operating results necessary to avoid losses or produce profits. The Company's growth and de novo branching strategy necessarily entails growth in overhead expenses as it routinely adds new offices and staff. The Company's historical results may not be indicative of future results or results that may be achieved as the Company continue to increase the number and concentration of its branch offices.

Development of Offices. There are considerable costs involved in opening branches, and new branches generally do not generate sufficient revenues to offset their costs until they have been in operation for at least a year or more. Accordingly, the Company's de novo branches may be expected to negatively impact its earnings during this period of time until the branches reach certain economies of scale.

Expansion into New Markets. Much of the Company's growth overt the last three years has been focused in the highly competitive Nashville, Knoxville and Clarksville metropolitan markets. The customer demographics and financial services offerings in these markets are unlike those found in the East Tennessee markets that the Company has historically served. In the Nashville, Knoxville and Clarksville markets, the Company faces competition from a wide array of financial institutions. The Company's expansion into these new markets may be impacted if it is unable to meet customer demands or compete effectively with the financial institutions operating in these markets.

Regulatory and Economic Factors. The Company's growth and expansion plans may be adversely affected by a number of regulatory and economic developments or other events. Failure to obtain required regulatory approvals, changes in laws and regulations or other regulatory developments and changes in prevailing economic conditions or other unanticipated events may prevent or adversely affect the Company's continued growth and expansion.

Failure to successfully address the issues identified above could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, future prospects, financial condition or results of operations, and could adversely affect the Company's ability to successfully implement its business strategy.

## Liquidity needs could adversely affect the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

The Company relies on dividends from the Bank as its primary source of funds. The primary source of funds of the Bank are customer deposits and loan repayments. While scheduled loan repayments are a relatively stable source of funds, they are subject to the ability of borrowers to repay the loans. The ability of borrowers to repay loans can be adversely affected by a number of factors, including changes in economic conditions, adverse trends or events affecting business industry groups, reductions in real estate values or markets, business closings or lay-offs, inclement weather, natural disasters and international instability. Additionally, deposit levels may be affected by a number of factors, including rates paid by competitors, general interest rate levels, returns available to customers on alternative investments and general economic conditions. Accordingly, the Company may be required from time to time to rely on secondary sources of liquidity to meet withdrawal demands or otherwise fund operations. Such sources include Federal Home Loan Bank advances and federal funds lines of credit from correspondent banks. While the Company believes that these sources are currently adequate, there can be no assurance they will be sufficient to meet future liquidity demands. The Company may be required to slow or discontinue loan growth, capital expenditures or other investments or liquidate assets should such sources not be adequate.

## Competition from financial institutions and other financial service providers may adversely affect the Company's profitability.

The banking business is highly competitive and the Company experiences competition in each of its markets from many other financial institutions. The Company competes with commercial banks, credit unions, savings and loan associations, mortgage banking firms, consumer finance companies, securities brokerage firms, insurance companies, money market funds, and other mutual funds, as well as other community banks and super-regional and national financial institutions that operate offices in the Company's primary market areas and elsewhere.

Additionally, the Company faces competition from de novo community banks, including those with senior management who were previously affiliated with other local or regional banks or those controlled by investor groups with strong local business and community ties. These de novo community banks may offer higher deposit rates or lower cost loans in an effort to attract the Company's customers, and may attempt to hire the Company's management and employees.

The Company competes with these other financial institutions both in attracting deposits and in making loans. In addition, the Company has to attract its customer base from other existing financial institutions and from new residents. The Company expects competition to increase in the future as a result of legislative, regulatory and technological changes and the continuing trend of consolidation in the financial services industry. The Company's profitability depends upon its continued ability to successfully compete with an array of financial institutions in its market areas.

## The Company may face risks with respect to future expansion.

From time to time the Company may engage in additional de novo branch expansion as well as the acquisition of other financial institutions or parts of those institutions. The Company may also consider and enter into new lines of business or offer new products or services. Acquisitions and mergers involve a number of risks, including:

- the time and costs associated with identifying and evaluating potential acquisitions and merger partners;
- inaccuracies in the estimates and judgments used to evaluate credit, operations, management and market risks with respect to the target institution;
- the time and costs of evaluating new markets, hiring experienced local management and opening new offices, and the time lags between these activities and the generation of sufficient assets and deposits to support the costs of the expansion;
- the Company's ability to finance an acquisition and possible dilution to its existing shareholders;
- the diversion of the Company's management's attention to the negotiation of a transaction, and the integration of the operations and personnel of the combining businesses;
- entry into new markets where the Company lacks experience;
- the introduction of new products and services into the Company's business;
- the incurrence and possible impairment of goodwill associated with an acquisition and possible adverse short-term effects on the Company's results of operations; and
- the risk of loss of key employees and customers.

The Company may incur substantial costs to expand. There can be no assurance that integration efforts for any future mergers or acquisitions will be successful. Also, the Company may issue equity securities, including common stock and securities convertible into shares of the Company's common stock in connection with future acquisitions, which could cause ownership and economic dilution to the Company's shareholders. There is no assurance that, following any future mergers or acquisitions, the Company's integration efforts will be successful or the Company, after giving effect to the acquisition, will achieve profits comparable to or better than its historical experience.

## Changes in interest rates could adversely affect the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

Changes in interest rates may affect the Company's level of interest income, the primary component of its gross revenue, as well as the level of its interest expense. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond the Company's control, including general economic conditions and the policies of various governmental and regulatory authorities. Accordingly, changes in interest rates could decrease the Company's net interest income. Changes in the level of interest rates also may negatively affect the Company's ability to originate real estate loans, the value of the Company's assets and the Company's ability to realize gains from the sale of its assets, all of which ultimately affects the Company's earnings.

## The Company relies heavily on the services of key personnel.

The Company depends substantially on the strategies and management services of R. Stan Puckett, its Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer. Although the Company has entered into an employment agreement with him, the loss of the services of Mr. Puckett could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations and financial condition. The Company is also dependent on certain other key officers who have important customer relationships or are instrumental to its operations. Changes in key personnel and their responsibilities may be disruptive to the Company's business and could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

The Company believes that its future results will also depend in part upon its attracting and retaining highly skilled and qualified management and sales and marketing personnel, particularly in those areas where the Company may open new branches. Competition for such personnel is intense, and the Company cannot assure you that it will be successful in attracting or retaining such personnel.

## The Company is subject to extensive regulation that could limit or restrict its activities.

The Company operates in a highly regulated industry and is subject to examination, supervision, and comprehensive regulation by various federal and state agencies including the Board of Governors of the FRB, the FDIC and the Tennessee Department of Financial Institutions. The Company's regulatory compliance is costly and restricts certain of its activities, including payment of dividends, mergers and acquisitions, investments, loans and interest rates charged, interest rates paid on deposits and locations of offices. The Company is also subject to capitalization guidelines established by its regulators, which require it to maintain adequate capital to support its growth.

The laws and regulations applicable to the banking industry could change at any time, and the Company cannot predict the effects of these changes on its business and profitability. Because government regulation greatly affects the business and financial results of all commercial banks and bank holding companies, the Company's cost of compliance could adversely affect its ability to operate profitably.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and the related rules and regulations promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission and Nasdaq that are applicable to the Company, have increased the scope, complexity and cost of corporate governance, reporting and disclosure practices. As a result, the Company has experienced, and may continue to experience, greater compliance costs.

## The Company's recent results may not be indicative of its future results.

The Company may not be able to sustain its historical rate of growth or may not even be able to grow its business at all. In addition, the Company's recent growth may distort some of its historical financial ratios and statistics. In the future, the Company may not have the benefit of historically favorable factors, such as a strong population in-migration in the State of Tennessee, a reasonable residential real estate market, or the ability to find suitable expansion opportunities. Various factors, such as economic conditions, regulatory and legislative considerations and competition, may also impede or prohibit the Company's ability to expand its market presence.

## The Company is subject to Tennessee anti-takeover statutes and certain charter provisions which could decrease its chances of being acquired even if the acquisition is in the Company's shareholders' best interests.

As a Tennessee corporation, the Company is subject to various legislative acts which impose restrictions on and require compliance with procedures designed to protect shareholders against unfair or coercive mergers and acquisitions. These statutes may delay or prevent offers to acquire the Company and increase the difficulty of consummating any such offers, even if the acquisition of the Company would be in its shareholders' best interests. The Company's amended and restated charter also contains provisions which may make it difficult for another entity to acquire it without the approval of a majority of the disinterested directors on its board of directors.

The amount of common stock owned by, and other compensation arrangements with, the Company's officers and directors may make it more difficult to obtain shareholder approval of potential takeovers that they oppose.

As of March 14, 2008, directors and executive officers beneficially owned approximately $10.56 \%$ of the Company's common stock. Agreements with selected members of the Company's senior management also provide for certain payments under various circumstances following a change in control. These compensation arrangements, together with the common stock and option ownership of the Company's board of directors and management, could make it difficult or expensive to obtain majority support for shareholder proposals or potential acquisition proposals.

The Company's continued pace of growth may require it to raise additional capital in the future, but that capital may not be available when it is needed.

The Company is required by federal and state regulatory authorities to maintain adequate levels of capital to support its operations. While the Company's capital resources will satisfy its capital requirements for the foreseeable future, the Company may at some point, however, need to raise additional capital to support its continued growth.

The Company's ability to raise additional capital, if needed, will depend on conditions in the capital markets at that time, which are outside its control, and on its financial performance. Accordingly, the Company cannot assure its shareholders that it will be able to raise additional capital if needed on terms acceptable to it. If the Company cannot raise additional capital when needed, its ability to further expand its operations through internal growth and acquisitions could be materially impaired.

The success and growth of the Company's business will depend on its ability to adapt to technological changes.

The banking industry and the ability to deliver financial services is becoming more dependent on technological advancement, such as the ability to process loan applications over the Internet, accept electronic signatures, provide process status updates instantly and on-line banking capabilities and other customer expected conveniences that are cost efficient to the Company's business processes. As these technologies are improved in the future, the Company may, in order to remain competitive, be required to make significant capital expenditures.

Even though the Company's common stock is currently traded on The Nasdaq Global Select Market, the trading volume in its common stock has been thin and the sale of substantial amounts of the Company's common stock in the public market could depress the price of its common stock.

The Company cannot say with any certainty when a more active and liquid trading market for its common stock will develop or be sustained. Because of this, the Company's shareholders may not be able to sell their shares at the volumes, prices, or times that they desire.

The Company cannot predict the effect, if any, that future sales of its common stock in the market, or availability of shares of its common stock for sale in the market, will have on the market price of the Company's common stock. The Company, therefore, can give no assurance that sales of substantial amounts of its common stock in the market, or the potential for large amounts of sales in the market, would not cause the price of its common stock to decline or impair its ability to raise capital through sales of its common stock.

The market price of the Company's common stock may fluctuate in the future, and these fluctuations may be unrelated to its performance. General market price declines or overall market volatility in the future could adversely affect the price of our common stock, and the current market price may not be indicative of future market prices.

The Company may issue additional common stock or other equity securities in the future which could dilute the ownership interest of existing shareholders.

In order to maintain its capital at desired levels or required regulatory levels, or to fund future growth, the Company's board of directors may decide from time to time to issue additional shares of common stock, or securities convertible into, exchangeable for or representing rights to acquire shares of its common stock. The sale of these shares may significantly dilute the Company's shareholders ownership interest as a shareholder and the per share book value of its common stock. New investors in the future may also have rights, preferences and privileges senior to its current shareholders which may adversely impact its current shareholders.

## The Company's ability to declare and pay dividends is limited by law and it may be unable to pay future dividends.

The Company derives its income solely from dividends on the shares of common stock of the Bank. The Bank's ability to declare and pay dividends is limited by its obligations to maintain sufficient capital and by other general restrictions on its dividends that are applicable to banks that are regulated by the FDIC and the Tennessee Department of Financial Institutions. In addition, the FRB may impose restrictions on the Company's ability to pay dividends on its common stock. As a result, the Company cannot assure its shareholders that it will declare or pay dividends on shares of its common stock in the future.

## Holders of the Company's junior subordinated debentures have rights that are senior to those of its common shareholders.

The Company has supported its continued growth through the issuance of trust preferred securities from special purpose trusts and accompanying junior subordinated debentures. At December 31, 2007, the Company had outstanding trust preferred securities and accompanying junior subordinated debentures totaling $\$ 88.7$ million. Payments of the principal and interest on the trust preferred securities of these trusts are conditionally guaranteed by the Company. Further, the accompanying junior subordinated debentures the Company issued to the trusts are senior to its shares of common stock. As a result, the Company must make payments on the junior subordinated debentures before any dividends can be paid on its common stock and, in the event of its bankruptcy, dissolution or liquidation, the holders of the junior subordinated debentures must be satisfied before any distributions can be made on its common stock. The Company has the right to defer distributions on its junior subordinated debentures (and the related trust preferred securities) for up to five years, during which time no dividends may be paid on its common stock.

## ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.

None.

## ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.

At December 31, 2007, the Company maintained a main office in Greeneville, Tennessee in a building it owns, 65 full-service bank branches (of which 54 are owned premises and 11 are leased premises) and a building for mortgage lending operations which it owns. In addition, the Bank's subsidiaries operate from nine separate locations, all of which are leased.

## ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to claims and suits arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate resolution of these pending claims and legal proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations.

## ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

No matters were submitted during the fourth quarter of 2007 to a vote of security holders of the Company through a solicitation of proxies or otherwise.

## PART II

## ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.

On March 14, 2008, Green Bankshares had 13,001,321 shares of common stock outstanding. The Company's shares are traded on The Nasdaq Global Select Market, under the symbol "GRNB". As of March 14, 2008, the Company estimates that it had approximately 6,000 shareholders, including approximately 2,900 shareholders of record and approximately 3,100 beneficial owners holding shares in nominee or "street" name.

The following table shows the high and low sales price and closing price for the Company's common stock as reported by The Nasdaq Global Select Market for 2007 and 2006. The table also sets forth the dividends per share paid each quarter during 2007 and 2006.

|  | High/Low Sales Price <br> During Quarter | Closing <br> Price | Dividends Paid <br> Per Share |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007: | $\$ 40.50 / 32.83$ | $\$ 33.91$ | $\$ 0.13$ |
| First quarter | $35.86 / 31.19$ | 31.26 | 0.13 |
| Second quarter | $38.63 / 29.84$ | 36.45 | 0.13 |
| Third quarter | $37.49 / 16.76$ | 19.20 | $\underline{0.29}$ |
| Fourth quarter |  |  | $\underline{\underline{0.68}}$ |
| 2006: | $\$ 29.93 / 27.01$ | $\$ 29.21$ | $\$ 0.12$ |
| First quarter | $32.20 / 27.90$ | 30.96 | 0.12 |
| Second quarter | $37.77 / 29.28$ | 36.56 | 0.12 |
| Third quarter | $39.73 / 35.06$ | 39.73 | $\underline{0.28}$ |
| Fourth quarter |  |  | $\underline{\underline{0.64}}$ |

Holders of the Company's common stock are entitled to receive dividends when, as and if declared by the Company's board of directors out of funds legally available for dividends. Historically, the Company has paid quarterly cash dividends on its common stock, and its board of directors presently intends to continue to pay regular quarterly cash dividends. The Company's ability to pay dividends to its shareholders in the future will depend on its earnings and financial condition, liquidity and capital requirements, the general economic and regulatory climate, the Company's ability to service any equity or debt obligations senior to its common stock, including its outstanding trust preferred securities and accompanying junior subordinated debentures, and other factors deemed relevant by the Company's board of directors. In order to pay dividends to shareholders, the Company must receive cash dividends from the Bank. As a result, the Company's ability to pay future dividends will depend upon the earnings of the Bank, its financial condition and its need for funds.

Moreover, there are a number of federal and state banking policies and regulations that restrict the Bank's ability to pay dividends to the Company and the Company's ability to pay dividends to its shareholders. In particular, because the Bank is a depository institution and its deposits are insured by the FDIC, it may not pay dividends or distribute capital assets if it is in default on any assessment due to the FDIC. In addition, the Tennessee Banking Act prohibits the Bank from declaring dividends in excess of net income for the calendar year in which the dividend is declared plus retained net income for the preceding two years without the approval of the Commissioner of the Tennessee Department of Financial Institutions. Also, the Bank is subject to regulations which impose certain minimum regulatory capital and minimum state law earnings requirements that affect the amount of cash available for distribution to the Company. Lastly, under Federal Reserve policy, the Company is required to maintain adequate regulatory capital, is expected to serve as a source of financial strength to the Bank and to commit resources to support the Bank. These policies and regulations may have the effect of reducing or eliminating the amount of dividends that the Company can declare and pay to its shareholders in the future. For information regarding restrictions on the payment of dividends by the Bank to the Company, see "MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - Liquidity and Capital Resources" in this Annual Report. See also Note 11 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company made no repurchases of its common stock during the quarter ended December 31, 2007.

## ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.

|  | $\underline{2007}{ }^{(1)}$ |  | $\underline{2006}$ |  | $\underline{2005}$ |  | $\underline{2004}$ |  | $\underline{2003}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total interest income | \$ | 176,626 | \$ | 117,357 | \$ | 87,191 | \$ | 65,076 | \$ | 56,737 |
| Total interest expense |  | 81,973 |  | 45,400 |  | 28,405 |  | 16,058 |  | 15,914 |
| Net interest income |  | 94,653 |  | 71,957 |  | 58,786 |  | 49,018 |  | 40,823 |
| Provision for loan losses. |  | $(14,483)$ |  | $(5,507)$ |  | $(6,365)$ |  | $(5,836)$ |  | $(5,775)$ |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses ........ |  | 80,170 |  | 66,450 |  | 52,421 |  | 43,182 |  | 35,048 |
| Noninterest income. |  | 27,678 |  | 20,778 |  | 14,756 |  | 13,028 |  | 11,588 |
| Noninterest expense |  | $(69,328)$ |  | $(52,776)$ |  | $(44,340)$ |  | $(36,983)$ |  | $(30,618)$ |
| Income before income taxes |  | 38,520 |  | 34,452 |  | 22,837 |  | 19,227 |  | 16,018 |
| Income tax expense. |  | $(14,146)$ |  | $(13,190)$ |  | $(8,674)$ |  | $(7,219)$ |  | $(5,781)$ |
| Net income. | \$ | 24,374 | \$ | 21,262 | \$ | 14,163 | \$ | 12,008 | \$ | 10,237 |
| Per Share Data: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income, basic. | \$ | 2.07 | \$ | 2.17 | \$ | 1.73 | \$ | 1.57 | \$ | 1.48 |
| Net income, assuming dilution | \$ | 2.07 | , | 2.14 | \$ | 1.71 | \$ | 1.55 | \$ | 1.47 |
| Dividends declared | \$ | . 68 | \$ | . 64 | \$ | . 62 | \$ | 0.61 | \$ | . 59 |
| Book value. | \$ | 24.94 | , | 18.80 | \$ | 17.20 | \$ | 14.22 | \$ | 13.31 |
| Tangible book value ${ }^{2}$. | \$ | 12.73 | \$ | 14.87 | \$ | 13.15 | \$ | 11.12 | \$ | 10.57 |
| Financial Condition Data: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets. |  | 2,947,741 | , | 1,772,654 | \$ | 1,619,989 | \$ | 1,233,403 | \$ | 1,108,522 |
| Loans, net of unearned interest |  | 2,356,376 | \$ | 1,539,629 | \$ | 1,378,642 |  | 1,046,867 | \$ | 952,225 |
| Cash and investments | \$ | 314,615 | \$ | 91,997 | \$ | 104,872 | \$ | 76,637 | \$ | 80,910 |
| Federal funds sold. | \$ | - | \$ | 25,983 | \$ | 28,387 | \$ | 39,921 | \$ | 5,254 |
| Deposits. | \$ | 1,986,793 | \$ | 1,332,505 | \$ | 1,295,879 | \$ | 988,022 | \$ | 907,115 |
| FHLB advances and notes payable | \$ | 318,690 | \$ | 177,571 | \$ | 105,146 | \$ | 85,222 | \$ | 63,030 |
| Subordinated debentures. | \$ | 88,662 | \$ | 13,403 | \$ | 13,403 | \$ | 10,310 | \$ | 10,310 |
| Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements... | \$ | 194,525 | \$ | 42,165 | \$ | 17,498 | \$ | 13,868 | \$ | 12,896 |
| Shareholders' equity . | \$ | 322,477 | \$ | 184,471 | \$ | 168,021 | \$ | 108,718 | \$ | 101,935 |
| Tangible shareholders' equity ${ }^{2}$ | \$ | 164,650 | \$ | 145,931 | \$ | 128,399 | \$ | 85,023 | \$ | 80,965 |
| Selected Ratios: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate spread. |  | 3.83\% |  | 4.32\% |  | 4.30\% |  | 4.53\% |  | 4.59\% |
| Net interest margin ${ }^{3}$ |  | 4.25\% |  | 4.77\% |  | 4.61\% |  | 4.75\% |  | 4.83\% |
| Return on average assets |  | 0.98\% |  | 1.28\% |  | 1.02\% |  | 1.06\% |  | 1.12\% |
| Return on average equity.. |  | 8.96\% |  | 11.91\% |  | 11.09\% |  | 11.23\% |  | 12.59\% |
| Return on average tangible equity ${ }^{2}$. |  | 15.41\% |  | 15.25\% |  | 14.04\% |  | 13.95\% |  | 13.38\% |
| Average equity to average assets ............................. |  | 10.91\% |  | 10.78\% |  | 9.20\% |  | 9.47\% |  | 8.87\% |
| Dividend payout ratio ........................................... |  | 32.85\% |  | 29.49\% |  | 35.84\% |  | 38.85\% |  | 39.86\% |
| Ratio of nonperforming assets to total assets .............. |  | 1.25\% |  | 0.29\% |  | 0.65\% |  | 0.69\% |  | 0.79\% |
| Ratio of allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans |  | 106.34\% |  | 635.93\% |  | 293.56\% |  | 227.64\% |  | 321.57\% |
| Ratio of allowance for loan losses to total loans, net of unearned income. |  | 1.45\% |  | 1.45\% |  | 1.43\% |  | 1.50\% |  | 1.53\% |

[^1]
## GAAP Reconciliation and Management Explanation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Certain financial information included in the selected financial data is determined by methods other than in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted within the United States ("GAAP"). These nonGAAP financial measures are "tangible book value per share," "tangible shareholders' equity," and "return on average tangible equity." The Company's management, the entire Financial Services Sector, Bank Stock Analysts, and Bank Regulators use these non-GAAP measures in their analysis of the Company's performance.

- "Tangible book value per share" is defined as total equity reduced by recorded goodwill and other intangible assets divided by total common shares outstanding. This measure discloses changes from period-to-period in book value per share exclusive of changes in intangible assets. Goodwill, an intangible asset that is recorded in a purchase business combination, has the effect of increasing total book value while not increasing the tangible assets of a company. For companies such as the Company that have engaged in business combinations, purchase accounting can result in the recording of significant amounts of goodwill related to such transactions.
- "Tangible shareholders' equity" is shareholders' equity less goodwill and other intangible assets.
- "Return on average tangible equity" is defined as earnings for the period divided by average equity reduced by average goodwill and other intangible assets.

These disclosures should not be viewed as a substitute for results determined in accordance with GAAP, and are not necessarily comparable to non-GAAP performance measures which may be presented by other companies. The following reconciliation table provides a more detailed analysis of these non-GAAP performance measures:

|  | At and for the Fiscal Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
| Book value per share. | \$ 24.93 | \$18.80 | \$17.20 | \$14.22 | \$13.31 |
| Effect of intangible assets per share..... | \$(12.20) | \$(3.93) | \$(4.05) | \$(3.10) | \$(2.74) |
| Tangible book value per share............. | \$ 12.73 | \$14.87 | \$13.15 | \$11.12 | \$10.57 |
| Return on average equity................... | 8.96\% | 11.91\% | 11.09\% | 11.23\% | 12.59\% |
| Effect of intangible assets. | 6.45\% | 3.34\% | 2.95\% | 2.72\% | 0.79\% |
| Return on average tangible equity.. | 15.41\% | 15.25\% | 14.04\% | 13.95\% | 13.38\% |

## ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## Overview

Despite the significantly higher loan loss provision of $\$ 14,483$ in 2007 compared with $\$ 5,507$ in 2006, net income increased by approximately $15 \%$ over 2006 levels and totaled $\$ 24,374$ for the full year 2007. The rise in net income was driven by an increase in earning assets, primarily resulting from the Company's acquisition of CVBG in the second quarter of 2007 together with organic loan growth. On a diluted per share basis, net income was $\$ 2.07$ for 2007 compared with $\$ 2.14$ for the same period a year ago, a decrease of $3 \%$.

Net interest income for 2007 totaled $\$ 94,653$, an improvement of $32 \%$ over the same period a year ago. The increase in net interest income was due to the impact of an increase in average earning assets, primarily loans and investment securities, stemming from the CVBG acquisition in the second quarter of 2007 which was partially offset by the incremental impact of the increase in average interest-bearing liabilities from the CVBG acquisition. The Company experienced a contraction throughout 2007 in the net interest margin moving from $4.78 \%$ in 2006 to $4.25 \%$ in 2007 . This contraction was a result of the initial, higher costing interest-bearing liability structure associated with the CVBG acquisition resulting from philosophical market pricing differences, the impact of local market competition and the actions undertaken by the Federal Open Market Committee ("FOMC") during the fourth quarter to significantly reduce market interest rates. Noninterest income grew by $\$ 6,900$, or $33 \%$, and totaled $\$ 27,678$ for 2007 . The continued success of a deposit gathering program and to a lesser extent the impact of the CVBG acquisition in the second quarter of 2007 all contributed to this improvement. Noninterest expenses totaled $\$ 69,328$ for the year, up $\$ 16,552$ from the prior year principally as a result of the increased noninterest expenses resulting from the acquisition of CVBG.

## Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The Company's consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reported periods.

Management continually evaluates the Company's accounting policies and estimates it uses to prepare the consolidated financial statements. In general, management's estimates are based on current and projected economic conditions, historical experience, information from regulators and third party professionals and various assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the then existing set of facts and circumstances. Actual results could differ from those estimates made by management.

The Company believes its critical accounting policies and estimates include the valuation of the allowance for loan losses and the fair value of financial instruments and other accounts. Based on management's calculation, an allowance of $\$ 34,111$, or $1.45 \%$, of total loans, net of unearned interest was an adequate estimate of losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of December 31, 2007. This estimate resulted in a provision for loan losses on the income statement of $\$ 14,483$ during 2007. If the mix and amount of future charge-off percentages differ significantly from those assumptions used by management in making its determination, the allowance for loan losses and provision for loan losses on the income statement could be materially affected. For further discussion of the allowance for loan losses and a detailed description of the methodology management uses in determining the adequacy of the allowance, see "ITEM 1. BUSINESS - Lending Activities - Allowance for Loan Losses" located above, and "Changes in Results of Operations - Provision for Loan Losses" located below.

The consolidated financial statements include certain accounting and disclosures that require management to make estimates about fair values. Estimates of fair value are used in the accounting for securities available for sale, loans held for sale, goodwill, other intangible assets, and acquisition purchase accounting adjustments. Estimates of fair values are used in disclosures regarding securities held to maturity, stock compensation, commitments, and the fair values of financial instruments. Fair values are estimated using relevant market information and other assumptions such as interest rates, credit risk, prepayments and other factors. The fair values of financial instruments are subject to change as influenced by market conditions.

## Changes in Results of Operations

Net income. Net income for 2007 was $\$ 24,374$, an increase of $\$ 3,112$, or $15 \%$, compared to net income of $\$ 21,262$ for 2006 . The increase is primarily attributable to an increase in net interest income of $\$ 22,696$, or $32 \%$, to $\$ 94,653$ in 2007 from $\$ 71,957$ in 2006 and resulted principally from higher average balances of loans from the CVBG acquisition in the second quarter of 2007 and continued organic loan growth during 2007. In addition, total noninterest income increased by $\$ 6,900$, or $33 \%$, to $\$ 27,678$ in 2007 from $\$ 20,778$ in 2006. The increase in noninterest income can be primarily attributed to higher fee income associated with further development of the Company's High Performance Checking Account product. Offsetting, in part, these positive effects on net income was an increase in the loan loss provision of $\$ 8,976$ over 2006 levels due to deteriorating economic conditions impacting residential real estate construction lending during the fourth quarter of 2007 and an increase in noninterest expense of $\$ 16,552$, or $31 \%$, to $\$ 69,328$ in 2007 from $\$ 52,776$ in 2006 . The increase in noninterest expense resulted primarily from the Company's CVBG acquisition in the second quarter of 2007.

Net income for 2006 was $\$ 21,262$, an increase of $\$ 7,099$, or $50 \%$, compared to net income of $\$ 14,163$ for 2005 . The increase was primarily attributable to an increase in net interest income of $\$ 13,171$, or $22 \%$, to $\$ 71,957$ in 2006 from $\$ 58,786$ in 2005 and resulted principally from higher average balances of loans due to organic loan growth in 2006 as well as loans added from the Clarksville transaction in the first part of the fourth quarter-2005. In addition, total noninterest income increased by $\$ 6,022$, or $41 \%$, to $\$ 20,778$ in 2006 from $\$ 14,756$ in 2005. The increase in noninterest income was the result of higher fee income associated with further development of the Company's High Performance Checking Account product. Offsetting, in part, these positive effects on net income was an increase in noninterest expense of $\$ 8,436$, or $19 \%$, to $\$ 52,776$ in 2006 from $\$ 44,340$ in 2005. The increase in noninterest expense resulted primarily as a result of the Company's Clarksville transaction during the first part of the fourth quarter of 2005.

Net Interest Income. The largest source of earnings for the Company is net interest income, which is the difference between interest income on earning assets and interest paid on deposits and other interest-bearing liabilities. The primary factors that affect net interest income are changes in volumes and rates on earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, which are affected in part by management's anticipatory responses to changes in interest rates through asset/liability management. During 2007, net interest income was $\$ 94,653$ as compared to $\$ 71,957$ in 2006, an increase of $32 \%$. The Company experienced solid growth in average balances of interest-earning assets, with average total interest-earning assets increasing by $\$ 730,979$, or $48 \%$, to $\$ 2,239,446$ in 2007 from $\$ 1,508,467$ in 2006. Most of the growth occurred in loans, with average loan balances increasing by $\$ 609,203$, or $42 \%$, to $\$ 2,059,719$ in 2007 from $\$ 1,450,516$ in 2006. Average investment securities also increased $\$ 123,521$, or $224 \%$, to $\$ 178,673$ in 2007 from $\$ 55,152$ in 2006. Both of these increases are attributable to the CVBG acquisition that took place in the second quarter of 2007. Average balances of total interest-bearing liabilities also increased in 2007 from 2006, with average total interest-bearing deposit balances increasing by $\$ 471,460$, or $42 \%$, to $\$ 1,606,151$ in 2007 from $\$ 1,134,691$ in 2006, average securities sold under repurchase agreements and short-term borrowings, subordinated debentures and FHLB advances and notes payable increased by $\$ 226,810$, or $128 \%$, to $\$ 403,452$ in 2007 from $\$ 176,642$ in 2006. These increases are primarily related the Company's CVBG acquisition which closed May 18, 2007 and in which the Company acquired approximately $\$ 631,000$ in loans, $\$ 200,000$ in investment securities, $\$ 699,000$ in deposits and $\$ 145,000$ in securities sold under repurchase agreements and short-term borrowings, subordinated debentures and FHLB advances and notes payable. These balances had approximately a seven and one-half month effect on full year average balances of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities.

During 2006, net interest income was $\$ 71,957$ as compared to $\$ 58,786$ in 2005 , an increase of $22 \%$. The Company experienced solid growth in average balances of interest-earning assets, with average total interestearning assets increasing by $\$ 232,676$, or $18 \%$, to $\$ 1,508,467$ in 2006 from $\$ 1,275,791$ in 2005 . Most of the growth occurred in loans, with average loan balances increasing by $\$ 259,439$, or $22 \%$, to $\$ 1,450,516$ in 2006 from $\$ 1,191,077$ in 2005. In order to fund the growth in assets, average balances of total interest-bearing liabilities also increased in 2006 from 2005, with average total interest-bearing deposit balances increasing by $\$ 119,464$, or $12 \%$, to $\$ 1,134,691$ in 2006 from $\$ 1,015,227$ in 2005 and average FHLB advances and note payable increasing by $\$ 54,726$, or $61 \%$, to $\$ 144,155$ in 2006 from $\$ 89,429$ in 2005. The Company emphasized various types of deposits while utilizing FHLB advances and overnight borrowings to optimize its funding sources. The Clarksville transaction, closed on October 7, 2005 and the Company acquired approximately $\$ 112,000$ in loans and $\$ 173,000$ in deposits. Most of the increase in net interest income in 2006 compared to 2005 related to the increased loan volume resulting primarily from the Company's organic loan growth bolstered by the late-2005 Clarksville branch acquisitions. The positive net interest income impact of the increase in average loan volumes was partially offset by rising costs associated with both deposits and borrowed funds as the FOMC continued its trend of increasing market interest rates through the second quarter of 2006.

Average Balances, Interest Rates and Yields. Net interest income is affected by (i) the difference between yields earned on interest-earning assets and rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities ("interest rate spread") and (ii) the relative amounts of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The Company's interest rate spread is affected by regulatory, economic and competitive factors that influence interest rates, loan demand and deposit flows. When the total of interest-earning assets approximates or exceeds the total of interest-bearing liabilities, any positive interest rate spread will generate net interest income. An indication of the effectiveness of an institution's net interest income management is its "net yield on interest-earning assets," which is net interest income on a fully taxable equivalent basis divided by average interest-earning assets.

The following table sets forth certain information relating to the Company's consolidated average interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and reflects the average fully taxable equivalent yield on assets and average cost of liabilities for the periods indicated. Such yields and costs are derived by dividing income or expense by the average daily balance of assets or liabilities, respectively, for the periods presented.

|  | 2007 |  |  | 2006 |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Balance | Interest | Average <br> Rate | Average Balance | Interest | Average <br> Rate | Average Balance | Interest | Average Rate |
| Interest-earning assets: Loans ${ }^{(1)(4)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate loans .................. | \$ 1,661,640 | \$ 127,459 | 7.67\% | \$ 1,104,471 | \$ 82,857 | 7.50\% | \$ 896,485 | \$ 59,026 | 6.58\% |
| Commercial loans................ | 303,799 | 24,180 | 7.96\% | 259,264 | 20,214 | 7.80\% | 208,964 | 13,683 | 6.55\% |
| Consumer and other loans- $n e^{(2)}$ <br> Fees on loans $\qquad$ | 94,280 | $\begin{array}{r} 10,903 \\ 4,217 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 11.56\% | 86,781 | $\begin{aligned} & 9,746 \\ & 1,768 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 11.23\% | 85,628 | $\begin{aligned} & 9,319 \\ & 2,136 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 10.88\% |
| Total loans (including fees) | \$2,059,719 | \$ 166,759 | 8.10\% | \$1,450,516 | \$ 114,585 | 7.90\% | \$1,191,077 | \$ 84,164 | 7.07\% |
| Investment securities ${ }^{(3)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable .............................. | \$ 146,642 | \$ 8,415 | 5.76\% | \$ 45,446 | \$ 2,273 | 5.00\% | \$ 48,774 | \$ 1,920 | 3.94\% |
| Tax-exempt ${ }^{(4)}$. | 22,227 | 1,334 | 6.00\% | 2,922 | 166 | 5.68\% | 3,668 | 212 | 5.78\% |
| FHLB and other stock ......... | 9,804 | 617 | 6.29\% | 6,784 | 345 | 5.09\% | 6,308 | 282 | 4.47\% |
| Total investment securities $\qquad$ | \$ 178,673 | \$ 10,366 | 5.80\% | \$ 55,152 | \$ 2,784 | 5.05\% | \$ 58,750 | \$ 2,414 | 4.11\% |
| Other short-term investments. | 1,054 | 54 | 5.12\% | 2,799 | 138 | 4.93\% | 25,964 | 777 | 2.99\% |
| Total interestearning assets. | \$2,239,446 | \$ 177,179 | 7.91\% | \$1,508,467 | \$ 117,507 | 7.79\% | \$1,275,791 | \$ 87,355 | 6.85\% |

Noninterest-earning assets:

| Cash and due from banks | \$ 47,436 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Premises and equipment | 73,176 |
| Other, less allowance for loan losses $\qquad$ | 135,296 |
| Total noninterestearning assets | \$ 255,908 |
| Total assets ....................... | \$2,495,354 |


| $\$ 39,068$ | $\$ 32,971$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 53,304 | 38,891 |
| 55,939 | 40,943 |
| $\$ \underline{148,311}$ | $\$ \underline{112,805}$ |
| $\$ \underline{\underline{1,656,778}}$ | $\underline{\$ 1,388,596}$ |

[^2]|  | 2007 |  |  | 2006 |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Balance | Interest | Average Rate | Average Balance | Interest | Average Rate | Average Balance | Interest | Average Rate |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings, interest checking, and money market accounts. $\qquad$ | \$ 654,696 | \$ 16,703 | 2.55\% | \$ 493,019 | \$ 10,524 | 2.13\% | \$ 423,619 | \$ 5,654 | 1.33\% |
| Time deposits ................... | 951,455 | 44,669 | 4.69\% | 641,672 | 25,566 | 3.98\% | 591,608 | 17,827 | 3.01\% |
| Total deposits .................. | \$1,606,151 | \$ 61,372 | 3.82\% | \$1,134,691 | \$ 36,090 | 3.18\% | \$1,015,227 | \$ 23,481 | 2.31\% |
| Securities sold under repurchase agreements and short-term |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| borrowings................. | 95,715 | 4,183 | 4.37\% | 32,487 | 1,469 | 4.52\% | 15,695 | 414 | 2.64\% |
| Subordinated debentures ...... | 60,730 | 4,512 | 7.43\% | 13,403 | 1,043 | 7.78\% | 11,878 | 729 | 6.14\% |
| FHLB advances and notes payable | 247,007 | 11,906 | 4.82\% | 130,752 | 6,798 | 5.20\% | 77,551 | 3,781 | 4.88\% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | \$2,009,603 | \$ 81,973 | 4.08\% | \$1,311,333 | \$ 45,400 | 3.46\% | \$1,120,351 | \$ 28,405 | 2.54\% |
| Noninterest bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits ............... | \$ 184,529 |  |  | \$ 147,947 |  |  | \$ 125,071 |  |  |
| Other liabilities. | 29,067 |  |  | 18,952 |  |  | 15,460 |  |  |
| Total non-interestbearing liabilities | \$ 213,596 |  |  | \$ 166,899 |  |  | \$ 140,531 |  |  |
| Shareholders' equity.......... | 272,155 |  |  | 178,546 |  |  | 127,714 |  |  |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$2,495,354 |  |  | \$1,656,778 |  |  | \$1,388,596 |  |  |
| Net interest income.............. |  | \$ 95,206 |  |  | \$72,107 |  |  | \$ 58,950 |  |
| Margin analysis: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate spread ............ |  |  | $\underline{\underline{3.83 \%}}$ |  |  | $\underline{\underline{4.33 \%}}$ |  |  | $\underline{4.31 \%}$ |
| Net yield on interestearning assets (net interest margin) $\qquad$ |  |  | $\underline{\underline{4.25 \%}}$ |  |  | $\underline{\underline{4.78 \%}}$ |  |  | $\underline{4.62 \%}$ |

Rate/Volume Analysis. The following table analyzes net interest income in terms of changes in the volume of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and changes in yields and rates. The table reflects the extent to which changes in the interest income and interest expense are attributable to changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior year rate) and changes in rate (changes in rate multiplied by prior year volume). Changes attributable to the combined impact of volume and rate have been separately identified.


At December 31, 2007, loans outstanding, net of unearned income, were $\$ 2,356,376$ compared to $\$ 1,539,629$ at 2006 year end. The increase is primarily due to the CVBG acquisition, continued expansion into existing markets resulting from the opening of two full-service braches in Knox County and one full-service branch each in Sullivan and Washington Counties during 2007, increased loan demand, our community-focused banking philosophy and competitive loan rates. Average outstanding loans, net of unearned interest, for 2007 were $\$ 2,059,719$, an increase of $42 \%$ from the 2006 average of $\$ 1,450,516$. Average outstanding loans for 2005 were $\$ 1,191,077$. The growth in average loans for the past three years can be attributed to the Company's continuing market expansion through the Company's branch network, the acquisition of branches from National Bank of Commerce in Lewisburg, Tennessee, the Clarksville transaction, the CVBG acquisition and aggressive programs with respect to loan production and pricing.

Average investment securities for 2007 were $\$ 178,673$ compared to $\$ 55,152$ in 2006 and $\$ 58,750$ in 2005. The increase of $\$ 123,521$, or $224 \%$, from 2006 to 2007 primarily reflects the investment securities acquired in the Company's CVBG acquisition. The decrease of $\$ 3,598$, or $6 \%$, from 2005 to 2006 primarily reflects the Company's channeling of liquidity into higher-yielding loans. In 2007, the average yield on investments was $5.80 \%$, an increase from the $5.05 \%$ yield in 2006 and $4.11 \%$ yield in 2005 . The increase in investment yield in 2007 compared to 2006 primarily reflects the Company's CVBG acquisition where such securities acquired were valued at fair value based on the acquisition date, the positive effect of higher rates on the Company's adjustable-rate investment securities, as well as the re-investment of maturing securities in a rising rate environment. Management believes this trend will not continue if interest rates continue to decline. Fully taxable equivalent income provided by the investment portfolio in 2007 was $\$ 10,366$ as compared to \$2,784 in 2006 and \$2,414 in 2005.

Provision for Loan Losses. Management assesses the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses by considering a combination of regulatory and credit risk criteria. The entire loan portfolio is graded and potential loss factors are assigned accordingly. The potential loss factors for impaired loans are assigned based on independent valuations of underlying collateral and management judgment. The potential loss factors associated with unimpaired loans are based on a combination of both internal and industry net loss experience, as well as management's review of trends within the portfolio and related industries.

Generally, commercial real estate, residential real estate and commercial loans are assigned a level of risk at inception. Thereafter, these loans are reviewed on an ongoing basis. The review includes loan payment and collateral status, borrowers' financial data and borrowers' internal operating factors such as cash flows, operating income, liquidity, leverage and loan documentation, and any significant change can result in an increase or decrease in the loan's assigned risk grade. Aggregate dollar volume by risk grade is monitored on an ongoing basis. The establishment of and any changes to risk grades for consumer loans are generally based upon payment performance.

The Bank's loan loss allowance is increased or decreased based on management's assessment of the overall risk of its loan portfolio. Occasionally, a portion of the allowance may be allocated to a specific loan to reflect unusual circumstances associated with that loan.

Management reviews certain key loan quality indicators on a monthly basis, including current economic conditions, delinquency trends and ratios, portfolio mix changes and other information management deems necessary. This review process provides a degree of objective measurement that is used in conjunction with periodic internal evaluations. To the extent that this process yields differences between estimated and actual observed losses, adjustments are made to provisions and/or the level of the allowance for loan losses.

Increases and decreases in the allowance for loan losses due to changes in the measurement of impaired loans are reflected in the provision for loan losses. Loans continue to be classified as impaired unless payments are brought fully current and satisfactory performance is observed for a period of at least six months and management further considers the collection of scheduled interest and principal to be probable.

The Company’s provision for loan losses increased $\$ 8,976$ to $\$ 14,483$ in 2007 from $\$ 5,507$ in 2006. In 2007 , loss experience, primarily during the fourth quarter of 2007 , increased due to credit quality concerns related to the rapid deterioration in residential real estate construction loans primarily located in the Nashville and Knoxville markets. In 2007, net charge-offs in the Bank, Superior Financial and GCB Acceptance were \$10,193, $\$ 172$ and $\$ 1,331$, respectively, totaling $\$ 11,696$. In 2006, these net charge-offs were $\$ 2,041, \$ 159$ and $\$ 744$, respectively, totaling $\$ 2,944$. Management attributes the increase in net charge-offs to continued enforcement of underwriting policies and management controls in a weakening economy. These controls, along with the loan review process, identified weakness developing in the residential real estate construction portfolio during the fourth quarter and led to actions taken to identify charge-offs and further potential weaknesses. Assuming no change in current economic trends, and based on information presently available, management anticipates that net charge-offs within the Company's overall loan portfolios over 2008 may continue at approximately the same rate as experienced in 2007.

The ratio of nonperforming assets to total assets was $1.25 \%$ at December 31, 2007 and $0.29 \%$ at December 31, 2006. The ratio of the Company's allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans decreased in 2007 to $106.34 \%$ from $635.93 \%$ in 2006. Total nonperforming loans increased $\$ 28,571$ to $\$ 32,078$ at December 31, 2007 from $\$ 3,507$ at December 31, 2006. Nonaccrual loans, which are nonperforming loans as to which the Bank no longer recognizes interest income, increased $\$ 28,581$ to $\$ 32,060$ at December 31, 2007 from $\$ 3,479$ at December 31, 2006. Management believes that these loans are adequately secured and does not anticipate any material losses, based on current economic conditions. Note, however, that total impaired loans, which include substandard loans as well as nonaccrual loans, increased by $\$ 31,200$ from $\$ 5,067$ at December 31, 2006 to $\$ 36,267$ at December 31, 2007. The Company records a risk allocation allowance for loan losses on all loans in this category; further, the Company specifically records additional allowance amounts for individual loans when the circumstances so warrant. For further discussion of nonperforming assets as it relates to foreclosed real estate and impaired loans, see "ITEM 1. BUSINESS - Lending Activities - Past Due, Special Mention, Classified and Nonaccrual Loans" located above.

To further manage its credit risk on loans, the Company maintains a "watch list" of loans that, although currently performing, have characteristics that require closer supervision by management. At December 31, 2007, the Company had indentified, due to current deteriorating economic conditions in its markets, approximately $\$ 91,832$ in loans that were placed on its "watch list" compared to $\$ 14,452$ as of December 31, 2006. If, and when, conditions are identified that would require additional loan loss reserves to be established due to potential losses inherent in these loans, action would then be taken.

Noninterest Income. The generation of noninterest income, which is income that is not related to interest-earning assets and consists primarily of service charges, commissions and fees, has become more important as increases in levels of interest-bearing deposits and other liabilities continually challenge interest rate spreads.

Total noninterest income for 2007 increased to $\$ 27,678$ as compared to $\$ 20,778$ in 2006 and $\$ 14,756$ in 2005. The largest components of noninterest income are service charges, commissions and fees, which totaled $\$ 21,181$ in 2007, $\$ 15,046$ in 2006 and $\$ 11,019$ in 2005. The increase in 2007 primarily reflects the additional fees generated from the higher volume of deposit-related products, especially fees associated with the continued success of the Bank's High Performance Checking Program. From the inception of this new product during the first quarter of 2005, the company experienced "net" new checking account growth of 7,665 in 2005, net new account growth of 12,465 in 2006 and 14,410 in 2007.

Noninterest Expense. Control of noninterest expense also is an important aspect in managing net income. Noninterest expense includes, among other expenses, personnel, occupancy, and expenses such as data processing, printing and supplies, legal and professional fees, postage and FDIC assessments. Total noninterest expense was $\$ 69,328$ in 2007 compared to $\$ 52,776$ in 2006 and $\$ 44,340$ in 2005 . The increase of $\$ 16,552$, or $31 \%$, in 2007 as compared to 2006 principally reflects increases in all functional expense categories primarily as a result of the normal ongoing operating cost associated with the CVBG acquisition during the second quarter of 2007.

Personnel costs are the primary element of the Company's noninterest expenses. For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, salaries and benefits represented $\$ 35,491$, or $51 \%$, and $\$ 26,308$, or $50 \%$, respectively, of total noninterest expense. This was an increase of $\$ 9,183$, or $35 \%$. Including Bank branches and non-Bank office locations, the Company had 76 locations at December 31, 2007 compared to 60 at December 31, 2006, and the number of full-time equivalent employees increased $30 \%$ from 609 at December 31, 2006 to 789 at December 31, 2007. This increase in personnel cost is primarily the result of the CVBG acquisition.

Income Taxes. The Company's effective income tax rate was $36.7 \%$ in 2007 compared to $38.3 \%$ in 2006 and $38.0 \%$ in 2005 . The decrease in current year effective income tax rate is primarily attributable to increased nontaxable securities.

## Changes in Financial Condition

Total assets at December 31, 2007 were $\$ 2,947,741$, an increase of $\$ 1,175,087$, or $66 \%$, over total assets of $\$ 1,772,654$ at December 31, 2006. This increase reflects an increase in loans, net of unearned interest, of $\$ 816,747$, or $53 \%$, to $\$ 2,356,376$ at December 31, 2007 from $\$ 1,539,629$ at December 31, 2006 and an increase in investment securities available for sale of $\$ 197,533$ to $\$ 235,273$ at December 31, 2007 from $\$ 37,740$ at December 31, 2006. The increase in loans and securities available for sale can be attributed to the Company's CVBG acquisition that took place in the second quarter of 2007. Average assets for 2007 also increased to $\$ 2,495,354$, an increase of $\$ 838,576$, or $51 \%$, from the average asset balance of $\$ 1,656,778$ for 2007 . This increase in average assets was also due primarily to the CVBG acquisition in the second quarter-2007. The Company's return on average assets decreased in 2007 to $0.98 \%$ from $1.28 \%$ in 2006 as a result of significantly higher loan loss provision in 2007 versus 2006.

Total assets at December 31, 2006 were $\$ 1,772,654$, an increase of $\$ 152,665$, or $9 \%$, over total assets of $\$ 1,619,989$ at December 31, 2005. This increase reflects an increase in loans, net of unearned interest, of $\$ 160,987$, or $12 \%$, to $\$ 1,539,629$ at December 31, 2006 from $\$ 1,378,642$ at December 31, 2005. The increase in loans can be attributed to the Company's continued focus on generating loans, competitive loan pricing and the addition of experienced lenders to the Company's lending staff. Average assets for 2006 also increased to $\$ 1,656,778$, an increase of $\$ 268,182$, or $19.31 \%$, from the average asset balance of $\$ 1,388,596$ for 2005 . This increase in average assets was also due primarily to the continued increase of organic loan growth throughout 2006 combined with the loans acquired in the Clarksville transaction which occurred early in the fourth quarter of 2005. The Company's return on average assets increased in 2006 to $1.28 \%$ from $1.02 \%$ in 2005 as a result of higher earnings driven by the increase in net interest margin and the continued growth in noninterest income. This increase was partially offset by the increase in noninterest expenses.

Earning assets consist of loans, investment securities and short-term investments that earn interest. Average earning assets during 2007 were $\$ 2,239,446$, an increase of $48 \%$ from an average of $\$ 1,508,467$ in 2006. The increase in average earnings assets is due primarily to the CVBG acquisition that took place in the second quarter of 2007.

Nonperforming loans include nonaccrual and classified loans. The Company has a policy of placing loans 90 days delinquent in nonaccrual status and charging them off at 120 days past due. Other loans past due that are well secured and in the process of collection continue to be carried on the Company's balance sheet. For further information, see Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. The Company has aggressive collection practices in which senior management is significantly and directly involved.

Principally as a result of the Company's high loan to deposit ratio, the Company maintains an investment portfolio to primarily cover pledging requirements for deposits and borrowings and secondarily as a source of liquidity while modestly adding to earnings. Investments at December 31, 2007 had an amortized cost of $\$ 234,098$ and a market value of $\$ 236,553$ as compared to an amortized cost of $\$ 40,494$ and market value of $\$ 40,284$ at December 31, 2006. The increase of $\$ 196,269$ in market value from December 31, 2006 to December 31,2007 is attributable to the investment portfolio acquired in the CVBG acquisition with a market value of $\$ 200,081$ on the day of acquisition. The Company invests principally in callable federal agency securities. These callable federal securities will provide a higher yield than non-callable securities with similar maturities. The primary risk involved in callable securities is that they may be called prior to maturity and the call proceeds received would be re-invested at lower yields. In 2007, the Company purchased $\$ 25,127$ of callable federal agency securities which have a high likelihood of being called on the first call date and purchased $\$ 3,000$ of mortgage-backed securities. Also in 2007, the Company received $\$ 15,459$ from the pay down of SBA and mortgage-backed securities. The Company received $\$ 16,849$ on the maturity of various U.S. agency securities, $\$ 1,412$ from the maturity or call of municipal securities held to maturity, $\$ 378$ from the call of trust preferred securities. The Company sold $\$ 1,262$ of SBA securities and sold $\$ 1,464$ of corporate securities.

The Company's deposits were $\$ 1,986,793$ at December 31, 2007, which represents an increase of $\$ 654,288$, or $49 \%$, from the $\$ 1,332,505$ of deposits at December 31, 2006. Noninterest bearing demand deposit balances increased $32 \%$ to $\$ 201,289$ at December 31, 2007 from $\$ 152,635$ at December 31, 2006. Average interest-bearing deposits increased $\$ 471,460$, or $42 \%$, to $\$ 1,606,151$ in 2007 from $\$ 1,134,691$ in 2006. The increase in average deposits is due primarily to the effect of the CVBG acquisition in the second quarter of 2007 and the continued success of the Bank's High Performance Checking Program. In 2006, average interest-bearing deposits increased $\$ 119,464$, or $12 \%$, over 2005 . The 2006 increase in average deposits is due primarily to the effect of the Clarksville transaction during the first part of the fourth quarter of 2005 and the Bank's High Performance Checking Program.

Interest paid on deposits in 2007 totaled $\$ 61,372$, reflecting a $3.82 \%$ cost on average interest-bearing deposits of $\$ 1,606,151$. In 2006, interest of $\$ 36,090$ was paid at a cost of $3.18 \%$ on average deposits of $\$ 1,134,691$. In 2005, interest of $\$ 23,481$ was paid at a cost of $2.31 \%$ on average deposits of $\$ 1,015,227$.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity. Liquidity refers to the ability or the financial flexibility to manage future cash flows to meet the needs of depositors and borrowers and fund operations. Maintaining appropriate levels of liquidity allows the Company to have sufficient funds available for reserve requirements, customer demand for loans, withdrawal of deposit balances and maturities of deposits and other liabilities. The Company's primary source of liquidity is dividends paid by the Bank. Applicable Tennessee statutes and regulations impose restrictions on the amount of dividends that may be declared by the Bank. Further, any dividend payments are subject to the continuing ability of the Bank to maintain compliance with minimum federal regulatory capital requirements and to retain its characterization under federal regulations as a "well-capitalized" institution. In addition, the Company maintains borrowing availability with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati ("FHLB") approximating $\$ 117$ at December 31, 2007. The Company also maintains federal funds lines of credit totaling $\$ 166,000$ at eight correspondent banks of which $\$ 103,213$ was available at December 31, 2007. The Company believes it has sufficient liquidity to satisfy its current operating needs.

On August 30, 2005, the Company entered into a revolving credit agreement with SunTrust Bank pursuant to which SunTrust agreed to loan the Company up to $\$ 35,000$, with this amount being reduced to $\$ 15,000$ after November 30, 2005. This agreement was extended in 2007, and SunTrust's obligation to make advances to the Company under the credit agreement currently terminates on August 27, 2008. The fee for maintaining this credit agreement is $0.15 \%$ per annum on unused commitment. At December 31, 2007, the Company had no borrowings outstanding under this agreement.

In 2007, operating activities of the Company provided $\$ 54,368$ of cash flows. Net income of $\$ 24,374$ comprised a substantial portion of the cash generated from operations. Cash flows from operating activities were also positively affected by various non-cash items, including (i) $\$ 14,483$ in provision for loan losses, (ii) $\$ 5,786$ of depreciation and amortization, and (iii) a $\$ 10,639$ increase in accrued interest payable and other liabilities. The increase in accrued interest payable and other liabilities relates to the CVBG acquisition. This was offset in part by a decrease of $\$ 4,464$ in deferred tax benefit. In addition, cash flows from operating activities were increased by the proceeds from the sale of held-for-sale loans of $\$ 84,282$, offset by cash used to originate held-for-sale loans of $\$ 74,994$.

Investing activities, including lending, utilized $\$ 230,656$ of the Company's cash flows in 2007, an increase of $\$ 70,023$ from $\$ 160,633$ in 2006. Cash flows from investing activities also increased from the sale of Other Real Estate Owned ("OREO") in the amount of $\$ 4,080$. These cash inflows were reduced by the cash used in the CVBG acquisition of $\$ 24,611$ and a net increase in loans in the amount of $\$ 203,894$. The net increase in use of cash in loans of $\$ 36,441$ from the $\$ 167,453$ used in 2006 reflects a slight increase in organic loan demand in 2007 compared to 2006 . Additional cash was provided from the excess of maturities and sale of securities available for sale over the purchases of securities in the amount of $\$ 7,073$. Investments in premises and equipment of $\$ 11,143$ were also undertaken in 2007 reflecting the Company's continued expansion initiatives.

Net additional cash flows of $\$ 171,365$ were provided by financing activities, an increase of $\$ 43,030$ from $\$ 128,335$ in 2006 . The financing cash flow activity in 2007 and 2006 with respect to notes payable reflected a net source of funds in the amount of $\$ 109,120$ and $\$ 72,425$, respectively, reflecting the Company's continued election to rely more on FHLB advances to fund lending activity. In addition, cash flow was positively increased by the issuance $\$ 57,732$ in subordinated debentures in connection with the CVBG acquisition and the net change in federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements of $\$ 57,070$. Cash flows provided by the net change in total deposits were negative at $\$ 44,802$ as the Company continued to optimize the cost of its funding mix and its efforts to eliminate the high cost funding structure associated with the CVBG acquisition. As in prior years, the Company's cash flow from financing activities was decreased by the Company's dividend payments during 2007 of $\$ 8,386$.

Capital Resources. The Company's strong capital position is reflected in its shareholders' equity, subject to certain adjustments for regulatory purposes. Shareholders' equity, or capital, is a measure of the Company's net worth, soundness and viability. The Company's capital continued to exceed regulatory requirements at December 31, 2007 and its record of paying dividends to its stockholders continued uninterrupted during 2007. Management believes the capital base of the Company allows it to take advantage of business opportunities while maintaining the level of resources deemed appropriate by management of the Company to address business risks inherent in the Company's daily operations.

On September 25, 2003, the Company issued $\$ 10,310$ of subordinated debentures, as part of a privately placed pool of trust preferred securities. The securities, due in 2033, bear interest at a floating rate of $2.85 \%$ above the three-month LIBOR rate, reset quarterly, and are callable in five years without penalty. The Company used the proceeds of the offering to support its acquisition of Independent Bankshares Corporation, and the capital raised from the offering qualified as Tier I capital for regulatory purposes.

On June 28, 2005, the Company issued an additional $\$ 3,093$ of subordinated debentures, as part of a privately placed pool of trust preferred securities. The securities, due in 2035, bear interest at a floating rate of $1.68 \%$ above the three-month LIBOR rate, reset quarterly, and are callable in five years from the date of issuance without penalty. The Company used the proceeds to augment its capital position in connection with its significant asset growth, and the capital raised from the offering qualifies as Tier 1 capital for regulatory purposes.

On May 16, 2007, the Company issued $\$ 57,732$ of subordinated debentures, as part of a privately placed pool of trust preferred securities. The securities, due in 2037, bear interest at a floating rate of $1.65 \%$ above the three-month LIBOR rate, reset quarterly, and are callable in five years without penalty. The Company used the proceeds of the offering to support its acquisition of CVBG, and the capital raised from the offering qualified as Tier I capital for regulatory purposes.

On May 18, 2007 the Company assumed the obligations of the following two trusts in the CVBG acquisition.

- On December 28, 2005, CVBG issued $\$ 13,403$ of subordinated debentures, as part of a privately placed pool of trust preferred securities. The securities, due in 2036, bear interest at a floating rate of $1.54 \%$ above the three-month LIBOR rate, reset quarterly, and are callable in five years without penalty.
- On July 31, 2001, CVBG issued $\$ 4,124$ of subordinated debentures, as part of a privately placed pool of trust preferred securities. The securities, due in 2031, bear interest at a floating rate of $3.58 \%$ above the three-month LIBOR rate, reset quarterly, and are callable in five years without penalty.

During 2007 the FRB issued regulations which allow continued inclusion of outstanding and prospective issuances of trust preferred securities as Tier 1 capital subject to stricter quantitative and qualitative limits than allowed under prior regulations. The new limits will phase in over a five-year transition period and would permit the Company's trust preferred securities, including those obligations assumed in the CVBG acquisition, to continue to be treated as Tier 1 capital.

Shareholders' equity on December 31, 2007 was $\$ 322,477$, an increase of $\$ 138,006$, or $75 \%$, from $\$ 184,471$ on December 31, 2006. The increase in shareholders' equity arises primarily from the issuance of common stock associated with the CVBG transaction and from net income for 2007 of $\$ 24,374$ ( $\$ 2.07$ per share, assuming dilution). This increase was offset in part by dividend payments during 2007 that totaled $\$ 8,386$ (\$0.68 per share).

On September 18, 2002 the Company announced that its Board of Directors had authorized the repurchase of up to $\$ 2,000$ of the Company's outstanding shares of common stock beginning in October 2002. The repurchase plan has been renewed by the Board of Directors annually thereafter and will terminate on the earlier to occur of the Company's repurchase of the total authorized dollar amount or December 31, 2008. The repurchase plan is dependent upon market conditions, and there is no guarantee as to the exact number of shares to be repurchased by the Company. To date, the Company has purchased 25,700 shares at an aggregate cost of approximately $\$ 538$ under this program.

Risk-based capital regulations adopted by the FRB and the FDIC require both bank holding companies and banks to achieve and maintain specified ratios of capital to risk-weighted assets. The risk-based capital rules are designed to measure "Tier 1" capital (consisting of stockholders' equity, less goodwill) and total capital in relation to the credit risk of both on- and off-balance sheet items. Under the guidelines, one of four risk weights is applied to the different on-balance sheet items. Off-balance sheet items, such as loan commitments, are also subject to risk weighting after conversion to balance sheet equivalent amounts. All bank holding companies and banks must maintain a minimum total capital to total risk-weighted assets ratio of $8.00 \%$, at least half of which must be in the form of core, or Tier 1, capital. At December 31, 2007, the Company and the Bank each satisfied their respective minimum regulatory capital requirements, and the Bank was "well-capitalized" within the meaning of federal regulatory requirements. Actual capital levels and minimum levels (in millions) were:

|  | Actual |  |  | Minimum Required for Capital <br> Adequacy Purposes |  | Minimum Amounts to be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actual | Ratio (\%) | Actual | Ratio (\%) |  | Actual | $\underline{\text { Ratio (\%) }}$ |
| $\underline{2007}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consolidated | \$ | 280.2 | 11.5 | \$ 194.3 | 8.0 |  | 242.8 | 10.0 |
| Bank |  | 270.6 | 11.1 | 194.6 | 8.0 |  | 243.3 | 10.0 |
| Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consolidated | \$ | 249.8 | 10.3 | \$ 97.1 | 4.0 |  | 145.7 | 6.0 |
| Bank |  | 240.1 | 9.9 | 97.3 | 4.0 |  | 146.0 | 6.0 |
| Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consolidated | \$ | 249.8 | 9.0 | \$ 111.1 | 4.0 |  | 138.9 | 5.0 |
| Bank |  | 240.1 | 8.7 | 111.0 | 4.0 |  | 138.8 | 5.0 |
| $\underline{2006}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consolidated | \$ | 178.5 | 11.5 | \$ 124.6 | 8.0 |  | 155.8 | 10.0 |
| Bank |  | 177.3 | 11.4 | 124.5 | 8.0 |  | 155.6 | 10.0 |
| Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consolidated | \$ | 159.1 | 10.2 | \$ 62.3 | 4.0 | \$ | 93.5 | 6.0 |
| Bank |  | 157.8 | 10.1 | 62.3 | 4.0 |  | 93.4 | 6.0 |
| Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consolidated | \$ | 159.1 | 9.5 | \$ 67.4 | 4.0 |  | 84.2 | 5.0 |
| Bank |  | 157.8 | 9.4 | 67.3 | 4.0 |  | 84.2 | 5.0 |

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

At December 31, 2007, the Company had outstanding unused lines of credit and standby letters of credit totaling $\$ 763,344$ and unfunded loan commitments outstanding of $\$ 31,680$. Because these commitments generally have fixed expiration dates and many will expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment level does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. If needed to fund these outstanding commitments, the Company has the ability to liquidate federal funds sold or securities available-for-sale or, on a short-term basis, to borrow from the FHLB and/or purchase federal funds from other financial institutions. At December 31, 2007, the Company had accommodations with upstream correspondent banks for unsecured federal funds lines. These accommodations have various covenants related to their term and availability, and in most cases must be repaid within less than a month. The following table presents additional information about the Company's commitments as of December 31, 2007, which by their terms have contractual maturity dates subsequent to December 31, 2007:

|  | Less than 1 |  |  |  | 3-5 Years |  | More than 5 |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Year |  | 1-3 Years |  |  |  | Years |  |  |
| Commitments to make loans - fixed ..... | \$ | 7,837 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 7,837 |
| Commitments to make loans - variable. |  | 23,843 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 23,843 |
| Unused lines of credit......................... |  | 458,809 |  | 148,487 |  | 13,849 |  | 83,584 |  | 704,729 |
| Letters of credit ................................. |  | 37,751 |  | 4,560 |  | 9,410 |  | 6,894 |  | 58,615 |
| Total. | \$ | 528,240 | \$ | 153,047 | \$ | 23,259 | \$ | 90,478 | \$ | 795,024 |

## Asset/Liability Management

The Company's Asset/Liability Committee ("ALCO") actively measures and manages interest rate risk using a process developed by the Bank. The ALCO is also responsible for approving the Company's asset/liability management policies, overseeing the formulation and implementation of strategies to improve balance sheet positioning and earnings, and reviewing the Company's interest rate sensitivity position.

The primary tool that management uses to measure short-term interest rate risk is a net interest income simulation model prepared by an independent national consulting firm and reviewed by another separate and independent national consulting firm. These simulations estimate the impact that various changes in the overall level of interest rates over one- and two-year time horizons would have on net interest income. The results help the Company develop strategies for managing exposure to interest rate risk.

Like any forecasting technique, interest rate simulation modeling is based on a large number of assumptions. In this case, the assumptions relate primarily to loan and deposit growth, asset and liability prepayments, interest rates and balance sheet management strategies. Management believes that both individually and in the aggregate the assumptions are reasonable. Nevertheless, the simulation modeling process produces only a sophisticated estimate, not a precise calculation of exposure.

The Company's current guidelines for risk management call for preventive measures if a gradual 200 basis point increase or decrease in short-term rates over the next 12 months would affect net interest income over the same period by more than $18.5 \%$. The Company has been operating well within these guidelines. As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, based on the results of the independent consulting firm's simulation model, the Company could expect net interest income to increase by approximately $11.15 \%$ and $14.59 \%$, respectively, if short-term interest rates immediately increase by 200 basis points. Conversely, if short-term interest rates immediately decrease by 200 basis points, net interest income could be expected to decrease by approximately $1.52 \%$ and $8.20 \%$, respectively. The primary reason for less exposure in a declining rate environment is attributable to variable rate loan floors being reached in the loan portfolio.

The scenario described above, in which net interest income increases when interest rates increase and decreases when interest rates decline, is typically referred to as being "asset sensitive" because interest-earning assets exceed interest-bearing liabilities. At December 31, 2007, approximately $52 \%$ of the Company's gross loans had adjustable rates. While management believes, based on its asset/liability modeling, that the Company is liability sensitive as measured over the one year time horizon, it also believes that a rapid, significant and prolonged increase or decrease in rates could have a substantial adverse impact on the Company's net interest margin.

The Company's net interest income simulation model incorporates certain assumptions with respect to interest rate floors on certain deposits and other liabilities. Further, given the relatively low interest rates on some deposit products, a 200 basis point downward shock could very well reduce the costs on some liabilities below zero. In these cases, the Company's model incorporates constraints which prevent such a shock from simulating liability costs to zero.

The Company also uses an economic value of equity model, prepared and reviewed by the same independent national consulting firm, to complement its short-term interest rate risk analysis. The benefit of this model is that it measures exposure to interest rate changes over time frames longer than the two-year net interest income simulation. The economic value of the Company's equity is determined by calculating the net present value of projected future cash flows for current asset and liability positions based on the current yield curve.

Economic value analysis has several limitations. For example, the economic values of asset and liability balance sheet positions do not represent the true fair values of the positions, since economic values reflect an analysis at one particular point in time and do not consider the value of the Company's franchise. In addition, we must estimate cash flow for assets and liabilities with indeterminate maturities. Moreover, the model's present value calculations do not take into consideration future changes in the balance sheet that will likely result from ongoing loan and deposit activities conducted by the Company's core business. Finally, the analysis requires assumptions about events which span several years. Despite its limitations, the economic value of equity model is a relatively sophisticated tool for evaluating the longer-term effect of possible interest rate movements.

The Company's current guidelines for risk management call for preventive measures if an immediate 200 basis point increase or decrease in interest rates would reduce the economic value of equity by more than $23 \%$. The Company has been operating well within these guidelines. As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, based on the results of the independent national consulting firm's simulation model and reviewed by a separate independent national consulting firm, the Company could expect its economic value of equity to increase by approximately $5.29 \%$ and $11.20 \%$, respectively, if short-term interest rates immediately increased by 200 basis points. Conversely, if short-term interest rates immediately decrease by 200 basis points, economic value of equity could be expected to decrease by approximately $12.78 \%$ and $15.44 \%$, respectively. The lower percentage changes in economic value of equity as of December 31, 2007, compared to December 31, 2006, are primarily related to an increase in variable rate loans meeting floors, as well shorter liability durations.

## Disclosure of Contractual Obligations

In the ordinary course of operations, the Company enters into certain contractual obligations. Such obligations include the funding of operations through debt issuances as well as leases for premises and equipment. The following table summarizes the Company's significant fixed and determinable contractual obligations as of December 31, 2007:

|  | Less than 1 |  |  |  | More than 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Certificate of deposits. | \$ | 1,013,078 | \$ | 89,255 | \$ | 7,558 |  | 4,352 | \$ | 1,114,243 |
| Federal funds purchased. |  | 87,787 |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | 87,787 |
| Repurchase agreements ..................... |  | 106,738 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 106,738 |
| FHLB advances and notes payable...... |  | 109,825 |  | 67,323 |  | 80,902 |  | 60,640 |  | 318,690 |
| Subordinated debentures ................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 88,662 |  | 88,662 |
| Operating lease obligations ................ |  | 987 |  | 1,187 |  | 663 |  | 1,527 |  | 4,364 |
| Deferred compensation..................... |  | 2,000 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,638 |  | 3,638 |
| Purchase obligations......................... |  | 291 |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | 291 |
| Total |  | 1,320,706 | \$ | 157,765 | \$ | 89,123 | \$ | 156,819 | \$ | 1,724,413 |

Additionally, the Company routinely enters into contracts for services. These contracts may require payment for services to be provided in the future and may also contain penalty clauses for early termination of the contract. Management is not aware of any additional commitments or contingent liabilities which may have a material adverse impact on the liquidity or capital resources of the Company.

## Inflation

The effect of inflation on financial institutions differs from its impact on other types of businesses. Since assets and liabilities of banks are primarily monetary in nature, they are more affected by changes in interest rates than by the rate of inflation.

Inflation generates increased credit demand and fluctuation in interest rates. Although credit demand and interest rates are not directly tied to inflation, each can significantly impact net interest income. As in any business or industry, expenses such as salaries, equipment, occupancy, and other operating expenses also are subject to the upward pressures created by inflation.

Since the rate of inflation has been stable during the last several years, the impact of inflation on the earnings of the Company has been insignificant.

## Effect of New Accounting Standards

In March 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 156, "Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets-an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140" ("SFAS No. 156"). This statement requires that an entity recognize a servicing asset or servicing liability each time it undertakes an obligation to service a financial asset, and that the servicing assets and servicing liabilities be initially measured at fair value. The statement also permits an entity to choose a subsequent measurement method for each class of separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. SFAS No. 156 was effective as of the beginning of the first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. The application of SFAS No. 156 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" ("FIN48"). This interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." The interpretation prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The interpretation also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. FIN48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2006. The Company adopted FIN48 effective January 1, 2007, resulting in a beginning retained earnings adjustment in the amount of $\$ 800$.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements," ("SFAS No. 157") which provides for enhanced guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is applicable under other accounting pronouncements that either require or permit fair value measurements and does not require any new fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company adopted SFAS No. 157 on January 1, 2008, and the adoption did not have a material impact on financial condition, results of operations, or liquidity.

In September 2006, the FASB ratified EITF Issue 06-4, "Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements." EITF Issue 06-4 addresses accounting for split-dollar life insurance arrangements after the employer purchases a life insurance policy on the covered employee. This Issue states that an obligation arises as a result of a substantive agreement with an employee to provide future postretirement benefits. Under Issue EITF 06-4, the obligation is not settled upon entering into an insurance arrangement. Since the obligation is not settled, a liability should be recognized in accordance with applicable authoritative guidance. Issue EITF 06-4 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. The Company does not anticipate that EITF Issue $06-4$ will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities" ("SFAS No. 159"). This statement permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The objective of this standard is to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. This statement is effective as of the beginning of fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, with early adoption permitted under certain circumstances. The Company did not choose to early adopt this standard and does not anticipate that SFAS No. 159 will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), "Business Combinations" and SFAS No. 160, "Accounting and Reporting of Noncontrolling Interest in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51". These new standards will significantly change the accounting for and reporting of business combination transactions and noncontrolling (minority) interests in consolidated financial statements. SFAS Nos. $141(\mathrm{R})$ and 160 are required to be adopted simultaneously and are effective for the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. Earlier adoption is prohibited. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS Nos. $141(\mathrm{R})$ and 160 on our consolidated financial statements.

## ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information set forth on pages 24 through 37 of Item 7, "MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - Asset/Liability Management" is incorporated herein by reference.

## Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of Green Bankshares, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, the Company conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation under the framework in Internal Control - Integrated Framework, management of the Company has concluded the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007.

Internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives because of its inherent limitations. Internal control over financial reporting is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failures. Internal control over financial reporting can also be circumvented by collusion or improper management override. Because of such limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting.

Dixon Hughes PLLC, an independent, registered public accounting firm, has audited the Company's consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2007, and has issued an attestation report on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, which is included herein on page 39.

## ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

## REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

## THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS GREEN BANKSHARES, INC.

We have audited Green Bankshares, Inc. and subsidiaries' (the "Company") internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Green Bankshares, Inc. and subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal ControlIntegrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements of Green Bankshares, Inc. and subsidiaries as of and for the year-ended December 31, 2007 and our report dated March 14, 2008, expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. Our report on the consolidated financial statements referred to above refers to the adoption of Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes.
/s/ Dixon Hughes PLLC
Atlanta, Georgia
March 14, 2008

## REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

## BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS

 GREEN BANKSHARES, INC.We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Green Bankshares, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three year period ended December 31, 2007. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by Management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Green Bankshares, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three year period ended December 31, 2007, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements, effective January 1, 2007, Green Bankshares, Inc. adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Green Bankshares, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, and our report dated March 14, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.
/s/ Dixon Hughes PLLC
Atlanta, Georgia
March 14, 2008

# GREEN BANKSHARES, INC. <br> CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS <br> December 31, 2007 and 2006 <br> (Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data) 

|  | $\underline{2007}$ |  | $\underline{2006}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 65,717 | \$ | 44,657 |
| Federal funds sold |  |  |  | 25,983 |
| Cash and cash equivalents |  | 65,717 |  | 70,640 |
| Securities available for sale |  | 235,273 |  | 37,740 |
| Securities held to maturity (with a market value of \$1,280 and \$2,544) |  | 1,303 |  | 2,545 |
| Loans held for sale |  | 2,331 |  | 1,772 |
| Loans, net of unearned interest |  | 2,356,376 |  | 1,539,629 |
| Allowance for loan losses |  | $(34,111)$ |  | $(22,302)$ |
| Other real estate owned and repossessed assets |  | 4,859 |  | 1,688 |
| Premises and equipment, net |  | 82,697 |  | 57,258 |
| FHLB and other stock, at cost |  | 12,322 |  | 7,055 |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance |  | 28,466 |  | 21,176 |
| Goodwill |  | 143,140 |  | 31,327 |
| Core deposit and other intangibles |  | 14,687 |  | 7,213 |
| Other assets |  | 34,681 |  | 16,913 |
| Total assets | \$ | 2,947,741 | \$ | 1,772,654 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY |  |  |  |  |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | \$ | 201,289 | \$ | 152,635 |
| Interest-bearing deposits |  | 1,785,504 |  | 1,179,870 |
| Total deposits |  | 1,986,793 |  | 1,332,505 |
| Federal funds purchased |  | 87,787 |  | 20,000 |
| Repurchase agreements |  | 106,738 |  | 22,165 |
| FHLB advances and notes payable |  | 318,690 |  | 177,571 |
| Subordinated debentures |  | 88,662 |  | 13,403 |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities |  | 36,594 |  | 22,539 |
| Total liabilities | \$ | 2,625,264 | $\underline{\text { S }}$ | 1,588,183 |
| Shareholders' equity |  |  |  |  |
| Common stock: $\$ 2$ par, 20,000,000 shares authorized, $12,931,015$ and 9,810,867 shares outstanding | \$ | 25,862 | \$ | 19,622 |
| Additional paid-in capital |  | 185,170 |  | 71,828 |
| Retained earnings |  | 109,938 |  | 93,150 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) |  | 1,507 |  | (129) |
| Total shareholders' equity |  | 322,477 |  | 184,471 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ | 2,947,741 | \$ | 1,772,654 |

GREEN BANKSHARES, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

|  | $\underline{2007}$ | $\underline{2006}$ | $\underline{2005}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest income |  |  |  |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$166,673 | \$114,493 | \$ 84,074 |
| Taxable securities | 8,415 | 2,273 | 1,920 |
| Nontaxable securities | 867 | 108 | 138 |
| FHLB and other stock | 617 | 345 | 282 |
| Federal funds sold and other | 54 | 138 | 777 |
| Total interest income | 176,626 | 117,357 | 87,191 |
| Interest expense |  |  |  |
| Deposits | 61,372 | 36,090 | 23,481 |
| Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements | 4,183 | 1,469 | 414 |
| FHLB advances, notes payable and subordinated debentures | 16,418 | 7,841 | 4,510 |
| Total interest expense | 81,973 | 45,400 | 28,405 |
| Net interest income | 94,653 | 71,957 | 58,786 |
| Provision for loan losses | 14,483 | 5,507 | 6,365 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 80,170 | 66,450 | 52,421 |
| Noninterest income |  |  |  |
| Service charges and fees | 21,181 | 15,046 | 11,019 |
| Mortgage banking income | 1,524 | 1,116 | 664 |
| Gain on sales of OREO and repossessed assets | 76 | 69 | - |
| Other | 4,897 | 4,547 | 3,073 |
| Total noninterest income | 27,678 | 20,778 | 14,756 |
| Noninterest expense |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 35,491 | 26,308 | 22,185 |
| Occupancy expense | 5,711 | 4,285 | 3,570 |
| Equipment expense | 4,786 | 3,954 | 3,703 |
| Professional services | 2,184 | 1,596 | 1,241 |
| Advertising | 2,736 | 2,584 | 2,529 |
| Loss on OREO and repossessed assets | - | - | 109 |
| Core deposit and other intangibles amortization | 2,011 | 1,082 | 854 |
| Other | 16,409 | 12,967 | 10,149 |
| Total noninterest expense | 69,328 | 52,776 | 44,340 |
| Income before income taxes | 38,520 | 34,452 | 22,837 |
| Provision for income taxes | 14,146 | 13,190 | 8,674 |
| Net income | \$ 24,374 | \$ 21,262 | \$ 14,163 |
| Earnings per share: |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ 2.07 | \$ 2.17 | \$ 1.73 |
| Diluted | 2.07 | 2.14 | 1.71 |

GREEN BANKSHARES, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005
(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## GREEN BANKSHARES, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS <br> Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (Amounts in thousands)

|  | 2007 |  | $\underline{2006}$ |  | $\underline{2005}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash flows from operating activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 24,374 | \$ | 21,262 | \$ | 14,163 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided from operating activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 14,483 |  | 5,507 |  | 6,365 |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | 5,786 |  | 4,143 |  | 3,740 |
| Security amortization and accretion, net |  | (637) |  | (42) |  | 13 |
| Loss on sale of securities |  | 41 |  | 8 |  | - |
| FHLB stock dividends |  | - |  | (341) |  | (278) |
| Net gain on sale of mortgage loans |  | $(1,205)$ |  | (869) |  | (501) |
| Originations of mortgage loans held for sale |  | $(74,994)$ |  | $(66,964)$ |  | $(40,823)$ |
| Proceeds from sales of mortgage loans |  | 84,282 |  | 68,747 |  | 39,789 |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance |  | (938) |  | (761) |  | (635) |
| Net losses from sales of fixed assets |  | 86 |  | 1 |  | 20 |
| Stock-based compensation |  | 472 |  | 354 |  | - |
| Net (gain) loss on OREO and repossessed assets |  | (76) |  | (69) |  | 109 |
| Deferred tax benefit |  | $(1,111)$ |  | (499) |  | (767) |
| Net changes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other assets |  | $(6,834)$ |  | $(4,534)$ |  | $(2,170)$ |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities |  | 10,639 |  | 2,472 |  | 2,115 |
| Net cash provided from operating activities |  | 54,368 |  | 28,415 |  | 21,140 |
| Cash flows from investing activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchase of securities available for sale |  | $(30,160)$ |  | $(13,936)$ |  | $(21,310)$ |
| Proceeds from sale of securities available for sale |  | 2,230 |  | 1,979 |  | - |
| Proceeds from maturities of securities available for sale |  | 33,762 |  | 23,507 |  | 7,193 |
| Proceeds from sale of securities held to maturity |  | 496 |  | - |  | - |
| Proceeds from maturities of securities held to maturity |  | 745 |  | 835 |  | 1,003 |
| Purchase of life insurance |  | - |  | (652) |  | $(3,657)$ |
| Purchase of FHLB stock |  | $(2,304)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Net change in loans |  | $(203,894)$ |  | $(167,453)$ |  | $(229,042)$ |
| Net cash received (paid) in acquisitions |  | $(24,611)$ |  | - |  | 33,191 |
| Proceeds from sale of other real estate |  | 4,080 |  | 5,469 |  | 2,955 |
| Improvements to other real estate |  | (32) |  | (47) |  |  |
| Proceeds from sale of fixed assets |  | 175 |  | 48 |  | 8 |
| Premises and equipment expenditures |  | $(11,143)$ |  | $(10,383)$ |  | $(4,657)$ |
| Net cash used in investing activities |  | $(230,656)$ |  | $(160,633)$ |  | $(214,316)$ |
| Cash flows from financing activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net change in deposits |  | $(44,806)$ |  | 36,626 |  | 124,920 |
| Net change in federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements |  | 57,070 |  | 24,667 |  | 3,630 |
| Tax benefit resulting from stock options |  | 138 |  | 126 |  | - ${ }^{-}$ |
| Proceeds from FHLB advances and notes payable |  | 189,500 |  | 446,321 |  | 303,755 |
| Proceeds from subordinated debentures |  | 57,732 |  | - |  | 3,093 |
| Repayment of FHLB advances and notes payable |  | $(80,380)$ |  | $(373,896)$ |  | $(283,830)$ |
| Dividends paid |  | $(8,386)$ |  | $(6,270)$ |  | $(5,294)$ |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock |  | 497 |  | 761 |  | 50,777 |
| Net cash provided from financing activities |  | 171,365 |  | 128,335 |  | 197,051 |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents |  | $(4,923)$ |  | $(3,883)$ |  | 3,875 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year |  | 70,640 |  | 74,523 |  | 70,648 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year |  | 65,717 |  | 70,640 |  | 74,523 |
| Supplemental disclosures - cash and noncash |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest paid |  | 76,385 |  | 44,424 |  | 27,937 |
| Income taxes paid |  | 17,225 |  | 13,154 |  | 7,694 |
| Loans converted to other real estate |  | 7,955 |  | 5,095 |  | 6,403 |
| Unrealized (loss) gain on available for sale securities, net of tax |  | 1,636 |  | 241 |  | (343) |
| Fair value of assets acquired |  | 1,011,590 |  | - |  | 141,300 |
| Fair value of liabilities assumed |  | 847,322 |  | - |  | 173,600 |

See accompanying notes

## NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation: The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Green Bankshares, Inc. (the "Company") and its wholly owned subsidiary, GreenBank (the "Bank"), and the Bank's wholly owned subsidiaries, Superior Financial Services, Inc., GCB Acceptance Corp., Inc., and Fairway Title Company, Inc. All significant inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Nature of Operations: The Company primarily provides financial services through its offices in Eastern, Middle and Southeastern Tennessee, Western North Carolina and Southwestern Virginia. Its primary deposit products are checking, savings, and term certificate accounts, and its primary lending products are residential mortgage, commercial, and installment loans. Substantially all loans are secured by specific items of collateral including business assets, consumer assets and real estate. Commercial loans are expected to be repaid from cash flow from operations of businesses. Real estate loans are secured by both residential and commercial real estate.

Use of Estimates: To prepare financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, management makes estimates and assumptions based on available information. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and the disclosures provided, and future results could differ. The allowance for loan losses and fair values of financial instruments are particularly subject to change.

Cash Flows: Cash and cash equivalents, includes cash, deposits with other financial institutions under 90 days, and federal funds sold. Net cash flows are reported for loan, deposit and other borrowing transactions.

Securities: Securities are classified as held to maturity and carried at amortized cost when management has the positive intent and ability to hold them to maturity. Securities are classified as available for sale when they might be sold before maturity. Securities available for sale are carried at fair value, with unrealized holding gains and losses reported in accumulated other comprehensive income.

Interest income includes amortization of purchase premium or discount and is recognized based upon the straight-line method. Gains and losses on sales are based on the amortized cost of the security sold. Securities are written down to fair value when a decline in fair value is other than temporary.

Investments in Equity Securities Carried at Cost: Investment in Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") stock, which is carried at cost because it can only be redeemed at par, is a required investment based on the Bank's amount of borrowing. The Bank also carries certain other equity investments at cost, which approximates fair value.

Loans: Loans are reported at the principal balance outstanding, net of unearned interest, deferred loan fees and costs.

Interest income is reported on the interest method over the loan term. Interest income on mortgage and commercial loans is discontinued at the time the loan is 90 days delinquent unless the loan is well secured and in process of collection. Most consumer loans are charged off no later than 120 days past due. In all cases, loans are placed on nonaccrual or charged off at an earlier date if collection of principal and interest is doubtful. Interest accrued but not collected is reversed against interest income when a loan is placed on nonaccrual status.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS <br> (Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data) <br> December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

## NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Interest received is recognized on the cash basis or cost recovery method until qualifying for return to accrual status. Accrual is resumed when all contractually due payments are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

Allowance for Loan Losses: The allowance for loan losses is a valuation allowance for probable incurred credit losses, increased by the provision for loan losses and decreased by charge-offs less recoveries. Management estimates the allowance balance required using past loan loss experience, known and inherent risks in the nature and volume of the portfolio, information about specific borrower situations and estimated collateral values, economic conditions, and other factors. Allocations of the allowance may be made for specific loans, but the entire allowance is available for any loan that, in management's judgment, should be charged-off. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan is confirmed.

The Bank uses several factors in determining if a loan is impaired. The internal asset classification procedures include a thorough review of significant loans and lending relationships and include the accumulation of related data. This data includes loan payment and collateral status, borrowers' financial data and borrowers' operating factors such as cash flows, operating income, liquidity, leverage and loan documentation, and any significant changes. A loan is considered impaired, based on current information and events, if it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Uncollateralized loans are measured for impairment based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the historical effective interest rate, while all collateral-dependent loans are measured for impairment based on the fair value of the collateral. Larger groups of smaller balance, homogeneous loans, such as consumer and residential real estate loans, are collectively evaluated for impairment, and accordingly, they are not separately identified for impairment disclosures.

Foreclosed Assets: Assets acquired through or instead of loan foreclosure are initially recorded at the lower of cost or market when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. If fair value declines, a valuation allowance is recorded through expense. Costs after acquisition are expensed.

Premises and Equipment: Premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed over the asset useful lives on a straight-line basis. Buildings and related components have useful lives ranging from 10 to 40 years, while furniture, fixtures and equipment have useful lives ranging from 3 to 10 years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the lesser of the life of the asset or lease term.

Mortgage Banking Activities: Mortgage loans originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or market value. The Company controls its interest rate risk with respect to mortgage loans held for sale and loan commitments expected to close by usually entering into agreements to sell loans. The Company records loan commitments related to the origination of mortgage loans held for sale as derivative instruments. The Company's commitments are for fixed rated mortgage loans, generally last 60 to 90 days and are at market rates when initiated. The Company had $\$ 5,315$ in outstanding loan commitment derivatives at December 31, 2007. Substantially all of the gain on sale generated from mortgage banking activities continues to be recorded when closed loans are delivered into the sales contracts. The aggregate market value of mortgage loans held for sale takes into account the sales prices of such agreements. The Company also provides currently for any losses on uncovered commitments to lend or sell. The Company sells mortgage loans servicing released.

Bank Owned Life Insurance: The Company has purchased life insurance policies on certain key executives. Company owned life insurance is recorded at its cash surrender value or the amount that can be realized.

GREEN BANKSHARES, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS <br> (Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data) <br> December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

## NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Goodwill, Core Deposit Intangibles and Other Intangible Assets: Goodwill results from prior business acquisitions and represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of acquired tangible assets and liabilities and identifiable intangible assets. Goodwill is assessed at least annually for impairment and any such impairment will be recognized in the period identified.

Core deposit intangibles assets arise from whole bank and branch acquisitions. They are initially measured at fair value and then are amortized on a straight line method over their estimated useful lives, which range from seven to 15 years and are determined by an independent consulting firm. Core deposit intangible assets will be assessed at least annually for impairment and any such impairment will be recognized in the period identified.

Other intangible assets consist of mortgage servicing rights ("MSR's") which are a result of the Company's recent acquisition of Civitas Bankgroup, Inc. MSR's represent the cost of acquiring the rights to service mortgage loans and the Company does not intend to pursue this line of business. MSR's are amortized based on the principle reduction of the underlying loans. The Company was obligated to service the unpaid principle balances of these loans, which approximated $\$ 65$ million as of December 31,2007 . The Company pays a third party subcontractor to perform servicing and escrow functions with respect to loans sold with retained servicing.

Long-term Assets: Premises and equipment and other long-term assets are reviewed for impairment when events indicate their carrying amount may not be recoverable from future undiscounted cash flows. If impaired, the assets are recorded at fair value.

Repurchase Agreements: All repurchase agreement liabilities represent secured borrowings from existing Bank customers and are not covered by federal deposit insurance.

Benefit Plans: Retirement plan expense is the amount contributed to the plan as determined by Board decision. Deferred compensation expense is recognized during the year the benefit is earned.

Stock Compensation: Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment," ("SFAS No. 123R") using the modified prospective method. According to SFAS No. 123R, the total cost of the Company's share based awards is equal to the grant date fair value and recognized as expense on a straight line basis over the service periods of the awards. For the year ended December 31, 2007, stock option expense of $\$ 472$ was recorded in the income statement. There is no tax effect for this stock option expense because all the options issued were Incentive Stock Options ("ISO's"). As of December 31, 2007, the Company had $\$ 1,370$ unrecognized stock option expense which will be recognized over 2.1 years.

As allowed under SFAS No. 123, the Company applied APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees", and related interpretations in accounting for its stock option plans prior to January 2006. Accordingly, no compensation expense was recognized for options granted with exercises prices equal to the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the grant date.

## NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

The following illustrates the effect on net income available to common shareholders if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 to the year ended December 31, 2005:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year-ended } \\ \text { December 31, } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Net income: |  |
| As reported | \$ 14,163 |
| Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net income, net of related tax effects |  |
| Deduct: Total stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value-based method for all awards, net of tax | (207) |
| Pro forma | \$ 13,956 |
| Earnings per common share: |  |
| As reported | \$ 1.73 |
| Pro forma | \$ 1.71 |
| Diluted earnings per common share: |  |
| As reported | \$ 1.71 |
| Pro forma | \$ 1.69 |

Income Taxes: Income tax expense is the total of the current year income tax due or refundable and the change in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are the expected future tax amounts for the temporary differences between carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities, computed using enacted tax rates. A valuation allowance, if needed, reduces deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

Loan Commitments and Related Financial Instruments: Financial instruments include credit instruments, such as commitments to make loans and standby letters of credit, issued to meet customer financing needs. The face amount for these items represents the exposure to loss before considering customer collateral or ability to repay. Such financial instruments are recorded when they are funded. Instruments such as standby letters of credit are considered financial guarantees in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Interpretation No. 45. The fair value of these financial guarantees is not material.

Earnings Per Common Share: Basic earnings per common share are net income divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per common share includes the dilutive effect of additional potential common shares issuable under stock options and unvested restricted stock awards.

Comprehensive Income: Comprehensive income consists of net income and other comprehensive income. Other comprehensive income includes unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale which are also recognized as a separate component of equity. Comprehensive income is presented in the consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

New Accounting Pronouncements: In March 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 156, "Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets-an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140" ("SFAS No. 156"). This statement requires that an entity recognize a servicing asset or servicing liability each time it undertakes an obligation to service a financial asset, and that the servicing assets and servicing liabilities be initially measured at fair value. The statement also permits an entity to choose a subsequent measurement method for each class of separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. SFAS No. 156 was effective as of the beginning of the first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. The application of SFAS No. 156 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" ("FIN48"). This interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." The interpretation prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The interpretation also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. FIN48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2006. The Company adopted FIN48 effective January 1, 2007, resulting in a beginning retained earnings adjustment in the amount of $\$ 800$.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements," ("SFAS No. 157") which provides for enhanced guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is applicable under other accounting pronouncements that either require or permit fair value measurements and does not require any new fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company adopted SFAS No. 157 on January 1, 2008, and the adoption did not have a material impact on financial condition, results of operations, or liquidity.

In September 2006, the FASB ratified EITF Issue 06-4, "Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements." EITF Issue 06-4 addresses accounting for split-dollar life insurance arrangements after the employer purchases a life insurance policy on the covered employee. This Issue states that an obligation arises as a result of a substantive agreement with an employee to provide future postretirement benefits. Under Issue EITF 06-4, the obligation is not settled upon entering into an insurance arrangement. Since the obligation is not settled, a liability should be recognized in accordance with applicable authoritative guidance. Issue EITF 06-4 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. The Company does not anticipate that EITF Issue $06-4$ will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities" ("SFAS No. 159"). This statement permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The objective of this standard is to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. This statement is effective as of the beginning of fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, with early adoption permitted under certain circumstances. The Company did not choose to early adopt this standard and does not anticipate that SFAS No. 159 will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS <br> (Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data) <br> December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

## NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), "Business Combinations" and SFAS No. 160, "Accounting and Reporting of Noncontrolling Interest in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51". These new standards will significantly change the accounting for and reporting of business combination transactions and noncontrolling (minority) interests in consolidated financial statements. SFAS Nos. $141(\mathrm{R})$ and 160 are required to be adopted simultaneously and are effective for the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. Earlier adoption is prohibited. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS Nos. 141(R) and 160 on our consolidated financial statements

Loss Contingencies: Loss contingencies, including claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business, are recorded as liabilities when the likelihood of loss is probable and an amount or range of loss can be reasonably estimated. Management does not believe there are any such matters that will have a material effect on the financial statements.

Restrictions on Cash: Cash on hand or on deposit with the Federal Reserve Bank of \$25,632 and \$16,268 was required to meet regulatory reserve and clearing requirements at year-end 2007 and 2006. These balances do not earn interest.

Segments: Internal financial reporting is primarily reported and aggregated in five lines of business: banking, mortgage banking, consumer finance, subprime automobile lending, and title insurance. Banking accounts for $95.7 \%$ of revenues for 2007.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments: Fair values of financial instruments are estimated using relevant market information and other assumptions, as more fully disclosed in a separate note. Fair value estimates involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment regarding interest rates, credit risk, prepayments, and other factors, especially in the absence of broad markets for particular items. Changes in assumptions or in market conditions could significantly affect the estimates.

Reclassifications: Certain items in prior year financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2007 presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on net income or shareholders' equity as previously reported.

GREEN BANKSHARES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)
December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

## NOTE 2 - SECURITIES

Securities are summarized as follows:


GREEN BANKSHARES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)
December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

## NOTE 2 - SECURITIES (Continued)

Contractual maturities of securities at year-end 2007 are shown below. Securities not due at a single maturity date, primarily mortgage-backed securities, are shown separately.

|  | Available for Sale |  |  | Held to Maturity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fair Value |  | Carrying <br> Amount |  | Fair Value |
| Due in one year or less | \$ | 742 | \$ | 385 | \$ | 385 |
| Due after one year through five years |  | 4,162 |  | 918 |  | 895 |
| Due after five years through ten years |  | 37,458 |  | - |  | - |
| Due after ten years |  | 36,740 |  | - |  | - |
| Mortgage-backed securities |  | 156,171 |  | - |  | - |
| Total maturities | \$ | 235,273 | \$ | 1,303 | \$ | 1,280 |

Gross losses of $\$ 42$ and $\$ 8$ were recognized in 2007 and 2006, respectively, from proceeds of $\$ 2,726$ and $\$ 1,939$ on the sale of securities available for sale and held to maturity. There were no security sales during 2005.

Securities with a carrying value of $\$ 212,633$ and $\$ 26,843$ at year-end 2007 and 2006 were pledged for public deposits and securities sold under agreements to repurchase and to the Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank.

Securities with unrealized losses at year-end 2007 and 2006 not recognized in income are as follows:

|  | Less than 12 months |  |  |  | 12 months or more |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss |  | Fair Value |  | Unrealized Loss |  | Fair <br> Value |  | Unrealized Loss |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U. S. government agencies | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 497 | \$ | (3) | \$ | 497 | \$ | (3) |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions |  | 3,042 |  | (66) |  | 899 |  | (7) |  | 3,941 |  | (73) |
| Other securities |  | 2,998 |  | (117) |  | 224 |  | (30) |  | 3,222 |  | (147) |
| Mortgage-backed securities |  | 5,508 |  | (45) |  | 5,513 |  | (92) |  | 11,021 |  | (137) |
| Total temporarily impaired | \$ | 11,548 | \$ | (228) | \$ | 7,133 | \$ | (132) | \$ | 18,681 | \$ | (360) |
| $\underline{2006}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U. S. government agencies | \$ | 9,953 | \$ | (44) | \$ | 3,444 | \$ | (56) | \$ | 13,397 | \$ | (100) |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions |  | 210 |  | - |  | 1,837 |  | (11) |  | 2,047 |  | (11) |
| Other securities |  | - |  | - |  | 246 |  | (9) |  | 246 |  | (9) |
| Mortgage-backed securities |  | 5 |  | - |  | 7,354 |  | (158) |  | 7,359 |  | (158) |
| Total temporarily impaired | \$ | 10,168 | \$ | (44) | \$ | 12,881 | \$ | (234) | \$ | 23,049 | \$ | (278) |

Unrealized losses on securities have not been recognized into income because management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future, and the decline in fair value is largely due to increases in market interest rates. The fair value is expected to recover as the securities approach their maturity date and/or market rates decline.

GREEN BANKSHARES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)
December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

## NOTE 3 - LOANS

Loans at year-end were as follows:

|  | $\underline{2007}$ | $\underline{2006}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 1,549,457 | \$ 921,190 |
| Residential real estate | 398,779 | 281,629 |
| Commercial | 320,264 | 258,998 |
| Consumer | 97,635 | 87,111 |
| Other | 3,871 | 2,203 |
| Unearned interest | $(13,630)$ | $(11,502)$ |
| Loans, net of unearned interest | \$ 2,356,376 | \$ 1,539,629 |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$ (34,111) | \$ (22,302) |

Activity in the allowance for loan losses is as follows:

|  | $\underline{2007}$ | $\underline{2006}$ | $\underline{2005}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning balance | $\$ 22,302$ | $\$ 19,739$ | $\$ 15,721$ |
| Reserve acquired in acquisition | 9,022 | - | 1,467 |
| Provision for loan losses | 14,483 | 5,507 | 6,365 |
| Loans charged off | $(13,471)$ | $(4,357)$ | $(5,583)$ |
| Recoveries of loans charged off | $\underline{1,775}$ | $\underline{1,413}$ | $\underline{1,769}$ |
| Balance, end of year | $\underline{\$ 34,111}$ | $\underline{\$ 22,302}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 19,739}}$ |

Impaired loans were as follows:

|  | $\underline{2007}$ | $\underline{2006}$ | $\underline{2005}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Loans with allowance allocated |  |  |  |  |
| Amount of allowance allocated | 36,267 | $\$$ | 5,067 | $\$$ |
| Average balance during the year | 5,440 | 760 | 2,202 |  |
| Interest income not recognized during impairment | 16,276 | 6,897 | 11,964 |  |
|  | 237 | 207 | 368 |  |

Interest income actually recognized on these loans during 2007 was $\$ 1,977$, and this interest income was not significant during 2006 and 2005.

## NOTE 3 - LOANS (Continued)

Nonperforming loans were as follows:

Loans past due 90 days still on accrual

| $\$$ | 18 | $\$$ | 28 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 32,060 |  | 3,479 |

Total
$\$ \quad 32,078$
$\$ \quad 3,507$

Nonperforming loans and impaired loans are defined differently. Nonperforming loans are loans that are 90 days past due and still accruing interest and nonaccrual loans. Impaired loans are loans that based upon current information and events it is considered probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts of contractual interest and principal as scheduled in the loan agreement. Some loans may be included in both categories, whereas other loans may only be included in one category.

The aggregate amount of loans to executive officers and directors of the Company and their related interests was approximately $\$ 15,502$ and $\$ 11,129$ at year-end 2007 and 2006, respectively. During 2007 and 2006, new loans aggregating approximately $\$ 27,087$ and $\$ 17,545$, respectively, and amounts collected of approximately $\$ 22,714$ and $\$ 19,427$, respectively, were transacted with such parties.

## NOTE 4 - PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

Year-end premises and equipment follows:

|  | $\underline{2007}$ | $\underline{2006}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Land | $\$ 18,151$ | $\$ 12,447$ |
| Premises | 56,100 | 35,824 |
| Leasehold improvements | 2,551 | 2,088 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 22,678 | 18,614 |
| Automobiles | 122 | 122 |
| Construction in progress | 4,334 | $\underline{6,073}$ |
| Accumulated depreciation | 103,936 | 75,168 |
|  | $\underline{(21,239)}$ | $\underline{(17,910)}$ |
|  | $\underline{82,697}$ | $\underline{\$ 87,258}$ |

Rent expense for operating leases was $\$ 1,087$ for 2007, $\$ 721$ for 2006 , and $\$ 587$ for 2005 . Rent commitments under noncancelable operating leases were as follows, before considering renewal options that generally are present:

| 2008 | \$ | 987 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 |  | 753 |
| 2010 |  | 434 |
| 2011 |  | 340 |
| 2012 |  | 323 |
| Thereafter |  | 1,528 |
| Total | \$ | 4,365 |

(Continued)
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## NOTE 5 - GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

## Goodwill

The change in the amount of goodwill is as follows:

|  | $\underline{2007}$ |  | $\underline{2006}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning of year | \$ | 31,327 | \$ | 31,327 |
| Goodwill from acquisition during year |  | 111,813 |  | - |
| End of year | \$ | 143,140 | \$ | 31,327 |

Goodwill was no longer amortized starting in 2002; however, it is evaluated annually for impairment and no impairment was recognized in 2007, 2006, or 2005.

## Core deposit and other intangible

The change in core deposit and other intangible is as follows:

| Core deposit intangibles | $\underline{2007}$ | $\underline{2006}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning of year | $\$, 213$ | $\$$ |
| Core deposit intangibles from acquisition during year | 8,740 | 8,295 |
| $\quad$ Accumulated amortization, beginning of year | $(3,843)$ | - |
| Amortization | $(2,761)$ |  |
| Accumulated amortization, end of year | $(1,962)$ | $(1,082)$ |
| End of year | $\underline{(5,805)}$ | $(3,843)$ |

## Other intangibles

Beginning of year
Mortgage servicing rights from acquisition during year
Accumulated amortization, beginning of year
Amortization
Accumulated amortization, end of year
End of year
$\underline{2007}$
\$
745
(49)
$\$ \quad 696$
Estimated amortization expense for each of the next five years is as follows:
2008 ..... \$ 2,599
2009 ..... 2,599
2010 ..... 2,595
2011 ..... 2,541
2012 ..... 2,411
Total
\$ 12,745

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS <br> (Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data) <br> December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

## NOTE 6 - DEPOSITS

Deposits at year-end were as follows:

|  | $\underline{2007}$ | $\underline{2006}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Noninterest-bearing demand deposits | $\$ 152,635$ |  |
| Interest-bearing demand deposits | 598,984 | 430,735 |
| Savings deposits | 72,277 | 68,160 |
| Time deposits | $\underline{1,114,243}$ | $\underline{680,975}$ |
| Total Deposits | $\underline{1,986,793}$ | $\underline{\$ 1,332,505}$ |

Time deposits of $\$ 100$ or more were $\$ 552,963$ and $\$ 235,159$ at year-end 2007 and 2006, respectively.
Scheduled maturities of all time deposits for the next five years and thereafter were as follows:

| 2008 | $\$ 1,013,078$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2009 | 76,047 |
| 2010 | 13,208 |
| 2011 | 5,211 |
| 2012 | 2,347 |
| Thereafter | 4,352 |

The aggregate amount of deposits of executive officers and directors of the Company and their related interests was approximately $\$ 5,018$ and $\$ 4,052$ at year-end 2007 and 2006, respectively.

## NOTE 7 - BORROWINGS

Federal funds purchased, securities sold under agreements to repurchase and treasury tax and loan deposits are financing arrangements. Securities involved with the agreements are recorded as assets and are held by a safekeeping agent and the obligations to repurchase the securities are reflected as liabilities. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase consist of short-term excess funds and overnight liabilities to deposit customers arising from a cash management program.

Information concerning securities sold under agreements to repurchase at year-end 2007, 2006 and 2005 is as follows:

|  | $\underline{2007}$ | $\underline{2006}$ | $\underline{2005}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average balance during the year | $\$ 70,601$ | $\$ 22,424$ | $\$ 15,687$ |
| Average interest rate during the year | $4.06 \%$ | $4.13 \%$ | $2.64 \%$ |
| Maximum month-end balance during the year | $\$ 114,045$ | $\$ 26,753$ | $\$ 19,931$ |
| Weighted average interest rate at year-end | $3.25 \%$ | $4.08 \%$ | $3.37 \%$ |
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## NOTE 7 - BORROWINGS (Continued)

FHLB advances and notes payable consist of the following at year-end:

|  |  | $\underline{2007}$ |  | $\underline{2006}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Short-term borrowings |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed rate FHLB advances, 3.90\% to 6.10\% |  |  |  |  |
| Maturing January 2008 and July 2008 | \$ | 99,409 | \$ | - |
| Variable rate FHLB advance at 5.45\% |  |  |  |  |
| Maturing January 2008 |  | 10,000 |  | - |
| Fixed rate FHLB advance, 5.18\%, |  |  |  |  |
| Matured January 2007 |  | - |  | 84,900 |
| Total short-term borrowings |  | 109,409 |  | 84,900 |
| Long-term borrowings |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed rate FHLB advances, from 2.85\% to 6.35\%, |  |  |  |  |
| Various maturities through July 2022 |  | 152,781 |  | 48,171 |
| Variable rate FHLB advances, from 5.00\% to 5.76\%, |  |  |  |  |
| Maturities from November 2009 to December 2010 |  | 56,500 |  | 44,500 |
| Total long-term borrowings |  | 209,281 |  | 92,671 |
| Total borrowings |  | 318,690 |  | 77,571 |

Each advance is payable at its maturity date; however, prepayment penalties are required if paid before maturity. The fixed rate advances include $\$ 135,000$ of advances that are callable by the FHLB under certain circumstances. The variable rate advances are convertible to a 3-month LIBOR rate at the discretion of the FHLB. The advances are collateralized by a required blanket pledge of qualifying mortgage, commercial, agricultural and home equity lines of credit loans and securities totaling $\$ 621,428$ and $\$ 417,227$ at year-end 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Scheduled maturities of long-term FHLB advances and notes payable over the next five years and thereafter are as follows:

|  | $\underline{T}$ Total |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2008 | $\$ 10,425$ |
| 2009 | 29,902 |
| 2010 | 37,421 |
| 2011 | 15,441 |
| 2012 | 65,461 |
| Thereafter | $\underline{60,640}$ |
|  | $\underline{\$ 219,290}$ |

At year-end 2007, the Company had approximately $\$ 103,214$ of federal funds lines of credit available from correspondent institutions, $\$ 117$ in unused lines of credit with the FHLB, and $\$ 74,750$ of letters of credit with the FHLB.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS <br> (Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data) <br> December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

## NOTE 7 - BORROWINGS (Continued)

In September 2003, the Company formed Greene County Capital Trust I ("GC Trust I"). GC Trust I issued $\$ 10,000$ of variable rate trust preferred securities as part of a pooled offering of such securities. The Company issued $\$ 10,310$ subordinated debentures to the GC Trust I in exchange for the proceeds of the offering, which debentures represent the sole asset of GC Trust I. The debentures pay interest quarterly at the three-month LIBOR plus $2.85 \%$ adjusted quarterly ( $8.09 \%$ and $8.22 \%$ at year-end 2007 and 2006, respectively). The Company may redeem the subordinated debentures, in whole or in part, beginning October 2008 at a price of $100 \%$ of face value. The subordinated debentures must be redeemed no later than 2033.

In June 2005, the Company formed Greene County Capital Trust II ("GC Trust II"). GC Trust II issued \$3,000 of variable rate trust preferred securities as part of a pooled offering of such securities. The Company issued $\$ 3,093$ subordinated debentures to the GC Trust II in exchange for the proceeds of the offering, which debentures represent the sole asset of GC Trust II. The debentures pay interest quarterly at the three-month LIBOR plus $1.68 \%$ adjusted quarterly $(6.67 \%$ and $7.04 \%$ at year-end 2007 and 2006 , respectively). The Company may redeem the subordinated debentures, in whole or in part, beginning September 2010 at a price of $100 \%$ of face value. The subordinated debentures must be redeemed no later than 2035.

In May 2007, the Company formed GreenBank Capital Trust I ("GB Trust I"). GB Trust I issued \$56,000 of variable rate trust preferred securities as part of a pooled offering of such securities. The Company issued $\$ 57,732$ subordinated debentures to the GB Trust I in exchange for the proceeds of the offering, which debentures represent the sole asset of GB Trust I. The debentures pay interest quarterly at the three-month LIBOR plus $1.65 \%$ adjusted quarterly $(6.64 \%$ at year-end 2007). The Company may redeem the subordinated debentures, in whole or in part, beginning June 2012 at a price of $100 \%$ of face value. The subordinated debentures must be redeemed no later than 2037.

Also in May 2007 the Company assumed the liability for two trusts affiliated with the acquisition of Franklin, Tennessee-based Civitas Bankgroup, Inc. ("CVBG") that the Company acquired on May 18, 2007, Civitas Statutory Trust I ("CS Trust I") and Cumberland Capital Statutory Trust II ("CCS Trust II").

In December 2005 CS Trust I issued $\$ 13,000$ of variable rate trust preferred securities as part of a pooled offering of such securities. CVBG issued $\$ 13,403$ subordinated debentures to the CS Trust I in exchange for the proceeds of the offering, which debentures represent the sole asset of CS Trust I. The debentures pay interest quarterly at the three-month LIBOR plus $1.54 \%$ adjusted quarterly ( $6.53 \%$ at year-end 2007). The Company may redeem the subordinated debentures, in whole or in part, beginning March 2011 at a price of $100 \%$ of face value. The subordinated debentures must be redeemed no later than March 2036.

In July 2001 CCS Trust II issued $\$ 4,000$ of variable rate trust preferred securities as part of a pooled offering of such securities. CVBG issued $\$ 4,124$ subordinated debentures to the CCS Trust II in exchange for the proceeds of the offering, which debentures represent the sole asset of CCS Trust II. The debentures pay interest quarterly at the three-month LIBOR plus $3.58 \%$ adjusted quarterly ( $8.54 \%$ at year-end 2007). As of July 2007 the Company may redeem the subordinated debentures, in whole or in part at a price of $100 \%$ of face value. The subordinated debentures must be redeemed no later than July 2031.

In accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 46R, GC Trust I, GC Trust II, GB Trust I, CS Trust I and CCS Trust II are not consolidated with the Company. Accordingly, the Company does not report the securities issued by GC Trust I, GC Trust II, GB Trust I, CS Trust I and CCS Trust II as liabilities, and instead reports as liabilities the subordinated debentures issued by the Company and held by each Trust. However, the Company has fully and unconditionally guaranteed the repayment of the variable rate trust preferred securities. These trust preferred securities currently qualify as Tier 1 capital for regulatory capital requirements of the Company.

GREEN BANKSHARES, INC.
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## NOTE 8 - BENEFIT PLANS

The Company has a profit sharing plan which allows employees to contribute from $1 \%$ to $20 \%$ of their compensation. The Company contributes an additional amount at a discretionary rate established annually by the Board of Directors. Company contributions to the Plan were $\$ 1,320, \$ 999$ and $\$ 856$ for 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Directors have deferred some of their fees for future payment, including interest. The amount accrued for deferred compensation was $\$ 2,823$ and $\$ 2,585$ at year-end 2007 and 2006. Amounts expensed under the Plan were $\$ 330, \$ 350$ and $\$ 298$ during 2007, 2006, and 2005. The Company paid interest on balances in the Plan during 2007, 2006, and 2005, as follows: For the years ended 2007 and 2006, the Company used a formula which provides an annual earnings crediting rate based on $75 \%$ of the annual return on average stockholders' equity, for the year then ended, on balances in the plan until the director experiences a separation from service, and, thereafter, at an earnings crediting rate of $56.25 \%$ of the Company's return on average stockholders' equity for the year then ending. For the year ended 2005 interest was credited on the account balances of the participating directors monthly at an annual rate of $10 \%$ compounded monthly until separation from service, and, thereafter, at an annual rate of $7.5 \%$ compounded monthly. Also certain officers of the Company are participants under a Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan. The amount accrued for future payments under this Plan was $\$ 815$ and $\$ 562$ at year-end 2007 and 2006. Amounts expensed under the Plan were $\$ 253, \$ 236$ and $\$ 176$ during 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Related to these plans, the Company purchased single premium life insurance contracts on the lives of the related participants. The cash surrender value of these contracts is recorded as an asset of the Company.

## NOTE 9 - INCOME TAXES

Income tax expense is summarized as follows:

|  | $\underline{2007}$ |  |  | 2006 | 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current - federal | \$ | 13,161 | \$ | 11,424 | \$ | 7,860 |
| Current - state |  | 2,096 |  | 2,265 |  | 1,581 |
| Deferred - federal |  | (927) |  | (416) |  | (640) |
| Deferred - state |  | (184) |  | (83) |  | (127) |
|  |  | 14,146 |  | 13,190 | \$ | 8,674 |

Deferred income taxes reflect the effect of "temporary differences" between values recorded for assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and values utilized for measurement in accordance with tax laws. The tax effects of the primary temporary differences giving rise to the Company's net deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

|  | $\underline{2007}$ |  |  |  | $\underline{2006}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Assets |  | $\underline{\text { Liabilities }}$ |  | Assets |  | Liabilities |  |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$ | 13,380 | \$ | \$ | \$ | 8,748 | \$ |  |
| Deferred compensation |  | 1,653 |  | - |  | 1,487 |  | - |
| Purchase accounting adjustments |  | 1,219 |  | - |  | - |  | (327) |
| Depreciation |  | - |  | $(1,771)$ |  | - |  | $(1,855)$ |
| FHLB dividends |  | - |  | $(1,476)$ |  | - |  | $(1,067)$ |
| Core deposit intangible |  | - |  | $(6,102)$ |  | - |  | $(2,566)$ |
| Unrealized (gain) loss on securities |  | - |  | (972) |  | 79 |  | - |
| Deferred loan costs |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(1,502)$ |
| Other |  | 868 |  | - |  | - |  | (115) |
| Total deferred income taxes | \$ | 17,120 |  | \$ (10,321) | \$ | 10,314 |  | $(7,432)$ |

No valuation allowances were required relating to deferred tax assets at December 31, 2007 and 2006.
(Continued)

GREEN BANKSHARES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)
December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

## NOTE 9 - INCOME TAXES (Continued)

A reconciliation of expected income tax expense at the statutory federal income tax rate of $35 \%$ with the actual effective income tax rates is as follows:

|  | $\underline{2007}$ | 2006 | $\underline{2005}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statutory federal tax rate | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% |
| State income tax, net of federal benefit | 3.2 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| Tax exempt income | (2.7) | (0.7) | (1.1) |
| Other | 1.2 | (0.1) | - |
|  | 36.7\% | 38.3\% | 38.0\% |

Effective January 1, 2007, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" ("FIN 48"), an interpretation of FASB statement No. 109 (the "Interpretation"). This Interpretation provides guidance on financial statement recognition and measurement of tax positions taken, or expected to be taken, in tax returns. As a result of the implementation of FIN 48, the Company recognized an approximately $\$ 800$ decrease in the liability for unrecognized tax benefits which was accounted for as an increase to the January 1, 2007, balance of retained earnings.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized income tax benefits follows:

2007
Unrecognized tax benefits at the beginning of the year
Additional based on tax positions related to current year
Additional based on tax positions related to prior years
Reduction based on lapse of statute
Settlements
Unrecognized tax benefits at the end of the year

The Company recognizes accrued interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in tax expense. At the date of adoption of FIN 48, the Company had recognized approximately $\$ 150$ for the payment of interest and penalties.

A federal and state net operating loss of $\$ 6.2$ million was acquired in connection with the CVBG acquisition. The carryforward loss will expire in 2026.

The Company's Federal returns are open and subject to examination for the years of 2005 and 2006. The Company's State returns are open and subject to examination for the years of 2004, 2005 and 2006.

## NOTE 10 - COMMITMENTS AND FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS WITH OFF-BALANCE-SHEET RISK

Some financial instruments, such as loan commitments, credit lines, letters of credit, and overdraft protection, are issued to meet customer-financing needs. These are agreements to provide credit or to support the credit of others, as long as conditions established in the contract are met, and usually have expiration dates. Commitments may expire without being used. Off-balance-sheet risk to credit loss exists up to the face amount of these instruments, although material losses are not anticipated. The same credit policies are used to make such commitments as are used for loans, including obtaining collateral at exercise of the commitment.

Financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk were as follows at year-end:

$$
\underline{2007}
$$

$\underline{2006}$

| Commitments to make loans - fixed | 24,837 $\$$ <br> Commitments to make loans - variable 23,843 <br> Unused lines of credit 704,729 <br> Letters of credit 58,615 | 480,420 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |

The fixed rate loan commitments have interest rates ranging from $5.50 \%$ to $8.79 \%$ and maturities ranging from nine-months to twenty-five years. Letters of credit are considered financial guarantees under FASB Interpretation No. 45. These instruments are carried at fair value, which was immaterial at year-end 2007 and 2006.

## NOTE 11 - CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS AND RESTRICTIONS ON RETAINED EARNINGS

Banks and bank holding companies are subject to regulatory capital requirements administered by federal banking agencies. Capital adequacy guidelines and, additionally for banks, prompt corrective action regulations involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators. Failure to meet capital requirements can initiate regulatory action.

Prompt corrective action regulations provide five classifications: well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized, and critically undercapitalized, although these terms are not used to represent overall financial condition. If adequately capitalized, regulatory approval is required to accept brokered deposits. If undercapitalized, capital distributions are limited, as is asset growth and expansion, and capital restoration plans are required.
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## NOTE 11- CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS AND RESTRICTIONS ON RETAINED EARNINGS (continued)

Based on the most recent notifications from its regulators, the Bank is well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. Management believes that as of December 31, 2007, the Company and the Bank met all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject and was not aware of any conditions or events that would affect the Bank's well capitalized status. Actual capital levels and minimum required levels (in millions) were as follows:
$\left.\begin{array}{lccccccc} & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Minimum Amounts to } \\ \text { be Well Capitalized }\end{array} \\ \text { Under Prompt } \\ \text { Corrective }\end{array}\right\}$

The Company's primary source of funds to pay dividends to shareholders is the dividends it receives from the Bank. Applicable state laws and the regulations of the Federal Reserve Bank and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation regulate the payment of dividends. Under the state regulations, the amount of dividends that may be paid by the Bank to the Company without prior approval of the Commissioner of the Tennessee Department of Financial Institutions is limited in any one year to an amount equal to the net income in the calendar year of declaration plus retained net income for the preceding two years; however, future dividends will be dependent on the level of earnings, capital and liquidity requirements and considerations of the Bank and Company.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS <br> (Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data) <br> December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

## NOTE 12 - STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment," ("SFAS No. 123R") which was issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board in December 2004. SFAS No. 123R revises SFAS No. 123 "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation ("SFAS 123")," and supersedes APB No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," ("APB No. 25") and its related interpretations. SFAS No. 123R requires recognition of the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments in the financial statements over the period the employee is required to perform the services in exchange for the award (presumptively the vesting period). SFAS No. 123 R also requires measurement of the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award based on the grant-date fair value of the award. SFAS No. 123R also amends SFAS No. 95 "Statement of Cash Flows," to require that excess tax benefits be reported as financing cash inflows, rather than as a reduction of taxes paid, which is included within operating cash flows.

The Company adopted SFAS No. 123R using the modified prospective application as permitted under SFAS No. 123R. Accordingly, prior period amounts have not been restated. Under this application, the Company is required to record compensation expense for all awards granted after the date of adoption and for the unvested portion of previously granted awards that remain outstanding at the date of adoption.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the Company used the intrinsic value method as prescribed by APB No. 25 and thus recognized no compensation expense for options granted with exercise prices equal to the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant.

The Company maintains a 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "Plan"), whereby a maximum of 500,000 shares of common stock may be issued to directors and employees of the Company and the Bank. The Plan provides for the issuance of awards in the form of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted shares, restricted share units, deferred share units and performance awards. Stock options granted under the Plan are typically granted at exercise prices equal to the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant and typically have terms of ten years and vest at an annual rate of $20 \%$. At December 31, 2007, 279,071 shares remained available for future grant. The compensation cost that has been charged against income for the Plan was approximately $\$ 472$ and $\$ 354$ for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively

## Stock Options

The fair market value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Company granted 75,473 and 90,261 stock options during the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, with a fair value of $\$ 11.85$ and $\$ 8.90$, respectively, for each option.

The risk-free interest rate is based upon a U.S. Treasury instrument with a life that is similar to the expected life of the option grant. Expected volatility is based upon the historical volatility of the Company's common stock based upon prior year's trading history. The expected term of the options is based upon the average life of previously issued stock options. The expected dividend yield is based upon current yield on the date of grant. No post-vesting restrictions exist for these options. The following table illustrates the assumptions for the BlackScholes model used in determining the fair value of options granted to employees in the quarters ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. No options were granted during the other quarters for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006.

Risk-free interest rate

| 2007 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2.65 \%$ | $4.57 \%$ |
| $26.97 \%$ | $28.16 \%$ |
| 8 years | 8 years |
| $1.7 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
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## NOTE 12 - STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION (Continued)

A summary of option activity under the stock option plan for the three years ended December 31, 2007 is presented below:

|  | Options |  | eighted <br> verage <br> xercise <br> Price | Weighted <br> Average <br> Remaining <br> Contractual $\qquad$ |  | ggregate <br> trinsic <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding at January 1, 2005 | 336,278 | \$ | 20.28 |  |  |  |
| Granted | 71,228 |  | 26.89 |  |  |  |
| Exercised | $(10,596)$ |  | 13.47 |  |  |  |
| Forfeited | - |  | - |  |  |  |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2005 | 396,910 | \$ | 21.65 |  |  |  |
| Granted | 90,261 |  | 28.90 |  |  |  |
| Exercised | $(49,264)$ |  | 18.28 |  |  |  |
| Forfeited | $(12,150)$ |  | 26.79 |  |  |  |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2006 | 425,757 | \$ | 23.43 |  |  |  |
| Granted | 75,473 |  | 35.89 |  |  |  |
| Exercised | $(38,530)$ |  | 19.22 |  |  |  |
| Forfeited | $(10,623)$ |  | 29.80 |  |  |  |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2007 | 452,077 | \$ | 25.72 | 6.0 years | \$ | 396 |
| Options exercisable at December 31, 2007 | 256,854 | \$ | 22.29 | 4.5 years | \$ | 395 |
| Options available for future grants at December 31, 2007 | 279,071 |  |  |  |  |  |

The total aggregate intrinsic value of options (which is the amount by which the stock price exceeded the exercise price of the options) exercised during the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, was $\$ 550$ and $\$ 743$, respectively. The total fair value of options vesting during the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, was $\$ 322$ and $\$ 245$, respectively. As of December 31, 2007, there was $\$ 1,370$ of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.1 years.

During the year-ended December 31, 2007, the amount of cash received from the exercise of stock options was \$497.
(Continued)
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NOTE 12 - STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION (Continued)
Options outstanding at year-end 2007 were as follows:

|  | Outstanding |  |  | Exercisable |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Range of Exercise Prices | Number Outstanding | Weighted <br> Average Remaining Contractual Life | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number Outstanding | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| \$11.10-\$15.00 | 42,142 | 3.4 | \$ 12.90 | 42,142 | 3.4 | \$ 12.90 |
| \$15.01-\$20.00 | 78,457 | 4.8 | \$ 17.63 | 71,104 | 4.8 | \$ 17.48 |
| \$20.01-\$25.00 | 66,945 | 4.8 | \$ 23.28 | 46,439 | 4.3 | \$ 23.24 |
| \$25.01-\$30.00 | 164,731 | 6.8 | \$ 28.32 | 70,299 | 5.5 | \$ 28.43 |
| \$30.01-\$36.32 | 99,802 | 7.5 | \$ 34.84 | 26,870 | 3.0 | \$ 32.00 |
| Total | 452,077 |  |  | 256,854 |  |  |

## Cash Settled Stock Appreciation Rights

During the year ended December 31, 2007 the Company granted cash-settled stock appreciation rights ("SARs") awards to select employees. Each award, when granted, provides the participant with the right to receive payment in cash, upon exercise of each stock appreciation right, for the difference between the appreciation in market value of a specified number of shares of the Company's Common Stock over the award's exercise price. The SAR's vest over the same period as the stock option awards issued and can only be exercised in tandem with the stock option awards The per-share exercise price of a SAR is equal to the closing market price of a share of the Company's Common Stock on the date of grant. As of December 31, 2007, there was an estimated $\$ 64$ of unrecognized compensation cost related to SAR's. The cost, measured at each reporting period until the award is settled, is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.2 years. As of December 31, 2007, no cash settled SAR's had vested and as such, no share-based liabilities were paid.

## NOTE 12 - STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION (Continued)

A summary of the SAR activity during year ended December 31, 2007 is presented below:

| Outstanding at January 1, 2007 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Granted |  |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2007 |  |
| Weighted-average fair value of cash-settled SAR's granted <br> during the twelve months ended December 31, 2007 | Weighted <br> Average <br> Price per <br> Share |

The risk-free interest rate is based upon a U.S. Treasury instrument with a life that is similar to the expected life of the SAR. Expected volatility is based upon the historical volatility of the Company's common stock based upon prior year's trading history. The expected term of the SAR is based upon the average life of previously issued stock options. The expected dividend yield is based upon current yield on the date of grant. These SAR's can only be exercised in tandem with stock options being exercised. The following table illustrates the assumptions for the Black-Scholes model used in determining the fair value of the SAR's.

|  |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Riskee interest rate | $4.58 \%$ |
| Volatility | $26.92 \%$ |
| Expected life | 8 years |
| Dividend yield | $1.8 \%$ |

Cash-settled SARs awarded in stock-based payment transactions are accounted for under SFAS 123(R) which classifies these awards as liabilities. Accordingly, the Company records these awards as a component of other non-current liabilities on the balance sheet. For liability awards, the fair value of the award, which determines the measurement of the liability on the balance sheet, is remeasured at each reporting period until the award is settled. Fluctuations in the fair value of the liability award are recorded as increases or decreases in compensation cost, either immediately or over the remaining service period, depending on the vested status of the award.
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## NOTE 13 - EARNINGS PER SHARE

A reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the earnings per common share and earnings per common share assuming dilution computations are presented below.

| Basic Earnings Per Share | $\underline{2007}$ |  | $\underline{2006}$ | $\underline{2005}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Net income | $\$$ | 24,374 | $\$$ | 21,262 | $\$$ |
| Weighted average common <br> shares outstanding | $\underline{11,756,699}$ | $\underline{9,788,004}$ | $\underline{\underline{8,184,499}}$ |  |  |
| Basic Earnings Per Share | $\underline{\$}$ | $\underline{2.07}$ | $\underline{2.17}$ | $\underline{\$ 1.73}$ |  |

## Diluted Earnings Per Share

Net income
Weighted average common shares outstanding

Add: Dilutive effects of assumed conversions and exercises of stock options

Weighted average common and dilutive potential common shares outstanding

Diluted Earnings Per Share
\$ 24,374 \$ 21,262 \$ 14,163

11,756,699 9,788,004 8,184,499

| 42,443 |
| :--- |

$\underline{\underline{11,799,142} \xlongequal{9,933,278} \xlongequal{8,276,133}}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\$ \quad 2.07 & \$ \quad 2.14 & \$ \quad 1.71\end{array}$

Stock options of 114,115 and 118,321 were excluded from the 2007 and 2005 diluted earnings per share because their impact was antidilutive. No stock options were excluded from the earnings per share calculation for 2006.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS <br> (Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data) <br> December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

## NOTE 14 - FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The carrying value and estimated fair value of the Company's financial instruments are as follows at year-end 2007 and 2006.

Financial assets:

| Cash and cash equivalents | $\$$ | 65,717 | $\$$ | 65,717 | $\$$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Securities available for sale | 235,273 | 235,273 | 37,740 | $\$ 0$ | 70,640 |
| Securities held to maturity | 1,303 | 1,280 | 2,545 | 2,544 |  |
| Loans held for sale | 2,331 | 2,355 | 1,772 | 1,787 |  |
| Loans, net of unearned interest | $2,356,376$ | $2,338,305$ | $1,539,629$ | $1,534,777$ |  |
| Allowance for loan losses | $(34,111)$ | $(34,111)$ | $(22,302)$ | $(22,302)$ |  |
| FHLB, Bankers Bank and other stock | 12,322 | 12,322 | 7,055 | 7,055 |  |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance | 28,466 | 28,466 | 21,176 | 21,176 |  |
| Accrued interest receivable | 13,532 | 13,532 | 8,581 | 8,581 |  |

Financial liabilities:

| Deposit accounts | $\$$ | $1,986,793$ | $\$$ | $1,985,482$ | $\$$ | $1,332,505$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |$\$$| $1,329,770$ |
| :--- |
| Federal funds purchased and repurchase |

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair values for financial instruments. The carrying amount is considered to estimate fair value for cash and short-term instruments, demand deposits, liabilities for repurchase agreements, variable rate loans or deposits that reprice frequently and fully, and accrued interest receivable and payable. Securities available for sale fair values are based on quoted market prices or, if no quotes are available, on the rate and term of the security and on information about the issuer. For fixed rate loans or deposits and for variable rate loans or deposits with infrequent repricing or repricing limits, the fair value is estimated by discounted cash flow analysis using current market rates for the estimated life and credit risk. Fair values for impaired loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses or underlying collateral values, where applicable. Fair value of mortgage loans held for sale is based on current market price for such loans. Liabilities for FHLB advances and notes payable are estimated using rates of debt with similar terms and remaining maturities. The fair value of off-balance sheet items is based on the current fees or costs that would be charged to enter into or terminate such arrangements, which is not material. The fair value of commitments to sell loans is based on the difference between the interest rates committed to sell at and the quoted secondary market price for similar loans, which is not material.

GREEN BANKSHARES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)
December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

## NOTE 15 - PARENT COMPANY CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## BALANCE SHEETS

## Years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

|  | $\underline{2007}$ | $\underline{2006}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |
| Cash and due from financial institutions | \$ 8,248 | \$ 1,902 |
| Investment in subsidiary | 398,892 | 195,798 |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance contracts | - | 281 |
| Other | 5,147 | 1,700 |
| Total assets | \$412,287 | \$ 199,681 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |
| Subordinated debentures | \$ 88,662 | \$ 13,403 |
| Other liabilities | 1,148 | 1,807 |
| Total liabilities | 89,810 | 15,210 |
| Shareholders' equity | 322,477 | 184,471 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$412,287 | \$ 199,681 |

STATEMENTS OF INCOME
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005

|  | $\underline{2007}$ |  | $\underline{2006}$ |  | $\underline{2005}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dividends from subsidiary | \$ | 6,757 | \$ | 5,096 | \$ | 3,511 |
| Other income |  | 145 |  | 335 |  | 208 |
| Interest expense |  | $(4,513)$ |  | $(1,101)$ |  | (781) |
| Other expense |  | (921) |  | (774) |  | (829) |
| Income before income taxes |  | 1,468 |  | 3,556 |  | 2,109 |
| Income tax benefit |  | $(2,096)$ |  | (657) |  | (592) |
| Equity in undistributed net income of subsidiary |  | 20,810 |  | 17,049 |  | 11,462 |
| Net income | \$ | 24,374 | \$ | 21,262 | \$ | 14,163 |

GREEN BANKSHARES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)
December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

## NOTE 15 - PARENT COMPANY CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005

| Operating activities $\quad \underline{2007} \quad \underline{2006}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 24,374 | \$ | 21,262 | \$ | 14,163 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided (used) by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undistributed net income of subsidiaries |  | $(20,810)$ |  | $(17,049)$ |  | $(11,462)$ |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | - |  | - |  | 110 |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance |  | - |  | (8) |  | (9) |
| Stock compensation expense |  | 472 |  | 354 |  | - |
| Change in assets |  | $(3,871)$ |  | (424) |  | (149) |
| Change in liabilities |  | (658) |  | 878 |  | (3) |
| Net cash provided (used) by operating activities |  | (493) |  | 5,013 |  | 2,650 |
| Investing activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital investment in bank subsidiary |  | $(43,141)$ |  | - |  | $(47,800)$ |
| Net cash used in investing activities |  | $(43,141)$ |  | - |  | $(47,800)$ |
| Financing activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dividends paid |  | $(8,386)$ |  | $(6,270)$ |  | $(5,294)$ |
| Proceeds from issuance of public offering |  | - |  | - |  | 50,635 |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock options |  | 497 |  | 761 |  | 142 |
| Proceeds from subordinated debentures |  | 57,732 |  | - |  | 3,093 |
| Tax benefit resulting from stock options |  | 137 |  | 126 |  | - |
| Repayment of debt |  | - |  | $(1,800)$ |  | - |
| Net cash from (used in) financing activities |  | 49,980 |  | $(7,183)$ |  | 48,576 |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents |  | 6,346 |  | $(2,170)$ |  | 3,426 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year |  | 1,902 |  | 4,072 |  | 646 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | \$ | 8,248 | \$ | 1,902 | \$ | 4,072 |

## NOTE 16 - OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Other comprehensive income components were as follows.

|  | 2007 |  | $\underline{2006}$ |  | $\underline{2005}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unrealized holding gains and (losses) on securities available for sale, net of tax of \$978, 144 and (\$213), respectively | \$ | 1,610 | \$ | 236 | \$ | (343) |
| Reclassification adjustment for losses realized in net income, net of tax of \$16, \$3 and \$-, respectively |  | 26 |  | 5 |  | - |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | \$ | 1,636 | \$ | 241 | \$ | (343) |

(Continued)

GREEN BANKSHARES, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS <br> (Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data) <br> December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

## NOTE 17 - SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company's operating segments include banking, mortgage banking, consumer finance, subprime automobile lending and title insurance. The reportable segments are determined by the products and services offered, and internal reporting. Loans, mortgage banking, investments, and deposits provide the revenues in the banking operation, loans and fees provide the revenues in consumer finance and subprime lending and insurance commissions provide revenues for the title insurance company. Consumer finance, subprime automobile lending and title insurance do not meet the quantitative threshold for disclosure on an individual basis, and are therefore shown below in "other". All operations are domestic.

The accounting policies used are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies. Segment performance is evaluated using net interest income and noninterest income. Income taxes are allocated based on income before income taxes and indirect expenses (includes management fees) are allocated based on time spent for each segment. Transactions among segments are made at fair value. Information reported internally for performance assessment follows.

| $\underline{2007}$ | Banking |  | Other Segments |  | Holding <br> Company |  | Eliminations |  | Total Segments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net interest income | \$ | 92,562 | \$ | 6,604 | \$ | $(4,513)$ | \$ | - | \$ | 94,653 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 12,636 |  | 1,847 |  | - |  | - |  | 14,483 |
| Noninterest income |  | 26,158 |  | 2,761 |  | 145 |  | $(1,386)$ |  | 27,678 |
| Noninterest expense |  | 64,548 |  | 5,246 |  | 920 |  | $(1,386)$ |  | 69,328 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) |  | 15,350 |  | 890 |  | $(2,094)$ |  | - |  | 14,146 |
| Segment profit (loss) | \$ | 26,186 | \$ | 1,382 | \$ | $(3,194)$ | \$ | - | \$ | 24,374 |
| Segment assets | \$2,898,094 |  | \$ | 37,992 | \$ | 11,655 | \$ | - |  | 947,741 |
| $\underline{2006}$ |  | Banking | Other |  | Holding Company |  | Eliminations |  | Total Segments |  |
| Net interest income | \$ | 67,377 | \$ | 5,681 | \$ | $(1,101)$ | \$ | - | \$ 71,957 |  |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 4,356 |  | 1,151 |  | - |  | - |  | 5,507 |
| Noninterest income |  | 18,815 |  | 2,524 |  | 334 |  | (895) |  | 20,778 |
| Noninterest expense |  | 48,355 |  | 4,543 |  | 773 |  | (895) |  | 52,776 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) |  | 12,927 |  | 920 |  | (657) |  | - |  | 13,190 |
| Segment profit (loss) | \$ | 20,554 | \$ | 1,591 | \$ | (883) | \$ | - | \$ | 21,262 |
| Segment assets | \$1,733,995 |  | \$ | 34,776 | \$ | 3,883 | \$ |  | \$1,772,654 |  |
| $\underline{2005}$ | Banking |  | Other Segments |  | Holding <br> Company |  | Eliminations |  | Total Segments |  |
| Net interest income | \$ | 53,805 | \$ | 5,762 | \$ | (781) | \$ | - | \$ | 58,786 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 5,055 |  | 1,310 |  | - |  | - |  | 6,365 |
| Noninterest income |  | 13,332 |  | 2,035 |  | 209 |  | (820) |  | 14,756 |
| Noninterest expense |  | 39,980 |  | 4,351 |  | 829 |  | (820) |  | 44,340 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) |  | 8,428 |  | 838 |  | (592) |  | - |  | 8,674 |
| Segment profit (loss) | \$ | 13,674 | \$ | 1,298 | \$ | (809) | \$ | - | \$ | 14,163 |
| Segment assets | \$1,583,655 |  | $\$ \quad 31,083$ |  | \$ 5,251 |  | \$ |  | \$1,619,989 |  |
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## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS <br> (Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data) <br> December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

## NOTE 17 - SEGMENT INFORMATION (continued)

## Asset Quality Ratios

| As of and for the period ended December 31, 2007 | Bank | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonperforming loans as percentage of total loans, net of unearned income | 1.35\% | 1.30\% | 1.36\% |
| Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total assets | 1.22\% | 2.11\% | 1.25\% |
| Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans, net of unearned income | 1.32\% | 7.96\% | 1.45\% |
| Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of nonperforming loans | 98.37\% | 609.80\% | 106.34\% |
| Net charge-offs to average total loans, net of unearned income | 0.50\% | 4.14\% | 0.57\% |
| As of and for the period ended December 31, 2006 | Bank | Other | Total |
| Nonperforming loans as percentage of total loans, net of unearned income | 0.19\% | 1.84\% | 0.23\% |
| Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total assets | 0.24\% | 2.53\% | 0.29\% |
| Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans, net of unearned income | 1.28\% | 7.94\% | 1.45\% |
| Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of nonperforming loans | 680.25\% | 431.95\% | 635.93\% |
| Net charge-offs to average total loans, net of unearned income | 0.14\% | 2.82\% | 0.20\% |

## NOTE 18 - SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

Presented below is a summary of the consolidated quarterly financial data:

| Summary of Operations | For the three months ended |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3/31/07 |  | 6/30/07 |  | 9/30/07 |  | 12/31/07 |  |
| Net interest income | \$ | 18,821 | \$ | 22,933 | \$ | 27,293 | \$ | 25,606 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 974 |  | 1,259 |  | 1,444 |  | 10,806 |
| Noninterest income |  | 5,399 |  | 6,483 |  | 7,688 |  | 8,108 |
| Noninterest expense |  | 14,042 |  | 16,709 |  | 19,010 |  | 19,567 |
| Net income |  | 5,616 |  | 7,086 |  | 8,914 |  | 2,758 |
| Basic earnings per share |  | 0.57 |  | 0.63 |  | 0.69 |  | 0.21 |
| Diluted earning per share |  | 0.57 |  | 0.62 |  | 0.69 |  | 0.21 |
| Dividends per common share |  | 0.13 |  | 0.13 |  | 0.13 |  | 0.29 |
| Average common shares outstanding |  | 9,815,452 |  | 1,321,822 |  | 2,921,240 |  | 2,926,673 |
| Average common shares outstanding - diluted |  | 9,910,315 |  | 1,395,518 |  | 3,008,733 |  | 2,962,869 |
|  | For the three months ended |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summary of Operations |  | 3/31/06 |  | 6/30/06 |  | $\underline{9 / 30 / 06}$ |  | 12/31/06 |
| Net interest income | \$ | 17,186 | \$ | 17,773 | \$ | 18,530 | \$ | 18,468 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 1,064 |  | 1,244 |  | 1,661 |  | 1,538 |
| Noninterest income |  | 4,755 |  | 5,028 |  | 5,191 |  | 5,804 |
| Noninterest expense |  | 12,706 |  | 12,679 |  | 13,136 |  | 14,255 |
| Net income |  | 5,096 |  | 5,483 |  | 5,509 |  | 5,174 |
| Basic earnings per share |  | 0.52 |  | 0.56 |  | 0.56 |  | 0.53 |
| Diluted earning per share |  | 0.52 |  | 0.55 |  | 0.56 |  | 0.52 |
| Dividends per common share |  | 0.12 |  | 0.12 |  | 0.12 |  | 0.28 |
| Average common shares outstanding |  | 9,770,555 |  | 9,785,936 |  | 9,790,058 |  | 9,805,065 |
| Average common shares outstanding - diluted |  | 9,870,691 |  | 9,897,987 |  | 9,900,396 |  | 9,942,078 |

## NOTE 19 - BUSINESS COMBINATION

On May 18, 2007, the Company acquired CVBG, parent of Cumberland Bank. CVBG, headquartered in Franklin, Tennessee, which operated 12 full-service branches in the middle Tennessee area. The primary reason for the acquisition of CVBG, and the premium paid, was to provide accelerated entry for the Company in the Middle Tennessee area in some of the fastest growing areas in the Nashville MSA. Operating results of CVBG are included in the consolidated financial statements since the date of the acquisition.

The acquisition was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting, and accordingly, the purchase price has been allocated to the tangible and identified intangible assets purchased and the liabilities assumed based upon preliminary estimated fair values at the date of acquisition. The aggregate purchase price was $\$ 164,268$, including $\$ 45,793$ paid in cash and $3,091,495$ shares of the Company's common stock. The allocation of the purchase price is subject to changes in the estimated fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Identified intangible assets and purchase accounting fair value adjustments are being amortized under various methods over the expected lives of the corresponding assets and liabilities. Goodwill will not be amortized and is not deductible for tax purposes, but will be reviewed for impairment on an annual basis. Currently, identified intangible assets from the acquisition subject to amortization are $\$ 9,485$ and total goodwill from the acquisition is $\$ 111,813$.

The following table summarizes the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition.

| Cash and due from banks | 21,182 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Securities | 200,081 |
| FHLB stock | 2,863 |
| Bankers Bank stock | 100 |
| Loans held for sale | 8,642 |
| Loans, net of unearned income | 631,496 |
| Allowance for loan losses | $19,022)$ |
| Premises and equipment | 111,813 |
| Goodwill | 8,740 |
| Core deposit intangible | 745 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 16,618 |
| Other assets | $1,011,590$ |
| Total assets acquired | $(699,089)$ |
| Deposits | $(52,500)$ |
| Federal funds purchased | $(42,790)$ |
| Repurchase agreements | $(32,000)$ |
| FHLB advances | $(17,527)$ |
| Subordinated debentures | $(3,416)$ |
| Other liabilities | $(847,322)$ |
| Total liabilities assumed | $\underline{\$ 164,268}$ |

The Company also incurred $\$ 761$ in direct costs that were capitalized into goodwill associated with the merger for legal, advisory and conversion costs.

## NOTE 19 - BUSINESS COMBINATION (Continued)

The following table presents pro forma information as if the acquisition had occurred at the beginning of 2007 and 2006 for the years ended December 31. The pro forma information includes adjustments for interest income on loans and securities acquired, amortization of intangibles arising from the acquisition, depreciation expense on property acquired, interest expense on deposits assumed, and the related income tax effects. The pro forma financial information is not indicative of the results of operations as they would have been had the acquisition been effected on the assumed dates.

|  | Year ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  |
| Net interest income | \$ | 104,634 | \$ | 97,508 |
| Net income | \$ | 27,371 | \$ | 27,352 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ | 2.12 | \$ | 2.12 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ | 2.11 | \$ | 2.10 |

On October 7, 2005, the Company completed the acquisition of five branches in Montgomery County, Tennessee ("Clarksville transaction"). The primary reason for the acquisition was related to the Company's desire to expand its market share in the Middle Tennessee area. The Company paid a deposit premium for the branches, which resulted in the Company recording intangible assets of $\$ 16,781$, all of which are deductible for tax purposes. The identifiable intangible asset associated with the fair value of the core deposit base, as determined by an independent consulting firm, was determined to be $\$ 3,736$ and is being amortized as an expense on a straight-line method over an eight and one-half-year period. The remaining intangible asset of $\$ 13,045$ has been classified as goodwill, and, thus, will not be systematically amortized, but rather will be subject to an annual impairment test in accordance with SFAS No. 142, as described in Note 1 above. The amortization of the identifiable intangible asset is included in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Income beginning on the acquisition date of October 7, 2005.

The following table summarizes the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition.

| Cash | $\$ 33,191$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Loans, net of unearned interest | 111,788 |
| Allowance for loan losses | $(1,467)$ |
| Premises and equipment | 12,653 |
| Goodwill | 13,045 |
| Core deposit intangible | 3,736 |
| Other assets | $\underline{\$ 173,600}$ |
| Total assets acquired | $\underline{\$ 172,937}$ |
| Deposits | $\underline{\$ 173,600}$ |

## ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

None.

## ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

## Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and Rule 15d15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by it in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. The Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on the evaluation of these disclosure controls and procedures, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective for the purpose set forth in the definition thereof in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e).

## Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the Company's fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2007 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15f).

## Management Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The report of the Company's management on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting is set forth on page 38 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The attestation of the Company's registered public accounting firm related to the Company's internal control over financial reporting is set forth on page 39 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION.

None.

## ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE.

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the sections captioned "Proposal 1 - Election of Directors"; "Corporate Governance - Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance"; "Corporate Governance - Code of Conduct"; "Corporate Governance - Meetings and Committees of the Board"; and "Executive Officers of Green Bankshares" in the Company's definitive Proxy Statement for the 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders ("Proxy Statement").

## ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the Proxy Statement.

## ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

(a) Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners

Information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the section captioned "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" in the Proxy Statement.
(b) Security Ownership of Management

Information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the sections captioned "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" and "Proposal 1 - Election of Directors" in the Proxy Statement.
(c) Changes in Control

Management of the Company knows of no arrangements, including any pledge by any person of securities of the Company, the operation of which may at a subsequent date result in a change in control of the registrant.
(d) Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to securities to be issued under the Company's equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2007.

| Plan Category | (a) <br> Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights | (b) <br> Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights | (c) <br> Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plan (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 398,077 | \$ 27.15 | 279,071 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | 54,000 | \$ 15.16 | * |
| Total | 452,077 | \$ 25.72 | 279,071 |

*R. Stan Puckett, was the sole participant under this plan, which was a part of Mr. Puckett's employment agreement. This employment agreement was amended during 2005 to provide that future option grants to the key executive would be made at no less than fair market value on the date of grant in order to comply with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

## ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE.

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the section captioned "Corporate Governance - Certain Transactions" in the Proxy Statement.

## ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

The responses to this Item are incorporated herein by reference to the section captioned "Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" in the Proxy Statement.

## PART IV

## ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.

(a)(1) The following consolidated financial statements of the Company included in the Company's 2007 Annual Report to the Shareholders (the "Annual Report") are incorporated herein by reference from Item 8 of this Form 10-K. The remaining information appearing in the Annual Report is not deemed to be filed as part of this Form 10-K, except as expressly provided herein.

1. Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
2. Consolidated Balance Sheets - December 31, 2007 and 2006.
3. Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005.
4. Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005
5. Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005.
6. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
(a)(2) All schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under the related instructions or are inapplicable and therefore have been omitted.
(a)(3) The following exhibits either are filed as part of this Report or are incorporated herein by reference:
2.1 Merger Agreement, dated as of January 25, 2007, by and between Greene County Bancshares, Inc. and Civitas Bankgroup, Inc. (Pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K the schedules and exhibits to this agreement have been omitted from this filing) - incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 26, 2007.
3.1 Amended and Restated Charter - incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007.
3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws - incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 20, 2007.
10.1 Employment Agreement and Amendment to Employment Agreement between the Company and R. Stan Puckett - incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2008.*
10.2 Employment Agreement between the Company and Kenneth R. Vaught - incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2008.*
10.3 Employment Agreement between the Company and Ronald E. Mayberry - incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.*
10.4 Greene County Bancshares, Inc. 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan - incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed on April 30, 2004.*
10.5 Greene County Bancshares, Inc. Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan for Nonemployee Directors - incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 17, 2004.*
10.6 Form of Stock Option Award Agreement - incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.*
10.7 Deferred Fee Agreement between the Bank and John Tolsma dated December 13, 2004 incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.*
10.8 Amendment and Restatement of the Greene County Bank Deferred Compensation Agreements dated March 11, 1997, March 1, 1999 and November 15, 2004 between the Bank and Philip M. Bachman dated March 11, 2005 - incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.*
10.9 Amendment and Restatement of the Greene County Bank Deferred Compensation Agreement dated March 1, 1999 between the Bank and W.T. Daniels dated March 11, 2005 - incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 .*
10.10 Amendment and Restatement of the Greene County Bank Deferred Compensation Agreement dated March 1, 1999 between the Bank and Terry Leonard dated March 11, 2005 - incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.*
10.11 Amendment and Restatement of the Greene County Bank Deferred Compensation Agreement dated May 1, 1999 between the Bank and Charles S. Brooks dated March 11, 2005 incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.*
10.12 Amendment and Restatement of the Greene County Bank Deferred Compensation Agreement dated May 1, 1999 between the Bank and Jerald K. Jaynes dated March 11, 2005 - incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.*
10.13 Amendment and Restatement of the Greene County Bank Deferred Compensation Agreement dated May 1, 2003 between the Bank and Charles H. Whitfield, Jr. dated March 11, 2005 incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 .*
10.14 Greene County Bank Executive Deferred Compensation Agreement between the Bank and R. Stan Puckett dated March 11, 2005 - incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.*
10.15 Greene County Bank Executive Deferred Compensation Agreement between the Bank and Kenneth R. Vaught dated March 11, 2005 - incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 .*
10.16 Greene County Bank Executive Deferred Compensation Agreement between the Bank and Ronald E. Mayberry dated March 11, 2005 - incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 .*
10.17 Greene County Bancshares, Inc. Change in Control Protection Plan - incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 26, 2004.*
10.18 Greene County Bancshares, Inc. Change in Control Protection Plan Participation Agreement between the Company and Steve L. Droke - incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 26, 2004.*
10.19 Greene County Bancshares, Inc. Change in Control Protection Plan Participation Agreement between the Company and Ronald E. Mayberry - incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 26, 2004.*
10.20 Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of August 30, 2005, by and between the Company and SunTrust Bank - incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2005.
10.21 Form of Revolving Credit Note - incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2005.
10.22 Summary of Compensation Arrangement for James E. Adams - incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 15, 2005.*
10.23 Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan for Nonemployee Directors incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 21, 2005.*
10.24 Greene County Bancshares, Inc. Change in Control Protection Plan Participation Agreement between the Company and James E. Adams - incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 12, 2007.*
10.25 Form of Stock Appreciation Right Award Agreement - incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 23, 2007.*
10.26 Amended and Restated Trust Agreement of GreenBank Capital Trust I ("GB Trust I") dated as of May 16, 2007 by and among the Greene County Bancshares, Inc., as Depositor, Wilmington Trust Company, as Property Trustee, Wilmington Trust Company, as Delaware Trustee and the Administrative Trustees named therein (the "GB Capital Trust Agreement") - incorporated by reference to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007.
10.27 Form of Certificate for Common Securities of GB Trust I included as Exhibit B to the GB Capital Trust Agreement - incorporated by reference to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007.
10.28 Form of Certificate for Preferred Securities of GB Trust I included as Exhibit C to the GB Capital Trust Agreement - incorporated by reference to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007.
10.29 Junior Subordinated Indenture dated as of May 16, 2007 between the Company and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee included as Exhibit D to the GB Capital Trust Agreement (the "Junior Subordinated Indenture") - incorporated by reference to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007.
10.30 Form of Certificate for $\$ 57,732,000$ Note issued pursuant to the Junior Subordinated Indenture included as Sections 2.1 and 2.2 to the Junior Subordinated Indenture - incorporated by reference to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007.
10.31 Guarantee Agreement dated as of May 16, 2007 between Greene County Bancshares, Inc., as Guarantor and Wilmington Trust Company, as Guarantee Trustee - incorporated by reference to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007.
10.32 Form of Restricted Stock Agreement - incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 23, 2008.*
10.33 Form of Stock Appreciation Right Agreement - incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 29, 2008.*Director and Named Executive Officer Compensation Summary.*
11.1 Statement re Computation of Per Share Earnings - incorporated by reference to Note 13 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements herein.
21.1 Subsidiaries of the Company
23.1 Consent of Dixon Hughes PLLC
31.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
31.2 Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
32.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2 Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

* Management contract or compensatory plan.

The Company is a party to certain agreements entered into in connection with the offering by Greene County Capital Trust I, Greene County Capital Trust II, GreenBank Capital Trust I, Civitas Statutory Trust I and Cumberland Capital Statutory Trust II of an aggregate of $\$ 86$ million of variable rate trust preferred securities, as more fully described in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. In accordance with Item 601(b)(4)(iii) of Regulation S-K, and because the total amount of the trust preferred securities is not in excess of $10 \%$ of the Company's total assets, the Company has not filed the various documents and agreements associated with certain of these trust preferred securities herewith. The Company has, however, agreed to furnish copies the various documents and agreements associated with the trust preferred securities to the SEC upon request.
(b) Exhibits. The exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K are either filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or incorporated herein by reference.
(c) Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules Excluded From Annual Report. There are no financial statements and financial statement schedules which were excluded from the Annual Report pursuant to Rule 14a-3(b)(1) which are required to be included herein.

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

GREENE COUNTY BANCSHARES, INC.

Date: March 14, 2008

By:/s/ R. Stan Puckett<br>R. Stan Puckett<br>Chairman of the Board and<br>Chief Executive Officer<br>(Duly Authorized Representative)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated and on the dates indicated.

SIGNATURE AND TITLE:
/s/ R. Stan Puckett
R. Stan Puckett

Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)
/s/ Kenneth R. Vaught
Kenneth R. Vaught
President, Chief Operating Officer, and Director
/s/ James E. Adams
March 14, 2008
James E. Adams
Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Assistant Secretary (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)
/s/ Ronald E. Mayberry
March 14, 2008
Ronald E. Mayberry
Regional President, Sumner County and Director
/s/ Phil M. Bachman
March 14, 2008
Phil M. Bachman
Director and Secretary
/s/ Martha M. Bachman
March 14, 2008
Martha Bachman
Director
/s/ Charles S. Brooks
March 14, 2008
Charles S. Brooks
Director
/s/ Bruce Campbell
March 14, 2008
Bruce Campbell
Director

## Corporate Information

Corporate Offices<br>Green Bankshares, Inc.<br>100 North Main Street<br>Greeneville, Tennessee 37743<br>(423) 639-5111<br>\section*{Company Website}<br>www.greenbankusa.com<br>Registrar and Transfer Agent<br>Illinois Stock Transfer<br>209 West Jackson Boulevard, Suite 903<br>Chicago, Illinois 60606<br>(312) 427-2953<br>(312) 427-2879 fax<br>Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm<br>Dixon Hughes PLLC<br>Atlanta, Georgia<br>Special Counsel<br>Bass, Berry \& Sims PLC<br>Nashville, Tennessee

## Annual Meeting of Shareholders

The 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders will be held at 11:00 a.m., local time, on Tuesday, April 29, 2008, at the General Morgan Inn, 111 North Main Street, Greeneville, Tennessee.

## Annual Report on Form 10-K

A copy of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, will be furnished without charge to shareholders as of the record date for the 2008 Annual Meeting upon written request to the Secretary, Green Bankshares, Inc., 100 North Main Street, Greeneville, Tennessee 37743. In addition, the Company makes available free of charge its annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to those reports filed with or furnished to the SEC. The reports are available as soon as reasonably practical after the Company electronically files such material with the SEC, and may be found in the Documents section of Investor Relations at the Company's website, www.greenbankusa.com.

GreenBankshares, Inc.

Green Bankshares, Inc.
100 North Main Street
Greeneville, Tennessee 37743
(423) 639-5111
www.greenbankusa.com


[^0]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Net of unearned interest

[^1]:    1 Information for the 2007 fiscal year includes the operations of CVBG, with which the Company merged on May 18, 2007.
    ${ }^{2}$ Tangible shareholders' equity is shareholders' equity less goodwill and intangible assets.
    ${ }^{3}$ Net interest margin is the net yield on interest earning assets and is the difference between the Fully Taxable Equivalent yield earned on interest-earning assets less the effective cost of supporting liabilities.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Average loan balances include nonaccrual loans. Interest income collected on nonaccrual loans has been included.
    2 Installment loans are stated net of unearned income.
    3 The average balance of and the related yield associated with securities available for sale are based on the cost of such securities.
    4 Fully Taxable Equivalent ("FTE") at the rate of $35 \%$. The FTE basis adjusts for the tax benefits of income on certain tax-exempt loans and investments using the federal statutory rate of $35 \%$ for each period presented. The Company believes this measure to be the preferred industry measurement of net interest income and provides relevant comparison between taxable and non-taxable amounts.

[^3]:    (Continued)

