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## CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Some of our statements contained in this document, including matters discussed under the caption "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation" are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-looking statements relate to future events or our future financial performance and include statements about the competitiveness of the banking industry, potential regulatory obligations, our entrance and expansion into other markets, our other business strategies and other statements that are not historical facts. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of performance or results. When we use words like "may," "plan," "contemplate," "anticipate," "believe," "intend," "continue," "expect," "project," "predict," "estimate," "could," "should," "would," and similar expressions, you should consider them as identifying forward-looking statements, although we may use other phrasing. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties and are based on our beliefs and assumptions, and on the information available to us at the time that these disclosures were prepared. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties and may not be realized due to a variety of factors, including, but not limited to, the following:

- the effects of future economic conditions, including inflation, deflation or a continued decrease in residential housing values;
- governmental monetary and fiscal policies, as well as legislative and regulatory changes;
- the risks of changes in interest rates or the level and composition of deposits, loan demand and the values of loan collateral, securities and interest sensitive assets and liabilities;
- the effects of terrorism and efforts to combat it;
- credit risks;
- the effects of competition from other commercial banks, thrifts, mortgage banking firms, consumer finance companies, credit unions, securities brokerage firms, insurance companies, money market and other mutual funds and other financial institutions operating in our market area and elsewhere, including institutions operating regionally, nationally and internationally, together with competitors offering banking products and services by mail, telephone and the Internet;
- the effect of any mergers, acquisitions or other transactions to which we or our subsidiaries may from time to time be a party, including our ability to successfully integrate any businesses that we acquire; and
- the failure of assumptions underlying the establishment of our allowance for loan losses.

All written or oral forward-looking statements attributable to us are expressly qualified in their entirety by this Cautionary Note. Our actual results may differ significantly from those we discuss in these forward-looking statements. For other factors, risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from estimates and projections contained in these forward-looking statements, see the "Risk Factors" section of our Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 6, 2009.
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## PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1: Financial Statements

## Home BancShares, Inc.

## Consolidated Balance Sheets



## Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity

Deposits:

Demand and non-interest-bearing
Savings and interest-bearing transaction accounts
Time deposits
Total deposits
Federal funds purchased
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase
FHLB borrowed funds
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities
Subordinated debentures
Total liabilities
Stockholders' equity:
Preferred stock; \$0.01 par value; 5,500,000 shares authorized:
Series A fixed rate cumulative perpetual; liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share; 50,000
shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2009; no shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2008.
Common stock, par value $\$ 0.01$; shares authorized $50,000,000$; shares issued and outstanding 19,864,647 in 2009 and 19,859,582 in 2008
Capital surplus
Retained earnings
Accumulated other comprehensive loss

## Total stockholders’ equity <br> Total liabilities and stockholders' equity



See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1331520/000095013409009688...

## Home BancShares, Inc. Consolidated Statements of Income

| (In thousands, except per share data(1)) | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2008 |
|  | (Unaudited) |  |
| Interest income: |  |  |
| Loans | \$29,138 | \$33,245 |
| Investment securities |  |  |
| Taxable | 2,653 | 3,762 |
| Tax-exempt | 1,298 | 1,168 |
| Deposits - other banks | 12 | 55 |
| Federal funds sold | 7 | 166 |
| Total interest income | 33,108 | 38,396 |
| Interest expense: |  |  |
| Interest on deposits | 8,118 | 13,522 |
| Federal funds purchased | 2 | 69 |
| FHLB borrowed funds | 2,390 | 2,575 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 111 | 588 |
| Subordinated debentures | 676 | 811 |
| Total interest expense | 11,297 | 17,565 |
| Net interest income | 21,811 | 20,831 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,000 | 4,809 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 20,811 | 16,022 |
| Non-interest income: |  |  |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 3,374 | 3,097 |
| Other service charges and fees | 1,784 | 1,654 |
| Data processing fees | 186 | 210 |
| Mortgage lending income | 880 | 741 |
| Mortgage servicing income | 200 | 231 |
| Insurance commissions | 257 | 272 |
| Income from title services | 140 | 168 |
| Increase in cash value of life insurance | 477 | 585 |
| Dividends from FHLB, FRB \& bankers' bank | 107 | 281 |
| Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated affiliates | - | 102 |
| Gain on sale of equity investment | - | 6,102 |
| Gain on sale of SBA loans | - | 101 |
| Gain (loss) on sale of premises and equipment, net | 7 | (2) |
| Gain (loss) on OREO, net | (117) | (380) |
| Gain (loss) on securities, net | - | - |
| Other income | 320 | 372 |
| Total non-interest income | 7,615 | 13,534 |
| Non-interest expense: |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 8,944 | 9,278 |
| Occupancy and equipment | 2,677 | 2,702 |
| Data processing expense | 807 | 786 |
| Other operating expenses | 6,864 | 5,917 |
| Total non-interest expense | 19,292 | 18,683 |
| Income before income taxes | 9,134 | 10,873 |
| Income tax expense | 2,889 | 3,595 |
| Net income | 6,245 | 7,278 |
| Preferred stock dividends and accretion of discount on preferred stock | 566 | - |
| Net income available to common stockholders | \$ 5,679 | \$ 7,278 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.37 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.36 |

(1) Per share data as of March 31, 2008 is adjusted for the $8 \%$ stock dividend from August 2008 See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Home BancShares, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity
Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008


See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Home BancShares, Inc. Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity - Continued Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008

| (In thousands, except share data) | Preferred Stock | Common Stock | Capital Surplus | Retained <br> Earnings | Accumulated Other <br> Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comprehensive income (loss): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | - | - | - | 6,245 | - | 6,245 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized loss on investment securities available for sale, net of tax effect of \$789 | - | - | - | - | 1,223 | 1,223 |
| Comprehensive income |  |  |  |  |  | 7,467 |
| Issuance of 50,000 shares of preferred stock and a warrant for 288,129 shares of common stock | 49,094 | - | 906 | (45) | - | 50,000 |
| Accretion of discount on preferred stock | 45 | - | - | (45) | - | - |
| Net issuance of 5,065 shares of common stock from exercise of stock options | - | - | 39 | - | - | 39 |
| Tax benefit from stock options exercised | - | - | 25 | - | - | 25 |
| Share-based compensation | - | - | (50) | - | - | (50) |
| Cash dividend - Preferred Stock - 5\% | - | - | - | (521) | - | (521) |
| Cash dividends - Common Stock, $\$ 0.060$ per share | - | - | - | $(1,192)$ | - | $(1,192)$ |
| Balances at March 31, 2009 (unaudited) | \$49,139 | \$199 | \$254,501 | \$37,126 | \$ $(2,152)$ | \$338,813 |

See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Home BancShares, Inc. Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

| (In thousands) | Period Ended March 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2008 |  |
|  | (Unaudited) |  |  |
| Operating Activities |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ 6,245 | \$ | \$ 7,278 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: |  |  |  |
| Depreciation | 1,301 |  | 1,388 |
| Amortization/accretion | 658 |  | 667 |
| Share-based compensation | (50) |  | 117 |
| Tax benefits from stock options exercised | (25) |  | (18) |
| Loss on assets | 110 |  | 281 |
| Gain on sale of equity investment | - |  | $(6,102)$ |
| Provision for loan loss | 1,000 |  | 4,809 |
| Deferred income tax benefit | $(1,181)$ |  | $(1,475)$ |
| Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates | - |  | (102) |
| Increase in cash value of life insurance | (477) |  | (585) |
| Originations of mortgage loans held for sale | $(59,049)$ |  | $(34,959)$ |
| Proceeds from sales of mortgage loans held for sale | 55,132 |  | 34,062 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: |  |  |  |
| Accrued interest receivable | 265 |  | 837 |
| Other assets | $(1,652)$ |  | $(2,958)$ |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities | 5,537 |  | 3,474 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 7,814 |  | 6,714 |
| Investing Activities |  |  |  |
| Net (increase) decrease in federal funds sold | $(7,645)$ |  | $(34,465)$ |
| Net (increase) decrease in loans | $(16,410)$ |  | $(68,912)$ |
| Purchases of investment securities available for sale | $(11,020)$ |  | $(9,275)$ |
| Proceeds from maturities of investment securities available for sale | 33,289 |  | 65,862 |
| Proceeds from sale of loans | - |  | 1,904 |
| Proceeds from foreclosed assets held for sale | 673 |  | 62 |
| Purchases of premises and equipment, net | (499) |  | $(1,429)$ |
| Acquisition of Centennial Bancshares, Inc., net funds received | $(3,100)$ |  | 1,663 |
| Proceeds from sale of investment in unconsolidated affiliate | - |  | 19,862 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | $(4,712)$ |  | $(24,728)$ |
| Financing Activities |  |  |  |
| Net increase (decrease) in deposits | $(11,461)$ |  | 83,395 |
| Net increase (decrease) in securities sold under agreements to repurchase | $(38,911)$ |  | $(5,983)$ |
| Net increase (decrease) in federal funds purchased | - |  | $(16,407)$ |
| Net increase (decrease) in FHLB and other borrowed funds | $(5,148)$ |  | $(37,447)$ |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 39 |  | 23 |
| Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock and common stock warrant | 50,000 |  | - |
| Tax benefits from stock options exercised | 25 |  | 18 |
| Dividends paid preferred stock | (201) |  | - |
| Dividends paid common stock | $(1,192)$ |  | (916) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | $(6,849)$ |  | 22,683 |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | $(3,747)$ |  | 4,669 |
| Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of year | 54,168 |  | 55,021 |
| Cash and cash equivalents - end of period | \$ 50,421 |  | \$ 59,690 |

See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

# Home BancShares, Inc. <br> Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements <br> (Unaudited) 

## 1. Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

## Nature of Operations

Home BancShares, Inc. (the Company or HBI) is a bank holding company headquartered in Conway, Arkansas. The Company is primarily engaged in providing a full range of banking services to individual and corporate customers through its five wholly owned community bank subsidiaries. The bank subsidiaries have locations in central Arkansas, north central Arkansas, southern Arkansas, the Florida Keys and southwestern Florida. Recently, the Company announced plans to combine the charters of its banks into a single charter and adopt Centennial Bank as their common name. This combination is in process and is expected to be completed by the middle of 2009. The Company is subject to competition from other financial institutions. The Company also is subject to the regulation of certain federal and state agencies and undergoes periodic examinations by those regulatory authorities.

A summary of the significant accounting policies of the Company follows:

## Operating Segments

The Company is organized on a subsidiary bank-by-bank basis upon which management makes decisions regarding how to allocate resources and assess performance. Each of the subsidiary banks provides a group of similar community banking services, including such products and services as loans, time deposits, checking and savings accounts. The individual bank segments have similar operating and economic characteristics and have been reported as one aggregated operating segment.

## Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses and the valuation of foreclosed assets. In connection with the determination of the allowance for loan losses and the valuation of foreclosed assets, management obtains independent appraisals for significant properties.

## Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of HBI and its subsidiaries. Significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.
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## Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates

The Company has invested funds representing $100 \%$ ownership in five statutory trusts which issue trust preferred securities. The Company's investment in these trusts was $\$ 1.4$ million at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008. Under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, these trusts are not consolidated.

The summarized financial information below represents an aggregation of the Company's unconsolidated affiliates as of March 31, 2009 and 2008, and for the three-month period then ended:

|  | March 31, |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2009 |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |
| Assets | $\$ 47,424$ | $\$ 47,424$ |
| Liabilities | 46,000 | 46,000 |
| Equity | 1,424 | 1,424 |
| Net income | - | 163 |

## Interim financial information

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2009 and 2008 have been prepared in condensed format, and therefore do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements.

The information furnished in these interim statements reflects all adjustments, which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair statement of the results for each respective period presented. Such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. The results of operations in the interim statements are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any other quarter or for the full year. The interim financial information should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's 2008 Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

## Earnings per Share

Basic earnings per share are computed based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during each year. Diluted earnings per share are computed using the weighted average common shares and all potential dilutive common shares outstanding during the period. Prior year per share amounts have been adjusted for the stock dividend which occurred in August of 2008. The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share (EPS) for the three-month period ended March 31:

Net income available to common stockholders
Average shares outstanding 19,802
Effect of potential share issuance for acquisition earn out

| 2009 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: |
| \$ 5,679 | \$ 7,278 |
| 19,863 | 19,802 |
| - | 195 |
| 256 | 298 |
| 20,119 | 20,295 |
| \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.37 |
| \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.36 |
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## 2. Acquisitions

On January 1, 2008, the Company acquired Centennial Bancshares, Inc., an Arkansas bank holding company. Centennial Bancshares, Inc. owned Centennial Bank, located in Little Rock, Arkansas which had total assets of \$234.1 million, loans of $\$ 192.8$ million and total deposits of $\$ 178.8$ million on the date of acquisition. The consideration for the merger was $\$ 25.4$ million, which was paid approximately $4.6 \%$, or $\$ 1.2$ million in cash and $95.4 \%$, or $\$ 24.3$ million, in shares of our common stock. In connection with the acquisition, $\$ 3.0$ million of the purchase price, consisting of $\$ 139,000$ in cash and 140,456 shares (stock dividend adjusted) of our common stock, was placed in escrow related to possible losses from identified loans and an IRS examination. In the first quarter of 2008, the IRS examination was completed which resulted in $\$ 1.0$ million of the escrow proceeds being released. In addition to the consideration given at the time of the merger, the merger agreement provided for additional contingent consideration to Centennial's stockholders of up to a maximum of $\$ 4$ million, which could be paid in cash or our common stock at the election of the former Centennial accredited stockholders, based upon the 2008 earnings performance. The final contingent consideration was computed and agreed upon in the amount of $\$ 3.1$ million on March 11, 2009. The Company paid this amount to the former Centennial stockholders on a pro rata basis on March 12, 2009. All of the former Centennial stockholders elected to receive the contingent consideration in cash. As a result of this transaction, the Company recorded total goodwill of $\$ 15.4$ million and a core deposit intangible of $\$ 694,000$.

## 3. Investment Securities

The amortized cost and estimated market value of investment securities were as follows:

|  | March 31, 2009 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Available for Sale |  |  |  |  |
|  | Amortized Cost |  | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized (Losses) | Estimated <br> Fair Value |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government-sponsored enterprises | \$ 31,757 | \$ | \$ 602 | \$ (3) | \$ 32,356 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 172,887 |  | 2,932 | $(3,841)$ | 171,978 |
| State and political subdivisions | 129,739 |  | 1,624 | $(3,630)$ | 127,733 |
| Other securities | 4,074 |  | - | $(1,225)$ | 2,849 |
| Total | \$338,457 |  | \$ 5,158 | \$ $(8,699)$ | \$334,916 |
|  | December 31, 2008 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Available for Sale |  |  |  |  |
|  | Amortized Cost |  | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized (Losses) | Estimated <br> Fair Value |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government-sponsored enterprises | \$ 49,632 | \$ | \$ 810 | \$ (7) | \$ 50,435 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 183,808 |  | 1,673 | $(3,517)$ | 181,964 |
| State and political subdivisions | 123,119 |  | 990 | $(4,279)$ | 119,830 |
| Other securities | 4,238 |  | - | $(1,223)$ | 3,015 |
| Total | \$360,797 |  | \$ 3,473 | \$ (9,026) | \$355,244 |
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Assets, principally investment securities, having a carrying value of approximately $\$ 192.6$ million and $\$ 187.5$ million at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits and for other purposes required or permitted by law. Also, investment securities pledged as collateral for repurchase agreements totaled approximately $\$ 74.5$ million and $\$ 113.4$ million at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

During the three-month period ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, no available for sale securities were sold.
The Company evaluates all securities quarterly to determine if any unrealized losses are deemed to be other than temporary. In completing these evaluations the Company follows the requirements of paragraph 16 of SFAS No. 115, Staff Accounting Bulletin 59 and FASB Staff Position No. 115-1. Certain investment securities are valued less than their historical cost. These declines are primarily the result of the rate for these investments yielding less than current market rates. Based on evaluation of available evidence, management believes the declines in fair value for these securities are temporary. It is management's intent to hold these securities to maturity. Should the impairment of any of these securities become other than temporary, the cost basis of the investment will be reduced and the resulting loss recognized in net income in the period the other-than-temporary, impairment is identified. No securities were deemed by management to have other-than-temporary impairment for the three month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, besides securities for which impairment was taken in prior periods.

## 4: Loans Receivable and Allowance for Loan Losses

The various categories of loans are summarized as follows:
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The following is a summary of activity within the allowance for loan losses:


At March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, accruing loans delinquent 90 days or more totaled $\$ 284,000$ and $\$ 1.4$ million, respectively. Non-accruing loans at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were $\$ 24.0$ million and $\$ 28.5$ million, respectively.

During the three-month period ended March 31, 2009, the Company sold no SBA loans. During the three-month period ended March 31, 2008, the Company sold $\$ 1.8$ million of the guaranteed portions of certain SBA loans, which resulted in a gain of \$101,000.

Mortgage loans held for sale of approximately $\$ 10.3$ million and $\$ 6.4$ million at March 31,2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, are included in residential 1-4 family loans. Mortgage loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or fair value, determined using an aggregate basis.

At March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, impaired loans totaled $\$ 40.5$ million and $\$ 31.5$ million, respectively. As of March 31, 2009 and 2008, average impaired loans were $\$ 36.0$ million and $\$ 22.5$ million, respectively. All impaired loans had designated reserves for possible loan losses. Reserves relative to impaired loans were $\$ 11.8$ million and $\$ 10.9$ million at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Interest recognized on impaired loans during 2009 and 2008 was approximately $\$ 467,000$ and $\$ 236,000$, respectively.
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## 5: Goodwill and Core Deposits and Other Intangibles

Changes in the carrying amount and accumulated amortization of the Company's goodwill and core deposits and other intangibles for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009 and for the year ended December 31, 2008, were as follows:

| Goodwill | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In thousands) |  |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 50,038 | \$ 37,527 |
| Acquisition of Centennial Bancshares, Inc. | 3,100 | 12,322 |
| Prior Acquisition (deferred taxes) | - | 189 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 53,138 | \$ 50,038 |
|  | 2009 | 2008 |
| Core Deposit and Other Intangibles | (In thousands) |  |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 6,547 | \$ 7,702 |
| Acquisition of Centennial Bancshares, Inc. | - | 694 |
| Amortization expense | (463) | (462) |
| Balance, March 31 | \$ 6,084 | 7,934 |
| Amortization expense |  | $(1,387)$ |
| Balance, end of year |  | \$ 6,547 |

The carrying basis and accumulated amortization of core deposits and other intangibles at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mber 31, } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | and |  |
| Gross carrying amount | \$ 14,151 | \$ | 14,151 |
| Accumulated amortization | 8,067 |  | 7,604 |
| Net carrying amount | \$ 6,084 | \$ | 6,547 |

Core deposit and other intangible amortization for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 was approximately $\$ 463,000$ and $\$ 462,000$, respectively. Including all of the mergers completed, HBI's estimated amortization expense of core deposits and other intangibles for each of the years 2009 through 2013 is: 2009 - \$1.8 million; 2010 - $\$ 1.8$ million; 2011 - $\$ 1.1$ million; 2012 \$619,000; and 2013 - \$619,000.

Goodwill is tested annually for impairment. If the implied fair value of goodwill is lower than its carrying amount, goodwill impairment is indicated and goodwill is written down to its implied fair value. Subsequent increases in goodwill value are not recognized in the financial statements.

## 6: Deposits

The aggregate amount of time deposits with a minimum denomination of $\$ 100,000$ was $\$ 488.6$ million and $\$ 500.7$ million at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Interest expense applicable to certificates in excess of \$100,000 totaled $\$ 3.6$ million and $\$ 5.7$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. As of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, brokered deposits were $\$ 59.5$ million and $\$ 111.0$ million, respectively.
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Deposits totaling approximately $\$ 251.0$ million and $\$ 278.2$ million at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, were public funds obtained primarily from state and political subdivisions in the United States.

## 7: Securities Sold Under Agreement to Repurchase

From time to time, primarily as a short-term financing arrangement for investment or liquidity purposes, the Company has entered into repurchase agreements with certain business customers. This involves the "selling" of one or more of the securities in the Company's investment portfolio and by entering into an agreement to "repurchase" that same security at an agreed upon later date. A rate of interest is paid by the Company for the subject period of time. At March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, securities sold under agreement to repurchase totaled $\$ 74.5$ million and $\$ 113.4$ million, respectively.

## 8: FHLB Borrowed Funds

The Company's FHLB borrowed funds were $\$ 277.8$ million and $\$ 283.0$ million at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. These outstanding balances include no short-term advances. The FHLB advances mature from the current year to 2025 with interest rates ranging from $1.169 \%$ to $5.185 \%$ and are secured by loans and investments securities. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities, because FHLB may have the right to call or prepay certain obligations.

## 9: Subordinated Debentures

Subordinated Debentures at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 consisted of guaranteed payments on trust preferred securities with the following components:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |
| Subordinated debentures, issued in 2003, due 2033, fixed at $6.40 \%$, during the first five years and at a floating rate of $3.15 \%$ above the three-month LIBOR rate, reset quarterly, thereafter, currently callable without penalty | \$ 20,619 | \$ | 20,619 |
| Subordinated debentures, issued in 2000, due 2030, fixed at $10.60 \%$, callable in 2010 with a penalty ranging from $5.30 \%$ to $0.53 \%$ depending on the year of prepayment, callable in 2020 without penalty | 3,220 |  | 3,243 |
| Subordinated debentures, issued in 2003, due 2033, floating rate of $3.15 \%$ above the three-month LIBOR rate, reset quarterly, currently callable without penalty | 5,155 |  | 5,155 |
| Subordinated debentures, issued in 2005, due 2035, fixed rate of $6.81 \%$ during the first ten years and at a floating rate of $1.38 \%$ above the three-month LIBOR rate, reset quarterly, thereafter, callable in 2010 without penalty | 15,465 |  | 15,465 |
| Subordinated debentures, issued in 2006, due 2036, fixed rate of 6.75\% during the first five years and at a floating rate of $1.85 \%$ above the three-month LIBOR rate, reset quarterly, thereafter, callable in 2011 without penalty | 3,093 |  | 3,093 |
| Total subordinated debt | \$ 47,552 | \$ | 47,575 |
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The trust preferred securities are tax-advantaged issues that qualify for Tier 1 capital treatment subject to certain limitations. Distributions on these securities are included in interest expense. Each of the trusts is a statutory business trust organized for the sole purpose of issuing trust securities and investing the proceeds thereof in junior subordinated debentures of the Company, the sole asset of each trust. The preferred trust securities of each trust represent preferred beneficial interests in the assets of the respective trusts and are subject to mandatory redemption upon payment of the junior subordinated debentures held by the trust. The Company wholly owns the common securities of each trust. Each trust's ability to pay amounts due on the trust preferred securities is solely dependent upon the Company making payment on the related junior subordinated debentures. The Company's obligations under the junior subordinated securities and other relevant trust agreements, in aggregate, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by the Company of each respective trust's obligations under the trust securities issued by each respective trust.

## 10: Income Taxes

The following is a summary of the components of the provision for income taxes for the three-month period ended March 31:

|  | 2009 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Current: |  |  |
| Federal | \$ 3,422 | \$ 4,345 |
| State | 648 | 725 |
| Total current | 4,070 | 5,070 |
| Deferred: |  |  |
| Federal | (986) | $(1,245)$ |
| State | (195) | (230) |
| Total deferred | $(1,181)$ | $(1,475)$ |
| Provision for income taxes | \$ 2,889 | \$ 3,595 |

The reconciliation between the statutory federal income tax rate and effective income tax rate is as follows for the three-month period ended March 31:

|  | 2009 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statutory federal income tax rate | 35.00\% | 35.00\% |
| Effect of nontaxable interest income | (6.10) | (4.62) |
| Cash value of life insurance | (1.83) | (1.89) |
| State income taxes, net of federal benefit | 3.22 | 2.96 |
| Other | 1.34 | 1.61 |
| Effective income tax rate | 31.63\% | 33.06\% |
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The types of temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their financial reporting amounts that give rise to deferred income tax assets and liabilities, and their approximate tax effects, are as follows:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |
| Deferred tax assets: |  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$ 15,978 | \$ | 15,772 |
| Deferred compensation | 713 |  | 640 |
| Stock options | 534 |  | 514 |
| Non-accrual interest income | 824 |  | 358 |
| Impairment of investment securities | 2,364 |  | 2,364 |
| Unrealized loss on securities | 1,389 |  | 2,178 |
| Net operating loss carryforward | 119 |  | 119 |
| Other | 498 |  | 514 |
| Gross deferred tax assets | 22,419 |  | 22,459 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: |  |  |  |
| Accelerated depreciation on premises and equipment | 2,334 |  | 2,519 |
| Core deposit intangibles | 2,313 |  | 2,486 |
| FHLB dividends | 851 |  | 843 |
| Other | 262 |  | 344 |
| Gross deferred tax liabilities | 5,760 |  | 6,192 |
| Net deferred tax assets | \$ 16,659 | \$ | 16,267 |

## 11: Common Stock and Stock Compensation Plans

On July 16, 2008, our Board of Directors declared an $8 \%$ stock dividend which was paid August 27, 2008 to stockholders of record as of August 13, 2008. Except for fractional shares, the holders' of our common stock received 8\% additional common stock on August 27, 2008. The common stockholders did not receive fractional shares; instead they received cash at a rate equal to the closing price of a share on August 28, 2008 times the fraction of a share they otherwise would have been entitled to.

All share and per share amounts occurring before August 27, 2008 have been restated to reflect the retroactive effect of the stock dividend. After issuance, this stock dividend lowered our total capital position by approximately $\$ 13,000$ as a result of the cash paid in lieu of fractional shares. Our financial statements reflect an increase in the number of outstanding shares of common stock, an increase in surplus and reduction of retained earnings.

On January 16, 2009, we issued and sold, and the United States Department of the Treasury purchased, (1) 50,000 shares of the Company's Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock Series A, liquidation preference of $\$ 1,000$ per share, and (2) a ten-year warrant to purchase up to 288,129 shares of the Company's common stock, par value $\$ 0.01$ per share, at an exercise price of $\$ 26.03$ per share, for an aggregate purchase price of $\$ 50.0$ million in cash. Cumulative dividends on the Preferred Shares will accrue on the liquidation preference at a rate of $5 \%$ per annum for the first five years, and at a rate of $9 \%$ per annum thereafter.

These preferred shares will qualify as Tier 1 capital. The preferred shares will be callable at par after three years. Prior to the end of three years, the preferred shares may be redeemed with the proceeds from a qualifying equity offering of any Tier 1 perpetual preferred or common stock. The Treasury must approve any quarterly cash dividend on our common stock above $\$ 0.06$ per share or share repurchases until three years from the date of the investment unless the shares are paid off in whole or transferred to a third party.
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The Company has a stock option and performance incentive plan. The purpose of the plan is to attract and retain highly qualified officers, directors, key employees, and other persons, and to motivate those persons to improve our business results. This plan provides for the granting of incentive nonqualified options to purchase up to 1,620,000 (stock dividend adjusted) of common stock in the Company.

Total unrecognized compensation cost, net of income tax benefit, related to non-vested awards, which are expected to be recognized over the vesting periods, is approximately $\$ 249,000$ as of March 31, 2009. The intrinsic value of the stock options outstanding and stock options vested at March 31, 2009 was $\$ 8.5$ million and $\$ 6.0$ million, respectively. The intrinsic value of the stock options exercised during the three-month period ended March 31, 2009 was $\$ 65,000$.

The table below summarized the transactions under the Company's stock option plans at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 and changes during the three-month period and year then ended, respectively:

|  | For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 |  | For the Year Ended December 31, 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Shares (000) | Weighted <br> Average Exercisable Price | Shares (000) | Weighted <br> Average Exercisable Price |
| Outstanding, beginning of year | 1,069 | \$ 11.72 | 1,096 | \$ 11.12 |
| Granted | - | - | 51 | 19.47 |
| Forfeited | (39) | 12.18 | (16) | 11.57 |
| Exercised | (5) | 7.75 | (60) | 7.49 |
| Expired | - | - | (2) | 8.02 |
| Outstanding, end of period | 1,025 | 11.72 | 1,069 | 11.72 |
| Exercisable, end of period | 611 | \$ 10.31 | 592 | \$ 9.88 |

Stock-based compensation expense for all stock-based compensation awards granted after January 1, 2006, is based on the grant date fair value. For stock option awards, the fair value is estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. This model requires the input of highly subjective assumptions, changes to which can materially affect the fair value estimate. Additionally, there may be other factors that would otherwise have a significant effect on the value of employee stock options granted but are not considered by the model. Accordingly, while management believes that the Black-Scholes option-pricing model provides a reasonable estimate of fair value, the model does not necessarily provide the best single measure of fair value for the Company's employee stock options. There were no options granted during the three-months ended March 31, 2009. The weighted-average fair value of options granted during the year-ended December 31, 2008, was $\$ 2.62$. The fair value of each option granted is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

|  | For the Three Months Ended <br> March 31, 2009 |  | For the Year Ended <br> December 31, 2008 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expected dividend yield | Not applicable | $0.98 \%$ |  |
| Expected stock price volatility | Not applicable | $3.13 \%$ |  |
| Risk-free interest rate | Not applicable | $3.35 \%$ |  |
| Expected life of options | Not applicable |  | 6.4 years |
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The following is a summary of currently outstanding and exercisable options at March 31, 2009:

| Options Outstanding |  |  |  | Options Exercisable |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exercise Prices | Options Outstanding Shares (000) | Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years) | WeightedAverage Exercise Price | Options <br> Exercisable <br> Shares (000) | WeightedAverage Exercise Price |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$5.69 to \$6.19 | 45 | 3.44 | \$ 5.95 | 45 | \$ 5.95 |
| \$6.79 to \$8.02 | 174 | 3.49 | 6.86 | 174 | 6.86 |
| \$8.64 to \$9.55 | 100 | 4.30 | 9.43 | 100 | 9.43 |
| \$10.50 to \$10.81 | 58 | 6.22 | 10.58 | 58 | 10.58 |
| \$11.73 to \$11.73 | 199 | 7.72 | 11.73 | 170 | 11.73 |
| \$12.20 to \$12.20 | 298 | 6.96 | 12.20 | 3 | 12.20 |
| \$18.32 to \$19.60 | 102 | 8.01 | 19.26 | 33 | 19.36 |
| \$20.27 to \$20.48 | 22 | 8.07 | 20.42 | 6 | 20.41 |
| \$21.55 to \$25.01 | 27 | 8.14 | 22.86 | 22 | 22.36 |
|  | $\underline{\underline{1,025}}$ |  |  | $\underline{\underline{611}}$ |  |

## 12. Non-Interest Expense

The table below shows the components of non-interest expense for three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008:

|  | 2009 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$ 8,944 | \$ 9,278 |
| Occupancy and equipment | 2,677 | 2,702 |
| Data processing expense | 807 | 786 |
| Other operating expenses: |  |  |
| Advertising | 600 | 614 |
| Merger expenses | 742 | - |
| Amortization of intangibles | 463 | 462 |
| Amortization of mortgage servicing rights | 147 | 147 |
| Electronic banking expense | 863 | 752 |
| Directors' fees | 284 | 231 |
| Due from bank service charges | 100 | 62 |
| FDIC and state assessment | 965 | 372 |
| Insurance | 297 | 228 |
| Legal and accounting | 435 | 280 |
| Mortgage servicing expense | 72 | 87 |
| Other professional fees | 259 | 833 |
| Operating supplies | 213 | 244 |
| Postage | 176 | 180 |
| Telephone | 178 | 231 |
| Other expense | 1,070 | 1,194 |
| Total other operating expenses | 6,864 | 5,917 |
| Total non-interest expense | \$19,292 | \$18,683 |

## 13: Concentration of Credit Risks

The Company's primary market area is in central Arkansas, north central Arkansas, northwest Arkansas, southern Arkansas, southwest Florida and the Florida Keys (Monroe County). The Company primarily grants loans to customers located within these geographical areas unless the borrower has an established relationship with the Company.

The diversity of the Company's economic base tends to provide a stable lending environment. Although the Company has a loan portfolio that is diversified in both industry and geographic area, a substantial portion of its debtors' ability to honor their contracts is dependent upon real estate values, tourism demand and the economic conditions prevailing in its market areas.

## 14: Significant Estimates and Concentrations

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United Sates of America require disclosure of certain significant estimates and current vulnerabilities due to certain concentrations. Estimates related to the allowance for loan losses and certain concentrations of credit risk are reflected in Note 4, while deposit concentrations are reflected in Note 6.

The current economic environment presents financial institutions with unprecedented circumstances and challenges which in some cases have resulted in large declines in the fair values of investments and other assets, constraints on liquidity and significant credit quality problems, including severe volatility in the valuation of real estate and other collateral supporting loans. The financial statements have been prepared using values and information currently available to the Company.

Given the volatility of current economic conditions, the values of assets and liabilities recorded in the financial statements could change rapidly, resulting in material future adjustments in asset values, the allowance for loan losses, capital that could negatively impact the Company's ability to meet regulatory capital requirements and maintain sufficient liquidity.

## 15: Commitments and Contingencies

In the ordinary course of business, the Company makes various commitments and incurs certain contingent liabilities to fulfill the financing needs of their customers. These commitments and contingent liabilities include lines of credit and commitments to extend credit and issue standby letters of credit. The Company applies the same credit policies and standards as they do in the lending process when making these commitments. The collateral obtained is based on the assessed creditworthiness of the borrower.

At March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, commitments to extend credit of $\$ 340.8$ million and $\$ 351.2$ million, respectively, were outstanding. A percentage of these balances are participated out to other banks; therefore, the Company can call on the participating banks to fund future draws. Since some of these commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amount does not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Outstanding standby letters of credit are contingent commitments issued by the Company, generally to guarantee the performance of a customer in third-party borrowing arrangements. The term of the guarantee is dependent upon the credit worthiness of the borrower some of which are long-term. The maximum amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these guarantees at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, is $\$ 17.2$ million and $\$ 18.0$ million, respectively.

The Company and/or its subsidiary banks have various unrelated legal proceedings, most of which involve loan foreclosure activity pending, which, in the aggregate, are not expected to have a material adverse effect on the financial position of the Company and its subsidiaries.
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## 16: Regulatory Matters

The Company's subsidiaries are subject to a legal limitation on dividends that can be paid to the parent company without prior approval of the applicable regulatory agencies. Arkansas bank regulators have specified that the maximum dividend limit state banks may pay to the parent company without prior approval is $75 \%$ of the current year earnings plus $75 \%$ of the retained net earnings of the preceding year. Since, the Company's Arkansas bank subsidiaries are also under supervision of the Federal Reserve, they are further limited if the total of all dividends declared in any calendar year by the Bank exceeds the Bank's net profits to date for that year combined with its retained net profits for the preceding two years. As the result of leveraged capital positions, the Company's subsidiary banks do not have any significant undivided profits available for payment of dividends to the Company, without prior approval of the regulatory agencies at March 31, 2009.

The Federal Reserve Board's risk-based capital guidelines include the definitions for (1) a well-capitalized institution, (2) an adequately-capitalized institution, and (3) and undercapitalized institution. The criteria for a well-capitalized institution are: a $5 \%$ "Tier 1 leverage capital" ratio, a 6\% "Tier 1 risk-based capital" ratio, and a 10\% "total risk-based capital" ratio. As of March 31, 2009, each of the subsidiary banks met the capital standards for a well-capitalized institution. The Company's "Tier 1 leverage capital" ratio, "Tier 1 risk-based capital" ratio, and "total risk-based capital" ratio was $12.99 \%, 14.76 \%$, and $16.02 \%$, respectively, as of March 31, 2009.

## 17: Additional Cash Flow Information

In connection with the Centennial Bancshares, Inc. acquisition accounting for using the purchase method, the Company acquired approximately $\$ 241.5$ million in assets, assumed $\$ 218.9$ million in liabilities, issued $\$ 24.3$ million of equity and received net funds of $\$ 1.7$ million during 2008. On March 11, 2009, the Company settled the contingent consideration for $\$ 3.1$ million which was paid to the Centennial stockholders in cash. The following is summary of the Company's additional cash flow information during the three months ended:

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2008 |
|  | (In thousands) |  |
| Interest paid | \$ 11,416 | \$ 18,440 |
| Income taxes paid | - | 650 |
| Assets acquired by foreclosure | 9,424 | 292 |
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## 18: Financial Instruments

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (FAS 157). FAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. FAS 157 has been applied prospectively as of the beginning of the period.

FAS 157 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. FAS 157 also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities
Level 2 Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in active markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities

## Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of

 the assets or liabilitiesAvailable for sale securities are the only material instruments valued on a recurring basis which are held by the Company at fair value. The Company does not have any Level 1 securities. Primarily all of the Company's securities are considered to be Level 2 securities. These Level 2 securities consist of U.S. government-sponsored enterprises, mortgage-backed securities plus state and political subdivisions. At the beginning of the year 2008, our Level 3 securities included two investment securities which became worthless during the year. As a result, we wrote them down by $\$ 5.9$ million in 2008 to a value of zero. As of year end 2008 and March 31, 2009, Level 3 securities were immaterial.

Impaired loans are the only material financial assets valued on a non-recurring basis which are held by the Company at fair value. Loan impairment is reported when full payment under the loan terms is not expected. Impaired loans are carried at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's existing rate, or the fair value of collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. A portion of the allowance for loan losses is allocated to impaired loans if the value of such loans is deemed to be less than the unpaid balance. If these allocations cause the allowance for loan losses to require increase, such increase is reported as a component of the provision for loan losses. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectability of a loan is confirmed. Impaired loans, net of specific allowance, were $\$ 28.7$ million and $\$ 20.6$ million as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. This valuation would be considered Level 3, consisting of appraisals of underlying collateral and discounted cash flow analysis.

Foreclosed assets held for sale are the only material non-financial assets valued on a non-recurring basis which are held by the Company at fair value, less cost to sell. At foreclosure, if the fair value, less estimated costs to sell, of the real estate acquired is less than the Company's recorded investment in the related loan, a write-down is recognized through a charge to the allowance for loan losses. Additionally, valuations are periodically performed by management and any subsequent reduction in value is recognized by a charge to income. The fair value of foreclosed assets held for sale is estimated using Level 2 inputs based on observable market data. As of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the fair value of foreclosed assets held for sale, less cost to sell was $\$ 15.4$ million and $\$ 6.8$ million, respectively.

## Table of Contents

## 19: Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS 141(R), "Business Combinations," which established principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in an acquiree, including the recognition and measurement of goodwill acquired in a business combination. The adoption of this standard did not have a material effect on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS 161, "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of SFAS No. 133." SFAS 161 amended and expanded the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 133 for derivative instruments and hedging activities. SFAS 161 requires qualitative disclosure about objectives and strategies for using derivative and hedging instruments, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts of the instruments and gains and losses on such instruments, as well as disclosures about credit-risk features in derivative agreements. The adoption of this standard did not have a material effect on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

On April 9, 2009, the FASB finalized three FASB Staff Positions ("FSPs") regarding the accounting treatment for investments including mortgage-backed securities. These FSPs changed the method for determining if an Other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") exists and the amount of OTTI to be recorded through an entity's income statement. The changes brought about by the FSPs provide greater clarity and reflect a more accurate representation of the credit and noncredit components of an OTTI event. The four FSPs are as follows:

- FSP "SFAS 157-4 Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Assets or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly" provides guidelines for making fair value measurements more consistent with the principles presented in SFAS 157, "Fair Value Measurements."
- FSP "SFAS 115-2 and SFAS 124-2, Recognition and Presentation of Other-than-temporary Impairments" provides additional guidance designed to create greater clarity and consistency in accounting for and presenting impairment losses on securities.
- FSP "SFAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments" enhances consistency in financial reporting by increasing the frequency of fair value disclosures.

These staff positions are effective for financial statements issued for periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early application possible for the first quarter of 2009. The Company elected not to adopt any of the above positions early. The Company has not completed its evaluation of the impact of these standards on its results of operation and financial position.
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## Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Audit Committee, Board of Directors and Stockholders
Home BancShares, Inc.
Conway, Arkansas
We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of Home BancShares, Inc. as of March 31, 2009 and the related condensed consolidated statements of income for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 and statements of stockholders' equity and cash flows for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures to financial data and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.
Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the condensed consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2008 and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders’ equity and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated March 2, 2009, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2008 is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.
/s/ BKD, LLP
Little Rock, Arkansas
May 6, 2009

## Item 2: MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 6, 2009, which includes the audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2008. Unless the context requires otherwise, the terms "Company", "us", "we", and "our" refer to Home BancShares, Inc. on a consolidated basis.

## General

We are a bank holding company headquartered in Conway, Arkansas, offering a broad array of financial services through our three wholly owned bank subsidiaries. As of March 31, 2009, we had, on a consolidated basis, total assets of $\$ 2.59$ billion, loans receivable of $\$ 1.97$ billion, total deposits of $\$ 1.84$ billion, and stockholders' equity of $\$ 338.8$ million.

We generate most of our revenue from interest on loans and investments, service charges, and mortgage banking income. Deposits are our primary source of funding. Our largest expenses are interest on these deposits and salaries and related employee benefits. We measure our performance by calculating our return on average equity, return on average assets, and net interest margin. We also measure our performance by our efficiency ratio, which is calculated by dividing non-interest expense less amortization of core deposit intangibles by the sum of net interest income on a tax equivalent basis and non-interest income. Per share amounts for March 31, 2008 have been adjusted for the 8\% stock dividend which occurred in August of 2008.

## Key Financial Measures

|  | As of or for the Three Months <br> Ended March 31, |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total assets | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |
| Loans receivable | $\$ 2,586,151$ | $1,81,145$ |
| Total deposits | $1,966,572$ | $1,86,969$ |
| Net income | $1,836,447$ | $7,854,738$ |
| Net income available to common stockholders | 6,245 | 7,278 |
| Basic earnings per common share | 5,679 | 7,278 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | 0.29 | 0.37 |
| Diluted cash earnings per common share (1) | 0.28 | 0.36 |
| Annualized net interest margin - FTE | 0.30 | 0.37 |
| Efficiency ratio | $3.93 \%$ | $3.78 \%$ |
| Annualized return on average assets | 62.16 | 51.94 |
| Annualized return on average common equity | 0.97 | 1.15 |
|  | 8.02 | 10.35 |

(1) See Table 16 "Diluted Cash Earnings Per Share" for a reconciliation to GAAP for diluted cash earnings per share.
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## Overview

Our net income decreased $14.2 \%$ to $\$ 6.2$ million for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009, from $\$ 7.3$ million for the same period in 2008. On a diluted earnings per share basis, our net earnings decreased $22.2 \%$ to $\$ 0.28$ for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009, as compared to $\$ 0.36$ (stock dividend adjusted) for the same period in 2008. During March of 2008, the Company sold its $20 \%$ interest in White River Bancshares, Inc for a $\$ 6.1$ million gain. Excluding the $\$ 3.8$ million after-tax or $\$ 0.19$ diluted earnings per common share impact of White River during the first quarter of 2008, net income and diluted earnings per common share for the first quarter of 2009 increased $\$ 2.7$ million and $\$ 0.11$, respectively, when compared to the same period in 2008. This first quarter of 2009 increase in earnings is primarily associated with a $\$ 3.8$ million decrease in the provision for loan losses, a 15 basis point increase in net interest margin, organic growth of our bank subsidiaries offset by the increase in FDIC and state assessment fees.

Our annualized return on average assets was $0.97 \%$ and $1.15 \%$ for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Our annualized return on average common equity was $8.02 \%$ and $10.35 \%$ for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Excluding the White River impact on first quarter 2008 earnings, annualized return on average assets and annualized return on average common equity would have been $0.55 \%$ and $4.99 \%$, respectively. This improvement was primarily due to the previously discussed increase in earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2009, compared to the same periods in 2008.

Our annualized net interest margin, on a fully taxable equivalent basis, was $3.93 \%$ and $3.78 \%$ for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Our ability to improve pricing on our deposits and hold the decline of interest rates on loans to a minimum combined with the proceeds from our issuance of $\$ 50.0$ million of Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock Series A to the United States Department of Treasury under the Capital Purchase Program allowed the Company to expand net interest margin.

Our efficiency ratio (calculated by dividing non-interest expense less amortization of core deposit intangibles by the sum of net interest income on a tax equivalent basis and non-interest income) was $62.16 \%$ and $51.94 \%$ for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Excluding the White River impact on first quarter 2008 earnings, the efficiency ratio would have been $63.10 \%$. This positive progress in our efficiency ratio was primarily due to our ability to increase net interest margin and the continued improvement of our operations.

Our total assets increased $\$ 6.1$ million, a growth of $0.23 \%$, to $\$ 2.59$ billion as of March 31, 2009, from $\$ 2.58$ billion as of December 31, 2008. Our loan portfolio increased $\$ 10.3$ million, a growth of $0.53 \%$, to $\$ 1.97$ billion as of March 31, 2009, from $\$ 1.96$ billion as of December 31, 2008. Stockholders' equity increased $\$ 55.8$ million, a growth of $19.7 \%$, to $\$ 338.8$ million as of March 31, 2009, compared to $\$ 283.0$ million as of December 31, 2008. Asset and loan increases are primarily associated with the organic growth of our bank subsidiaries. The increase in stockholders' equity is primarily associated with the issuance of $\$ 50.0$ million of preferred stock to the United States Department of Treasury combined with retained earnings for the first quarter of 2009.

As of March 31, 2009, our non-performing loans decreased to $\$ 24.3$ million, or $1.24 \%$, of total loans from $\$ 29.9$ million, or $1.53 \%$, of total loans as of December 31, 2008. The allowance for loan losses as a percent of non-performing loans increased to $168 \%$ as of March 31, 2009, compared to $135 \%$ from December 31, 2008. Unfavorable economic conditions continue in the Florida market. The primary decrease in our non-performing loans was associated with the foreclosure against one of our Florida borrowers. This foreclosure resulted in $\$ 8.8$ million transferring from non-performing loans to foreclosed assets held for sale.

As of March 31, 2009, our non-performing assets increased to $\$ 39.7$ million, or $1.5 \%$, of total assets from $\$ 36.7$ million, or $1.4 \%$, of total assets as of December 31, 2008. The increase in non-performing assets is primarily the result of the struggling economy, particularly Florida.
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## Critical Accounting Policies

Overview. We prepare our consolidated financial statements based on the selection of certain accounting policies, generally accepted accounting principles and customary practices in the banking industry. These policies, in certain areas, require us to make significant estimates and assumptions. Our accounting policies are described in detail in the notes to our consolidated financial statements in Note 1 of the audited consolidated financial statements included in our Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

We consider a policy critical if (i) the accounting estimate requires assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain at the time of the accounting estimate; and (ii) different estimates that could reasonably have been used in the current period, or changes in the accounting estimate that are reasonably likely to occur from period to period, would have a material impact on our financial statements. Using these criteria, we believe that the accounting policies most critical to us are those associated with our lending practices, including the accounting for the allowance for loan losses, investments, intangible assets, income taxes and stock options.

Investments. Securities available for sale are reported at fair value with unrealized holding gains and losses reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity and other comprehensive income (loss). Securities that are held as available for sale are used as a part of our asset/liability management strategy. Securities that may be sold in response to interest rate changes, changes in prepayment risk, the need to increase regulatory capital, and other similar factors are classified as available for sale.

Loans Receivable and Allowance for Loan Losses. Substantially all of our loans receivable are reported at their outstanding principal balance adjusted for any charge-offs, as it is management's intent to hold them for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoff, except for mortgage loans held for sale. Interest income on loans is accrued over the term of the loans based on the principal balance outstanding.

The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses charged against income. The allowance represents an amount that, in management's judgment, will be adequate to absorb probable credit losses on identifiable loans that may become uncollectible and probable credit losses inherent in the remainder of the loan portfolio. The amounts of provisions for loan losses are based on management's analysis and evaluation of the loan portfolio for identification of problem credits, internal and external factors that may affect collectability, relevant credit exposure, particular risks inherent in different kinds of lending, current collateral values and other relevant factors.

We consider a loan to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms thereof. We apply this policy even if delays or shortfalls in payments are expected to be insignificant. The aggregate amount of impaired loans is used in evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses and amount of provisions thereto. Losses on impaired loans are charged against the allowance for loan losses when in the process of collection it appears likely that losses will be realized. The accrual of interest on impaired loans is discontinued when, in management's opinion, the borrower may be unable to meet payments as they become due. When accrual of interest is discontinued, all unpaid accrued interest is reversed.

Loans are placed on non-accrual status when management believes that the borrower's financial condition, after giving consideration to economic and business conditions and collection efforts, is such that collection of interest is doubfful, or generally when loans are 90 days or more past due. Loans are charged against the allowance for loan losses when management believes that the collectability of the principal is unlikely. Accrued interest related to non-accrual loans is generally charged against the allowance for loan losses when accrued in prior years and reversed from interest income if accrued in the current year. Interest income on non-accrual loans may be recognized to the extent cash payments are received, although the majority of payments received are usually applied to principal. Non-accrual loans are generally returned to accrual status when principal and interest payments are less than 90 days past due, the customer has made required payments for at least six months, and we reasonably expect to collect all principal and interest.
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Intangible Assets. Intangible assets consist of goodwill and core deposit intangibles. Goodwill represents the excess purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired in business acquisitions. The core deposit intangible represents the excess intangible value of acquired deposit customer relationships as determined by valuation specialists. The core deposit intangibles are being amortized over 84 to 114 months on a straight-line basis. Goodwill is not amortized but rather is evaluated for impairment on at least an annual basis. We perform an annual impairment test of goodwill and core deposit intangibles as required by SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, in the fourth quarter.

Income Taxes. We use the liability method in accounting for income taxes. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based upon the difference between the values of the assets and liabilities as reflected in the financial statements and their related tax basis using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to be recovered or settled. As changes in tax laws or rates are enacted, deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted through the provision for income taxes. Any estimated tax exposure items identified would be considered in a tax contingency reserve. Changes in any tax contingency reserve would be based on specific development, events, or transactions.

We and our subsidiaries file consolidated tax returns. Our subsidiaries provide for income taxes on a separate return basis, and remit to us amounts determined to be currently payable.

Stock Options. In accordance with FASB Statement No. 123, Share-Based Payment (Revised 2004) ("SFAS No. 123R"), the fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant. The Company recognizes compensation expense for the grant-date fair value of the option award over the vesting period of the award.

## Acquisitions and Equity Investments

On January 1, 2008, we acquired Centennial Bancshares, Inc., an Arkansas bank holding company. Centennial Bancshares, Inc. owned Centennial Bank, located in Little Rock, Arkansas which had total assets of $\$ 234.1$ million, loans of $\$ 192.8$ million and total deposits of $\$ 178.8$ million on the date of acquisition. The consideration for the merger was $\$ 25.4$ million, which was paid approximately $4.6 \%$, or $\$ 1.2$ million in cash and $95.4 \%$, or $\$ 24.3$ million, in shares of our common stock. In connection with the acquisition, $\$ 3.0$ million of the purchase price, consisting of $\$ 139,000$ in cash and 140,456 shares (stock dividend adjusted) of our common stock, was placed in escrow related to possible losses from identified loans and an IRS examination. In the first quarter of 2008, the IRS examination was completed which resulted in $\$ 1.0$ million of the escrow proceeds being released. In addition to the consideration given at the time of the merger, the merger agreement provided for additional contingent consideration to Centennial's stockholders of up to a maximum of $\$ 4$ million, which could be paid in cash or our common stock at the election of the former Centennial accredited stockholders, based upon the 2008 earnings performance. The final contingent consideration was computed and agreed upon in the amount of $\$ 3.1$ million on March 11, 2009. We paid this amount to the former Centennial stockholders on a pro rata basis on March 12, 2009. All of the former Centennial stockholders elected to receive the contingent consideration in cash. As a result of this transaction, we recorded total goodwill of $\$ 15.4$ million and a core deposit intangible of $\$ 694,000$.

In our continuing evaluation of our growth plans for the Company, we believe properly priced bank acquisitions can complement our organic growth and de novo branching growth strategies. The Company's acquisition focus will be to expand in its primary market areas of Arkansas and Florida. We are continually evaluating potential bank acquisitions to determine what is in the best interest of our Company. Our goal in making these decisions is to maximize the return to our investors.
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## Branches

We intend to continue to open new (commonly referred to de novo) branches in our current markets and in other attractive market areas if opportunities arise. During 2009, we opened a branch location in the Arkansas community of Heber Springs. Presently, we are evaluating additional opportunities but have no firm commitments for any additional de novo branch locations. Existing branches are being evaluated for cost saving opportunities under our efficiency study.

## Charter Consolidation

In July 2008, management of Home BancShares, Inc. approved the combining of all six of the Company's individually charted banks into one charter. All of the banks will adopt Centennial Bank as their common name.

In the fourth quarter of 2008, First State Bank and Marine Bank consolidated and adopted Centennial Bank as its new name. Community Bank and Bank of Mountain View were completed in the first quarter of 2009, and Twin City Bank and the original Centennial Bank will finish the process in June of 2009. All of the banks will, at that time, have the same name, logo and charter allowing for a more customer-friendly banking experience and seamless transactions across our entire banking network.

This decision is based in part on our continuing efforts to improve efficiency and the results of a study conducted for us by a third party. This structure will improve product and service offerings by the combined banks plus provide a greater value to customers in pricing and delivery systems across the Company. We remain committed to our community banking philosophy and will continue to rely on local management and boards of directors.

## Holding Company Status

During the second quarter of 2008, we changed from a financial holding company to a bank holding company. Since we were not utilizing any of the additional permitted activities allowed to our financial holding company status, this will not change any of our current business practices.

## Results of Operations

Our net income decreased $14.2 \%$ to $\$ 6.2$ million for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009, from $\$ 7.3$ million for the same period in 2008. On a diluted earnings per share basis, our net earnings decreased $22.2 \%$ to $\$ 0.28$ for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009, as compared to $\$ 0.36$ (stock dividend adjusted) for the same period in 2008. During March of 2008, the Company sold its $20 \%$ interest in White River Bancshares, Inc for a $\$ 6.1$ million gain. Excluding the $\$ 3.8$ million after-tax or $\$ 0.19$ diluted earnings per common share impact of White River during the first quarter of 2008, net income and diluted earnings per common share for the first quarter of 2009 increased $\$ 2.7$ million and $\$ 0.11$, respectively, when compared to the same period in 2008 . This first quarter of 2009 increase in earnings is primarily associated with a $\$ 3.8$ million decrease in the provision for loan losses, a 15 basis point increase in net interest margin, organic growth of our bank subsidiaries offset by the increase in FDIC and state assessment fees.

## Net Interest Income

Net interest income, our principal source of earnings, is the difference between the interest income generated by earning assets and the total interest cost of the deposits and borrowings obtained to fund those assets. Factors affecting the level of net interest income include the volume of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, yields earned on loans and investments and rates paid on deposits and other borrowings, the level of non-performing loans and the amount of non-interest-bearing liabilities supporting earning assets. Net interest income is analyzed in the discussion and tables below on a fully taxable equivalent basis. The adjustment to convert certain income to a fully taxable equivalent basis consists of dividing tax-exempt income by one minus the combined federal and state income tax rate.
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The Federal Reserve Board sets various benchmark rates, including the Federal Funds rate, and thereby influences the general market rates of interest, including the deposit and loan rates offered by financial institutions. The Federal Funds rate, which is the cost to banks of immediately available overnight funds, began in 2008 at $4.25 \%$. During 2008, the rate decreased by 75 basis points on January 22, 2008, 50 basis points on January 30, 2008, 75 basis points on March 18, 2008, 25 basis points on April 30, 2008 and 50 basis points to a rate of $1.50 \%$ as of October 8,2008 . The rate continued to fall 50 basis points on October 29, 2008 and 75 to 100 basis points to a low of $0.25 \%$ to $0 \%$ on December 16, 2008.

Net interest income on a fully taxable equivalent basis increased $\$ 1.1$ million, or $5.2 \%$, to $\$ 22.7$ million for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009, from $\$ 21.5$ million for the same period in 2008. This increase in net interest income was the result of a $\$ 5.1$ million decrease in interest income combined with a $\$ 6.3$ million decrease in interest expense. The $\$ 5.1$ million decrease in interest income was primarily the result of organic growth of our bank subsidiaries offset by the repricing of our earning assets in the declining interest rate environment. The higher level of earning assets resulted in an improvement in interest income of $\$ 1.4$ million, and our earning assets repricing in the declining interest rate environment resulted in a $\$ 6.5$ million decrease in interest income for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009. The $\$ 6.3$ million decrease in interest expense for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009, is primarily the result our interest bearing liabilities repricing in the declining interest rate environment.

Net interest margin, on a fully taxable equivalent basis, was $3.93 \%$ and $3.78 \%$ for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Our ability to improve pricing on our deposits and hold the decline of interest rates on loans to a minimum combined with the proceeds from our issuance of $\$ 50.0$ million of preferred stock to the United States Department of Treasury allowed the Company to expand net interest margin. The issuance of the preferred stock increased net interest margin by approximately 5 basis points for the first quarter of 2009
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Tables 1 and 2 reflect an analysis of net interest income on a fully taxable equivalent basis for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, as well as changes in fully taxable equivalent net interest margin for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009, compared to the same period in 2008.

## Table 1: Analysis of Net Interest Income

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| Interest income | \$ | 33,108 | \$ | 38,396 |
| Fully taxable equivalent adjustment |  | 865 |  | 716 |
| Interest income - fully taxable equivalent |  | 33,973 |  | 39,112 |
| Interest expense |  | 11,297 |  | 17,565 |
| Net interest income - fully taxable equivalent | \$ | 22,676 | \$ | 21,547 |
| Yield on earning assets - fully taxable equivalent |  | 5.89\% |  | 6.86\% |
| Cost of interest-bearing liabilities |  | 2.29 |  | 3.50 |
| Net interest spread - fully taxable equivalent |  | 3.60 |  | 3.36 |
| Net interest margin - fully taxable equivalent |  | 3.93 |  | 3.78 |

## Table 2: Changes in Fully Taxable Equivalent Net Interest Margin

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{l|r} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Three Months Ended } \\
\text { March 31, }\end{array}
$$ <br>
<br>

2009 vs. 2008\end{array}\right]\)| (In thousands) |
| :--- |
| Increase in interest income due to change in earning assets |
| Decrease in interest income due to change in earning asset yields |
| Decrease in interest expense due to change in interest-bearing liabilities |
| Decrease in interest expense due to change in interest rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities |
| Increase in net interest income |
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Table 3 shows, for each major category of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, the average amount outstanding, the interest income or expense on that amount and the average rate earned or expensed for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009 and 2008. The table also shows the average rate earned on all earning assets, the average rate expensed on all interest-bearing liabilities, the net interest spread and the net interest margin for the same periods. The analysis is presented on a fully taxable equivalent basis. Non-accrual loans were included in average loans for the purpose of calculating the rate earned on total loans.

Table 3: Average Balance Sheets and Net Interest Income Analysis

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  |  | 2008 |  |  |
|  | Average Balance | Income / Expense | Yield/ Rate | Average Balance | Income / Expense | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Yield/ } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earnings assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-bearing balances due from banks | \$ 8,604 | \$ 12 | 0.57\% | \$ 5,397 | \$ 55 | 4.10\% |
| Federal funds sold | 13,846 | 7 | 0.21 | 22,701 | 166 | 2.94 |
| Investment securities - taxable | 230,762 | 2,653 | 4.66 | 324,101 | 3,762 | 4.67 |
| Investment securities - non-taxable | 117,082 | 2,064 | 7.15 | 109,314 | 1,826 | 6.72 |
| Loans receivable | 1,966,934 | 29,237 | 6.03 | 1,831,338 | 33,303 | 7.31 |
| Total interest-earning assets | 2,337,228 | 33,973 | 5.89 | 2,292,851 | 39,112 | 6.86 |
| Non-earning assets | 261,009 |  |  | 257,680 |  |  |
| Total assets | 2,598,237 |  |  | \$2,550,531 |  |  |

## LIABILITIES AND

 STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITYLiabilities
Interest-bearing liabilities

Savings and interest-bearing transaction accounts
Time deposits
Total interest-bearing deposits
Federal funds purchased

| \$ 667,281 | \$ 1,285 | 0.78\% | \$ 650,235 | \$ 3,405 | 2.11\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 913,504 | 6,833 | 3.03 | 917,348 | 10,117 | 4.44 |
| 1,580,785 | 8,118 | 2.08 | 1,567,583 | 13,522 | 3.47 |
| 3,790 | 2 | 0.21 | 6,578 | 69 | 4.22 |
| 84,730 | 111 | 0.53 | 117,426 | 588 | 2.01 |
| 280,876 | 2,390 | 3.45 | 276,357 | 2,575 | 3.75 |
| 47,566 | 676 | 5.76 | 47,656 | 811 | 6.84 |
|  | 11,297 | 2.29 | 2,015,600 | 17,565 | 3.50 |
| 1,997,747 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 264,595 |  |  | 237,028 |  |  |
| 7,786 |  |  | 15,155 |  |  |
| 2,270,128 |  |  | 2,267,783 |  |  |
| 328,109 |  |  | 282,748 |  |  |
| \$2,598,237 |  |  | \$2,550,531 |  |  |
|  |  | 3.60\% |  |  | 3.36\% |
|  | \$22,676 | 3.93\% |  | \$21,547 | 3.78\% |
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Table 4 shows changes in interest income and interest expense resulting from changes in volume and changes in interest rates for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009 compared to the same period in 2008, on a fully taxable basis. The changes in interest rate and volume have been allocated to changes in average volume and changes in average rates, in proportion to the relationship of absolute dollar amounts of the changes in rates and volume.

## Table 4: Volume/Rate Analysis

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 over 2008 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volume | $\frac{\text { Yield/Rate }}{\text { (In thousands) }}$ | Total |
|  |  |  |  |
| Increase (decrease) in: |  |  |  |
| Interest income: |  |  |  |
| Interest-bearing balances due from banks | \$ 21 | \$ (64) | \$ (43) |
| Federal funds sold | (47) | (112) | (159) |
| Investment securities - taxable | $(1,073)$ | (36) | $(1,109)$ |
| Investment securities - non-taxable | 134 | 104 | 238 |
| Loans receivable | 2,336 | $(6,402)$ | $(4,066)$ |
| Total interest income | 1,371 | $(6,510)$ | $(5,139)$ |
| Interest expense: |  |  |  |
| Interest-bearing transaction and savings deposits | 87 | $(2,207)$ | $(2,120)$ |
| Time deposits | (42) | $(3,242)$ | $(3,284)$ |
| Federal funds purchased | (20) | (47) | (67) |
| Securities sold under agreement to repurchase | (131) | (346) | (477) |
| FHLB borrowed funds | 41 | (226) | (185) |
| Subordinated debentures | (2) | (133) | (135) |
| Total interest expense | (67) | $(6,201)$ | $(6,268)$ |
| Increase (decrease) in net interest income | \$ 1,438 | \$ (309) | \$ 1,129 |

## Provision for Loan Losses

Our management assesses the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses by applying the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 and No. 114. Specific allocations are determined for loans considered to be impaired and loss factors are assigned to the remainder of the loan portfolio to determine an appropriate level in the allowance for loan losses. The allowance is increased, as necessary, by making a provision for loan losses. The specific allocations for impaired loans are assigned based on an estimated net realizable value after a thorough review of the credit relationship. The potential loss factors associated with the remainder of the loan portfolio are based on an internal net loss experience, as well as management's review of trends within the portfolio and related industries.

Generally, commercial, commercial real estate, and residential real estate loans are assigned a level of risk at origination. Thereafter, these loans are reviewed on a regular basis. The periodic reviews generally include loan payment and collateral status, the borrowers' financial data, and key ratios such as cash flows, operating income, liquidity, and leverage. A material change in the borrower's credit analysis can result in an increase or decrease in the loan's assigned risk grade. Aggregate dollar volume by risk grade is monitored on an ongoing basis.
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Our management reviews certain key loan quality indicators on a monthly basis, including current economic conditions, delinquency trends and ratios, portfolio mix changes, and other information management deems necessary. This review process provides a degree of objective measurement that is used in conjunction with periodic internal evaluations. To the extent that this review process yields differences between estimated and actual observed losses, adjustments are made to the loss factors used to determine the appropriate level of the allowance for loan losses.

The provision for loan losses represents management's determination of the amount necessary to be charged against the current period's earnings, to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level that is considered adequate in relation to the estimated risk inherent in the loan portfolio. Our provision for loan losses decreased $\$ 3.8$ million, or $79.2 \%$, to $\$ 1.0$ million for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009, from $\$ 4.8$ million for the same period in 2008. The provision for loan losses in our Florida market was approximately half of the provision for the three months ended March 31, 2009.

During the first quarter of 2008, we began to experience a decline in our asset quality, particularly in the Florida market. In 2008, non-performing loans started the year at $\$ 3.3$ million but ended the first quarter at $\$ 12.0$ million and ended the year with a balance of $\$ 29.9$ million. As of March 31, 2009, non-performing loans are $\$ 24.3$ million. The deterioration of the loan portfolio during 2008 required us to record an increased provision to the allowance for loan loss to provide for charge-offs and problem credits.

## Non-Interest Income

Total non-interest income was $\$ 7.6$ million for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009 compared to $\$ 13.5$ million for the same period in 2008. Our recurring non-interest income includes service charges on deposit accounts, other service charges and fees, data processing, mortgage lending, mortgage servicing, insurance, title fees, increase in cash value of life insurance and dividends.

Table 5 measures the various components of our non-interest income for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, as well as changes for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009 compared to the same periods in 2008.

Table 5: Non-Interest Income

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \text { Change } \\ & \text { from } 2008 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2008 |  |  |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | \$ 3,374 | \$ 3,097 | \$ 277 | 8.9\% |
| Other service charges and fees | 1,784 | 1,654 | 130 | 7.9 |
| Data processing fees | 186 | 210 | (24) | (11.4) |
| Mortgage lending income | 880 | 741 | 139 | 18.8 |
| Mortgage servicing income | 200 | 231 | (31) | (13.4) |
| Insurance commissions | 257 | 272 | (15) | (5.5) |
| Income from title services | 140 | 168 | (28) | (16.7) |
| Increase in cash value of life insurance | 477 | 585 | (108) | (18.5) |
| Dividends from FHLB, FRB \& bankers' bank | 107 | 281 | (174) | (61.9) |
| Equity in income (loss) of unconsolidated affiliates | - | 102 | (102) | (100.0) |
| Gain on sale of equity investment | - | 6,102 | $(6,102)$ | (100.0) |
| Gain on sale of SBA loans | - | 101 | (101) | (100.0) |
| Gain (loss) on sale of premises and equipment, net | 7 | (2) | 9 | (450.0) |
| Gain (loss) on OREO, net | (117) | (380) | 263 | (69.2) |
| Gain (loss) on securities, net | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| Other income | 320 | 372 | (52) | (14.0) |
| Total non-interest income | \$ 7,615 | \$13,534 | \$(5,919) | (43.7)\% |
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Non-interest income decreased $\$ 5.9$ million, or $43.7 \%$, to $\$ 7.6$ million for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009 from $\$ 13.5$ million for the same period in 2008 . Excluding the $\$ 6.2$ million of income from White River Bancshares during the first quarter of 2008, we experienced an increase of 284,000 or $3.9 \%$ for the first quarter of 2009 . The primary factors that resulted in this increase are organic growth of our bank subsidiaries and an improved fee process for service charges on deposit accounts, increased retention of interchange fees and organic growth of our bank subsidiaries for other service charges and fees and increased mortgage lending associated with home owners refinancing in the current lower interest rate environment offset by lower dividends and yields for cash value of life insurance.

## Non-Interest Expense

Non-interest expense consists of salary and employee benefits, occupancy and equipment, data processing, and other expenses such as advertising, amortization of intangibles, amortization of mortgage servicing rights, electronic banking expense, FDIC and state assessment, mortgage servicing and legal and accounting fees.

Table 6 below sets forth a summary of non-interest expense for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, as well as changes for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009 compared to the same periods in 2008.

Table 6: Non-Interest Expense

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  | 2009 Changefrom 2008 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2008 |  |  |  |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$ 8,944 | \$ 9,278 | \$ | (334) | (3.6)\% |
| Occupancy and equipment | 2,677 | 2,702 |  | (25) | (0.9) |
| Data processing expense | 807 | 786 |  | 21 | 2.7 |
| Other operating expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advertising | 600 | 614 |  | (14) | (2.3) |
| Merger expenses | 742 | - |  | 742 | 100.0 |
| Amortization of intangibles | 463 | 462 |  | 1 | 0.2 |
| Amortization of mortgage servicing rights | 147 | 147 |  | - | 0.0 |
| Electronic banking expense | 863 | 752 |  | 111 | 14.8 |
| Directors' fees | 284 | 231 |  | 53 | 22.9 |
| Due from bank service charges | 100 | 62 |  | 38 | 61.3 |
| FDIC and state assessment | 965 | 372 |  | 593 | 159.4 |
| Insurance | 297 | 228 |  | 69 | 30.3 |
| Legal and accounting | 435 | 280 |  | 155 | 55.4 |
| Mortgage servicing expense | 72 | 87 |  | (15) | (17.2) |
| Other professional fees | 259 | 833 |  | (574) | (68.9) |
| Operating supplies | 213 | 244 |  | (31) | (12.7) |
| Postage | 176 | 180 |  | (4) | (2.2) |
| Telephone | 178 | 231 |  | (53) | (22.9) |
| Other expense | 1,070 | 1,194 |  | (124) | (10.4) |
| Total non-interest expense | \$19,292 | \$18,683 | \$ | 609 | 3.3\% |
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Non-interest expense increased $\$ 609,000$, or $3.3 \%$, to $\$ 19.3$ million for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009, from $\$ 18.7$ million for the same period in 2008. The primary factors that resulted in this increase are the higher FDIC and state assessment fees associated with the United States banking crisis, merger expenses associated with our charter consolidation offset by $\$ 660,000$ associated with an efficiency study performed in 2008. Normal increases associated with an expanding company have been mitigated through the partial implementation of the efficiency study. The efficiency study is expected to be fully implemented in the fourth quarter of 2009.

At its October 17, 2008 meeting, the Board of Directors of Home BancShares, Inc., pursuant to a recommendation by the Compensation Committee, granted John W. Allison, Chairman and CEO, an annual base salary of $\$ 275,000$ beginning on November 1, 2008. Also, they made him eligible for an annual discretionary cash bonus. Any cash bonus will be based upon the goals of the Company including shareholder return, earnings per share and other criteria. Mr. Allison has never received a salary prior to this time. The committee felt as a result of the leadership Mr. Allison has provided for the past 10 years, he should be compensated for his services. Mr. Allison did not receive an annual bonus for 2008.

## Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes decreased $\$ 706,000$, or $19.6 \%$, to $\$ 2.9$ million for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009, from $\$ 3.6$ million as of March 31, 2008. The effective income tax rate was $31.6 \%$ and $33.1 \%$ for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The primary cause of this decrease is the result of our decreased earnings which is tax-effected at a marginal rate of $39.225 \%$.

## Financial Condition as of and for the Quarter Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008

Our total assets increased $\$ 6.1$ million, a growth of $0.23 \%$, to $\$ 2.59$ billion as of March 31,2009 , from $\$ 2.58$ billion as of December 31, 2008. Our loan portfolio increased $\$ 10.3$ million, a growth of $0.53 \%$, to $\$ 1.97$ billion as of March 31,2009 , from $\$ 1.96$ billion as of December 31, 2008. Stockholders' equity increased $\$ 55.8$ million, a growth of $19.7 \%$, to $\$ 338.8$ million as of March 31, 2009, compared to $\$ 283.0$ million as of December 31, 2008. Asset and loan increases are primarily associated with the organic growth of our bank subsidiaries. The increase in stockholders' equity is primarily associated with the issuance of $\$ 50.0$ million of preferred stock to the United States Department of Treasury combined with retained earnings for the first quarter of 2009.

## Loan Portfolio

Our loan portfolio averaged $\$ 1.97$ billion during the three-month period ended March 31, 2009. Loans were $\$ 1.97$ billion as of March 31, 2009, compared to $\$ 1.96$ billion as of December 31, 2008, a modest annualized increase of $2.1 \%$. The slow down in loan growth from our historical expansion rates was not unexpected. Our customers have grown more cautious in this weakening economy.

The most significant components of the loan portfolio were commercial real estate, residential real estate, consumer, and commercial and industrial loans. These loans are primarily originated within our market areas of central Arkansas, north central Arkansas, southern Arkansas, southwest Florida and the Florida Keys and are generally secured by residential or commercial real estate or business or personal property within our market areas.

Certain credit markets have experienced difficult conditions and volatility during 2008 and 2009, particularly Florida. The Florida market currently is approximately $92.2 \%$ secured by real estate and $16.7 \%$ of our total loan portfolio. The markets continue to experience pressure including the well-publicized sub-prime mortgage market. The Company has not or does not actively market or originate subprime mortgage loans.
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Table 7 presents our loan balances by category as of the dates indicated.

## Table 7: Loan Portfolio

$\left.\begin{array}{lrr} & \begin{array}{c}\text { As of } \\ \text { March 31, } \\ \text { 2009 }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { As of } \\ \text { December 31, } \\ \text { 2008 }\end{array} \\ \text { (In thousands) }\end{array}\right]$

Commercial Real Estate Loans. We originate non-farm and non-residential loans (primarily secured by commercial real estate), construction/land development loans, and agricultural loans, which are generally secured by real estate located in our market areas. Our commercial mortgage loans are generally collateralized by first liens on real estate and amortized over a 10 to 20 year period with balloon payments due at the end of one to five years. These loans are generally underwritten by addressing cash flow (debt service coverage), primary and secondary source of repayment, the financial strength of any guarantor, the strength of the tenant (if any), the borrower's liquidity and leverage, management experience, ownership structure, economic conditions and industry specific trends and collateral. Generally, we will loan up to $85 \%$ of the value of improved property, $65 \%$ of the value of raw land and $75 \%$ of the value of land to be acquired and developed. A first lien on the property and assignment of lease is required if the collateral is rental property, with second lien positions considered on a case-by-case basis.

As of March 31, 2009, commercial real estate loans totaled $\$ 1.17$ billion, or $59.4 \%$ of our loan portfolio, which is comparable to $\$ 1.16$ billion, or $59.3 \%$ of our loan portfolio, as of December 31, 2008.

Residential Real Estate Loans. We originate one to four family, owner occupied residential mortgage loans generally secured by property located in our primary market area. The majority of our residential mortgage loans consist of loans secured by owner occupied, single family residences. Residential real estate loans generally have a loan-to-value ratio of up to $90 \%$. These loans are underwritten by giving consideration to the borrower's ability to pay, stability of employment or source of income, debt-to-income ratio, credit history and loan-to-value ratio.

As of March 31, 2009, we had $\$ 457.4$ million, or $23.3 \%$ of our loan portfolio, in residential real estate loans, compared to the $\$ 447.7$ million, or $22.9 \%$ of our loan portfolio, as of December 31, 2008. The changing market conditions have given our community banks the opportunity to retain more residential real estate loans. These loans normally have maturities of less than five years.
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Consumer Loans. Our consumer loan portfolio is composed of secured and unsecured loans originated by our banks. The performance of consumer loans will be affected by the local and regional economy as well as the rates of personal bankruptcies, job loss, divorce and other individual-specific characteristics.

As of March 31, 2009, our installment consumer loan portfolio totaled $\$ 43.4$ million, or $2.2 \%$ of our total loan portfolio, which is comparable to the $\$ 46.6$ million, or $2.4 \%$ of our loan portfolio as of December 31, 2008.

Commercial and Industrial Loans. Commercial and industrial loans are made for a variety of business purposes, including working capital, inventory, equipment and capital expansion. The terms for commercial loans are generally one to seven years. Commercial loan applications must be supported by current financial information on the borrower and, where appropriate, by adequate collateral. Commercial loans are generally underwritten by addressing cash flow (debt service coverage), primary and secondary sources of repayment, the financial strength of any guarantor, the borrower's liquidity and leverage, management experience, ownership structure, economic conditions and industry specific trends and collateral. The loan to value ratio depends on the type of collateral. Generally speaking, accounts receivable are financed at between $50 \%$ to $80 \%$ of accounts receivable less than 60 days past due. Inventory financing will range between $50 \%$ and $60 \%$ (with no work in process) depending on the borrower and nature of inventory. We require a first lien position for those loans.

As of March 31, 2009, commercial and industrial loans outstanding totaled $\$ 250.2$ million, or $12.7 \%$ of our loan portfolio, which is comparable to $\$ 255.2$ million, or $13.0 \%$ of our loan portfolio, as of December 31, 2008.

## Non-Performing Assets

We classify our problem loans into three categories: past due loans, special mention loans and classified loans (accruing and non-accruing).

When management determines that a loan is no longer performing, and that collection of interest appears doubtful, the loan is placed on non-accrual status. Loans that are 90 days past due are placed on non-accrual status unless they are adequately secured and there is reasonable assurance of full collection of both principal and interest. Our management closely monitors all loans that are contractually 90 days past due, treated as "special mention" or otherwise classified or on non-accrual status. Generally, non-accrual loans that are 120 days past due without assurance of repayment are charged off against the allowance for loan losses.
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Table 8 sets forth information with respect to our non-performing assets as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008. As of these dates, all non-performing restructured loans are included in non-accrual loans.

## Table 8: Non-performing Assets

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { As of } \\ \text { March 31, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |  | As of mber 31, 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Dollars | ous |  |
| Non-accrual loans | \$ 24,024 | \$ | 28,524 |
| Loans past due 90 days or more (principal or interest payments) | 284 |  | 1,374 |
| Total non-performing loans | 24,308 |  | 29,898 |
| Other non-performing assets |  |  |  |
| Foreclosed assets held for sale | 15,397 |  | 6,763 |
| Non-accrual investments | - |  | - |
| Other non-performing assets | 2 |  | 16 |
| Total other non-performing assets | 15,399 |  | 6,779 |
| Total non-performing assets | \$ 39,707 | \$ | 36,677 |
| Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans | 167.94\% |  | 135.08\% |
| Non-performing loans to total loans | 1.24 |  | 1.53 |
| Non-performing assets to total assets | 1.54 |  | 1.42 |

Our non-performing loans are comprised of non-accrual loans and loans that are contractually past due 90 days. Our bank subsidiaries recognize income principally on the accrual basis of accounting. When loans are classified as non-accrual, the accrued interest is charged off and no further interest is accrued, unless the credit characteristics of the loan improves. If a loan is determined by management to be uncollectible, the portion of the loan determined to be uncollectible is then charged to the allowance for loan losses.

Since December 31, 2007, the weakening real estate market, particularly in Florida, has and may continue to increase our level of non-performing loans. While we believe our allowance for loan losses is adequate at March 31, 2009, as additional facts become known about relevant internal and external factors that affect loan collectability and our assumptions, it may result in us making additions to the provision for loan loss during 2009.

As of March 31, 2009, we had $\$ 18.9$ million of restructured loans that are in compliance with the modified terms and are not reported as past due or non-accrual in Table 8 . Of the $\$ 18.9$ million in restructured loans, $\$ 5.6$ million are also reported as impaired loans. Most of these credits are where borrowers have continued to pay as agreed but negotiated a lower interest rate due to general economic pressures rather than credit specific pressure. Our Florida market is comprised of $\$ 8.6$ million of restructured loans.

Total foreclosed assets held for sale were $\$ 15.4$ million as of March 31, 2009, compared to $\$ 6.8$ million as of December 31, 2008 for an increase of $\$ 8.6$ million. The increase is primarily the result of foreclosure on two Florida housing developments in the Keys. Each of the two housing developments has vacant lots and one completed model home. The $\$ 15.4$ million in foreclosed assets held for sale is comprised of $\$ 13.1$ million of assets located in Florida with the remaining $\$ 2.3$ million of assets located in Arkansas. The Florida foreclosed assets includes a substantially vacant owner occupied commercial rental center in the Keys plus the two Florida housing developments. The properties are currently listed for sale with a broker.
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Total non-performing loans were $\$ 24.3$ million as of March 31, 2009, compared to $\$ 29.9$ million as of December 31, 2008 for a decrease of $\$ 5.6$ million. This decrease is primarily a result of foreclosure on the two Florida housing developments. Approximately half of the non-performing loans are in the Florida market.

If the non-accrual loans had been accruing interest in accordance with the original terms of their respective agreements, interest income of approximately $\$ 391,000$ and $\$ 136,000$ for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, would have been recorded. The interest income recognized on the non-accrual loans for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 was considered immaterial.

A loan is considered impaired when it is probable that we will not receive all amounts due according to the contracted terms of the loans. Impaired loans may include non-performing loans (loans past due 90 days or more and non-accrual loans) and certain other loans identified by management that are still performing. As of March 31, 2009, average impaired loans were $\$ 36.0$ million compared to $\$ 22.5$ million as of March 31, 2008. As of March 31, 2009, impaired loans were $\$ 40.5$ million compared to $\$ 31.5$ million as of December 31, 2008 for an increase of $\$ 9.0$ million. The unfavorable economic conditions that are impacting our Florida market accounted for $\$ 4.8$ million of the increase, while our recent acquisition of Centennial Bancshares, Inc. increased our impaired loans by $\$ 2.4$ million. Our Florida market and our acquisition of Centennial Bancshares, Inc. accounted for $\$ 14.0$ million and $\$ 11.6$ of the impaired loans, respectively.

Non-performing loans and impaired loans are defined differently. Some loans may be included in both categories

## Allowance for Loan Losses

Overview. The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level which our management believes is adequate to absorb all probable losses on loans in the loan portfolio. The amount of the allowance is affected by: (i) loan charge-offs, which decrease the allowance; (ii) recoveries on loans previously charged off, which increase the allowance; and (iii) the provision of possible loan losses charged to income, which increases the allowance. In determining the provision for possible loan losses, it is necessary for our management to monitor fluctuations in the allowance resulting from actual charge-offs and recoveries and to periodically review the size and composition of the loan portfolio in light of current and anticipated economic conditions. If actual losses exceed the amount of allowance for loan losses, our earnings could be adversely affected.

As we evaluate the allowance for loan losses, we categorize it as follows: (i) specific allocations; (ii) allocations for classified assets with no specific allocation; (iii) general allocations for each major loan category; and (iv) miscellaneous allocations.

Specific Allocations. As a general rule, if a specific allocation is warranted, it is the result of an analysis of a previously classified credit or relationship. Our evaluation process in specific allocations includes a review of appraisals or other collateral analysis. These values are compared to the remaining outstanding principal balance. If a loss is determined to be reasonably possible, the possible loss is identified as a specific allocation. If the loan is not collateral dependent, the measurement of loss is based on the expected future cash flows of the loan.

Allocations for Classified Assets with No Specific Allocation. We establish allocations for loans rated "special mention" through "loss" in accordance with the guidelines established by the regulatory agencies. A percentage rate is applied to each loan category to determine the level of dollar allocation.
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General Allocations. We establish general allocations for each major loan category. This section also includes allocations to loans, which are collectively evaluated for loss such as residential real estate, commercial real estate, consumer loans and commercial and industrial loans. The allocations in this section are based on a historical review of loan loss experience and past due accounts. We give consideration to trends, changes in loan mix, delinquencies, prior losses, and other related information.

Miscellaneous Allocations. Allowance allocations other than specific, classified, and general are included in our miscellaneous section.

Charge-offs and Recoveries. Total charge-offs increased to $\$ 1.0$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, compared to $\$ 623,000$ for the same period in 2008. Total recoveries increased to $\$ 452,000$ for the three months ended March 31, 2009, compared to $\$ 101,000$ for the same period in 2008. The changes in net charge-offs are due to the unfavorable economic conditions in Florida and our proactive stance on asset quality.
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Table 9 shows the allowance for loan losses, charge-offs and recoveries as of and for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009 and 2008.

Table 9: Analysis of Allowance for Loan Losses

|  | As of March 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2008 |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$40,385 | \$29,406 |
| Loans charged off |  |  |
| Real estate: |  |  |
| Commercial real estate loans: |  |  |
| Non-farm/non-residential | 156 | 16 |
| Construction/land development | 35 | 44 |
| Agricultural | - | - |
| Residential real estate loans: |  |  |
| Residential 1-4 family | 344 | 357 |
| Multifamily residential | - | - |
| Total real estate | 535 | 417 |
| Consumer | 402 | 100 |
| Commercial and industrial | 71 | 106 |
| Agricultural | - | - |
| Other | 7 | - |
| Total loans charged off | 1,015 | 623 |
| Recoveries of loans previously charged off |  |  |
| Real estate: |  |  |
| Commercial real estate loans: |  |  |
| Non-farm/non-residential | 3 | 4 |
| Construction/land development | - | 2 |
| Agricultural | - | - |
| Residential real estate loans: |  |  |
| Residential 1-4 family | 293 | 29 |
| Multifamily residential | - | - |
| Total real estate | 296 | 35 |
| Consumer | 147 | 34 |
| Commercial and industrial | 8 | 31 |
| Agricultural | - | - |
| Other | 1 | 1 |
| Total recoveries | 452 | 101 |
| Net (recoveries) loans charged off | 563 | 522 |
| Allowance for loan loss of Centennial Bancshares, Inc. | - | 3,382 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,000 | 4,809 |
| Balance, March 31 | \$40,822 | \$37,075 |
| Net (recoveries) charge-offs to average loans | 0.12\% | 0.11\% |
| Allowance for loan losses to period end loans | 2.08 | 1.99 |
| Allowance for loan losses to net (recoveries) charge-offs | 1,788 | 1,766 |
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Allocated Allowance for Loan Losses. We use a risk rating and specific reserve methodology in the calculation and allocation of our allowance for loan losses. While the allowance is allocated to various loan categories in assessing and evaluating the level of the allowance, the allowance is available to cover charge-offs incurred in all loan categories. Because a portion of our portfolio has not matured to the degree necessary to obtain reliable loss data from which to calculate estimated future losses, the unallocated portion of the allowance is an integral component of the total allowance. Although unassigned to a particular credit relationship or product segment, this portion of the allowance is vital to safeguard against the imprecision inherent in estimating credit losses.

The changes for the period ended March 31, 2009 in the allocation of the allowance for loan losses for the individual types of loans are primarily associated with the decline in asset quality, particularly in our Florida market, net charge-offs during 2009 and normal changes in the outstanding loan portfolio for those products from December 31, 2008.

Table 10 presents the allocation of allowance for loan losses as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

Table 10: Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { As of } \\ \text { March 31, } 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | As of December 31, 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Allowance Amount | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { loans(1) } \end{gathered}$ | Allowance Amount | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \operatorname{loans}(1) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| Real estate: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate loans: |  |  |  |  |
| Non-farm/non-residential | \$ 15,618 | 41.4\% | \$ 16,010 | 41.7\% |
| Construction/land development | 8,594 | 17.1 | 9,369 | 16.4 |
| Agricultural | 316 | 0.9 | 255 | 1.2 |
| Residential real estate loans: |  |  |  |  |
| Residential 1-4 family | 7,776 | 20.1 | 6,814 | 20.0 |
| Multifamily residential | 877 | 3.1 | 880 | 2.9 |
| Total real estate | 33,181 | 82.6 | 33,328 | 82.2 |
| Consumer | 727 | 2.2 | 848 | 2.4 |
| Commercial and industrial | 5,179 | 12.8 | 4,945 | 13.0 |
| Agricultural | 473 | 1.2 | 816 | 1.2 |
| Other |  | 1.2 | - | 1.2 |
| Unallocated | 1,262 | - | 448 | - |
| Total | $\underline{\underline{\$ 40,822}}$ | 100.0\% | \$40,385 | 100.0 $\%$ |

(1) Percentage of loans in each category to loans receivable.

## Investments and Securities

Our securities portfolio is the second largest component of earning assets and provides a significant source of revenue. Securities within the portfolio are classified as held-to-maturity, available-for-sale, or trading based on the intent and objective of the investment and the ability to hold to maturity. Fair values of securities are based on quoted market prices where available. If quoted market prices are not available, estimated fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable securities. As of March 31, 2009, we had no held-to-maturity or trading securities.
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Securities available-for-sale are reported at fair value with unrealized holding gains and losses reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity as other comprehensive income. Securities that are held as available-for-sale are used as a part of our asset/liability management strategy. Securities may be sold in response to interest rate changes, changes in prepayment risk, the need to increase regulatory capital, and other similar factors are classified as available for sale. Available-for-sale securities were $\$ 334.9$ million as of March 31, 2009, compared to $\$ 355.2$ million as of December 31, 2008. The estimated effective duration of our securities portfolio was 2.9 as of March 31, 2009.

As of March 31, 2009, $\$ 172.0$ million, or $51.3 \%$, of our available-for-sale securities were invested in mortgage-backed securities, compared to $\$ 182.0$ million, or $51.2 \%$, of our available-for-sale securities as of December 31, 2008. To reduce our income tax burden, $\$ 127.7$ million, or $38.1 \%$, of our available-for-sale securities portfolio as of March 31, 2009, was primarily invested in tax-exempt obligations of state and political subdivisions, compared to $\$ 119.8$ million, or $33.7 \%$, of our available-for-sale securities as of December 31, 2008. Also, we had approximately $\$ 32.4$ million, or $9.7 \%$, invested in obligations of U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises as of March 31, 2009, compared to $\$ 50.4$ million, or $14.2 \%$, of our available-for-sale securities as of December 31, 2008. The Company does not have any preferred securities issued by the Federal National Mortgage Association or the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation.

Certain investment securities are valued at less than their historical cost. These declines are primarily the result of the rate for these investments yielding less than current market rates. Based on evaluation of available evidence, we believe the declines in fair value for these securities are temporary. It is our intent to hold these securities to recovery. Should the impairment of any of these securities become other than temporary, the cost basis of the investment will be reduced and the resulting loss recognized in net income in the period the other-than-temporary impairment is identified.
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Table 11 presents the carrying value and fair value of investment securities as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

Table 11: Investment Securities

|  |  |  | As of March 31, 2009 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Deposits

Our deposits averaged $\$ 1.85$ billion for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009. Total deposits decreased $\$ 11.5$ million, or a decrease of $0.62 \%$, to $\$ 1.84$ billion as of March 31, 2009, from $\$ 1.85$ billion as of December 31, 2008. Deposits are our primary source of funds. We offer a variety of products designed to attract and retain deposit customers. Those products consist of checking accounts, regular savings deposits, NOW accounts, money market accounts and certificates of deposit. Deposits are gathered from individuals, partnerships and corporations in our market areas. In addition, we obtain deposits from state and local entities and, to a lesser extent, U.S. Government and other depository institutions.

Our policy also permits the acceptance of brokered deposits. As of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, brokered deposits were $\$ 59.5$ million and $\$ 111.0$ million, respectively. Included in these brokered deposits are $\$ 39.4$ million and $\$ 39.9$ million of Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service (CDARS) as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. CDARS are deposits we have swapped our customer with other institutions. This gives our customer the potential for FDIC insurance of up to $\$ 50$ million.
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The interest rates paid are competitively priced for each particular deposit product and structured to meet our funding requirements. We will continue to manage interest expense through deposit pricing and do not anticipate a significant change in total deposits unless our liquidity position changes. We believe that additional funds can be attracted and deposit growth can be accelerated through deposit pricing if we experience increased loan demand or other liquidity needs.

The Federal Reserve Board sets various benchmark rates, including the Federal Funds rate, and thereby influences the general market rates of interest, including the deposit and loan rates offered by financial institutions. The Federal Funds rate, which is the cost to banks of immediately available overnight funds, began in 2008 at $4.25 \%$. During 2008, the rate decreased by 75 basis points on January 22, 2008, 50 basis points on January 30, 2008, 75 basis points on March 18, 2008, 25 basis points on April 30, 2008 and 50 basis points to a rate of $1.50 \%$ as of October 8, 2008. The rate continued to fall 50 basis points on October 29, 2008 and 75 to 100 basis points to a low of $0.25 \%$ to $0 \%$ on December 16, 2008. Due to the rate reductions occurring late in 2007, its impact for 2007 was minimal. As our earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities began to reprice during 2008, we experienced a more significant decline to our average rates from the lower rate environment.

Table 12 reflects the classification of the average deposits and the average rate paid on each deposit category, which is in excess of 10 percent of average total deposits, for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009 and 2008.

Table 12: Average Deposit Balances and Rates

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
|  | Average Amount | Average Rate Paid | Average Amount | Average Rate Paid |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| Non-interest-bearing transaction accounts | \$ 264,595 | -\% | \$ 237,028 | -\% |
| Interest-bearing transaction accounts | 607,731 | 0.20 | 596,526 | 2.21 |
| Savings deposits | 59,550 | 0.16 | 53,709 | 1.00 |
| Time deposits: |  |  |  |  |
| \$100,000 or more | 496,037 | 0.73 | 525,770 | 4.39 |
| Other time deposits | 417,467 | 0.77 | 391,578 | 4.50 |
| Total | $\underline{\underline{\$ 1,845,380}}$ | 0.44\% | $\underline{\underline{\$ 1,804,611}}$ | 3.01\% |

## Securities Sold Under Agreement to Repurchase

During 2008, the U.S. regulatory agencies implemented the Transaction Account Guarantee Program. Under the Transaction Account Guarantee Program through December 31, 2009, all non-interest bearing transaction accounts are fully guaranteed by the FDIC for the entire amount in the account. Coverage under the Transaction Account Guarantee Program is in addition to and separate from the coverage available under the FDIC's general deposit insurance rules. Since business non-interest bearing accounts currently have unlimited deposit insurance coverage, many of our business customers have chosen to move their money from repurchase agreements to non-interest bearing demand accounts to take advantage of this unlimited coverage. As a result securities, sold under agreement to repurchase decreased $\$ 38.9$ million, or $34.3 \%$, from $\$ 113.4$ million as of December 31,2008 to $\$ 74.5$ million as of March 31, 2009.
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## FHLB Borrowed Funds

Our FHLB borrowed funds were $\$ 277.8$ million as of March 31, 2009. The outstanding balance for March 31, 2009 consists of no short-term FHLB advances and $\$ 277.8$ million of FHLB long-term advances. Our FHLB borrowings were $\$ 283.0$ million as of December 31, 2008. The outstanding balance for December 31, 2008, includes no short-term advances and $\$ 283.0$ million of long-term advances. Our remaining FHLB borrowing capacity was $\$ 145.4$ million and $\$ 191.5$ million as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities, because FHLB may have the right to call or prepay certain obligations.

## Subordinated Debentures

Subordinated debentures, which consist of guaranteed payments on trust preferred securities, were $\$ 47.6$ million as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

Table 13 reflects subordinated debentures as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, which consisted of guaranteed payments on trust preferred securities with the following components:

Table 13: Subordinated Debentures

| As of March 31, 2009 | As of <br> December 31, <br> 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In thousands) |  |  |
| \$ 20,619 | \$ | 20,619 |
| 3,220 |  | 3,243 |
| 5,155 |  | 5,155 |
| 15,465 |  | 15,465 |
| 3,093 |  | 3,093 |
| \$ 47,552 | \$ | 47,575 |

The trust preferred securities are tax-advantaged issues that qualify for Tier 1 capital treatment subject to certain limitations. Distributions on these securities are included in interest expense. Each of the trusts is a statutory business trust organized for the sole purpose of issuing trust securities and investing the proceeds in our subordinated debentures, the sole asset of each trust. The trust preferred securities of each trust represent preferred beneficial interests in the assets of the respective trusts and are subject to mandatory redemption upon payment of the subordinated debentures held by the trust. We wholly own the common securities of each trust. Each trust's ability to pay amounts due on the trust preferred securities is solely dependent upon our making payment on the related subordinated debentures. Our obligations under the subordinated securities and other relevant trust agreements, in aggregate, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by us of each respective trust's obligations under the trust securities issued by each respective trust.
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Presently, the funds raised from the trust preferred offerings qualify as Tier 1 capital for regulatory purposes, subject to the applicable limit, with the balance qualifying as Tier 2 capital.

The Company holds two trust preferred securities which are currently callable without penalty based on the terms of the specific agreements. The 2009 agreement between the Company and the Treasury limits our ability to retire any of our qualifying capital. As a result, the notes previously mentioned are not currently eligible to be paid off.

## Stockholders' Equity

Stockholders' equity was $\$ 338.8$ million at March 31, 2009 compared to $\$ 283.0$ million at December 31, 2008, an increase of $19.7 \%$. As of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 our common equity to asset ratio was $11.20 \%$. Book value per common share was $\$ 14.58$ at March 31, 2009 compared to $\$ 14.25$ at December 31, 2008, a $2.3 \%$ increase.

Troubled Asset Relief Program. On January 16, 2009, we issued and sold, and the United States Department of the Treasury purchased, (1) 50,000 shares of the Company's Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock Series A, liquidation preference of $\$ 1,000$ per share, and (2) a ten-year warrant to purchase up to 288,129 shares of the Company's common stock, par value $\$ 0.01$ per share, at an exercise price of $\$ 26.03$ per share, for an aggregate purchase price of $\$ 50.0$ million in cash. Cumulative dividends on the Preferred Shares will accrue on the liquidation preference at a rate of $5 \%$ per annum for the first five years, and at a rate of $9 \%$ per annum thereafter.

These preferred shares will qualify as Tier 1 capital. The preferred shares will be callable at par after three years. Prior to the end of three years, the preferred shares may be redeemed with the proceeds from a qualifying equity offering of any Tier 1 perpetual preferred or common stock. The Treasury must approve any quarterly cash dividend on our common stock above $\$ 0.06$ per share or share repurchases until three years from the date of the investment unless the shares are paid off in whole or transferred to a third party.

Cash Dividends. We declared cash dividends on our common stock of $\$ 0.060$ and $\$ 0.046$ (stock dividend adjusted) per share for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The common per share amounts are reflective of the $8 \%$ stock dividend during 2008. The 2009 agreement between the Company and the Treasury limits the payment of dividends on the Common Stock to a quarterly cash dividend of not more than $\$ 0.06$ per share.

Stock Dividends. On July 16, 2008, our Board of Directors declared an 8\% stock dividend which was paid August 27, 2008 to stockholders of record as of August 13, 2008. Except for fractional shares, the holders' of our common stock received 8\% additional common stock on August 27, 2008. The common stockholders did not receive fractional shares; instead they received cash at a rate equal to the closing price of a share on August 28, 2008 times the fraction of a share they otherwise would have been entitled to.

All common share and common per share amounts have been restated to reflect the retroactive effect of the stock dividend. After issuance, this stock dividend lowered our total capital position by approximately $\$ 13,000$ as a result of the cash paid in lieu of fractional shares. Our financial statements reflect an increase in the number of outstanding shares of common stock, an increase in surplus and reduction of retained earnings.
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Repurchase Program. On January 18, 2008, we announced the adoption by our Board of Directors of a stock repurchase program. The program authorizes us to repurchase up to $1,080,000$ shares (stock dividend adjusted) of our common stock. Under the repurchase program, there is no time limit for the stock repurchases, nor is there a minimum number of shares that we intend to repurchase. The repurchase program may be suspended or discontinued at any time without prior notices. The timing and amount of any repurchases will be determined by management, based on its evaluation of current market conditions and other factors. The stock repurchase program will be funded using our cash balances, which we believe are adequate to support the stock repurchase program and our normal operations. As of March 31, 2009, we have not repurchased any shares in the program. The 2009 agreement between the Company and the Treasury limits our ability to repurchase common stock.

## Liquidity and Capital Adequacy Requirements

Risk-Based Capital. We as well as our bank subsidiaries are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and other discretionary actions by regulators that, if enforced, could have a direct material effect on our financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, we must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of our assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Our capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators as to components, risk weightings and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require us to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets, and of Tier 1 capital to average assets. Management believes that, as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we met all regulatory capital adequacy requirements to which we were subject.
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Table 14 presents our risk-based capital ratios as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

## Table 14: Risk-Based Capital

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { As of } \\ \text { March 31, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { As of } \\ \text { December 31, } \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |
| Tier 1 capital |  |  |
| Stockholders' equity | \$ 338,813 | \$ 283,044 |
| Qualifying trust preferred securities | 46,000 | 46,000 |
| Goodwill and core deposit intangibles, net | $(56,601)$ | $(53,803)$ |
| Unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities | 2,152 | 3,375 |
| Servicing assets | (174) | (189) |
| Total Tier 1 capital | 330,190 | 278,427 |
| Tier 2 capital |  |  |
| Qualifying allowance for loan losses | 28,115 | 27,573 |
| Total Tier 2 capital | 28,115 | 27,573 |
| Total risk-based capital | \$ 358,305 | \$ 306,000 |
| Average total assets for leverage ratio | \$2,541,462 | \$ 2,562,044 |
| Risk weighted assets | \$2,236,499 | \$2,193,001 |
| Ratios at end of period |  |  |
| Leverage ratio | 12.99\% | 10.87\% |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital | 14.76 | 12.70 |
| Total risk-based capital | 16.02 | 13.95 |
| Minimum guidelines |  |  |
| Leverage ratio | 4.00\% | 4.00\% |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Total risk-based capital | 8.00 | 8.00 |

As of the most recent notification from regulatory agencies, our bank subsidiaries were "well-capitalized" under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as "well-capitalized", our banking subsidiaries and we must maintain minimum leverage, Tier 1 risk-based capital, and total risk-based capital ratios as set forth in the table. There are no conditions or events since that notification that we believe have changed the bank subsidiaries’ categories.

Table 15 presents actual capital amounts and ratios as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, for our bank subsidiaries and us.

Table 15: Capital and Ratios

|  | Actual |  | For Capital <br> Adequacy Purposes |  | To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provision |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |  | Amount | Ratio |
|  |  |  | (Dollars | nds) |  |  |  |
| As of March 31, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leverage ratios: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home BancShares | \$330,190 | 12.99\% | \$101,675 | 4.00\% |  | \$ N/A | N/A\% |
| Centennial Bank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Formerly FSB) | 148,392 | 9.16 | 64,800 | 4.00 |  | 81,000 | 5.00 |
| Twin City Bank | 71,499 | 9.79 | 29,213 | 4.00 |  | 36,516 | 5.00 |
| Centennial Bank | 23,875 | 9.50 | 10,053 | 4.00 |  | 12,566 | 5.00 |
| Tier 1 capital ratios: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home BancShares | \$330,190 | 14.76\% | \$ 89,482 | 4.00\% |  | \$ N/A | 6.00\% |
| Centennial Bank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Formerly FSB) | 148,392 | 10.81 | 54,909 | 4.00 |  | 82,364 | 6.00 |
| Twin City Bank | 71,499 | 11.03 | 25,929 | 4.00 |  | 38,893 | 6.00 |
| Centennial Bank | 23,875 | 10.97 | 8,706 | 4.00 |  | 13,058 | 6.00 |
| Total risk-based capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home BancShares | \$358,305 | 16.02\% | \$178,929 | 8.00\% |  | \$ N/A | 10.00\% |
| Centennial Bank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Formerly FSB) | 165,688 | 12.06 | 109,909 | 8.00 |  | 137,386 | 10.00 |
| Twin City Bank | 79,606 | 12.28 | 51,861 | 8.00 |  | 64,826 | 10.00 |
| Centennial Bank | 26,624 | 12.23 | 17,416 | 8.00 |  | 21,769 | 10.00 |
| As of December 31, 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leverage ratios: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home BancShares | \$278,427 | 10.87\% | \$102,457 | 4.00\% |  | \$ N/A | N/A\% |
| Centennial Bank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Formerly FSB) | 89,791 | 8.68 | 41,378 | 4.00 |  | 51,723 | 5.00 |
| Community Bank | 37,957 | 9.33 | 16,273 | 4.00 |  | 20,341 | 5.00 |
| Twin City Bank | 68,810 | 9.53 | 28,881 | 4.00 |  | 36,102 | 5.00 |
| Bank of Mountain View | 16,764 | 9.65 | 6,949 | 4.00 |  | 8,686 | 5.00 |
| Centennial Bank | 23,105 | 8.81 | 10,490 | 4.00 |  | 13,113 | 5.00 |
| Tier 1 capital ratios: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home BancShares | \$278,427 | 12.70\% | \$ 87,694 | 4.00\% |  | \$ N/A | N/A\% |
| Centennial Bank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Formerly FSB) | 89,791 | 10.02 | 35,845 | 4.00 |  | 53,767 | 6.00 |
| Community Bank | 37,957 | 11.14 | 13,629 | 4.00 |  | 20,444 | 6.00 |
| Twin City Bank | 68,810 | 10.73 | 25,651 | 4.00 |  | 38,477 | 6.00 |
| Bank of Mountain View | 16,764 | 14.99 | 4,473 | 4.00 |  | 6,710 | 6.00 |
| Centennial Bank | 23,105 | 11.01 | 8,394 | 4.00 |  | 12,591 | 6.00 |
| Total risk-based capital ratios: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home BancShares | \$306,000 | 13.95\% | \$175,484 | 8.00\% |  | \$ N/A | N/A\% |
| Centennial Bank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Formerly FSB) | 101,071 | 11.28 | 71,682 | 8.00 |  | 89,602 | 10.00 |
| Community Bank | 42,260 | 12.40 | 27,265 | 8.00 |  | 34,081 | 10.00 |
| Twin City Bank | 76,823 | 11.98 | 51,301 | 8.00 |  | 64,126 | 10.00 |
| Bank of Mountain View | 18,115 | 16.19 | 8,951 | 8.00 |  | 11,189 | 10.00 |
| Centennial Bank | 25,758 | 12.27 | 16,794 | 8.00 |  | 20,993 | 10.00 |

http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1331520/000095013409009688...
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## Non-GAAP Financial Measurements

We had $\$ 59.2$ million, $\$ 56.6$ million, and $\$ 57.8$ million total goodwill, core deposit intangibles and other intangible assets as of March 31, 2009, December 31, 2008 and March 31, 2008, respectively. Because of our level of intangible assets and related amortization expenses, management believes diluted cash earnings per share, tangible book value per common share, cash return on average assets, cash return on average tangible equity and tangible equity to tangible assets are useful in evaluating our company. These calculations, which are similar to the GAAP calculation of diluted earnings per share, book value, return on average assets, return on average stockholders' equity, and equity to assets, are presented in Tables 16 through 20, respectively. Per share amounts for March 31, 2008 have been adjusted for the retroactive effect of the stock dividend which occurred in August of 2008.

Table 16: Diluted Cash Earnings Per Share

GAAP net income available to common stockholders
Intangible amortization after-tax
Cash earnings available to common stockholders
GAAP diluted earnings per share
Intangible amortization after-tax
Diluted cash earnings per share

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0.28 |  | 0.36 |
|  | 0.02 |  |  |
|  |  | 0.01 |  |
|  |  | 0.30 |  |

Table 17: Tangible Book Value Per Share

Book value per common share: A/B
Tangible book value per common share: (A-C-D)/B
(A) Total common equity
(B) Common shares outstanding
(C) Goodwill

| As of <br> March 31, <br> 2009 | As of <br> December 31, <br> 2008 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\begin{array}{ccc}\text { (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) } \\ \$ ~ & 14.58 & \$ \\ & 11.60 & \\ & & 11.25 \\ & & \end{array}\right)$ |  |

(D) Core deposit and other intangibles

| $\$ 289,674$ | $\$ 283,044$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 19,865 | 19,860 |
| 53,138 | 50,038 |
| 6,084 | 6,547 |

Table 18: Cash Return on Average Assets

Return on average assets: A/C
Cash return on average assets: B/(C-D)
(A) GAAP net income Intangible amortization after-tax
(B) Cash earnings
(C) Average assets
(D) Average goodwill, core deposits and other intangible assets

| Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
|  | 0.97\% |  | 1.15\% |
|  | 1.04 |  | 1.22 |
| \$ | 6,245 | \$ | 7,278 |
|  | 281 |  | 282 |
| \$ | 6,526 | \$ | 7,560 |
| \$2,598,237 |  |  | 550,531 |
|  | 57,032 |  | 58,098 |

Table 19: Cash Return on Average Tangible Common Equity

Return on average common equity: A/C
Return on average tangible common equity: $B /(C-D)$

| Three Months Ended <br> March 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | $\frac{\mathbf{2 0 0 8}}{c}$ |
| (Dollars in thousands) |  |
| $8.02 \%$ | $10.35 \%$ |
| 10.50 | 13.53 |
|  |  |
| $\$ 5,679$ | $\$$ |
| 5,960 | 7,278 |
| 287,193 | 282,760 |
| 57,032 | 58,098 |

Table 20: Tangible Common Equity to Tangible Assets

Common equity to assets: $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{A}$
Tangible common equity to tangible assets: (B-C-D)/(A-C-D)

| As of <br> March 31, <br> 2009 | As of <br> December 31, |
| :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) <br> $11.20 \%$ | $10.97 \%$ |
| 9.12 | 8.97 |

(A) Total assets

| $\$ 2,586,151$ | $\$ 2,580,093$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 289,674 | 283,044 |
| 53,138 | 50,038 |
| 6,084 | 6,547 |
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## Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS 141(R), "Business Combinations," which established principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in an acquiree, including the recognition and measurement of goodwill acquired in a business combination. The adoption of this standard did not have a material effect on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS 161, "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of SFAS No. 133." SFAS 161 amended and expanded the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 133 for derivative instruments and hedging activities. SFAS 161 requires qualitative disclosure about objectives and strategies for using derivative and hedging instruments, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts of the instruments and gains and losses on such instruments, as well as disclosures about credit-risk features in derivative agreements. The adoption of this standard did not have a material effect on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

On April 9, 2009, the FASB finalized three FASB Staff Positions ("FSPs") regarding the accounting treatment for investments including mortgage-backed securities. These FSPs changed the method for determining if an Other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") exists and the amount of OTTI to be recorded through an entity's income statement. The changes brought about by the FSPs provide greater clarity and reflect a more accurate representation of the credit and noncredit components of an OTTI event. The four FSPs are as follows:

- FSP "SFAS 157-4 Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Assets or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly" provides guidelines for making fair value measurements more consistent with the principles presented in SFAS 157, "Fair Value Measurements."
- FSP "SFAS 115-2 and SFAS 124-2, Recognition and Presentation of Other-than-temporary Impairments" provides additional guidance designed to create greater clarity and consistency in accounting for and presenting impairment losses on securities.
- FSP "SFAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments" enhances consistency in financial reporting by increasing the frequency of fair value disclosures.

These staff positions are effective for financial statements issued for periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early application possible for the first quarter of 2009. The Company elected not to adopt any of the above positions early. The Company has not completed its evaluation of the impact of these standards on its results of operation and financial position.

## Item 3: QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

## Liquidity and Market Risk Management

Liquidity Management. Liquidity refers to the ability or the financial flexibility to manage future cash flows to meet the needs of depositors and borrowers and fund operations. Maintaining appropriate levels of liquidity allows us to have sufficient funds available for reserve requirements, customer demand for loans, withdrawal of deposit balances and maturities of deposits and other liabilities. Our primary source of liquidity at our holding company is dividends paid by our bank subsidiaries. Applicable statutes and regulations impose restrictions on the amount of dividends that may be declared by our bank subsidiaries. Further, any dividend payments are subject to the continuing ability of the bank subsidiary to maintain compliance with minimum federal regulatory capital requirements and to retain its characterization under federal regulations as a "well-capitalized" institution.

Each of our bank subsidiaries has potential obligations resulting from the issuance of standby letters of credit and commitments to fund future borrowings to our loan customers. Many of these obligations and commitments to fund future borrowings to our loans customers are expected to expire without being drawn upon, therefore the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements affecting our liquidity position.

Liquidity needs can be met from either assets or liabilities. On the asset side, our primary sources of liquidity include cash and due from banks, federal funds sold, available-for-sale investment securities and scheduled repayments and maturities of loans. We maintain adequate levels of cash and cash equivalents to meet our day-to-day needs. As of March 31, 2009, our cash and cash equivalents were $\$ 50.4$ million, or $1.9 \%$ of total assets, compared to $\$ 54.2$ million, or $2.1 \%$ of total assets, as of December 31, 2008. Our investment securities and federal funds sold were $\$ 350.4$ million, or $13.6 \%$ of total assets, as of March 31, 2009 and $\$ 363.1$ million, or $14.1 \%$ of total assets, as of December 31, 2008.

We may occasionally use our Fed funds lines of credit in order to temporarily satisfy short-term liquidity needs. We have Fed funds lines with three other financial institutions pursuant to which we could have borrowed up to $\$ 38.3$ million and $\$ 84.1$ million on an unsecured basis as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. These lines may be terminated by the respective lending institutions at any time.

We also maintain lines of credit with the Federal Home Loan Bank. Our FHLB borrowings were $\$ 277.8$ million as of March 31, 2009 and $\$ 283.0$ million as of December 31, 2008. The outstanding balance for March 31, 2009 included no short-term advances and $\$ 277.8$ million of FHLB long-term advances. The outstanding balance for December 31, 2008, included no short-term advances and $\$ 283.0$ million of FHLB long-term advances. Our FHLB borrowing capacity was $\$ 189.1$ million and $\$ 191.5$ million as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

We believe that we have sufficient liquidity to satisfy our current operations.
Market Risk Management. Our primary component of market risk is interest rate volatility. Fluctuations in interest rates will ultimately impact both the level of income and expense recorded on a large portion of our assets and liabilities, and the market value of all interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, other than those which possess a short term to maturity. We do not hold market risk sensitive instruments for trading purposes. The information provided should be read in connection with our audited consolidated financial statements.

Asset/Liability Management. Our management actively measures and manages interest rate risk. The asset/liability committees of the boards of directors of our holding company and bank subsidiaries are also responsible for approving our asset/liability management policies, overseeing the formulation and implementation of strategies to improve balance sheet positioning and earnings, and reviewing our interest rate sensitivity position.
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One of the tools that our management uses to measure short-term interest rate risk is a net interest income simulation model. This analysis calculates the difference between net interest income forecasted using base market rates and using a rising and a falling interest rate scenario. The income simulation model includes various assumptions regarding the re-pricing relationships for each of our products. Many of our assets are floating rate loans, which are assumed to re-price immediately, and proportional to the change in market rates, depending on their contracted index. Some loans and investments include the opportunity of prepayment (embedded options), and accordingly the simulation model uses indexes to estimate these prepayments and reinvest their proceeds at current yields. Our non-term deposit products re-price more slowly, usually changing less than the change in market rates and at our discretion.

This analysis indicates the impact of changes in net interest income for the given set of rate changes and assumptions. It assumes the balance sheet remains static and that its structure does not change over the course of the year. It does not account for all factors that impact this analysis, including changes by management to mitigate the impact of interest rate changes or secondary impacts such as changes to our credit risk profile as interest rates change.

Furthermore, loan prepayment rate estimates and spread relationships change regularly. Interest rate changes create changes in actual loan prepayment rates that will differ from the market estimates incorporated in this analysis. Changes that vary significantly from the assumptions may have significant effects on our net interest income.

Interest Rate Sensitivity. Our primary business is banking and the resulting earnings, primarily net interest income, are susceptible to changes in market interest rates. It is management's goal to maximize net interest income within acceptable levels of interest rate and liquidity risks.

A key element in the financial performance of financial institutions is the level and type of interest rate risk assumed. The single most significant measure of interest rate risk is the relationship of the repricing periods of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The more closely the repricing periods are correlated, the less interest rate risk we assume. We use repricing gap and simulation modeling as the primary methods in analyzing and managing interest rate risk.

Gap analysis attempts to capture the amounts and timing of balances exposed to changes in interest rates at a given point in time. As of March 31, 2009, our gap position was slightly asset sensitive with a one-year cumulative repricing gap of $7.4 \%$, compared to $4.1 \%$ as of December 31, 2008. During these periods, the amount of change our asset base realizes in relation to the total change in market interest rate exceeds that of the liability base.

We have a portion of our securities portfolio invested in mortgage-backed securities. Mortgage-backed securities are included based on their final maturity date. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.
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Table 21 presents a summary of the repricing schedule of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities (gap) as of March 31, 2009.

Table 21: Interest Rate Sensitivity

| Interest Rate Sensitivity Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline 0-30 \\ \text { Days } \end{gathered}$ | 31-90 Days | $\begin{gathered} \hline 91-180 \\ \text { Days } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 181-365 \\ \text { Days } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1-2 \\ \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2-5 \\ \text { Years } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Over 5 Years | Total |
| (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ 9,025 | \$ - | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 9,025 |
| 15,510 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15,510 |
| 32,497 | 16,482 | 27,956 | 55,151 | 40,208 | 65,712 | 96,910 | 334,916 |
| 720,099 | 136,521 | 158,466 | 253,291 | 377,306 | 311,809 | 9,080 | 1,966,572 |
| 777,131 | 153,003 | 186,422 | 308,442 | 417,514 | 377,521 | 105,990 | 2,326,023 |

Interest-bearing
liabilities
Interest-bearing transaction and savings deposits
Time deposits
Federal funds purchased Securities sold under repurchase agreements
FHLB borrowed funds
Subordinated debentures
Total interest-
bearing
liabilities
Interest rate
sensitivity gap
Cumulative interest
rate sensitivity
gap
Cumulative rate sensitive assets to rate sensitive liabilities Cumulative gap as a \% of total earning assets

| $\$ 517,027$ | $\$(56,012)$ | $\$(133,964)$ | $\$(153,882)$ | $\$ 142,805$ | $\$ 145,331$ | $\$(74,440)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 517,027$ | $\$ 461,015$ | $\$ 327,051$ | $\$ 173,169$ | $\$ 315,974$ | $\$ 461,305$ | $\$ 386,865$ |


| $\$ 517,027$ | $\$(56,012)$ | $\$(133,964)$ | $\$(153,882)$ | $\$ 142,805$ | $\$ 145,331$ | $\$(74,440)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 517,027$ | $\$ 461,015$ | $\$ 327,051$ | $\$ 173,169$ | $\$ 315,974$ | $\$ 461,305$ | $\$ 386,865$ |


| $\$ 517,027$ | $\$(56,012)$ | $\$(133,964)$ | $\$(153,882)$ | $\$ 142,805$ | $\$ 145,331$ | $\$(74,440)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 517,027$ | $\$ 461,015$ | $\$ 327,051$ | $\$ 173,169$ | $\$ 315,974$ | $\$ 461,305$ | $\$ 386,865$ |


| $\$ 517,027$ | $\$(56,012)$ | $\$(133,964)$ | $\$(153,882)$ | $\$ 142,805$ | $\$ 145,331$ | $\$(74,440)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 517,027$ | $\$ 461,015$ | $\$ 327,051$ | $\$ 173,169$ | $\$ 315,974$ | $\$ 461,305$ | $\$ 386,865$ |


| $\$ 517,027$ | $\$(56,012)$ | $\$(133,964)$ | $\$(153,882)$ | $\$ 142,805$ | $\$ 145,331$ | $\$(74,440)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 517,027$ | $\$ 461,015$ | $\$ 327,051$ | $\$ 173,169$ | $\$ 315,974$ | $\$ 461,305$ | $\$ 386,865$ |


| 24,477 | 48,955 | 73,432 | 146,863 | 123,728 | 123,728 | 123,781 | 664,964 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 133,758 | 155,025 | 241,900 | 257,466 | 65,428 | 20,755 | 5 | 874,337 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65,786 | - | - | - | 1,207 | 3,622 | 3,863 | 74,478 |
| 10,301 | 5,020 | 5,031 | 57,950 | 84,309 | 84,085 | 31,131 | 277,827 |
| 25,782 | 15 | 23 | 45 | 37 | - | 21,650 | 47,552 |



| 260,104 | 209,015 | 320,386 | 462,324 | 274,709 | 232,190 | 180,430 | $1,939,158$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

( 5

Earning assets
Interest-bearing deposits due from banks Federal funds Investment securities
Loans receivable Total earning assets
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## Item 4: CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

## Article I. Evaluation of Disclosure Controls

Based on their evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms. Additionally, our disclosure controls and procedures were also effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act report is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

## Article II. Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have not been any changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended March 31, 2009, which have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.
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## PART II: OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

There are no material pending legal proceedings, other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to its business, to which Home BancShares, Inc. or any of its subsidiaries is a party or of which any of their property is the subject.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

There were no material changes from the risk factors set forth in Part I, Item 1A, "Risk Factors," of our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. See the discussion of our risk factors in the Form 10-K, as filed with the SEC. The risks described are not the only risks facing the Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

## Item 2: Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

## Item 3: Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable.

## Item 4: Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Not applicable.

## Item 5: Other Information

Not applicable.
Item 6: Exhibits
15 Awareness of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
31.1 CEO Certification Pursuant Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
31.2 CFO Certification Pursuant Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
32.1 CEO Certification Pursuant 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes - Oxley Act of 2002
32.2 CFO Certification Pursuant 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes - Oxley Act of 2002

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

## HOME BANCSHARES, INC.

(Registrant)

Date: April 29, 2009

Date: April 29, 2009
/s/ John W. Allison
John W. Allison, Chief Executive Officer
/s/ Randy E. Mayor
Randy E. Mayor, Chief Financial Officer
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