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#### Abstract

Part I-Financial Information 1) Management's D iscussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (Item 2) 

Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 1350

\section*{"Safe Harbor" Statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995}

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements. Statements that are not historical or current facts, including statements about beliefs and expectations, are forward-looking statements. These statements often include the words "may," "could," "would," "should," "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "estimates," "intends," "plans," "targets," "potentially," "probably," "projects," "outlook" or similar expressions. These forward-looking statements cover, among other things, anticipated futur revenue and expenses and the future plans and prospects of U.S. Bancorp. Forward-looking statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties, and important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated, including changes in in the value of securities held in our investment securities portfolio, legal and regulatory developments, increased competition from both banks and non-banks, changes in customer behavior and preferences, effects of mergers and acquisitions and related integration, effects of critical accounting policies and judgments, and management's ability to effectively manage credit risk, market risk, operational risk, legal risk and regulatory and compliance risk. For discussion of these and other risks that may cause actual results to differ from expectations, refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the sections entitled "Risk Factors" and "Corporate Risk Profile." Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and U.S. Bancorp undertakes no obligation to update them in light of new information or future events.


Table 1 Selected Financial Data

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Three Months Ended } \\
\text { June } 30 \text {, }
\end{gathered}
\]} \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Six Months Ended June 30} \\
\hline (Dollars and Shares in Milions, Except Per Share Data) \& 2008 \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} \& Percent
Change \& 2008 \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{2007} \& Percent
Change \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Condensed Income Statement} \\
\hline Net interest income (taxable-equivalent basis) (a) \& 1,908 \& \$ \& 1,650 \& 15.6\% \& 3,738 \& \& \& 12.7\% \\
\hline Noninterest income
Securties gains (losses), net \& \({ }^{1,955}\) \& \& \begin{tabular}{|c}
1,882 \\
3
\end{tabular} \& \({ }^{3.9}\) * \& 4,250
\((314)\) \& \& 3,604 \& \({ }^{17.9}\) \\
\hline Securrities gains (losses), net
Total net revenue \& 3,800 \& \& \({ }^{3.535}\) \& 75 \& 7.674 \& \& 6.924 \& 10.8 \\
\hline Noninterest expense \& 1,835 \& \& 1,670 \& 9.9 \& 3,631 \& \& \({ }_{3,242}\) \& 12.0 \\
\hline Provision for credit losses \& 596 \& \& 191 \& \& 1,081 \& \& 368 \& \\
\hline Income before taxes \& 1,369 \& \& 1,674 \& \({ }^{(18.2)}\) \& 2,962 \& \& 3,314 \& \({ }^{(10.6)}\) \\
\hline Taxable-equivalent adjustment
Applicabl income taxes \& 33
386 \& \& 18
500 \& \({ }_{\text {(22.8) }}^{83.3}\) \& 600 \& \& 35
993 \& \({ }_{\text {c }}^{71.4}\) (13.2) \\
\hline Net income \& 950 \& \$ \& 1,156 \& (17.8) \& \$ 2,040 \& \& 2,286 \& (10.8) \\
\hline Net income applicable to common equity \& 928 \& \$ \& 1,141 \& (18.7) \& 2,006 \& \& 2,256 \& (11.1) \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Per Common Share} \\
\hline Earnings per share \& . 53 \& \$ \& \({ }_{6}^{66}\) \& \({ }^{(19.7) \%}\) \& \({ }_{1}^{1.16}\) \& \& 1.29 \& (10.1)\% \\
\hline Diluted earnings per share
Dividends declared per share \& .53
.425 \& \& 65
.600 \& \(\underset{\substack{\text { (18.5) }}}{(4)}\) \& 1.14
850 \& \& 1.27
800 \& \({ }_{6.3}^{(10.2)}\) \\
\hline Book value per share \& 11.67 \& \& 11.19 \& \({ }_{4.4}^{6.3}\) \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Market value per share \& 27.89 \& \& 32.95 \& (15.4) \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Average common shares outstanding \& 1,740 \& \& 1,736 \& \({ }^{2}\) \& 1,735 \& \& 1,744 \& (.5) \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Financial Ratios \({ }^{\text {a }}\)}} \& (.2) \& 1,752 \& \& \& (1.0) \\
\hline \& \& \& 2.09\% \& \& 1.71\% \& \& 209\% \& \\
\hline Return on average common equity \& \({ }_{17.9}^{1.56 \%}\) \& \& \({ }_{23.0}^{2.09 \%}\) \& \& \({ }_{19.6}^{19.7}\) \& \& \({ }_{22.7}^{2.09 \%}\) \& \\
\hline Net interest margin (taxable-equivalent basis) (a) \& 3.61 \& \& 3.44 \& \& 3.58 \& \& 3.47 \& \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Average Balances}} \& \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 9.6\% \\
\hline Loans held for sale \& 3,417 \& \& 4,334 \& (21.2) \& 4,267 \& \& 4,090 \& 4.3 \\
\hline Investment securities \& 42,999 \& \& 40,704 \& 5.6 \& 43,446 \& \& 40,791 \& 6.5 \\
\hline Earning assets \& 212,029 \& \& 192,301 \& \({ }^{10.3}\) \& 209,552 \& \& 191,721 \& 9.3 \\
\hline Assets
Noninterest-bearing deposits \& 242,221

27,851 \& \& ${ }_{\text {222,022 }}$ \& ${ }_{\text {9, }}^{\text {(5) }}$ \& $\begin{array}{r}239,448 \\ 27485 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& \& ${ }_{220,774}$ \& ${ }^{8.5}$ <br>
\hline Deposits \& 135,809 \& \& 118,975 \& 14.1 \& 133,333 \& \& 119,847 \& 11.3 <br>
\hline Short-term borrowings \& 38,018 \& \& 29,524 \& 28.8 \& 36,954 \& \& 28,114 \& 31.4 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Sharenolders' equity} \& 37,879 \& \& 44,655 \& ${ }^{(15.2)}$ \& 38,851 \& \& 43.804 \& ${ }^{11.3)}$ <br>
\hline \& 22,320 \& \& 20.895 \& 6.8 \& 21.899 \& \& 21.052 \& 4.0 <br>
\hline \& June 30,
2008 \& \& mber 31. \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Period End Balances} <br>
\hline Loans \& \$165,890 \& \$ \& 153,827 \& 7.8\% \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Allowance for credit losses
Investment securities \& 2,648 \& \& 2,260
43,116 \& 17.2
$(4.6)$ \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Assets \& 246,538 \& \& 237,615 \& 3.8 \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Deposits \& 135,131 \& \& 131,445 \& 2.8 \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Long-term debt \& ${ }^{39,943}$ \& \& ${ }^{43,440}$ \& (8.1) \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Shareholders' e eutit } \\ \text { Regulatory capita ratios } & \text { 21,828 }\end{array}$} <br>
\hline Tier 1 capital \& 8.5\% \& \& 8.3\% \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Total risk-based capital \& $\begin{array}{r}12.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& \& 12.2 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Leverabe
Tangible common equity \& 7.9
5.2 \& \& 7.9
5.1 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

(a) Presented on a fully taxable-equivalent basis utiring a tax rate of 35 percent
(b) Computed as noninterest expense divided by the sum of net interest income
(b) Computed as noninterest expense divided by the sum of net interest income on a taxable-equivalent basis and noninterest income excluding securities gains (losses), net.

## OVERVIEW

Earnings Summary U.S. Bancorp and its subsidiaries (the "Company") reported net income of $\$ 950$ million for the second quarter of 2008 or $\$ .53$ per diluted common share, compared with $\$ 1,156$ million, or $\$ .65$ per diluted common share for the second quarter of 2007. Return on average assets and return on average common equity were 1.58 percent and 17.9 percent, respectively, for the second quarter of 2008, compared with returns of 2.09 percent and 23.0 percent, respectively, for the second quarter of 2007. Significant items included in the second quarter of 2008 results were net securities losses of $\$ 63$ million, which primarily reflected impairment charges on structured investment securities, and an incremental provision for credit losses, which exceeded net charge-offs by $\$ 200$ million. Together these items reduced earnings per diluted common share by approximately $\$ .11$

Total net revenue, on a taxable-equivalent basis, for the second quarter of 2008, was $\$ 265$ million ( 7.5 percent) higher than the second quarter of 2007, reflecting a 15.6 percent increase in net interest income and a modest increase in noninterest income. The increase in net interest income from a year ago was driven by growth in earning assets and an improvement in the net

Total noninterest expense in the second quarter of 2008 was $\$ 165$ million ( 99 percent) higher than in the second
presence and strengthen customer relationships, including investments in relationship managers, branch initiatives and Wealth Management and Payment Services businesses. The increase in operating expense also included higher credit collection costs and
incremental costs associated with investments in tax-advantaged projects.
The provision for credit losses for the second quarter of 2008 increased $\$ 405$ million over the second quarter of 2007 . This reflected an increase to the allowance for credit losses of $\$ 200$ million in the second quarter of 2008 . The increases in the
provision and allowance for credit losses from a year ago reflected continuing stress in the residential real estate markets, including homebuilding and related supplier industries, driven by declining home prices in most geographic regions. It also reflected provision and allowance for credit losses from a year ago reflected continuing stress in the residential real estate markets, including homebuilding and related supplier industries, driven by declining home prices in most geographic regions. It also reflected the current economic conditions and the corresponding impact on the commercial and consumer loan portfolios. Net charge-offs in the second quarter of 2008 were $\$ 396$ million, compared with $\$ 191$ million in the second quarter of 2007 . Refer to
Profile" for further information on the provision for credit losses, net charge-offs, nonperforming assets and factors considered by the Company in assessing the credit quality of the loan portfolio and establishing the allowance for credit losses.

The Company reported net income of $\$ 2,040$ million for the first six months of 2008 , or $\$ 1.14$ per diluted common share, compared with $\$ 2,286$ million, or $\$ 1.27$ per diluted common share for the first six months of 2007 . Return on average assets and return on average common equity were 1.71 percent and 19.6 percent, respectively, for the first six months of 2008, compared with returns of 2.09 percent and 22.7 percent, respectively, for the first six months of 2007 . Several significant items were reflected in the Company's results for the first six months of 2008, including a $\$ 492$ million gain related to the Visa Inc. initial public offering that occurred in March 2008 ("Visa Gain"), an unfavorable change in net securities gains (losses) of $\$ 318$ million, which primarily reflected impairment charges on structured investment securities, and an incremental provision for credit losses, which exceeded net charge-offs by $\$ 392$ million. The first six months of 2008 also included a $\$ 62$ million reduction in pretax income related to the adoption of a new accounting standard, a $\$ 25$ million contribution to the U.S. Bancorp Foundation and a $\$ 22$ million accrual for certain litigation matters.

Total net revenue, on a taxable-equivalent basis, for the first six months of 2008, was $\$ 750$ million ( 10.8 percent) higher than the first six months of 2007, reflecting a 12.7 percent increase in net interest income and a 9.1 percent increase in noninterest income. The increase in net interest income from a year ago was driven by growth in earning assets and an improved net interest margin. Noninterest income growth was driven by organic business growth and the Visa Gain, partially offset by impairment charges on structured investment securities, higher retail lease residual losses and the adoption of a new accounting standard during the first six months of 2008 .

Total noninterest expense in the first six months of 2008 was $\$ 389$ million ( 12.0 percent) higher than in the first six months of 2007, primarily due to investments in business initiatives, higher credit collection costs and incremental expenses associated with investments in tax-advantaged projects.

The provision for credit losses for the first six months of 2008 increased $\$ 713$ million over the same period of 2007 . This reflected an increase to the allowance for credit losses of $\$ 392$ million in the first six months of 2008 . The increases in the provision and allowance for credit losses from a year ago reflected continuing stress in the residential real estate markets, including homebuilding and related supplier industries, driven by declining home prices in most geographic regions. It also reflected the Profile" for further information on the provision for credit losses, net charge-offs, nonperforming assets and factors considered by the Company in assessing the credit quality of the loan portfolio and establishing the allowance for credit losses.

## STATEMENT OF INCOME ANALYSIS

Net Interest Income Net interest income, on a taxable-equivalent basis, was $\$ 1,908$ million in the second quarter of 2008 , compared with $\$ 1,650$ million in the second quarter of 2007 . Net interest income, on a taxable-equivalent basis, was $\$ 3,738$ million in the first six months of 2008, compared with $\$ 3,316$ million in the first six months of 2007. The increases were due to strong growth in average earning assets, as well as an improving net interest margin from a year ago. Average earning assets increased margin in the second quarter and first six months of 2008 was 3.61 percent and 3.58 percent, respectively, compared with 3.44 percent and 3.47 percent, respectively, for the same periods of 2007 . The improvement in the net interest margin was due to seve factors, including growth in higher spread assets, the benefit of the Company's current asset/liability position in a declining interest rate environment and related asset/liability repricing dynamics. Also, short-term funding rates were lower due to market volatility and changing liquidity in the overnight fed fund markets, given current market conditions. In addition, the Company's net interest margin benefited from an increase in yield-related loan fees. Given the current rate environment, asset repricing dynamics and yield curve, the Company expects the net interest margin to remain relatively stable or decline slightly during the remainder of 2008. Refer to the "Consolidated Daily Average Balance Sheet and Related Yields and Rates" table for further information on net interest income.
Average lo

Average loans for the second quarter and first six months of 2008 were $\$ 17.4$ billion ( 12.0 percent) and $\$ 14.0$ billion ( 9.6 percent) higher, respectively, than the same periods of 2007 , driven by growth in all major loan categories. The increase in commercial loans was primarily driven by growth in corporate and commercial banking balances, reflective of new customer growth, along with business customers utilizing bank credit facilities to fund business growth and liquidity requirements, rather than relying upon the capital markets. Retail loans experienced strong growth in installment products, home equity lines and credit card balances, offset somewhat by lower retail leasing balances. In addition, retail loan growth in the second quarter and first six reflected higher balances in the consumer finance division Thon, Average investment securities in the seond quarter and first six month of 2008 were $\$ 2.3$ billion ( 5.6 percent) and $\$ 27$ billion ( 6.5 percent) higher respectively, than the same periods of 2007 . The increases were dive
of 2007 of structured investment securities from certain money market funds managed by an affiliate and an increase in tax-exempt municipal securities, partially offset by a reduction in mortgage-backed securities.
Average noninterest-bearing deposits for the second quarter and first six months of 2008 decreased $\$ .1$ billion (.5 percent) and $\$ .3$ billion ( 1.2 percent), respectively, compared with the same periods of 2007 , reflecting a decline in personal and business demand deposits, partially offset by higher trust and other demand deposits. The decline in personal demand deposit balances occurred in Consumer Banking. The decline in business demand deposits occurred within most business lines as business customers utilized deposit balances to fund business growth and meet other liquidity requirements.

Average total savings deposits increased $\$ 8.4$ billion ( 15.0 percent) in the second quarter and $\$ 6.6$ billion ( 11.8 percent) in the first six months of 2008, compared with the same periods of 2007 , due to an increase in interest checking balances driven by higher balances from broker-dealer, government and institutional trust customers, and an increase in money market savings balances driven by higher broker-dealer balances. The increases in interest checking and money market savings balances were partially offset by a modest decline in average savings accounts, primarily within Consumer Banking.

Average time certificates of deposit less than $\$ 100,000$ were lower in the second quarter and first six months of 2008 by $\$ 2.1$ billion ( 14.1 percent) and $\$ 1.6$ billion ( 11.0 percent), respectively, compared with the same periods of 2007 . The decline in time certificates of deposit less than $\$ 100,000$ was due to the Company's funding and pricing decisions and competition for these deposits by other financial institutions that have more limited access to wholesale funding sources, given the current market
environment. Average time deposits greater than $\$ 100,000$ increased by $\$ 10.7$ billion ( 52.3 percent) and $\$ 8.8$ billion ( 41.7 percent) in the second quarter and first six months of 2008 , respectively, compared with the same periods of 2007 , as a result of both Company's wholesale funding decisions and its ability to attract larger customer deposits, given the current market conditions. Provision for Credit Losses The provision for credit losses for the second quarter and first six months of 2008 increased $\$ 405$ million and $\$ 713$ million, respectively, compared with the same periods of 2007. This reflected increases to the allowance for credit losses of $\$ 200$ million in the second quarter and $\$ 392$ million in the first six months of 2008. The increases in the provision and allowance for credit losses from a year ago reflected continuing stress in the residential real estate markets, including homebuilding and related supplier industries, driven by declining home prices in many geographic regions, including Florida and $\$ 191$ million reflected the current economic conditions and the corresponding impact on the commercial and consumer loan porfolios,
 decline in home and other collateral values, the Company expects net charge-offs to increase in the third quarter of 2008. Refer to "Corporate Risk Profile" for further information on the provision for credit losses, net charge-offs, nonperforming assets and factors considered by the Company in assessing the credit quality of the loan portfolio and establishing the allowance for credit losses.
Noninterest Income Noninterest income in the second quarter and first six months of 2008 was $\$ 1,892$ million and $\$ 3,936$ million, respectively, compared with $\$ 1,885$ million and $\$ 3,608$ million in the same periods of 2007 . The $\$ 7$ million (. 4 percent) increas during the second quarter and $\$ 328$ million ( 9.1 percent) increase during the first six months of 2008, compared with the same periods in 2007, were driven by strong fee-based revenue growth in several categories, partially offset by impairment charges on certain structured investment securities and higher retail lease residual losses from a year ago. In addition, noninterest income for the first six months of 2008 was impacted by the recognition of the $\$ 492$ million Visa Gain in the first quarter of 2008 and the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting

Table 2 Noninterest Income

| (Dollars in Millions) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Three Months Ended } \\ & \text { June } 30 \text {, } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30 , |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { Change } \end{aligned}$ | 2008 |  |  | 2007 |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Percent } \\ \text { Change }}}^{\text {a }}$ |
| Credit and debit card revenue | \$ | 266 | \$ | 230 | 15.7\% |  | \$ | 514 | \$ | 436 | 17.9\% |
| Corporate payment products revenue |  | 174 |  | 159 | 9.4 |  |  | 338 |  | 306 | 10.5 |
| ATM processing services |  | 93 |  | 82 | 13.4 |  |  | ${ }^{177}$ |  | 159 | 11.3 |
| Merchant processing services |  | 309 |  | 286 | 8.0 |  |  | 580 |  | 538 | 7.8 |
| Trust and investment management fees |  | 350 |  | 342 | 2.3 |  |  | ${ }_{6}^{655}$ |  | 664 | 3.2 |
| Depositit service charges Treasury management fees |  | 278 137 |  | 277 126 | 8.4 |  |  | 535 261 |  | 524 237 | 2.1 10.1 |
| Commercial products reverue |  | 117 |  | 105 | 11.4 |  |  | ${ }_{229} 22$ |  | 205 | 11.7 |
| Mortgage banking reverue |  | 81 |  | 68 | ${ }^{19.1}$ |  |  | 183 |  | 135 | 37.8 |
| linvestment products fees and commissions Securties gains (loses), net |  | 37 (63) |  | 38 | ${ }^{(2.6)}$ |  |  | 73 (314) |  | 72 | 1.4 |
| Other |  | 113 |  | 169 | (33.1) |  |  | 672 |  | 328 | * |
| Total noninterest income | \$ | 1,892 | \$ | 1.885 | .4\% |  | \$ | 3,936 | \$ | 3,608 | 9.1\% |

Standards No. 157 ("SFAS 157"), "Fair Value Measurements", effective January 1, 2008. Upon adoption of SFAS 157 , trading revenue decreased $\$ 62$ milion, as primary market and nonperformance risk is now required to be considered $w$. derivative positions. In addition, under SFAS 157 mortgage production gains included in mortgage banking revenue increased, because the deferral of costs related to the origination of mortgage loans held for sale ("MLHFS") is not permitted,

The strong growth in credit and debit card revenue was primarily driven by an increase in customer accounts and higher customer transaction volumes over a year ago. Corporate payment products revenue growth reflected growth in sale
volumes, card usage and business expansion. ATM processing services increased primarily due to growth in transaction volumes. Merchant processing services revenue growth reflected higher core transaction volume and business expansion. Trust and investment management fees increased year-over-year due to core account growth, partially offset by unfavorable equity market conditions. Deposit service charges remained relatively flat and increased modestly in the second quarter and first six months of 2008, respectively, compared with the same periods of the prior year. Higher transaction-related fees and the impact of continued growth in net new checking accounts were muted as deposit account-related revenue continued to migrate to yield-related loan fees, as customers utilized new consumer products. Treasury management fees increased due primarily to the favorable impact of declining rates on customer compensating balances. Commercial products revenue increased year-over-year due to higher commercial lending-related fees, foreign exchange and commercial leasing revenue. Mortgage banking revenue increased due to an increase in mortgage servicing income and production revenue, including the impact of SFAS 157, partially ofset by the unfavorable net change in he valu of morgage seving righs (MSRs) and related economic hedges. Secuiles gains (losses) were lower yea-over-year due prinary to the ispactor he imparment charges on structured investment securities recognized in the first and second quarters of 2008 . Other income in the second quarter of 2008 declined year-over-year due primarily to the $\$ 42$ million adverse impact of higher retail lease residual losses compared with the second quarter of 2007. Other income for the first six months of 2008 was higher than the same period of the prior year due to the Visa Gain recognized in the first quarter of 2008, partially offset by lower retail lease revenue and the
$\$ 62$ million unfavorable impact to trading income upon adoption of SFAS 157 .

Noninterest Expense Noninterest expense was $\$ 1,835$ milion in the second quarter and $\$ 3,631$ milion in the first six months of 2008, reflecing increases of $\$ 165$ milion (9.9 percent) and $\$ 389$ million (12.0 percent), respectively, from the same periods of 2007. Compensation expense was higher due to growth in ongoing bank operations, acquired businesses and other bank initiatives and the adoption of SFAS 157 in the first quarter of 2008. Under this new accounting standard, compensation expense is no longer deferred for the origination of MLHFS. Employee benefits expense increased year-over-year as higher payroll taxes and medical costs were partially offset by lower pension costs. Net occupancy and equipment expense increased over the prior year primarily due to acquisitions and branch-based and other business expansion initiatives. Technology and communications expense increased primarily due to higher processing volumes and business expansion. Other expens increased year-over-year due

Noninterest Expense

primarily to credit-related costs for other real estate owned and loan collection activities, investments in tax-advantaged projects, and higher litigation and fraud costs. In addition, marketing and business development expense for the first six months of 2008, increased over the same period of the prior year primarily due to $\$ 25$ million recognized in the first quarter of 2008 for a charitable contribution to the Company's foundation. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in other intangibles expense. 30.2 percent) and $\$ 993$ million (an effective rate of 30.3 perc tax-exempt income from investment securities and insurance products as well as incremental tax credits from affordable housing and other tax-advantaged investments. For further information on income taxes, refer to Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## BALANCE SHEET ANALYSIS

Loans The Company's total loan porffolio was $\$ 165.9$ billion at June 30 , 2008, compared with $\$ 153.8$ billion at December 31, 2007, an increase of $\$ 12.1$ billion ( 7.8 percent). The increase was driven by growth in all major loan categories. The $\$ 4.1$ billion ( 8.0 percent) increase in commercial loans was primarily driven by new and existing business customers utilizing bank credit facilities, rather than the capital markets, to fund business growth and liquidity requirements, as well as growth in corporate payment card balances.

Commercial real estate loans increased $\$ 2.0$ billion ( 7.0 percent) at June 30 , 2008, compared with December 31,2007 , reflecting changing market conditions that have limited borrower access to the capital markets and the impact of an acquisition Residential mortgages held in the loan portfolio increased $\$ .5$ billion ( 2.3 percent) at June 30,2008 , compared with December 31,2007 , reflecting an increase in mortgage banking activity and higher consumer finance originations
Total retail loans outstanding, which include credit card, retail leasing, student loans, home equity and second mortgages and other retail loans, increased $\$ 5.4$ billion (10.7 percent) at June 30 , 2008, compared with December 31 , 2007. The increase reflected higher student loans due to the purchase of a portfolio during the first six months of 2008 and the reclassification of certain student loans held for sale into the student loan portfolio in response to a change in business strategy. The increase also reflected growth in home equity, credit card and installment loans. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in retail leasing balances

Loans Held for Sale At June 30, 2008, loans held for sale, consisting primarily of residential mortgages and student loans to be sold in the secondary market, were $\$ 3.8$ billion, compared with $\$ 4.8$ billion at December 31 , 2007 . The decrease in loans held for sale was principally due to a change in business strategy to discontinue selling federally guaranteed student loans in the secondary market and, instead, hold them in the loan portfolio.
Investment Securities Investment securities, both available-for-sale and held-to-maturity, totaled $\$ 41.1$ billion at June 30 , 2008, compared with $\$ 43.1$ billion at December 31, 2007, reflecting purchases of $\$ 3.1$ billion of securities, more than offset by sales, maturities and prepayments. As of June 30,2008 , approximately 38 percent of the investment securities portfolio represented adjustable-rate financial instruments, compared with 39 percent at December 31 , 2007. Adjustable-rate financial instruments include collateralized mortgage obligations, mortgage-backed securities, agency securities, money market accounts, asset-backed securities, corporate debt securities and preferred stock.

The Company conducts a regular assessment of its investment portfolios to determine whether any securities are other-than-temporarily impaired. At June 30 , 2008, the available-for-sale securities portfolio included a $\$ 2.0$ billion net unrealized loss, compared with a net unrealized loss of $\$ 1.1$ billion at December 31, 2007. The substantial portion of securities with unrealized losses were either government securities, issued by government-backed agencies or privately issued securities with high investment grade credit ratings and limited credit exposure. Some securities classified within obligations of state and political subdivisions are supported by mono-line insurers. As mono-line insurers have experienced credit rating downgrades, management continuously mons, flows, discount rates and management's assessment of various market factors, which are judgmental in nature. The Company
periodically completes a valuation of these structured investment securities and, as a result, recorded $\$ 66$ million and $\$ 319$ million of impairment charges during the second quarter and first six months of 2008 , respectively, primarily as a result of wider market spreads for these types of securities caused by the continuing decline in housing prices and an increase in foreclosure activity. Further adverse changes in market conditions may result in additional impairment charges in future periods. The Company expects that approximately $\$ 131$ million of principal payments will not be received for certain structured investment securities. During the first six months of 2008, the Company exchanged its interest in certain structured investment securities and received its pro rata share of the underlying investment securities as Note 3 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information on investment securities.
Deposits Total deposits were $\$ 135.1$ billion at June 30, 2008, compared with $\$ 131.4$ billion at December 31, 2007, an increase of $\$ 3.7$ billion ( 2.8 percent). The increase in total deposits was primarily the result of increases in interest checking accounts, money market savings accounts and noninterest-bearing deposits, partially offset by decreases in time certificates of deposit less than $\$ 100,000$ and time deposits greater than $\$ 100,000$. The $\$ 2.7$ billion ( 9.2 percent) increase in interest checking account balances was due primarily to higher broker-dealer balances. The $\$ 2.2$ billion ( 9.2 percent) increase in money market savings account balances reflected higher broker-dealer and branch-based balances and the impact of an acquisition. Noninterest-bearing deposits increased $\$ .6$ billion ( 1.9 percent) at June 30 , 2008, compared with December 31, 2007, reflecting an acquisition and higher other demand deposits, partially offset by lower business demand balances. Time certificates of deposit less than $\$ 100,000$ decreased $\$ 1.5$ billion (10.6 percent) at June 30,2008 , compared with December 31 , 2007, primarily within Consumer Banking, reflecting the Company's funding and pricing decisions and competition for December 31, 2007. Time deposits greater than $\$ 100,000$ are largely viewed as purchased funds and are managed to levels deemed appropriate given alternative funding sources.

Borrowings The Company utilizes both short-term and long-term borrowings to fund growth of assets in excess of deposit growth. Short-term borrowings, which include federal funds purchased, commercial paper, repurchase agreements, borrowing secured by high-grade assets and other short-term borrowings, were $\$ 41.1$ billion at June 30,2008 , compared with $\$ 32.4$ billion at December 31 , 2007. Short-term funding is managed within approved liquidity policies. The increase of $\$ 8.7$ billion 27.0 percent) in short-term borrowings reflected wholesale funding associated with the Company's asset growth and asset/liability management activities. Long-term debt was $\$ 39.9$ billion at June 30 , 2008 , compared with $\$ 43.4$ billion at俗 2008. The $\$ 3.5$ billion ( 8.1 p .

## CORPORATE RISK PROFILE

Overview Managing risks is an essential part of successfully operating a financial services company. The most prominent risk exposures are credit, residual value, operational, interest rate, market and liquidity risk. Credit risk is the risk of not collecting the interest and/or the principal balance of a loan or investment when it is due. Residual value risk is the potential reduction in the end-of-term value of leased assets or the residual cash flows related to asset securitization and othe off-balance sheet structures. Operational risk includes risks related to fraud, legal and compliance risk, processing errors, technology, breaches of internal controls and business continuation and disaster recovery risk. Interest rate risk is the potentia reduction of net interest income as a result of changes in interest rates, which can affect the repricing of assets and liabilities differently, as well as their market value. Market risk arises from fluctuations in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and security prices that may result in changes in the values of financial instruments, such as trading and available-for-sale securities that are accounted for on a mark-to-market basis. Liquidity risk is the possible inability to fund obligations to depositors,
investors or borrowers. In addition, corporate strategic decisions, as well as the
risks described above, could give rise to reputation risk. Reputation risk is the risk that negative publicity or press, whether true or not, could result in costly litigation or cause a decline in the Company's stock value, customer base, funding sources or revenue.
Credit Risk Management The Company's strategy for credit risk management includes well-defined, centralized credit policies, uniform underwriting criteria, and ongoing risk monitoring and review processes for all commercial and consumer credit exposures. In evaluating its credit risk, the Company considers changes, if any, in underwriting activities, the loan portfolio composition (including product mix and geographic, industry or customer-specific concentrations), trends in loan performance, the level of allowance coverage relative to similar banking institutions and macroeconomic factors. Refer to "Management's Discussion and Analysis - Credit Risk Management" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, for a more detailed discussion on credit risk management processes.

The Company manages its credit risk, in part through diversification of its loan portfolio. As part of its normal business activities, the Company offers a broad array of commercial and retail lending products. The Company's retail lending business utilizes several distinct business processes and channels to originate retail credit, including traditional branch lending, indirect lending, portfolio acquisitions and a consumer finance division. Generally, loans managed by the consumer finance division exhibit into the secondary market while retaining the servicing rights and customer relationships. For residential mortgages that are retained in the Company's portfolio and for home equity and second mortgages, credit risk is also diversified by geography and monitoring loan-to-values during the underwriting process.
The following tables provide summary information of the loan-to-values of residential mortgages and home equity and second mortgages by distribution channel and type at June 30,2008 :

| Residential mortgages (Dollars in Millions) | minerest |  |  | Amorizing |  |  | Total | Percent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consumer Finance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than or equal to $80 \%$ | \$ 834 |  |  |  | \$ 2,557 |  | \$ 3,391 | 34.0\% |
| Over $80 \%$ through $90 \%$ | ${ }_{87} 72$ |  |  | 1,618 |  |  | 2,391 | 24.0 |
| Over 90\% through 100\% Over 100\% | 821 |  |  | 165 |  |  | 4,026 | 40.4 |
| Over 100\% |  |  |  | 165 | 1.6 |
| ${ }_{\text {Other }}^{\text {Total }}$ Retail | \$2,428 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 7,545 |  |  | \$ 9,973 | 100.0\% |
| Less than or equal to 80\% | \$2,397 |  |  | \$ 9,637 |  |  | \$12,034 | 90.3\% |
| Over 80\% through 90\%\% | 86134 |  |  | 573501 |  |  | 659 |  |
| Over 90\% through 100\% |  |  |  | 635 | 4.8 |
| Over 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 501 |  |  |  | - |
| Total | \$2,617 |  |  | \$10,711 |  |  | \$13,328 | 100.0\% |
| Total Company ${ }_{\text {Less than or equal to } 80 \%}$ |  |  |  | \$12,194 |  |  |  |  |
| Less nan orequar Oorer | $\begin{aligned} & 859 \\ & 955 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2,191 \\ & 3,706 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | S15,4050 | ${ }_{13.1}^{66.2 \%}$ |
| Over 90\% through 100\% |  |  |  | 4,661 | 20.0 |
| Over 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{3} 165$ |  |  | 165 | . 7 |
| Total | \$5,045 |  |  | \$18,256 |  |  | \$23,301 | 100.0\% |
| Note: Loan-to-values determined as of the date of origination and consider mortgage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home equity and second mortgages (Dollars in Millions) |  | Lines |  | Loans |  |  | Total | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Percent } \\ \text { of Toal }}}$ |
| Consumer Finance (a) | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  |
| Less than or equal to $80 \%$ |  |  | 267 |  | 160 |  | ${ }^{427}$ | 20.3\% |
| Over 80\% through $90 \% \%$ Over 90\% through 100\% |  |  | 256 413 |  | 174 <br> 554 |  | 430 967 | 20.5 46.1 |
| Over 100\% |  |  | 19 9 |  | 184 |  | 274 | ${ }_{13.1}$ |
| Total | \$ |  | 1,026 | \$ | 1,072 | \$ | 2,098 | 100.0\% |
| Other Retail | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  |  |  |
| Less than or equal to 80\%\% Over 80\% through 90\% |  |  | 9,310 1,858 |  | 2,159 506 | \$ | 11,469 2,364 1 | $74.3 \%$ 15.3 |
| Over 90\% through 100\% |  |  | ${ }_{984}^{198}$ |  | 468 |  | ${ }_{1,452}^{2}$ | ${ }_{9.4}$ |
| Over 100\% |  |  | 137 |  | $\begin{array}{r}16 \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ |  | 153 | 1.0 |
| Total |  |  | 12,289 | \$ | 3,149 | \$ | 15,438 | 100.0\% |
| Total Company Less than or equal to 80\% | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  |
| Less than or equal to 80\% |  |  | 9,114 |  | 2,319 680 |  | ${ }_{\substack{11,896 \\ 2,794}}$ | ${ }^{15.9}$ |
| Over $90 \%$ through $100 \%$ |  |  | 1,397 |  | 1,022 |  | 2,419 | 13.8 |
| Over 100\% |  |  | 227 |  | 200 |  | 427 | 2.4 |
| Total | \$ |  | 13,315 | 4.221 |  | \$ | 17,536 | 100.0\% |



Within the consumer finance division, approximately $\$ 3.1$ billion of residential mortgages were to customers that may be defined as sub-prime borrowers, compared with $\$ 3.3$ billion at December 31 , 2007. The following table provides further information on residential mortgages for the consumer finance division:

| (Dolars in Milions) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Interest } \\ & \text { only } \end{aligned}$ | Amorizing | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent of of } \\ \text { Division } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sub-Prime Borrowers |  |  |  |  |
| Less than or equal to $80 \%$ | \$ | \$1,112 | \$1,116 | 11.2\% |
| Over 80\% through $90 \%$ | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{773}$ | 779 | 7.8 <br> 1.3 |
| Over 90\% through 100\% Over 100\% | ${ }^{20}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1,102}$ | 1,122 111 | 11.3 1.1 |
| TotalOther Borrowers |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than or equal to 80\% | \$ 830 | \$1,445 | \$2,275 | 22.8\% |
| Over 80\% through 90\% | 767 | 845 | ${ }_{1,612}$ | 16.2 |
| Over 90\% through 100\% | 801 | 2,103 | 2,904 | ${ }_{29.1}^{16.21}$ |
| Over 100\% | - | 54 | 54 | . 5 |
| Total | \$2,398 | \$4,447 | \$6,845 | 68.6\% |
| Total Consumer Finance | \$2,428 | \$7,545 | \$9,973 | 100.0\% |

In addition to residential mortgages, the consumer finance division had $\$ .8$ billion of home equity and second mortgage loans to customers that may be defined as sub-prime borrowers at June 30 , 2008, compared with $\$ .9$ billion at December 31 , 2007. The following table provides further information on home equity and second mortgages for the consumer finance division:


4 Delinquent Loan Ratios as a Percent of Ending Loan Balances
90 days or more past due excluding nonpertorming loans
Commercial

| June 30, <br> 2008 | December 31. <br> 2007 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $.10 \%$ | $.08 \%$ |
| - | -.07 |
| .09 | .02 |
| .02 | .02 |
| .04 | .86 |
| .99 | 1.94 |
| 1.09 | .10 |
| 1.85 | .67 |
| .13 | $.38 \%$ |
| .63 |  |
| $.41 \%$ |  |


(a) Delinquent loan ratios exclude advances made pursuant to servicing agreements to Government National Mortgage Association ("GNMA") mortgage pools whose repayments are insured by the Federal Housing Administration or guaranteed by the Department of Veterans Affairs. Including the guaranteed amounts, .the
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Including residential mortgages, and home equity and second mortgage loans, the total amount of loans to customers that may be defined as sub-prime borrowers represented only 1.6 percent of the Company's total assets at June 30 , 2008, compared with 1.7 percent at December 31, 2007. The Company does not have any residential mortgages whose payment schedule would cause balances to increase over time

Loan Delinquencies Trends in delinquency ratios represent an indicator, among other considerations, of credit risk within the Company's loan portfolios. The Company measures delinquencies, both including and excluding nonperforming loans, to enable comparability with other companies. Accruing loans 90 days or more past due totaled $\$ 687$ million at June 30,2008 , compared with $\$ 584$ million at December 31, 2007. Consistent with banking industry practices, these loans are not included in nonperforming assets and continue to accrue interest because they are adequately secured by collateral, and/or are in the process of collection and are reasonably expected to result in repayment or restoration to current status. The ratio of accruing loans 90 days or more past due to total loans was .41 percent at June 30, 2008, compared with .38 percent at December 31, 2007.
To monitor credit risk associated with retail loans, the Company monitors delinquency ratios in the various stages of collection, including nonperforming status. The following table provides summary delinquency information for residential mortgages and retail loans:

| (Dolars in Millions) | Amount |  | As a Percento of EndingLoan Balances |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2008 | December 31, | June 30, 2008 | December 31 , 2007 |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Residential Mortgages } \\ \text { 30-89 days }}}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 90 days or more Nonperforming | 254 108 | 196 54 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.09 \\ & .46 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | .86 <br> .84 |
| Total | $\$ 689$ | \$483 | 2.96\% | 2.12\% |
| Retail |  |  |  |  |
| Creedit card $30-89$ days | \$284 | \$268 | 238\% | 2.44\% |
| 90 days or more | 221 | 212 | 1.85 | 1.94 |
| Nonperforming | 39 | 14 | . 33 | . 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 90 days or more | ${ }_{7}{ }^{5}$ | ${ }_{6}$ | ${ }_{13} .6$ | ${ }^{.65 \%}$ |
| Nonperforming |  |  |  | - |
| Total | Home equity and second mortgages |  |  |  |
| $30-89$ days | \$111 | \$107 | .63\% | .65\% |
| ${ }^{90}$ days or more | 73 11 | 64 11 | . 42 | . 39 |
| TotalOther erail30-89 days |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{9}^{30} 90$ days or more | $\$ 177$ <br> 55 | $\$ 177$ 62 | ${ }_{.25}^{.83 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {1 }}^{1.02 \%}$ |
| Nonperforming | 8 | 4 | . 04 | . 02 |
| Total | \$240 | \$243 | 1.12\% | 1.40\% |

u.S. Bancorp

Within these product categories, the following table provides information on delinquent and nonperforming loans as a percent of ending loan balances by channel:

|  | Consumer Finance (a) |  | Re |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, | December 31, | June 30 , | December 31, 2007 |
| Residential mortgages |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{90}^{30-89 \text { days ors }}$ | ${ }_{1}^{2.75 \%}$ | ${ }_{1.33}^{1.58 \%}$ | .82\% | .61\% |
| Nonpertorming | . 72 | . 31 | . 27 | 18 |
| Total | 4.66\% | 3.22\% | 1.68\% | 1.30\% |
| Retail |  |  |  |  |
| Credit card |  |  |  |  |
| $30-89$ days | -\% | \% | 2.38\% | 2.44\% |
| 90 days or more | - |  |  |  |
| Nonperforming |  |  | 33 | 13 |
| Total Retail leasing | \% | -\% | 4.56\% | 4.51\% |
| $30-89$ days | -\% | -\% | 67\% | 65\% |
| 90 days or more | - |  | 13 | 10 |
| Nonperforming | - | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{30-89}$ days | 2.29\% | 2.53\% | 41\% | 41\% |
| 90 days or more | 1.81 | 1.78 | 23 | 21 |
| Nonperforming | . 14 | . 11 | 05 | 06 |
| TotalOther retaila |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{30-89}$ days | 5.48\% | 6.38\% | .73\% |  |
| 90 days or more Nonpertorming | ${ }^{1.32}$ | ${ }^{1.66}$ | $\begin{array}{r}.23 \\ .04 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | .33 <br> .02 |
| Total | 6.80\% | 8.04\% | 1.00\% | 1.23\% |

(a) Consumer finance category included credit originated and managed by u.s. Bank Consumer Finance, as well as the majority of home equity and second mortgages with a loan-to-value greater than 100 percent that were originated in the branches.

Within the consumer finance division at June 30 , 2008, approximately $\$ 296$ million and $\$ 88$ million of these delinquent and nonperforming residential mortgages and retail loans, respectively, were with customers that may be defined as sub-prime borrowers, compared with $\$ 227$ million and $\$ 89$ million, respectively, at December 31, 2007.

The Company expects delinquencies to continue to increase due to general economic conditions and continuing stress in the residential mortgage portfolio and residential construction industry.
Nonpertorming Assets The level of nonperform assets represents another incicator of he po total nonperforming assets to total loans and other real estate was . 68 percent at June 30 , 2008, compared with . 45 percent at December 31, 2007. The increase in nonperforming assets was driven primarily by the residential construction portfolio and related industries, an increase in foreclosed residential properties and the impact of the economic slowdown on other commercial customers.

Table 5 Nonperforming Assets (a)

and other current economic conditions
u.s. Bancorp

The following table provides an analysis of other real estate owned ("OREO") as a percent of their related loan balances, including further detail for residential mortgages and home equity and second mortgage loan balances by geographical location:

|  | Amount |  | As a Percent of Ending Loan Balances |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in Milions) | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { June } 30 \\ 2008 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | December 31, 2007 | June 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |
| Michigan | \$ 16 | \$ 22 | 2.88\% | 3.47\% |
| $\underset{\text { Minnesota }}{\text { Calforia }}$ | ${ }_{9}^{15}$ | ${ }_{5}^{12}$ | ${ }^{29}$ | 15 |
| Ohio | 8 | 10 | . 32 | 40 |
| Florida | 8 | 6 | 1.03 | 70 |
| All other states | 63 | 55 | . 23 | . 21 |
| Commercial $\begin{gathered}\text { Total residential }\end{gathered}$ | 119 23 | 110 1 | $\stackrel{.}{.07}$ | . 28 |
| Total OREO | \$142 | \$111 | .09\% | .07\% |

Within other real estate in the table above, approximately $\$ 54$ million at June 30 , 2008, and $\$ 61$ million at December 31, 2007, were from portfolios that may be defined as sub-prime.
The Company expects nonperforming assets to continue to increase due to general economic conditions and continuing stress in the residential mortgage portfolio and residential construction industry.
Restructured Loans Accruing Interest In certain circumstances, management may modify the terms of a loan to maximize the collection of the loan balance. In most cases, the modification is either a reduction in interest rate, extension of the maturity date or a reduction in the principal balance. Generally, the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties or is expected to experience difficulties in the near-term so concessionary modification is granted to the borrower that would otherwise not be considered. Restructured loans, except those where the principal balance has been reduced, accrue interest as long as the borrower complies with the revised terms and conditions and has demonstrated repayment performance at a level commensurate with the modified
terms over several payment cycles. Loans restructured at a rate equal to or greater than a market rate for a new loan with comparable risk at the time the contract is modified, are classified as restructured loans in the calendar year the restructuring occurs, but are excluded from restructured loans in subsequent years once repayment performance, in accordance with the modified agreement, has been demonstrated. Loans that have interest rates reduced below market rates for borrowers with comparable risk, remain classified as restructured loans for the remaining life of the loan.

The majority of the Company's loan restructurings occur on a case-by-case basis in connection with ongoing loan collection processes. However, in late 2007, the Company began implementing a mortgage loan restructuring program for certain qualifying borrowers. In general, borrowers with sub-prime credit quality, that are current in their repayment status, are allowed to retain the lower of their existing interest rate or the market interest rate as of their interest reset date.
The following table provides a summary of restructured loans that are performing, and therefore, continue to accrue interest:


Restructured loans that continue to accrue interest were $\$ 478$ million ( 86.8 percent) higher at June 30,2008 , compared with December 31, 2007, reflecting the impact of restructurings for certain commercial real estate, residential mortgage and credit card customers in light of current economic conditions. The Company expects this trend to continue during 2008 as softness continues in the commercial real estate markets, residential home valuations continue to decline and certain borrowers take advantage of the Company's mortgage loan restructuring programs.
Analysis of Loan Net Charge-offs Total loan net charge-offs were $\$ 396$ million and $\$ 689$ million during the second quarter and first six months of 2008, respectively, compared with net charge-offs of $\$ 191$ million and $\$ 368$ million, respectively, for the same periods of 2007. The ratio of total loan net charge-offs to average loans outstanding on an annualized basis in the second quarter and first six months of 2008 was . 98 percent and .87 percent, respectively, compared with .53 percent and .51 percent, respectively, for the same periods of 2007. The year-over-year increases in total net charge-offs were driven by the factors affecting the residential housing markets, as well as credit costs associated with credit card and other consumer loan growth over the past several quarters.

Commercial and commercial real estate loan net charge-offs for the second quarter of 2008 increased to $\$ 87$ million (. 41 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis), compared with $\$ 38$ million (. 20 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis) for the second quarter of 2007. Commercial and commercial real estate loan net charge-
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Net Charge-offs as a Percent of Average Loans Outstanding

| Commercial <br> Commercial <br> Total commercial <br> Commercial real estate <br> Conmercial mortgages <br> onstruction and development <br> Totar commercial real estate <br> Residential mortgages <br> Retail <br> Credit card <br> Retail leasing <br> Home equity and second mortgag <br> other retail <br> Total retail <br> Total loans |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


| Three Months EndedJune 30, |  | Six Months EndedJune 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | 2007 |  |  |
| .43\% | .20\% | .39\% | 26\% |
| 1.14 | . 57 | 1.09 | 40 |
| 51 | . 25 | 47 | 27 |
| . 11 | . 14 | 10 | 08 |
| 52 | . 09 | 44 | 05 |
| . 24 | . 13 | . 20 | 07 |
| . 91 | . 28 | . 69 | 25 |
| 4.84 | 3.56 | 4.39 | 3.52 |
| . 58 | . 24 | . 53 | . 21 |
| 1.13 |  | . 93 | ${ }^{41}$ |
| 1.16 | . 89 | 1.20 | . 89 |
| 1.86 | 1.15 | 1.73 | 1.13 |
| .98\% | .53\% | 87\% | 51\% |

offs for the first six months of 2008 increased to $\$ 154$ million (. 37 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis), compared with $\$ 74$ million (. 20 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis) for the first six months of 2007 . The year-over-year increases in net charge-offs reflected increases in nonperforming loans and delinquencies within the portfolios, especially residential homebuilding and related industry sectors.

Residential mortgage loan net charge-offs for the second quarter of 2008 were $\$ 53$ million (. 91 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis), compared with $\$ 15$ million (. 28 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis) for the second quarter of 2007. Residential mortgage loan net charge-offs for the first six months of 2008 were $\$ 79$ million (. 69 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis), compared with $\$ 27$ million (. 25 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis) for the first six months of 2007. The year-over-year increases in residential mortgage losses were primarily related to loans originated within the consumer finance division and reflected the impact of rising foreclosures on sub-prime mortgages and current economic conditions.

Retair loan net charge-offs for the second quarter of 2008 were $\$ 256$ million ( 1.86 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis), compared with $\$ 138$ million ( 1.15 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis) for the second quarter of 2007. Retail loan net charge-offs for the first six months of 2008 were $\$ 456$ million ( 1.73 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis), compared with $\$ 267$ million ( 1.13 percent of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis) for the first six months of 2007. The year-over-year increase in retail loan net charge-offs reflected the Company's growth in credit card and other consumer loan balances, as well as the adverse impact of current economic conditions on consumers. The following table provides an analysis of net charge-offs as a percent of average loans outstanding managed by the consumer finance division, compared with other retail related loans:

|  | Three Montrs Ended June 30 , |  |  |  | Six Montis Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Loans |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent of } \\ \text { Average Loans } \end{gathered}$ |  | Average Loans |  | Percent ofAverage Loans |  |
| (Dolars in Milions) |  |  | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 | 008 | 2007 |
| Consumer Finance (a) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential mortgages Home equity and second mortgages | \$9,990 | \$8,969 | 1.69\% | .58\% | \$9,944 | \$8,731 | ${ }_{5}^{1.27 \%}$ | ${ }_{2.59}{ }^{.55 \%}$ |
| Home equity and second mortgages | 2,031 450 | 1,836 412 | 6.93 4.47 | 2.40 1.95 | 1,952 440 | 1,853 406 | ${ }_{5.03}^{5.67}$ | ${ }_{2.48}^{2.29}$ |
| Other Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential mortgages | \$13,317 | \$12,862 | .33\% | .06\% | \$13,198 | \$12,969 | .24\% | .05\% |
| Home equity and second mortgages | 15,075 | ${ }^{13,899}$ | . 35 | . 14 | 14,865 | ${ }^{13,793}$ | . 31 | . 16 |
| Total Company |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential mortages | \$23,307 | \$21,831 | .91\% | .28\% | \$23,142 | \$21,700 | .69\% | .25\% |
| Home equity and second mortgages | 17,106 | 15,735 | 1.13 | . 41 | 16,817 | 15,646 | 93 | . 41 |
| Other retail | 21,123 | 16,605 | 1.16 | . 89 | 19,377 | 16,522 | 1.20 | 89 |

Within the consumer finance division, the Company originates loans to customers that may be defined as sub-prime borrowers. The following table provides further information on net charge-offs as a percent of average loans outstanding for this division:

|  | Three Montrs Ended June 30 , Perent of |  |  |  |  | Six Montis Ended June 30 , |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Loas |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Percent of }}^{\text {Average Loans }}$ |  | Average Loans |  | Percent ofAverage Loans |  |
| (Dolars in Millions) |  | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 007 |
| Residential mortgages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-prime borrowers | \$ | 3,152 | \$3,134 | 3.19\% | 1.15\% | \$3,186 | \$3,070 | 2.40\% | .12\% |
| Other borrowers |  | 6,838 | 5,835 | 1.00 | . 27 | 6,758 | 5,661 | . 74 | . 25 |
| Total | \$ | 9,990 | 58,969 | 1.69\% | .58\% | \$9,944 | \$8,731 | 7\% | .55\% |
| Home equity and second mortgages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-prime borrowers | \$ | 808 | $\$ 911$ | 12.44\% | 3.08\% | $\$_{831}$ | ${ }^{5911}$ | 9.44\% | 2.88\% |
| Other borrowers |  | 1,223 | 925 | 3.29 | 1.73 | 1,121 | 942 | 2.87 | 1.71 |
| Total | \$ | 2,031 | \$1,836 | 6.93\% | 2.40\% | \$1,952 | \$1,853 | 5.67\% | 2.29\% |

Analysis and Determination of the Allowance for Credit Losses The allowance for loan losses provides coverage for probable and estimable losses inherent in the Company's loan and lease portfoiio. Management evaluates the allowance each quarter to detern Hat it is adequate to cover these inherent losses. Several factors were taken into consideration in evaluating the allowance for credit losses at June 30,2008 , including the risk profile of the portfolios, loan net charge-offs during the period, the level of nonperforming assets, accruing loans 90 days or more past due, delinquency ratios and changes in restuctured loan balances compared windecember 31,200. Management also considered the uncertainty related to certain industry sectors, and the extent of credit exposure to specific borrowers within the portfolio. In addition, concentration risks associated with commercial real estate and the mix of loans, including credit cards, loans originated through the consumer finance division and residential mortgage balances, and their relative credit risks, were evaluated. Finally, the Company considered current economic conditions that might impact the portfolio.

At June 30 , 2008, the allowance for credit losses was $\$ 2,648$ million ( 1,60 percent of loans) compared with an allowance of $\$ 2,260$ million ( 1,47 percent of loans) at December 31,2007 . The $\$ 388$ million ( 17.2 percent) increase in the allowance for credit losses reflected deterioration in the credit quality within the loan portfolios related to stress in the residential real estate markets, including homebuilding and related supplier industries. It also reflected the current economic conditions and the corresponding impact on the commercial and consumer loan porffolios. The ratio of the allowance for credit losses to nonperforming loans was 273 percent at June 30, 2008, compared with 406 percent at December 31 , 2007. The ratio of the allowance for credit losses to annualized loan net charge-offs was 166 percent at June 30, 2008, compared with 285 percent at December 31, 2007.
Residual Value Risk Management The Company manages its risk to changes in the residual value of leased assets through disciplined residual valuation setting at the inception of a lease, diversification of its leased assets, regular residual asset valuation reviews and monitoring of residual value gains or losses upon the disposition of assets. As of June 30,2008 , no significant change in the amount of residuals or concentration of the portfolios has occurred since December 31,2007 . However, during the first half of 2008 (
operational Risk Management The Company manages operational risk through a risk management framework and its internal control processes. Within this framework, the Corporate Risk Committee ("Risk Committee") provides oversight and assesses the mo significant operational risks facing the Company within its business lines. Under the guidance of the Risk Committee, enterprise risk management personnel establish policies and interact with business lines to monitor significant operating risks on a regula basis. Business lines have direct and primary responsibility and accountability for identifying, controlling, and monitoring operational risks embedded in their business activities. Refer to "Management's Discussion and Analysis - Operational Risk Management" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, for further discussion on operational risk management.

Table 7 Summary of Allowance for Credit Losses


Interest Rate Risk Management In the banking industry, changes in interest rates are a significant risk that can impact earnings, market valuations and safety and soundness of an entity. To minimize the volatility of net interest income and the market value of assets and liabilities, the Company manages its exposure to changes in interest rates through asset and liability management activities within guidelines established by its Asset Liability Policy Committee ("ALPC") and approved by the Board of Directors. ALPC has the responsibility for approving and ensuring compliance with ALPC management policies, including interest rate risk exposure. The Company uses net interest income simulation analysis and market value of equity modeling for measuring and analyzing
consolidated interest rate risk.
Net Interest Income Simulation Analysis Through this simulation, management estimates the impact on net interest income of gradual upward or downward changes of market interest rates over a one-year period, the effect of immediate and sustained parallel shifts in the yield curve and the effect of immediate and sustained flattening or steepening of the yield curve. The table below summarizes the interest rate risk of net interest income based on forecasts over the succeeding 12 months. At June 30 , 2008, the Company's overall interest rate risk position was liability sensitive to changes in interest rates. ALPC policy limits the estimated change in net interest income to 4.0 percent of forecasted net interest income over the succeeding 12 months. At June 30 , 2008 , and December 31,2007 , the Company was within policy. Refer to Management's Discussion Analysis on net interest income simulation analysis.
Market Value of Equity Modeling The Company also manages interest rate sensitivity by utilizing market value of equity modeling, which measures the degree to which the market values of the Company's assets and liabilities and off-balance sheet instruments will
change given a change in interest rates. ALPC policy limits the change in market value of equity in 200 basis change given a change in interest rates. ALPC policy limits the change in market value of equity in a 200 basis point parallel rate shock to 15 percent of the market value of equity assuming interest rates at June 30 , 2008 . The up 200 basis point scenario
in a 10.7 percent decrease in the market value of equity at June 30 , 2008, compared with a 7.6 percent decrease at December 31,2007 . The down 200 basis point scenario resulted in an immaterial change in the market value of equity at June 30 , 2008, compared with a 3.5 percent decrease at December 31, 2007. At June 30, 2008, and December 31, 2007, the Company was within its ALPC policy.

The Company also uses duration of equity as a measure of interest rate risk. The duration of equity is a measure of the net market value sensitivity of the assets, liabilities and derivative positions of the Company. At June 30,2008 , the duration of assets, liabilities and equity was 1.8 years, 1.6 years and 3.1 years, respectively, compared with 1.8 years, 1.9 years and 1.2 years, respectively, at December 31 , 2007. The change in duration of equity reflects a change in market rates and credit spreads. The duration of equity measures show that sensitivity of the market value of equity of the Company was liability sensitive to changes in interest rates. Refer to "Management's Discussion and Analysis - Market Value of Equity Modeling" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, for further discussion on market value of equity modeling.
Use of Derivatives to Manage Interest Rate and Other Risks In the ordinary course of business, the Company enters into derivative transactions to manage its interest rate, prepayment, credit, price and foreign currency risks ("asset and liability management positions") and to accommodate the business requirements of its customers ("customer-related positions"). Refer to "Management's Discussion and Analysis - Use of Derivatives to Manage Interest Rate and Other Risks" in the Company's Annual Report on
Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, for further discussion on the use of derivatives to manage interest rate and other risks.
By their nature, derivative instruments are subject to market risk. The Company does not utilize derivative instruments for speculative purposes. Of the Company's $\$ 43.4$ billion of total notional amount of asset and liability management positions at June 30,2008 , $\$ 20.1$ billion was designated as either fair value or cash flow hedges or net investment hedges of foreign operations. The cash flow hedge derivative positions are interest rate swaps that hedge the forecasted cash flows from underlying variable-rate debt. The fair value hedges are primarily interest rate swaps that hedge the change in fair value related to interest rate changes of underlying fixed-rate debt and subordinated obligations.

Sensitivity of Net Interest Income

[^1]| (Dollars in Millions) | June 30, 2008 |  |  | December 31,2007 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Notional } \\ & \text { Amount } \end{aligned}$ | Fair Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weicithed. } \\ \text { Remeane } \\ \text { Remaininy } \\ \text { Matury } \\ \text { In vears } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Notional } \\ & \text { Amount } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Fair } \\ \text { Value }}}{ }$ |  |
| Asset and Liability Management Positions <br> Interest rate contracts |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interestraie cineractis $\begin{aligned} & \text { Receive fixedlpay floating swaps }\end{aligned}$ | \$4,750 | \$ (41) | 33.63 | \$ 3,750 | \$ 17 | 40.87 |
| Pay fixedrreceive floating swaps | 14,054 | (316) | 3.33 | 15,979 | (307) | 3.00 |
| Futures and forwards Buy | 6,200 | (31) | . 04 | 12,459 | (51) | 12 |
| Sell | 6,653 | 26 | . 11 | 11,427 | ${ }_{\text {(3) }}$ | 16 |
| Options |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Writen $\begin{aligned} & \text { Witen } \\ & \text { Forign exchange contracts }\end{aligned}$ | 8,350 | 8 | . 05 | 10,689 | 10 | . 12 |
| Foreign exchange contracts Cross-currency swaps | 2.017 | 290 | 8.58 | 1.913 | 196 | 8.80 |
| Forwards | 1,275 | (6) | ${ }^{8 .} 04$ | ${ }_{1,111}^{1,11}$ | (15) | . 03 |
| Equity contracts Credit default swaps | 70 56 | $\stackrel{(6)}{1}$ | ${ }_{3.10}^{1.78}$ | 73 56 | $\stackrel{(3)}{1}$ | 2.33 3.60 |
| Customer-related Positions Interest rate contracts |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Receive fixed/pay floating swaps | \$17,683 | \$ 241 | 5.07 | \$14,260 | \$386 | 5.10 |
| Pay fixedrreceive floating swaps Opions | 17,676 | (223) | 5.00 | 14,253 | (309) | 5.08 |
| Purchased | 2,002 1,998 | ${ }_{12}^{(12)}$ | 1.98 1.98 | 1,939 1,932 | ${ }_{1}^{1}$ | 2.25 2.25 |
| Risk participation agreements (a) Purchased |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchased Written | - ${ }_{1,541}$ | ${ }_{\text {(1) }}^{1}$ | 5.30 3.29 | 370 628 | ${ }_{\text {(1) }}^{1}$ | 6.23 4.98 |
| Foreige exchange rate contracts ${ }_{\text {corvards and swaps }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buy | 4,595 | ${ }^{156}$ | . 37 | ${ }^{3}, 486$ | 109 | 44 |
| $\underset{\text { Sell }}{\text { Sotions }}$ | 4,544 | (143) | . 38 | ${ }^{3,426}$ | (95) | 44 |
| Purchased Written | 515 | (15) | 1.01 1.01 | 308 293 | ${ }_{(6)}^{6}$ | ${ }_{.} 68$ |

,
At June 30,2008 , the Company had $\$ 190$ million in accumulated other comprehensive income related to realized and unrealized losses on derivatives classified as cash flow hedges. Unrealized gains and losses are reflected in earnings when the related cash flows or hedged transactions occur and offset the related performance of the hedged items. The estimated amount to be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income into earnings during the remainder of 2008 and the next 12 months is a loss of $\$ 36$ million and $\$ 67$ million, respectively.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { million and } \$ 67 \text { million, respectivel } \\
& \text { The change in the fair value of all ot }
\end{aligned}
$$

The change in the fair value of all other asset and liability management positions attributed to hedge ineffectiveness recorded in noninterest income was not material for the second quarter and first six months of 2008. Gains or losses on customer-related to consider the primary market and nonperformance risk in determining the fair value of derivative positions.

The Company enters into derivatives to protect its net investment in certain foreign operations. The Company uses forward commitments to sell specified amounts of certain foreign currencies to hedge fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. The net amount of gains or losses included in the cumulative translation adjustment for the second quarter and first six months of 2008 was not material

The Company uses forward commitments to sell residential mortgage loans to economically hedge its interest rate risk related to residential MLHFS. In connection with its mortgage banking operations, the Company held $\$ 5.7$ billion of forward commitments to
u.s. Bancorp
sell mortgage loans and $\$ 3.4$ billion of unfunded mortgage loan commitments at June 30, 2008, that were derivatives in accordance with the provisions of the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedge Activities". The unfunded mortgage loan commitments are reported at fair value as options in Table 8.

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities", and elected to measure certain MLHFS originated on or after January 1 , 2008 fir value. The fair value election for MLFS will reduce certain timing differences and better match, changes in the value of these mortgage loans with changes in the value of the derivatives used as economic hedges for these mortgate loans. The Company also utilizes U.S. Treasury futures, options on U.S. Treasury futures contracts, interest rate swaps and forward commitments to buy residential mortgage loans to economically hedge the change in fair value of its residential MSRs.
Market Risk Management In addition to interest rate risk, the Company is exposed to other forms of market risk as a consequence of conducting normal trading activities. These trading activities principally support the risk management processes of the Company's customers including their management of foreign currency and interest rate risks. The Company also manages market risk of non-trading business activities, including its MSRs and loans held-for-sale. Value at Risk ("VaR") is a key measure of market risk for the Company. Theoretically, VaR represents the maximum amount that the Company has placed at risk of loss, with a ninety-ninth percentile degree of confidence, to adverse market movements in the course of its risk taking activities.

The Company's market valuation risk for trading and non-trading positions, as estimated by the VaR analysis, was $\$ 2$ million and $\$ 15$ million, respectively, at June 30, 2008, compared with $\$ 1$ million and $\$ 15$ million at December 31, 2007 ,
 discussion on market risk management.
Liquidity Risk Management ALPC establishes policies, as well as analyzes and manages liquidity, to ensure that adequate funds are available to meet normal operating requirements in addition to unexpected customer demands for funds in a timely and cost-effective manner. Liquidity management is viewed from long-term and short-term perspectives, as well as from an asset and liability perspective. Management monitors liquidity through a regular review of maturity profiles, funding sources, and loan and deposit forecasts to minimize funding risk. Refer to "Management's Discussion and Analysis - Liquidity Risk Management" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, for further discussion on liquidity risk management.

At June 30 , 2008, parent company long-term debt outstanding was $\$ 11.0$ billion, compared with $\$ 10.7$ billion at December 31,2007 . The $\$ .3$ billion increase was primarily due to the issuance of $\$ 3.4$ billion of medium-term notes, partially offset by the nent of $\$ 2.9$ billion of convertible senior debentures during the first six months of 2008 . As of June 30,2008 , there was no parent company debt scheduled to mature in the remainder of 2008 .
Federal banking laws regulate the amount of dividends that may be paid by banking subsidiaries without prior approval. The amount of dividends available to the parent company from its banking subsidiaries after meeting the regulatory capital requirements for well-capitalized banks was approximately $\$ 1.2$ billion at June $30,2008$.
off-Balance Sheet Arrangements The Company sponsors an off-balance sheet conduit to which it transferred high-grade investment securities, initially funded by the issuance of commercial paper. These investment securities include primarily (i) private label asset-backed securities, which are insurance "wrapped" by mono-line insurance companies and (ii) collateralized mortgage obligations. The conduit held assets with a fair value of $\$ .9$ billion at June 30 , 2008, and $\$ 1.2$ billion at December 31,2007 . The provided liquidity facility to replace outstanding commercial paper as it matures. The draws upon the liquidity resulted in the conduit becoming a non-qualifying special purpose entity However, the Company is not the primary beneficiary and, therefore, does not consolidate the conduit. At June 30, 2008, the amount advanced to the conduit under the liquidity facility was $\$ .9$ billion, which is recorded on the Company's balance sheet in commercial loans. The conduit's remaining commercial paper ( $\$ 17$ million) will mature during 200, resulung adin facility. The Company has recorded a liability for future draws upon

Table 9 Capital Ratios


| June 30, | December 31, |
| :---: | :---: |
| \$18,624 |  |
|  | \$11,539\% |
| ${ }^{8.5 \%}$ | ${ }_{7}^{8.9 \%}$ |
| \$27,502 | \$ 25,925 |
| 12.5\% | 12.2\% |
| ${ }_{\text {\$12,408 }}^{5.29}$ | ${ }_{\text {\$ 11, }}^{5.120}$ |

the liquidity facility, however, such amount was immaterial at June 30 , 2008. The Company believes there is sufficient collateral and insurance to repay all liquidity draws.
Capital Management The Company is committed to managing capital for maximum shareholder benefit and maintaining strong protection for depositors and creditors. In the first six months of 2008, the Company returned 77 percent of earnings to its commo shareholders primarily through dividends and limited net share repurchases. The Company also manages its capital to exceed regulatory capital requirements for well-capitalized bank holding companies. Table 9 provides a summary of capital ratios as of increase was the result of corporat earning and the issuance of $\$ 5$ billo of now increase was the result of corporate earnings and the issuance of $\$ .5$ bilinion of non-cumulative, perpetual preferred stock, partially offset by dividends and share repurchases.
, the Company announced that the Board of Directors approved an authorization to repurchase 150 million shares of common stock through December 31, 2008. The Company does not anticipate significant repurchases for the remainder of 2008.
The following table provides a detailed analysis of all shares repurchased under this authorization during the second quarter of 2008:


LINE OF BUSINESS FINANCIAL REVIEW
Within the Company, financial performance is measured by major lines of business, which include Wholesale Banking, Consumer Banking, Wealth Management \& Securities Services, Payment Services, and Treasury and Corporate Support. These operating segments are components of the Company about which financial information is available and is evaluated regularly in deciding how to allocate resources and assess performance.
Basis for Financial Presentation Business line results are derived from the Company's business unit profitability reporting systems by specifically attributing managed balance sheet assets, deposits and other liabilities and their related income or expense. Refer to "Management's Discussion and Analysis - Line of Business Financial Review" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, for further discussion on the business lines' basis for financial presentation.

Designations, assignments and allocations change from time to time as management systems are enhanced, methods of evaluating performance or product lines change or business segments are realigned to better respond to the Company's diverse customer base. During 2008, certain organization and methodology changes were made and, accordingly, 2007 results were restated and presented on a comparable basis.
Wholesale Banking Wholesale Banking offers lending, equipment finance and small-ticket leasing, depository, treasury management, capital markets, foreign exchange, international trade services and other financial services to middle market, large corporate, commercial real estate and public sector clients. Wholesale Banking contributed $\$ 255$ million of the Company's net income in the second quarter and $\$ 509$ million in the first six months of 2008 , or decreases of $\$ 23$ million ( 8.3 percent) and $\$ 36$ million


Table 10
Line of Business Financial Performance

|  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Wholesale } \\ \text { Banking }}}{ }$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Consumer } \\ \text { Banking } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Three Monts Ended June 30 (Dollars in Millions) | 2008 | 2007 |  | Percent Change | 2008 |  | ${ }_{2007}$ |  | Percent Change |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income (taxable-equivalent basis) | 483 |  |  | ${ }^{6.6 \%}$ |  |  |  | 969 572 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { a } \\(3.5) \\(3.5)}}$ |
| Noninterest income Securrites gains (loses), net | 240 |  | 237 | 1.3 |  |  |  | 572 | ${ }^{(3.8)}$ |
| Securrites gains (losses), net Total net revenue | 712 |  | ${ }_{691}$ | 3.0 |  | 1,495 |  | 1,541 | (3.0) |
| Noniterest expense | 260 |  | 238 | 9.2 |  | 795 |  | 700 | 13.6 |
| Other intangibles | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | 15 |  | 17 | (11.8) |
| Total noninterest expense | 264 |  | 242 | 9.1 |  | 810 |  | 717 | 13.0 |
| Prome Income before provision and income taxes | 448 |  | ${ }_{4}^{49}$ | (.2) |  | 685 |  | 824 | ${ }^{(16.9)}$ |
| Provision for credit losses | 47 |  | 12 | * |  | 180 |  | 73 |  |
| Income before income taxes | 401 |  | 437 | ${ }^{(8.2)}$ |  | 505 |  | ${ }_{271}^{751}$ | ${ }^{(32.8)}$ |
| Income taxes and taxable-equivalent adjustment | 146 |  | 159 | (8.2) |  | 184 |  | 273 | (32.6) |
| Net income | 255 |  | 278 | (8.3) |  | 321 |  | 478 | (32.8) |
| Average Balance Sheet Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | \$39,646 |  | \$34,427 | 15.2\% |  | \$ 6.872 |  | \$ 6,587 | 4.3\% |
| Commercial real estate | 18,562 |  | 16,663 | 11.4 |  | ${ }^{11,276}$ |  | 11,152 | 1.1 |
| Residential mortgages |  |  | 71 | 12.7 |  | 22,771 |  | 21,332 | 6.7 |
| Retail | 79 |  | 66 | 19.7 |  | 40,581 |  | 35,798 | 13.4 |
| Total loans | 58,367 |  | 51,227 | 13.9 |  | 81,500 |  | 74,869 | 8.9 |
| Goodwill | 1,385 |  | 1,329 | 4.2 |  | ${ }^{2,420}$ |  | 2,420 | (13) |
| ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | 4, 64, 59 |  | 56,863 <br> 10 | 22.5 12.6 |  | 1,712 91,845 |  | 1,735 86,168 | ${ }_{6.6}^{(1.3)}$ |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | 10,687 |  | 11,131 | (4.0) |  | 11,958 |  | 12,231 | (2.2) |
| Interest checking | 8,916 |  | 4,826 | 84.7 |  | 18,309 |  | 18,115 | 1.1 |
| Savings products | 6,495 |  | 5,094 | ${ }^{27.5}$ |  | ${ }^{20,002}$ |  | 19,680 | 1.6 |
| Time deposits | 15,252 |  | 9,455 | ${ }^{61.3}$ |  | 17,263 |  | 20,128 | (14.2) |
| Shareholders' equity | ${ }^{41,350}$ |  | 30,506 5 | 35.5 |  | ${ }_{6}^{67,532}$ |  | 70,154 | $\left(\begin{array}{c}(3.7) \\ 7\end{array}\right.$ |
|  | 6,564 |  | 5,729 | 14.6 |  | 7,164 |  | 6,668 | 7.4 |
|  | WholesaleBanking |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Consumer } \\ & \text { Banking } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Six Monts Ended June 30 (Dollars in Mmilions) | 2008 |  | 2007 | Percent Change |  | 2008 |  | 2007 | Percent Change |
| Condensed Income Statement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income (taxable-equivalent basis) | 969 | \$ | 995 | ${ }^{7.1 \%}$ | \$ | 1,891 <br> 1 <br> 1 | \$ | 1,930 | (2.0)\% |
| Noninterest income Securites gains (losses), net | ${ }_{\text {(11) }}^{433}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {(4.8) }}^{*}$ |  | 1,110 |  | 1,092 | 1.6 |
| Total net revenue | 1,391 |  | 1,360 | 2.3 |  | 3,001 |  | 3,022 | (.7) |
| Noninterest expense | 502 |  | 468 | 7.3 |  | 1,557 |  | 1,384 | 12.5 |
| Other intangibles | 7 |  | 8 | (12.5) |  | 30 |  | 34 | (11.8) |
| Total noninterest expense | 509 |  | 476 | 6.9 |  | 1,587 |  | 1,418 | 11.9 |
| Income before provision and income taxes | ${ }_{82}^{882}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}884 \\ \hline 24\end{array}$ | ${ }_{*}^{\text {(.2) }}$ |  | 1,414 |  | 1,604 | ${ }^{(11.8)}$ |
| Provision for credit losses | 82 |  | 24 | * |  | 300 |  | 147 |  |
| Income before income taxes Income taxes and taxale-equivalent adjustment | 800 |  | ${ }^{860}$ | (7.0) |  | 1,114 |  | 1,457 | ${ }^{(23,5)}$ |
| Income taxes and taxable-equivalent adjustment | 291 |  | 315 | ${ }^{(7.6)}$ |  | 406 |  | 530 | (23.4) |
| Net income | 509 | \$ | 545 | (6.6) | \$ | 708 | \$ | 927 | (23.6) |
| Average Balance Sheet Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 39,171 | \$ | 34,565 | 13.3\% | \$ | 6,678 | \$ | 6,532 |  |
| Commercial real estate Residential mortgages | 18,133 87 |  | 16,734 65 | 8.4 33.8 |  | ${ }_{211,24}^{11,611}$ |  | ${ }_{211,205}^{11,145}$ | . 6.6 |
| Retail | 76 |  | 66 | 15.2 |  | 38,685 |  | ${ }_{35,697}^{1,2125}$ | ${ }_{8.4}^{6.6}$ |
| Total loans | 57,467 |  | 51,430 | 11.7 |  | ${ }^{79,198}$ |  | 74,579 | 6.2 |
| Goodwill | 1,357 |  | 1,329 | 2.1 |  | 2,420 |  | 2,413 | ${ }^{3}$ |
| Other intangible assets |  |  | ${ }_{56}{ }^{42}$ | ${ }^{(4.8)}$ |  | 1,611 |  | 1,695 8,570 | ${ }_{5}^{(5.0)}$ |
| Assets Noniterest-bearing deposits | 62,855 10,485 |  | 56,796 10,982 | 10.7 $(4.5)$ |  | 90,392 11,757 |  | 85,570 12,208 | (5.7) |
| Interest checking | 8,465 |  | 4,667 | 81.4 |  | 18,098 |  | 18,025 | ${ }_{4}$ |
| Savings products | 6,159 |  | ${ }_{5,423}$ | 13.6 |  | 19,666 |  | 19,752 | (.4) |
| Time deposits | 14,827 |  | 10,627 | 39.5 |  | 18,033 |  | 20,031 | (10.0) |
| Total deposits Shareholders' equity | (39,936 |  | 31,699 5,764 | 26.0 10.5 |  | $\underset{\substack{67,554 \\ 6,984}}{ }$ |  | 70,016 6,706 | ${ }_{4.1}^{(3.5)}$ |

Shareholders' equity


Total net revenue increased $\$ 21$ million ( 3.0 percent) in the second quarter and $\$ 31$ million ( 2.3 percent) in the first six months of 2008 , compared with the same periods of 2007 . Net interest income, on a taxable-equivalent basis, increased $\$ 30$ million ( 6.6 percent) in the second quarter and $\$ 64$ million ( 7.1 percent) in the first six months of 2008, compared with the same periods of 2007, driven by strong growth in earning assets, partially offset by declining margins in the loan porffolio and a decrease in the margin benefit of deposits. Noninterest income decreased $\$ 9$ million ( 3.8 percent) in the second quarter and $\$ 33$ million ( 7.3 percent) in the first six months of 2008 , compared with the same periods of 2007 . The decreases were primarily due to market-related valuation losses and lower earnings from equity investments, partially offset by higher treasury management fees, commercial lending-related fees, foreign exchange and commercial leasing revenue

Total noninterest expense increased $\$ 22$ million ( 9.1 percent) in the second quarter and $\$ 33$ million ( 6.9 percent) in the first six months of 2008 , compared with the same periods of 2007 , primarily due to higher compensation and employee benefits $\$ 58$ million in the first six months of 2008, compared with the same periods of 2007. The unfavorable change was due to continued credit deterioration in the homebuilding and commercial home supplier industries. Nonperforming assets were $\$ 652$ million at June 30, 2008, $\$ 424$ million at March 31, 2008, and $\$ 230$ million at June 30 , 2007. Nonperforming assets as a percentage of period-end loans were 1.09 percent at June 30 , 2008, .74 percent at March 31 , 2008, and .46 percent at June 30 , 2007. Refer to the "Corporate Risk Profile" section for further information on factors impacting the credit quality of the loan portfolios.
Consumer Banking Consumer Banking delivers products and services through banking offices, telephone servicing and sales, on-line services, direct mail and ATM processing. It encompasses community banking, metropolitan banking, in-store banking, small business banking, consumer lending, mortgage banking, consumer finance, workplace banking, student banking and 24 -hour banking. Consumer Banking contributed $\$ 321$ million of the Company's net income in the second quarter and $\$ 708$ million in the first six second quarter and $\$ 625$ million in the first six months of 2008, or decreases of 36.7 percent and 28.4 percent, respectively, compared with the same periods in the prior year. Mortgage banking contributed $\$ 36$ million and $\$ 83$ million of the business line's net income in the second quarter and first six months of 2008, respectively, or increases of 28.6 percent and 53.7 percent, respectively, compared with the same periods in the prior year.

Total net revenue decreased $\$ 46$ miliion ( 3.0 percent) in the second quarter and $\$ 21$ million (. 7 percent) in the first six months of 2008, compared wiut the same periods of 2007 . Net interest income, on a taxable-equivalent basis, decreased $\$ 24$ miliion (2.5 percent) in the second quarter and $\$ 39$ million (2.0 percent) in the first six months of 2008, compared with same periods of 2007. Net interest income declined year-over-year as increases in average loan balances and yield-related loan fees were more than offset by lower deposit balances, as customers utilized balances to fund higher living costs, and a decline in the margin benefit of deposits, given the declining interest rate environment. The increase in average loan balances reflected growth in most loan categories, with the largest increases in residential morgages and retail loans. The favorable change in retail loans was principalyy driven by an increase in instalment producs, home equity lines and federally Sara teed student loan batances $\$ 29$ bill ( 14.2 percent) in the second quarter and $\$ 2.0$ billion ( 10.0 percent) in the first six months of 2008 compared with the same periods of 2007 . These declines reflected the Company's funding and pricing decisions and competition for these deposits by other financial institutions that have more limited access to the wholesale funding sources given the current market environment. Fee-based noninterest income decreased $\$ 22$ million ( 3.8 percent) in the second quarter and increased $\$ 18$ million ( 1.6 percent) in the first six months of 2008, compared with the same periods of 2007. The decrease in fee-based revenue in the second quarter of 2008, compared to the same period of 2007, was driven by lower retail lease revenue related to higher retail lease residual losses, partially offset by growth in revenue from ATM processing services and higher mortgage banking income principally related to an increase in mortgage servicing income and
production gains, including the adoption of SFAS 157. These favorable impacts to mortgage banking revenue were partially offset by an unfavorable net change in the valuation of MSRs and related economic hedging activities. The increase in noninterest income in the first six months of 2008, compared to the same period of 2007, was due to growth in ATM processing services, deposit service charges and mortgage banking revenue, partially offset by lower retail lease revenue related to higher retail leas residual losses.

Total noninterest expense increased $\$ 93$ million ( 13.0 percent) in the second quarter and $\$ 169$ million ( 11.9 percent) in the first six months of 2008, compared with the same periods of 2007. The increases included the net addition of 36 in-store and 7 traditional branches at June 30 , 2008, compared with June 30, 2007. In addition, the increases were primarily attributable to higher compensation and employee benefit expense, which reflected business investments in customer service and various promotional activities, including further deployment of the PowerBank initiative, the adoption of SFAS 157 and higher credit related costs associated with other real estate owned and foreclosures.

The provision for credit losses increased $\$ 107$ million in the second quarter and $\$ 153$ million in the first six months of 2008, compared with the same periods of 2007. The increases were attributable to higher net charge-offs, reflecting portfolio growth and credit deterioration in residential mortgages, home equity and other installment and consumer loan portfolios from a year ago. As a percentage of average loans outstanding on an annualized basis, net charge-offs were .89 percent in the second quarter
2008 , compared with . 39 percent in the second quarter of 2007 . Commercial and commercial real estate loan net charge-offs increased $\$ 10$ million ( 83.3 percent) in the second quarter of 2008 , compared with the second quarter of 2007 . Retail loan and residential mortgage net charge-offs increased $\$ 97$ million in the second quarter of 2008, compared with the second quarter of 2007. Nonperforming assets were $\$ 417$ million at June 30,2008 , $\$ 371$ million at March 31,2008 , and $\$ 300$ million at June 30 , 2007 , Nonperforming assets as a percentage of period-end loans were .53 percent at June 30, 2008, .52 percent at March 31, 2008, and .42 percent at June 30, 2007. Refer to the "Corporate Risk Profile" section for further information on factors impacting the credit quality of the loan portfolios.
Wealth Management \& Securities Services Wealth Management \& Securities Services provides trust, private banking, financial advisory, investment management, retail brokerage services, insurance, custody and mutual fund servicing through five businesses: Wealth Management, Corporate Trust, FAF Advisors, Insitutional Trust \& Custody and Fund Services. Wealu Management \& Securities Services contributed \$149 million of the Company's net income in the second quarter and \$296 million in the first six months of 2008 , or decreases of $\$ 4$ million ( 2.6 percent) and $\$ 2$ million (. 7 percent), respectively, compared with the same periods of 2007

Total net revenue increased $\$ 2$ million (. 4 percent) in the second quarter and $\$ 15$ million ( 1.6 percent) in the first six months of 2008, compared with the same periods of 2007. Net interest income, on a taxable-equivalent basis, decreased $\$ 7$ million ( 6.0 percent) in the second quarter and $\$ 6$ million ( 2.6 percent) in the first six months of 2008, compared with the same periods of 2007, primarily due to a reduction in the margin benefit of deposits, partially offset by deposit growth. Noninterest income increased \$
conditions.

Total noninterest expense increased $\$ 9$ million ( 3.6 percent) in the second quarter and $\$ 16$ million ( 3.2 percent) in the first six months of 2008, compared with the same periods of 2007 . The increases in noninterest expense were primarily due to higher compensation and employee benefits expense, partially offset by lower other intangibles expense.
Payment Services Payment Services includes consumer and business credit cards, stored-value cards, debit cards, corporate and purchasing card services, consumer lines of credit, and merchant processing. Payment Services are highly inter-related with banking products and services of the other lines of business and rely on access to the bank subsidiary's settlement network, lower cost funding available to the Company, cross-selling opportunities and operating efficiencies. Payment Services contributed were due to growth in total net revenue, driven by loan growth and higher transaction volumes, partially offset by an increase in total noninterest expense and a higher provision for credit losses. ( $\$ 74$ million
( 43.8 percent) in the second quarter and $\$ 156$ million ( 45.7 percent) in the first six months of 2008 , compared with the same periods of 2007 . The increases were primarily due to growth in higher spread credit card balances and the timing of asset repricing in a declining rate environment. Noninterest income increased $\$ 73$ million ( 10.6 percent) in the second quarter and $\$ 151$ million ( 11.5 percent) in the first six months of 2008, compared with the same periods of 2007 . The increases in fee-based revenue were driven by account growth, higher transaction volumes and business expansion initiatives.

Total noninterest expense increased $\$ 41$ million (11.4 percent) in the second quarter and $\$ 73$ million ( 10.4 percent) in the first six months of 2008, compared with the same periods of 2007, due primarily to new business initiatives, including costs The provision for credit losses increased $\$ 67$ million
credit card portfolio growth and higher delinquency rates from a year ago. As a percentage of average loans outstanding on an thnual ized basis, net charge-offs were 3.94 percent in the second quarter of 2008 , compared with 284 percent in the second quarter of 2007.
Treasury and Corporate Support Treasury and Corporate Support includes the Company's investment portfolios, funding, capital management, asset securitization, interest rate risk management, the net effect of transfer pricing related to average balances and the Treasury and Corporate Support Treasury and Corporate Support includes the Company's investment portfolios, funding, capital management, asset securitization, interest rate risk management, the net effect of transfer pricing related to average balances a
residual aggregate of those expenses associated with corporate activities that are managed on a consolidated basis. Treasury and Corporate Support recorded a net loss of $\$ 53$ million in the second quarter and $\$ 33$ million in the first six months of 2008 , compared with a net loss of $\$ 6$ million and net income of $\$ 35$ million in the same periods of the prior year, respectively,

Total net revenue increased $\$ 141$ million in the second quarter and $\$ 418$ million in the first six months of 2008, compared with the same periods of 2007. Net interest income, on a taxable-equivalent basis, increased $\$ 185$ million in the second quarter and $\$ 247$ million in the first six months of 2008, compared with the same periods of 2007, due to a steepening yield curve, wholesale funding decisions and the Company's asset and liability position. Noninterest income decreased $\$ 44$ million in the second quarter and increased $\$ 171$ million in the first six months of 2008, compared with the same periods of 2007. The decrease in the second quarter of 2008, compared with the same period of 2007, was primarily due to the impairment charges for certain structured investment securies. The increase for the first six months of 2008, , transition impact of adopting SFAS 157 during the first quarter of 2008

Total noninterest expense was flat in the second quarter and increased $\$ 98$ million ( 66.7 percent) in the first six months of 2008, compared with the same periods of 2007. The increase in noninterest expense in the first six months of 2008 was driven by higher compensation and employee benefits expense, higher litigation costs, incremental costs associated with investments in tax-advantaged projects and a charitable contribution made to the U.S. Bancorp Foundation, partially offset by a reduction in net shared services expense

The provision for credit losses for this business unit represents the residual aggregate of the net credit losses allocated to the reportable business units and the Company's recorded provision determined in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The provision for credit losses increased $\$ 196$ million in the second quarter and $\$ 392$ million in the first six months of 2008, compared with the same periods of the prior year, driven by incremental provision expense recorded in the first six months of 2008, reflecting deterioration in the credit quality within the loan portfolios related to stress in the residential real estate markets, including homebuilding and related supplier industries, and the impact of economic conditions llow

Income taxes are assessed to each line of business at a managerial tax rate of 36.4 percent with the residual tax expense or benefit to arrive at the consolidated effective tax rate included in Treasury and Corporate Support. The consolidated effective tax rate of the Company was 28.9 percent in the second quarter and 29.7 percent in the first six months of 2008 , compared with 30.2 percent in the second quarter and 30.3 percent in the first six months of 2007 .
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## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting and reporting policies of the Company comply with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and conform to general practices within the banking industry. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions. The financial position and results of operations can be affected by these estimates and assumptions, which are integral to understanding the Company's financial statements. Critical accounting policies are those policies that management believes are the most important to the portrayal of the Company's financial condition and results, and require management to make estimates that are difficult, subjective or complex Most accounting policies are not considered by management to be critical accounting policies. Those policies considered to be critical accounting policies relate to the allowance for credit losses, estimations of fair value, MSRs, goodwill and other intangibles and income taxes. Management has discussed the development and the selection of critical accounting policies with the Company's Audit Committee. These accounting policies are discussed in detail in "Management's Discussion and Analysis - Critical .

## CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, the Company has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act")). Based upon this evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective
( likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## Table of Contents

U.S. Bancorp

Consolidated Balance Sheet

| (Dollars in Millions, Except Per Share Data) | June 30, |  | December 31 , 2007 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Unauditea) |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 7,956 | \$ | 8.884 |
| Investment securities |  |  |  |  |
| Held-to-maturity (fair value $\$ 65$ and $\$ 78$, respectively) Availabe-forsale |  | 64 41.058 |  | 74 |
| Loans held for sale (included $\$ 3,393$ of mortgage loans carried at fair value at $6 / 30 / 88$ ) |  | ${ }_{3,788}$ |  | 4,819 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  | ${ }_{55,138}$ |  | 51,074 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 31,247 |  |  |
| Residential mortgages Retail |  | 23,301 56,204 |  | 22,782 <br> 50,764 |
| Total loans |  | 165,890 |  |  |
| Less allowance for loan losses |  | (2,518) |  | (2,058) |
| Net loans |  | 163,372 |  | 151,769 |
| Premises and equipment |  | 1.811 |  | 1,779 |
| Other intangibe assets |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}3,043 \\ 16,558 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Total assets | $\Phi$ | 246,538 | \$ | 237,615 |
| Liailities and Shareholders' Equity |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits Noninterest-bearing | \$ | 33,970 | \$ |  |
| Interest-bearing |  | 76,300 |  | 72,458 |
| Time deposits greater than \$100,000 |  | 24,861 |  | 25,653 |
| Total deposits |  | 135,131 4 4137 |  | 131,445 |
| Shor-term borrowings Long-term debt |  | ${ }_{39,943}^{41,107}$ |  | 32,370 43,440 |
| Other liabilities |  | 8,529 |  | 9,314 |
| (total liabilites |  | 224,710 |  | 216,569 |
| Preferred stock, par value $\$ 1.00$ a share (liquidation preference of $\$ 25,000$ per share) authorized: $50,000,000$ shares; issued and outstanding: 6/30/08-60,000 shares and 12/31/07-40,000 shares |  | 1,500 |  | 1,000 |
| Common stock, par value $\$ 0.01$ a share - authorized: $4,000,000,000$ shares; issued: $6 / 301 / 8$ and $12 / 13107-1,972,643,007$ shares |  | 20 |  | 20 |
| Capital surplus |  | 5,682 |  | 5,749 |
| Retained earnings $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less cost of common stock in treasury: 6/30/08 - 231,226,312 shares; } 12 / 31107-244,786,039 \text { shares }\end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{(7,075)}{23,20}$ |  | 22,693 $(7,480)$ |
| Other comprehensive income |  | $(1,519)$ |  | (936) |
| Total shareholders' equity |  | 21,828 |  | 21,046 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | $\Phi$ | 246,538 | \$ | 237,615 |
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Consolidated Statement of Income

U.S. Bancorp

Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity


See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
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Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows


See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

## Note 1 Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form $10-\mathrm{Q}$ and, therefore, do not include all information and notes necessary for a complete presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flow activity required in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. In the opinion of management of U.S. Bancorp (the "Company"), all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair statement of results for the interim periods have been made. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and notes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. Certain amounts in prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

Accounting policies for the lines of business are generally the same as those used in preparation of the consolidated financial statements with respect to activities specifically attributable to each business line. However, the preparation of business line results requires management to establish methodologies to allocate funding costs and benefits, expenses and other financial elements to each line of business. Table 10 "Line of Business Financial Performance" provides details of segment results. This information is incorporated by reference into these Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Note 2 Accounting Changes
Fair Value Option In February 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159 ("SFAS 159"), "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities", effective for the Company beginning on January 1, 2008. This Statement provides entities with an irrevocable option to measure and report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value, with the objective to reduce both the complexity in accounting for financial instruments and the volatility in earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently. The Company elected the fair value option pursuant to SFAS 159 on January 1,2008 , for certain mortgage loans held for sale ("MLHFS") originated on or after January 1, 2008. There was no impact of adopting SFAS 159 on the Company's financial statements as of the date of adoption. MLHFS subject to the fair value option are initially measured at fair value with subsequent changes in fair value recognized as a component of mortgage banking revenue. For additional information on the fair value of certain financial assets and liabilities, refer to Note 9 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
Fair Value Measurements In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157 ("SFAS 157"), "Fair Value Measurements", effective for the Company beginning on January 1 , 2008 . This Statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This statement provides a consistent definition of fair value which focuses on exit price and prioritizes market-based inputs obtained from sources about the use of fair value to measure assets and liabilities in interim and annual periods subsequent to initial recognition. The disclosures focus on the inputs used to measure fair value, and for recurring fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs, the effect of the measurements on earnings or changes in net assets for the period. The adoption of SFAS 157 reduced the Company's income by approximately $\$ 62$ million ( $\$ 38$ million after-tax) for the six months ended June 30 , 2008. For additional information on the fair value of certain financial assets and liabilities, refer to Note 9 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
written Loan Commitments In November 2007, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 109 ("SAB 109"), "Written Loan Commitments Recorded at Fair Value Through Earnings", effective for the Company Writen Loan Commitments in November 2007, the Securites and Exchange Commission ( SEC ISssued Staff Accounting Bulleun No. 109 ( SAB
loan commitments that are accounted for at fair value through earnings. The adoption of SAB 109 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements. For additional information on the fair value of certain financial assets and liabilities, refer to Note 9 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Business Combinations In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141 (revised 2007) ("SFAS 141R"), "Business Combinations", effective for the Company beginning on January 1, 2009. SFAS 141R establishes principles and requirements for the acquirer in a business combination, including the recognition and measurement of the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed and any noncontrolling interest in the acquired entity as of the acquisition date; the recognition and measurement of the goodwill acquired in the business combination or gain from a bargain purchase as of the acquisition date, and me determination of additional disclosures needed to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. Under SFAS 141R, nearly all acquired assets and liabilities assumed are required to be recorded at fair value at the acquisition date, including loans. This will eliminate separate recognition of the acquired allowance for loan losses on the acquirer's balance sheet as credit related factors will be incorporated directly into the fair value of the loans recorded at the acquisition date. Other significant changes include recognizing transaction costs and most restructuring

Noncontroling interests in December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160 ( SFAS 160), Noncontroling interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51 , effective for the Company beginning on January 1, 2009. SFAS 160 will change the accounting and reporting for minority interests, which will be recharacterized as noncontrolling interests and classified as a component of equity, separate from the Company's own equity, in the consolidated balance sheet. This Statement also requires the amount of net income attributable to the entity and to the noncontrolling interests to be shown separately on the face of the consolidated statement of income. SFAS 160 also requires expanded disclosures that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the entity and those of the noncontrolling owners. The Company is currently assessing the impact of this guidance on its financial statements.

Note 3 Investment Securities
The amortized cost, fair value, weighted-average maturity and weighted-average yield of held-to-maturity and available-for-sale securities was as follows:

| (Dolars milios) | June 30,2008 |  |  |  | December 31, 2007 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized | Fair Value | Weighted- Average Mautiry in rears | Weighted Average Yield (c) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Amorized } \\ \text { Cost } \end{gathered}$ | Fair Value | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weighter } \\ & \text { Average } \\ & \text { Avery } \\ & \text { in vears } \end{aligned}$ | Weighted- Average Yeld (c) |
| Held-to-maturity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities (a) | 5 |  | 3.1 | 6.13\% |  |  | 3.1 | 6.29\% |
| Obigations of state and political subdivisions (b) Other debt securties | 50 | ${ }_{51}^{51}$ | 10.4 | ${ }_{5}^{5.61}$ | 56 12 | 60 12 | 10.2 | 6.03 <br> 5.26 |
| Total held-to-maturity securities | 64 | 65 | 8.5 | 5.57\% | 74 | 78 | 8.3 | 5.92\% |
| Available-for-sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury and agencies | \$ 123 | \$ 123 | 3.0 | 3.90\% | \$ 407 | 405 | 7.5 | 5.95\% |
| Mortgage-backed securities (a) | 32,341 | 31,178 | 7.3 | 4.60 | 31,300 | 30,603 | 5.6 |  |
| Asset-backed securities (a)(d) | 1,085 | 1,060 | 4.1 | 6.03 | 2,922 | 2,928 | 5.2 | 5.72 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions (b) | 7,099 | 6,775 | 17.3 | 6.78 | 7,131 | 7,055 | 10.7 | 6.78 |
| Other debt securities | 1,914 | 1,514 | 29.1 | 5.22 | $\begin{array}{r}1,840 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1,603 | $\begin{array}{r}29.8 \\ 3.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }^{6.19}$ |
| Other investments Total available-tr-sale securities | 510 | 408 | 40.2 | ${ }^{6.40}$ | 506 | 448 | 33.5 |  |
| Total available-for-sale securities | \$43,072 | \$41,058 | 10.2 | 5.04\% | \$44,106 | \$43,042 | 7.7 | 5.51\% |

[^2]

Included in available-for-sale investment securities are structured investment vehicle securities ("SIVs") which were purchased in the fourth quarter of 2007 from certain money market funds managed by FAF Advisors, Inc., an affiliate of the Company. During the first six months of 2008, the Company exchanged its interest in certain SIVs and received its share of the underlying investment securities as in-kind distributions according to the applicable restructuring agreements. The SIVs and the investment securities received are collectively referred to as "SIV-related investments." Some of these securities evidenced credit deterioration at time of acquisition by the Company. Statement of Position No. 03-3 ("SOP 03-3"), "Accounting for Certain Loans or Debt Securities Acquired in a Transfer", requires the difference between the total expected cash flows for these securities and the initial recorded investment to be recognized in earnings over the life of the securities, using a level yield. If subsequent de
fair value of these securities are accompanied by an adverse change in the expected cash flows, an other-than-temporary impairment will be recorded through earnings. Subsequent increases in the expected cash flows will be recognized as income prospectively over the remaining life of the security by increasing the level yield. During the second quarter and first six months of 2008, the Company recorded $\$ 52$ million and $\$ 305$ million, respectively, of impairment charges on these investments, primarily as a result of widening credit spreads during these time periods

Upon acquiring the collateral, the Company evaluated each individual security to determine whether there was evidence of credit deterioration at the acquisition date to determine which securities were subject to SOP 03-3 accounting. The reconciliation below of the securities subject to SOP 03-3 accounting reflects the removal of $\$ 523$ miliion and $\$ 1,07$ million of SIVs that were exchanged during the second quarter and first six months of 2008 , respectively, and the addition of $\$ 54$ million and $\$ 134$ million of underlying investment securities received in the exchange during the second quarter and first six months of 2008, respectively, that have evidence of credit deterioration as of their acquisition date.

The gross undiscounted cash flows that were due under the contractual terms of the purchased securities subject to SOP $03-3$, were $\$ 1.3$ billion at June 30,2008 , compared with $\$ 2.5$ billion at December 31 , 2007, which included payments receivable of $\$ 37$ million and $\$ 33$ million at June 30, 2008, and December 31, 2007, respectively

Changes in the carrying amount and accretable yield of these securities subject to SOP $03-3$ were as follows:

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 |  | Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Accreable |  | Accreatale | Carrying Amount |
| (Dolars in Millions) | Accreale Yeld | Securities | Accreale Y Yeld | Securities |
| Balance at beginning of period Transers in | \$ 303 | \$ 1,670 | \$ 105 | \$ 2,427 |
| Payments received | - | (103) |  | (145) |
| Impairment writedowns | (12) | (52) | 183 | (305) |
| Acreretion Transfers out (b) | (9) (121) | (523) | ${ }_{\text {(131) }}^{(15)}$ | (15 (1.071) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 191 | \$ 1,055 | \$ 191 | \$ 1,055 |

Balance aend
(a) Represents the tair value of the securities at theie transfer date. Includes certain securities received upon the exchange of certain SIV securities.
(b)
The Company conducts a regular assessment of its investment portfolios to determine whether any securities are other-than-temporarily impaired considering, among other factors, the nature of the securities, credit ratings or financial condition of the issuer, the extent and duration of the unrealized loss, expected cash flows of underlying collateral, market conditions and the Company's ability to hold the securities through the anticipated recovery period. In addition to the impairment taken on the securities subject to SOP $03-3$ accounting, the Company recorded other-than-temporary impairment charges of $\$ 25$ million in the second quarter and first six months of 2008, on certain other SIV-related and other investment securities.

At June 30,2008 , certain investment securities included in the held-to-maturity and available-for-sale categories had a fair value that was below their amortized cost.

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and fair value of the Company's investments with unrealized losses that are not deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired based on the period the investments have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at June 30,2008

|  | Less Than 12 Montrs |  | 12 Monts or Greater |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair <br> Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Held-to-maturity |  | \$ (1) | \$ 5 | \$ - | \$ 20 | \$ (1) |
| Total | \$ 15 | \$ (1) | \$ 5 | \$ - | \$ 20 | \$ (1) |
| Availabe-for-sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| u.S. Treasury and agencies | 66 | (1) |  |  |  | (1) |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 14,309 | (487) | 12,275 | (720) | 26,584 | (1,207) |
| Asset-backed securtites | 603 3.976 | (25) | 2.658 | (186) | $\begin{array}{r}603 \\ 6.634 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | (25) |
| Other securities and investments | ${ }_{835}$ | (186) | ${ }_{866}$ | (317) | ${ }_{1}^{1,701}$ | ${ }_{(503)}$ |
| Total | \$19,789 | \$(838) | \$15,799 | \$(1,233) | \$35,588 | $\$(2.061)$ |

The unrealized losses within each investment category have occurred as a result of changes in interest rates and credit spreads. The substantial portion of securities that have unrealized losses are either government securities, issued by government-
backed agencies or privately issued securities with high investment grade credit ratings. Unrealized losses within other securities and investments are also the result of a widening of credit spreads since the initial purchase date. In general, the issuers of the
backed agencies or privately issued securities witt high investment grade credit ratings. Unrealized losses within other securities and investments are also the result of a widening of credit spreads since the inditial purchase date. In general, the issuers of the
investment securities are contractually prohibited from paying them off at less than par at maturity or any earlier call date. As of the reporting date, the Company expects to receive all contractual principal and interest related to these securities other than certain SIV-related investments, and expected that approximately $\$ 131$ million of principal payments will not be received for certain SIV-related investments for which it has recorded impairment charges. The Company has the intent and ability to hold all of its investment securities that are in an unrealized loss position at June 30,2008 , until their anticipated recovery in value or maturity. As a result, none of these securities were considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30 , 2008 .

Note 4 Loans
The composition of the loan portfolio was as follows:

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Loans are presented net of unearned interest and deferred fees and costs, which amounted to $\$ 1.3$ billion at June 30, 2008, and $\$ 1.4$ billion at December 31, 2007.

Note 5 Mortgage Servicing Rights
The Company's portfolio of residential mortgages serviced for others was $\$ 107.3$ billion and $\$ 97.0$ billion at June 30 , 2008, and December 31, 2007, respectively. The Company records mortgage servicing rights ("MSRs") initially at fair value and at each subsequent reporting date, and records changes in fair value in noninterest income in the period in which they occur. In conjunction with its MSRs, the Company may utilize derivatives, including futures, forwards and interest rate swaps to offset the effect of interest rate changes on the fair value of MSRs. The net impact of assumption changes on the fair value of MSRs, excluding decay, and the related derivatives included in mortgage banking revenue was a net loss of $\$ 16$ million and $\$ 6$ million for the three $\$ 99$ million and $\$ 87$ million for the three months ended June 30,2008 , and 2007 , respectively, and $\$ 194$ million and $\$ 173$ million for the six months ended June 30 , 2008, and 2007 , respectively.

Changes in fair value of capitalized MSRs are summarized as follows:
Rights capitialized
Changes in fair value
anges in tair value of MSA
Other changes in fair value (b)
Balance at end of period
Balance at end of period
(a) Principaly reflects changes in discount rates and prepayment speed assumptions, primarily arising from interest rate changes.
(b) Primarily reperesents shanges due to collectiontrealization of expected cash f fows over time ( decayy).

The Company determines fair value by estimating the present value of the asset's future cash flows utilizing market-based prepayment rates, discount rates, and other assumptions validated through comparison to trade information, industry surveys, and independent third party appraisals. Risks inherent in the valuation of MSRs include higher than expected prepayment rates and/or delayed receipt of cash flows. The estimated sensitivity to changes in interest rates of the fair value of the MSRs portfolio and the related derivative instruments at June 30, 2008, was as follows:


Note 6 Earnings Per Common Share


Options to purchase 27 million and 13 million common shares for the three months ended June 30,2008 and
ding but not included in the computation of diluted earnings per common share because they were antidilutive.

Note 7 Employee Benefits
The components of net periodic benefit cost for the Company's retirement plans were:


## Note 8 Income Taxes

| (Dollars in Mmilions) | Three Montis Ended June 30, |  | Six Montrs Ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Federal |  |  |  |  |
| Current Deferred | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 363 \\ & (42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 488 \\ (46) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 819 \\ (84) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$ ${ }_{\text {(68) }}^{937}$ |
| Federal income tax | 321 | 442 | 735 | 869 |
| ate |  |  |  |  |
| Current Defered | ${ }_{\text {(3) }}^{68}$ | 62 $(4)$ | ${ }_{(6)}^{133}$ | 131 |
| State income tax | 65 | 58 | 127 | 124 |
| Total income tax provision | \$386 | \$ 500 | \$ 862 |  |

A reconciliation of expected income tax expense at the federal statutory rate of 35 percent to the Company's applicable income tax expense follows:


The Company's income tax returns are subject to review and examination by federal, state, local and foreign government authorities. On an ongoing basis, numerous federal, state, local and foreign examinations are in progress and cover multiple ta years. As of June 30, 2008, the federal taxing authority has completed its examination of the Company through the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004. The years open to examination by foreign, state and local government authorities vary by jurisdiction. The Company's net deferred tax liability was $\$ 917$ million at June 30, 2008, and $\$ 1,279$ million at December 31, 2007.

Note 9 Fair Values of Assets and Liabilities
Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted SFAS 157 which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value is defined under SFAS 157 as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Under SFAS 157 , a fair value measurement should reflect all of the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about the risk inherent in a particular valuation technique, the effect of a restriction on the sale or use of an asset, and the isk of nonperformance. Upon adoption of SFAS 157, the Company considered the principal market and nonperformance risk when determining the fair value measurements for derivatives which reduced trading revenue by $\$ 62$ million. SFAS 157 no longe originated.

SFAS 157 specifies a three level hierarchy for valuation techniques used to measure financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value. This hierach is based on whether the value res are

- Level 1-Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 1 includes U.S. Treasury and exchange-traded instruments.

Level 2 - Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for列 pricing models with inputs that are observable in the market or can be corroborated by observable market data.

- Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose values are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or siilar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation. This category generally includes residenial MSRs, certain debt securities and derivative contracts.
The following section describes the valuation methodologies used by the Company to measure financial assets and liabilities at fair value and includes an indication of the level in the fair value hierarchy in which the assets or liabilities are classified. Where appropriate, the description includes details of the valuation models and key inputs to those models.


## Derivatives Exchange-traded derivatives are measured at fair value based on quoted market (i.e. exchange) prices. Because prices are available for the identical instrument in an active market, these fair values are classified within Level 1 of the fair value

 hierarchy.The majority of derivatives held by the Company are executed over-the-counter and are valued using standard cash flow, Black-Scholes and Monte Carlo valuation techniques. The models incorporate various inputs, depending on the type of derivative, including interest rate curves, foreign exchange rates and volatility. The majority of these derivatives are classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy as the significant inputs to the models are observable. An exception to the Level 2 classification are certain derivative transactions for which the risk of nonperformance cannot be observed in the market. These derivatives are classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. In addition, commitments to sell, purchase and originate mortgage loans, that meet
the requirements of a derivative, are valued by pricing models that include market observable and unobservable inputs. Due to the significant unobservable inputs, these commitments are classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Investments When available, quoted market prices are used to determine the fair value of investment securities and such items are classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. An example is U.S. Treasury securities
For other securities, the Company determines fair value based on various sources and may apply matrix pricing with observable prices for similar bonds where a price for the identical bond is not observable. Prices are verified,
where possible, to prices obtained from independent sources. Securities measured at fair value by such methods are classified as Level 2 .
Certain securities are not valued based on observable transactions and are, therefore, classified as Level 3 . The fair value of these securities is based on management's best estimates. These securities include SIV-related investments and certain trustpreferred securities. For the SIV-related investments held by the Company, the majority of the collateral is residential mortgage-backed securities with the remaining collateral consisting of commercial mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities, teralized debt obligations and collateralized loan obligations.
The estimation process for these securities involves the use
berrower loan-to value and rates, borrower loan-to-value and borrower credit scores. Inputs used for estimation are refined and updated to reflect market developments. The fair value of these securities are sensitive to changes in the estimated cash flows and related assumptions used and
given market conditions are updated on a regular basis. The collateral cash flows are aggregated and passed through a distribution waterfall to determine allocation to tranches. Cash flows are then discounted at an interest rate to estimate the fair value of the security held by the Company. Discount rates reflect current market conditions and the relative risk of these investment securities. The primary drivers that impact the valuations of these securities are the prepayment and default rates associated with the underlying collateral, as well as the discount rate used to calculate the present value of the projected cash flows. Securities measured at fair value by this methodology are classified as Level 3 . Related interest income for investment securities is recorded in interest income in the Consolidated Statement of Income.
Certain mortgage loans held for sale Effective January 1, 2008, the Company elected the fair value option under SFAS 159 for MLHFS originated on or after January 1, 2008, for which an active secondary market and readily available market prices exist to value reduces certain timing differences and better matches changes in fair value of these assets with changes in the value of the derivative instruments used to economically hedge them without the burden of complying with the requirements for hedge accounting under SFAS 133. There was no transition adjustment required upon adoption of SFAS 159 for MLHFS, because the Company continued to account for MLHFS originated prior to 2008 under the lower-of-cost-or-market accounting method.

MLHFS measured at fair value are initially valued at the transaction price and are subsequently valued by comparison to instruments with similar collateral and risk profiles. Included in mortgage banking revenue in the second quarter and first six months of 2008 was $\$ 46$ million and $\$ 58$ million of net losses, respectively, from the initial measurement and subsequent changes to fair value of the MLHFS under the fair value option. Changes in fair value due to instrument specific credit risk was immaterial. The fair value of MLHFS under the fair value option was $\$ 3.4$ billion as of June 30,2008 , which exceeded the unpaid principal balance by $\$ 18$ million as of that date. Related interest income for MLHFS continues to be measured based on contractual interest rates and reported as interest income in the Consolidated Statement of Income.

Methodology used by the Company in determining the fair value of its MSRs.

The following table summarizes the balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:


Represents primarily derivative receivables.
At June 30,2008 , MLHFS excluded $\$ 47$ million of mortgage loans that were not subject to the fair value option election, and therefore, are excluded from the table above.
The table below presents the changes in fair value for all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3). Level 3 instruments presented in the table include SIV-related and certain trust-preferred securities investments, MSRs and derivatives:


```
Included in securities gains (losses)
A
(a)
(1) Approximately $(41) milion included in other noninterest income and $8 million includded in mortgage banking revenue.
```

The Company may also be required periodically to measure certain other financial assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. These measurements of fair value usually result from the application of lower-of-cost-or-market accounting or write-downs of individual assets. The following table summarizes the adjusted carrying values and the level of valuation assumptions used to determine each adjustment to the related individual assets or porffolios at June 30, 2008:



Fair Value Option
The following table summarizes the differences between the aggregate fair value carrying amount of MLHFS for which the fair value option has been elected and the aggregate unpaid principal amount that the Company is contractually obligated to receive at maturity


Note 10 Guarantees and Contingent Liabilities
Visa Restructuring and Card Association Litigation The Company's payment services business issues and acquires credit and debit card transactions through the Visa U.S.A. Inc. card association or its affiliates (collectively "Visa"). On October 3, 2007, Visa completed a restructuring and issued shares of Visa Inc. common stock to its financial institution members in contemplation of its initial public offering ("IPO") completed in the first quarter of 2008 (the "Visa Reorganization"). As a part of the Visa Reorganziation, the Company received its proportionate number of Class U.S.A. shares of Visa Inc. common stock. In addition, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries have been named as defendants along with Visa U.S.A. Inc. and MasterCard International (collectively, the "Card Associations"), as well as several other banks, in antitrust lawsuits challenging the practices of the Card Associations (the "Visa Litigation"). Visa U.S.A. member banks have a contingent obligation to indemnify Visa, Inc. under the Visa U.S.A. bylaws (which were modified at the time of the restructuring in October 2007) for potential losses arising from the Visa Litigation. The Company has also entered into judgment and loss sharing agreements with Visa U.S.A. and certain other banks in order to apportion financial responsibilities arising from any potential adverse judgment or negotiated settlements related to the Visa Litigation.

On November 7, 2007, Visa announced the settlement of the portion of the Visa Litigation involving American Express, and accordingly, the Company recorded a $\$ 115$ million charge in the third quarter of 2007 for its proportionate share of this settlement. In addition to the liability related to the settlement with American Express, Visa U.S.A. member banks were required to recognize the contingent obligation to indemnify Visa Inc. under the Visa U.S.A. bylaws for potential losses arising from the remaining Visa Litigation at the estimated fair value of such obligation in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of OHers. The contingent obligation of men approximately $\$ 215$ million in the fourth quarter of 2007

In March 2008, Visa Inc. completed its IPO, redeemed a portion of the Class U.S.A. shares, and set aside $\$ 3$ billion of the proceeds from the IPO in an escrow account for the benefit of member financial institutions to fund the expenses of the Visa Litigation, as well as the members' proportionate share of any judgments or settlements that may arise out of the Visa Litigation. The Company recorded a $\$ 339$ million gain for the portion of its shares that were redeemed for cash and a $\$ 153$ million gain for its porportionate share of the escrow account in the first quarter of 2008. The receivable related to the escrow account is classified in other liabilities as a direct offset to the related Visa Litigation liabilities and will decline as amounts are paid out of the escro解 remaining Visa Inc. shares held by the Company will be eligible for conversion to Class A shares three years after the IPO or upon settlement of the Visa Litigation, whichever is later

The following table is a summary of other guarantees and contingent liabilities of the Company at June 30, 2008:

(a) The maximum potential future payments does not include loan sales where the Company provides standard representations and warranties to the buyer against losses related to loan underwiting documentation. For these types of loan sales, the maximum potential future payments are not readily determinable eecause

The Company, through its subsidiaries, provides merchant processing services. Under the rules of credit card associations, a merchant processor retains a contingent liability for credit card transactions processed. This contingent liability arises in the event of a billing dispute between the merchant and a cardholder that is ultimately resolved in the cardholder's favor. In this situation, the transaction is "charged-back" to the merchant and the disputed amount is credited or otherwise refunded to the cardhold Company is unable to collect this amount from the merchant, it bears the loss for the amount of the refund paid to the cardholder
The Company currently processes card transactions in the United States, Canada and Europe for airlines, cruise lines and large tour operators. In the event of liquidation of these merchants, the Company could become financially liable for refunding tickets purchased through the credit card associations under the charge-back provisions. Charge-back risk related to these merchants is evaluated in a manner similar to credit risk assessments and, as such, merchant processing contracts contain various provisions to protect the Company in the event of default. At June 30, 2008, the value of airline, cruise line and large tour operator tickets purchased to be delivered at a future date was $\$ 6.0$ billion, with airline tickets representing 94 percent of that amount. The mpany held collateral of $\$ 1.4$ billion in escrow deposits, letters of credit and indemnities from financial institutions, and liens on various assets.
The Company is subject to various other litigation, investigations and legal and administrative cases and proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of its businesses. Due to their complex nature, it may be years before some matters are resolved. While it is impossible to ascertain the ultimate resolution or range of financial liability with respect to these contingent matters, the Company believes that the aggregate amount of such liabilities will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, results of operations or cash flows of the Company.

For additional information on the nature of the Company's guarantes and contingent liabilities, refer to Note 21 in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.
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Consolidated Daily Average Balance Sheet and Related Yields and Rates (a)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2008} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Forthe Three monts Ended June 30 ,} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{2007} \\
\hline (oulas inmmilios) \& Amerae \& 208 \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Yields } \\
\text { and }
\end{array}
\] \& Amerage \& 2007 \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
\hline \text { Yields } \\
\text { and }
\end{array}
\] \& \% Change
Average \\
\hline \& \& ineost \& \& \& interst \& \& \\
\hline  \& S 42.929 \& \({ }_{5}^{538}\) \& \({ }_{5.70}^{5.1 \%}\) \& S \({ }_{\substack{\text { 40,704 } \\ 4,34}}\) \& \$5488 \& \({ }_{\text {c }}^{5.393 \%}\) \& \({ }_{\text {(21.2) }}^{5.60 \%}\) \\
\hline Loans (b) Conmerial \& 53.79 \& \& 4.80 \& \({ }^{47.197}\) \& \& \& \\
\hline Commercial real estate \&  \& \({ }_{4}^{645}\) \& \({ }_{5.67}^{4.80}\) \& \({ }_{\text {a }}{ }_{28,503}^{47,197}\) \& \({ }_{524}^{781}\) \& \({ }_{7.37}^{6.64}\) \& \(\underset{6.9}{14.4}\) \\
\hline Resitential mortages
Reail \&  \& (1009 \& \({ }_{\substack{6.08 \\ 7.34}}\) \& \(\substack{21,381 \\ 48.122}_{\text {2, }}\) \&  \& \({ }_{\substack{6.06 \\ 8.23}}\) \& 6.8
14.9 \\
\hline Total lans \& 1653,070 \& \({ }_{2,437}^{1,48}\) \& 6.01 \& 145,653 \& \({ }^{2.624}\) \& \({ }_{7} 7.22\) \& 12.0 \\
\hline Onerearning assets Total earing asels \& \({ }_{212,003}^{2,089}\) \& \({ }_{3,067}^{4.4}\) \& \({ }_{5.81}^{6.58}\) \& \({ }_{102301}^{10,601}\) \& \({ }_{3,276}^{36}\) \& \({ }_{\substack{8.36 \\ 6.83}}^{\text {a }}\) \& \begin{tabular}{l} 
cil. \\
10.3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \\
\hline  \& (2, \& \& \&  \& \& \& \({ }^{(12.4)}\) \\
\hline  \& \({ }_{\text {33,972 }}\) \& \& \& \({ }_{32,531}\) \& \& \& 4.4 \\
\hline Tota assels \& \({ }_{5242,221}\) \& \& \& S222,022 \& \& \& \({ }^{9.1}\) \\
\hline  \& \$ 27,851 \& \& \& \$ 27,977 \& \& \& (5) \\
\hline meerest checking \& 32,479 \& 67 \& \({ }^{83}\) \& \({ }^{25,5858}\) \& 84 \& \({ }_{1}^{1.32}\) \& 25.6 \\
\hline Money marke saving \& \({ }_{\substack{26,426 \\ 5,377}}\) \& \({ }_{2}^{79}\) \& \({ }_{1.18}^{1.21}\) \&  \& 159
5 \& \({ }_{.38}^{2.59}\) \& \({ }_{\text {(12) }}^{7.4}\) \\
\hline  \& \({ }_{\substack{12,635 \\ 31041}}\) \& \({ }_{201}^{109}\) \& 3.48
2.59

2, \&  \& ${ }_{253}^{162}$ \& ${ }_{4}^{4.90}$ \& (14.1) <br>
\hline Total inerest-bearing deposits \& \& \& 1.71 \& \& \& \& ${ }_{18,6}$ <br>
\hline Shorteer borowing \&  \& ${ }_{419}^{282}$ \& 2.99
4.44 \& (29.524 \& ${ }_{562}^{401}$ \&  \& ( $\begin{gathered}28.8 \\ (15.2)\end{gathered}$ <br>
\hline Total inerestbearing labilities \& ${ }_{1}^{183,855}$ \& [,159 \& 2.53 \& 165,177 \& ${ }^{1,626}$ \& 3.95 \& ${ }^{113}$ <br>
\hline  \& \& \& \& 7,973 \& \& \& <br>
\hline Pefeirese e euily
Common equity \& 1.500
20.820 \& \& \& (1,000 \& \& \& ${ }_{4.6}^{50.0}$ <br>
\hline Total sharenolders' equity \& ${ }_{20}^{22,320}$ \& \& \& ${ }_{\text {20, }}$ \& \& \& <br>
\hline Teit Toat liabilities and sharenolders' equity \& s242,221 \& \& \& S2220.022 \& \& \& 9.19\% <br>
\hline  \& \& $\xrightarrow{\text { S1,908 }}$ \& 3.28\% \& \& $\xrightarrow{\text { S1,650 }}$ \& 288\% \& <br>
\hline Gross interest margin witout taxaleequivalent incements \& \& \& 3.22 \& \& \& 284 \& <br>
\hline Percent of carning Assels \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline ${ }^{\text {In }}$ Iterestexenense \& \& \& | 2020 |
| :--- |
| 2614 | \& \& \& 3,39 \& <br>

\hline Net ine est margin ${ }^{\text {Netinerest magin without taxale-equivalent increments }}$ \& \& \& $\frac{3.61 \%}{3.55 \%}$ \& \& \& $\frac{3.440}{3.409 \%}$ \& <br>
\hline Not meaningful.
(a) Interest and rates are presented on a fully taxable-equital \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}
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Consolidated Daily Average Balance Sheet and Related Yields and Rates (a)

|  | 2008 |  | Forthe Six Wonns Ended dune 30 , |  | 2007 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (olatis inmilios) | Amarae | Intest | $\substack{\text { Yelts } \\ \text { and }}$ | Anerse | metest | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yeds } \\ \text { and } \end{gathered}$ | \% Change Average |
| Assets ${ }_{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| linvesmentseurites | \$ ${ }_{\substack{4.446 \\ 4,287}}$ | ${ }_{\text {\$1,118 }}^{122}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5.71}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40,791 \\ 4,900 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 51.094 | ${ }_{6.33}^{5.36 \%}$ | ${ }_{4.3}^{6.50 \%}$ |
|  | 52,844 | ${ }_{1,366}$ | 5.19 | ${ }^{47,103}$ | 1.555 | 6.65 | 12.2 |
|  |  | ${ }_{712}^{892}$ | 年.988 | 22,573 21,700 | ${ }_{\substack{1.044 \\ 654}}$ | 7.37 <br> 6.04 | 5.0 6.6 |
| Retail |  | ${ }_{2} 2.035$ | ${ }^{7} 7.70$ | ${ }_{47,800}^{21,780}$ | 1,955 | 8.25 | ${ }^{\text {11.2 }}$ |
| Othe ceatinionans | (159,151 | ${ }_{\text {5, }}^{\text {5,05 }}$ | (6.32 <br> 5.96 | ${ }_{1}^{145.1 .664}$ | 5, ${ }_{\text {5, }}^{68}$ | 7.23 8.18 | ${ }^{9.6}$ |
| Toale earning assels | ${ }^{20,9552}$ | ${ }_{6,325}$ | 6.06 | ${ }^{191,721}$ | ${ }_{6,499}$ | 6.82 | ${ }^{9.3}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {(70.9) }}^{(7.1)}$ |
|  | ${ }^{33,406}$ |  |  | ${ }^{31,786}$ |  |  | ${ }_{8}^{5.1}$ |
| Tiabitites and assels Shareholders' Equity | S239,448 |  |  | S220,774 |  |  | 8.5 |
| Noninterestbearing deposits | \$ 27,485 |  |  | \$ 27,828 |  |  | (1.2) |
|  |  | ${ }_{193}^{155}$ | ${ }_{1.49}{ }^{.99}$ | 25.70 25,154 2, | 160 <br> 322 | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{1.27}$ 2.58 | ${ }_{3.4}^{23,2}$ |
| Savins accouns |  | \% 5 | (1.20 |  | 10 320 320 |  | ${ }^{3,4.1)}$ |
|  | ${ }_{3}^{13,0731}$ | 248 463 | 3.81 3.09 | 14,745 <br> 21,288 | 320 526 | 4.39 4.99 | ${ }_{41,7}^{(11.0)}$ |
| Totalinerestsbearing deposits | ciole | ${ }^{1,064}$ | $\underset{\substack{2.02 \\ 302}}{ }$ | 920,99 | ${ }_{1}^{1,338}$ | 2.93 | 15.0 |
| Shon-terem borowings Long-ter detit | 36,954 38.851 3, | ${ }_{893}^{630}$ | 3.43 4.62 4. | 28.114 43.804 4 | $\begin{array}{r}748 \\ 1.097 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ( |  |
| Other Traililies ineestbeaang lialilites | $\underset{\substack{181.653 \\ 8,41}}{ }$ | 2,587 | 2.86 | ${ }_{\text {167,937 }}^{\text {1997 }}$ | ${ }^{3,183}$ | 3.91 | 10.8 5.7 |
| Shherenobiliers sequity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Preated equity | 1,291 <br> 20.608 |  |  | ${ }^{1.000}$ |  |  | ${ }_{2.8}^{29.1}$ |
| Total sharenolders equity Toual liabities and sharenoliers' equity | $\frac{21.899}{\text { S239488 }}$ |  |  | $\frac{21,052}{8220,74}$ |  |  |  |
| Net inerest income |  | $\stackrel{\text { s.7.738 }}{ }$ |  |  | $\stackrel{53,316}{ }$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }^{3.20 \%}$ |  |  | $\frac{2.91 \%}{287}$ |  |
| Percent of Earning Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inerest income |  |  |  |  |  | (6.32\% |  |
| Neti ineest margin |  |  | 3.58\% |  |  | 3.4796 |  |
| Net interest margin without taxable:equivalent increments |  |  | 3.52\% |  |  | 3.43\% |  |

Interest and rates are presented on a fully taxable-equivalent basis utilizing a tax rate of 35 percent.
(b) Interest income and rates on loans include looan fees. Nonaccrual loans are included in average loan balances.
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Part II — Other Information

Item 1A. Risk Factors - There are a number of factors that may adversely affect the Company's business, financial results or stock price. Refer to "Risk Factors" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, for discussion of these risks
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds - Refer to the "Capital Management" section within Management's Discussion and Analysis in Part I for information regarding shares repurchased by the Company during the second quarter of 2008.
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders - The information contained in Part II, Item 4 of the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2008, is incorporated herein by reference.
Item 6. Exhibits

```
3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws. Filed as Exhibit 3.2 to Form 8-K filed on June 18, 2008
12 Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charge
31.2 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
32 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350 as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
```

U.S. BANCORP

By:
Terrance R. Dolan
Executive Vice President and Controlle
(Chief Accounting Officer and Duly Authorized Officer)
DATE: August 11, 2008

Table of Contents
EXHIBIT 12
Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges


Excludes interest expense related to unrecognized tax positions.
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## CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO

## RULE 13a-14(a) UNDER THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

I, Richard K. Davis, certify that
(1) I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of U.S. Bancorp;
(2) Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
(3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
(4) The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have
(a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
(b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
(c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
(d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
(5) The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
(a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
(b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: August 11, 2008

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { /s/ RICHARD K. DAVIS } \\
& \hline \text { Richard K. Davis } \\
& \text { Chief Executive Officer }
\end{aligned}
$$

## CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO

## RULE 13a-14(a) UNDER THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

I, Andrew Cecere, certify that:
(1) I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of U.S. Bancorp;
(2) Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
(3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
(4) The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
(a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
(c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
(d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting• and
(5) The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions)
(a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
(b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: August 11, 2008

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Is/ ANDREW CECERE } \\
& \hline \text { Andrew Cecere } \\
& \text { Chief Financial Office }
\end{aligned}
$$

AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002
Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of U.S. Bancorp, a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), do hereby certify that:
(1) The Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 (the "Form 10-Q") of the Company fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
(2) The information contained in the Form $10-\mathrm{Q}$ fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company
$\frac{\text { /s/ Richard K. Davis }}{\text { Richard K. Davis }}$
Richard K. Davis
Chief Executive Office

$$
\frac{\text { /s/ Andrew Cecere }}{\text { Andrew Cecere }}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Andrew Cecere } \\
& \text { Chief Financial Office }
\end{aligned}
$$

Dated: August 11, 2008

## Corporate Information
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& \text { Permit No. } 2440 \\
& \text { Minneapolis, MN }
\end{aligned}
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```
Executive Offices
U.S. Bancorp
800 Nicollet Mall
Common Stock Transfer Agent and Registrar
*)
payment should be directed to the tranfer agent at:
BNY Mellon Shareowner Services
P.O. Box 358015
P.O. Box 358015
Phone: 888-778-1311 or 201-680-6578
Interne: bnymellon.con\shareowner
For Registered or Certifed Mail:
BNY Mellon Shareowner Services
500 Ross St, 6t Floor
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
Telephone representatives are available weekdyy from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. Central Time, and automated support is avilable 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Specific information about your account is available on BNY Mellon's interne site by clicking on the Investor ServiceDirect$ link.
Independent Auditor
Emst & Young LLP serves as the independent auditor for U.S. Bancorp's financial statements.
Common Stock Listing and Trading
U.S. Bancorp common stock is listed and traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol USB
Dividends and Reinvestment Plan
*)
```



```
Investor Relations Contacts
Judith. Murpy 
Executive Vice President, Investor and Public Relation
judith.murphy@usbank.com
Phone: 612-303-0783 or 866-775-9668
Financial Information
U.S. Bancorp news and financial results are available through our website and by mail.
Website For information about U.S. Bancorp, includding news, financial results, annual reports and other documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, access our home page on the internet at usbank.com, click on About U.S. Bancorp, then Investor/Shareholder Information
Mail At your request, we will mail to you our quarterly earnings, news releases, quarterly financial data reported on Form 10-Q and additional copies of our annual reports. Please contact
U.S. Bancorp Investor Relations
Minneapolis, MN 55
investoreleations@usbank.con
Phone: 866-775-9668
Media Requests
Steven W Dale
Steven W. Dale
Senior Vice President, Media Relations
steve.dale@usbank.con
Phone: 612 -303-0784
Privacy U.S. Bancorp is committed to respecting the privacy of our customers and safegurding the financial and personal information provided to us. To learn more about the U.S. Bancorp commitment to protecting privacy, visit usbank.com and click on Privacy Pledge.
Code of Ethics
U.S. Bancorp places the highest importance on honesty and integrity. Each year, every U.S. Bancorp employee certifies compliance with the leter and sppritt of our Code of Ethics and Business Conduct, the guiding ethical standards of our organization. For details about our Code of Ethics and Business Conduct, visit usbank.com and dlick on
About U.S. Bancorp, then Ethics at U.S. Bank.
```


## Diversity

```
U.S. Bancorp and our subsidiaries are committo developinge maintaing workplace that reflects the diversity of the conmunities we serve. We support a work environment where individual differences are valued and respected and where each individual who shares the findanental values of the company has an opportunity it contribue and grow based on individual merit
Equal Employment Opportunitlaffirm ive Action
U.S. Bancorp and our subsidiaries are comnited to providing Equal Employment Opportunity to all employes and applicants for employment. In keeping with this connitment, employment decisions are made based upon performance, skill and abilities, not race, color, religion, national origin or ancestry, gender, age, disability veteran status, sexual orientation or any other factors protected by law. The corporation complies with municipal, state and federal fair employment laws, including regulations applying to federal contractors.
u.S. Bancorp, including each of our subsidiaries, is an Equal Opportunity Employer committed to creating a diverse workforce.
```

U.S. Bancorp
Member FDIC


[^0]:    Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months, and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.
    YES p NO o

    Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in
    Rule 12 b -2 of the Exchange Act (Check one): Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

    ```
    Large accelerated filer p
    Accelerated filer o
    Non-accelerated filer o 
    Smaller reporting company o
    ```

[^1]:    Market rates in the Dow 200 Gradual Ramp have been floored in the later months of the ramp.

[^2]:    

